

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2007 with funding from Microsoft Corporation

La my Mean Brother. hich formere lease. barrepotend







Memoirs of D. Chalmers



Thairman Thursh

		>			,
					•
	4				•
					•
					6
					41
. *					
					ě
				٠	
		11. 3			
				7	
		-			

MEMOIRS

ΟF

THE LIFE AND WRITINGS

οF

THOMAS CHALMERS, D.D. LL.D.

BY HIS SON-IN-LAW,

THE REV. WILLIAM HANNA, LL.D.

VOL. IV.

EDINBURGH: THOMAS CONSTABLE AND CO.
LONDON: HAMILTON, ADAMS, AND CO.
MDCCCLII.

BX 9225 C4H3 1850 V.4

651846

CONTENTS.

FRONTISPIECE—ENGRAVING FROM A DAGUERREOTYPE OF MR. STEELL'S BUST OF DR. CHALMERS. VIGNETTE OF TERRITORIAL CHURCH, WEST PORT.	FAGI
Preface,	ix
CHAPTER I.	
Literary Distinctions conferred upon Dr. Chalmers—Elected a Fellow of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, and a Corresponding Member of the Royal Institute of France—The Degree of Doctor of Laws bestowed by the University of Oxford—Memorial to Government regarding the Endowment of the Theological Chair in Edinburgh—Commencement of the Publication of a Uniform Edition of his Works—The Moderatorship Controversy,	1-16
CHAPTER II.	
Sir George Clerk and Sir James Graham defend the Exclusive Spiritual Jurisdiction of the Church of Scotland—Support given to the Church Extension Scheme by the Conservatives—Sir Robert Peel's Testimony in its Favour—Its Treatment by the Whig Ministry—First Report of the Royal Commissioners—Postponement of any Government Action—Second and Third Reports—Ministerial Measure Proposed and Withdrawn—General Politics of this Period—Dr. Chalmers's Letter to Mr. Campbell of Monzie—His own Defence of his Conduct—The King's Death—First Levee of Queen Victoria—The General Election—Correspondence with Sir Robert Peel—Home Operations on Behalf of Church Extension—Their Extraordinary Success,	17-33
CHAPTER III.	
Delivery of a Course of Lectures in London in Defence of Religious Establishments.	34-46
misuments,	04-40

CHAPTER IV.	PAGE
Visit to Paris—Tour through some of the Inland Provinces of France,	47-64
CHAPTER V.	
The Seventh Decade of Life—Last Efforts on Behalf of Church Extension —Plan of Mr. William Campbell—The Great Northern Tour—The Grampians—Loch Eiricht—Inverness—Tarbet House—Skibo Castle— Teaninich—Cromarty—Fall of Foyers—Cathedral of Elgin—Banff— The Buller of Buchan—Haddo House—Invercauld—Results of Church Extension,	65-87
CHAPTER VI.	
First Stage in the Non-Intrusion Controversy—Settlement at Auchter- arder—Action before the Court of Session—Decision of the Judges— Appeal to the House of Lords—Resolution of the General Assembly 1838—Speeches of Lords Brougham and Cottenham—Judgment of the House of Lords—General Assembly of 1839—Speech of Dr. Chalmers,	88-115
CHAPTER VII.	
First Effort of the Non-Intrusion Committee—Deputation to London—Interviews with the Leading Politicians—Report to the Assembly's Commission—Extracts from Private Journal,	116-125
CHAPTER VIII.	
The Case of Lethendy—The Dean's Advice—The Presbytery's Procedure —The Appearance before the Court of Session—The Rebuke—The Charge of Rebellion brought against the Church—Her Answer to that Charge—The Constitutional Question involved in the Collision—Appeal to the Legislature—Course taken by the Moderates—Pamphlet by the Dean of Faculty,	126-139
CHAPTER IX.	
The Case of Marnoch—The Refractory Presbytery of Strathbogie—Their Resolution to Disobey the Orders of the Commission—The Suspension of the Seven Ministers—The Preaching of the Gospel prohibited in the District of Strathbogie—The Broken Interdicts,	140-150
CHAPTER X.	
Prospect of a Satisfactory Measure from the Whig Government—The Hope Defeated—The Church turns to the Conservatives—Correspondence between Lord Aberdeen, Dr. Chalmers, and the Non-Intrusion Committee—The Bill—The Misunderstanding—The Charge made by Lord Aberdeen—The manner in which it was met by Dr. Chalmers,	151-174

CHAPTER XI.

The Contrast between the English and Scottish Reformations—The First
General Assembly—Its Independence of the State—Conflict between
the Church and State in Scotland -Conduct and Testimony of the
Church—The Act of 1592—The Revolution Settlement—Dr. Chalmers's
Opinion as to the Two Principles of Non-Intrusion and Spiritual Inde-
pendence—Letter to Lord Aberdeen and Speech in the General Assem-
bly_Position and Proceedings of the Moderate Party in the Church_
Speech of Sir Robert Peel—Reply by Dr. Chalmers,

175 - 195

CHAPTER XII.

Publication of Dr. Alison's Pamphlet on the Administration of the Poor Laws in Scotland—Dr. Chalmers's Efforts to Neutralize the Effects of this Publication—Discussion at the Meeting of the British Scientific Association held at Glasgow, in September 1840—Publication of a Volume on "The Sufficiency of the Parochial System without a Poor-rate for the right Management of the Poor"—Correspondence with Mr. Thomas Carlyle and Professor Duncan—Lectures to the Mechanics of Greenock on the Laws and Phenomena of Heat,

. 196-211

CHAPTER XIII.

Dr. Chalmers's Rejection from the Chair of Theology in Glasgow—Narrative of the Settlement at Marnoch—The General Assembly of 1841

—The Deposition of the Seven Suspended Clergymen of Strathbogie

—The Serving of an Indictment on the General Assembly—Dr. Candlish's Nomination to the Chair of Biblical Criticism superseded—Proposal by Mr. Smith of Greenock—The Duke of Argyll's Bill—Statement to the Government by the Moderate Party—Meeting of the Commission in August—First prospect of the Disruption—Failure of the Negotiations by Sir George Sinclair,

212-243

CHAPTER XIV.

CHAPTER XV.

Patronage Abolished by the Revolution Settlement—The Act of Security and Treaty of Union—Patronage Restored by the Act of Queen Anne—Dr. Chalmers takes part in the Anti-Patronage Movement—Vindication of the step in his Letter to the Duke of Argyll—Narrative of the Settlement at Culsalmond—The Interdicts of the Court of Session—Conduct of the Government—The new Party in the Church—Dr. Chalmers's Letter to the Rev. Mr. Bruce—The General Assembly of 1842—Motion for the Abolition of Patronage—The Claim of Rights,

. 267-299

CHAPTER XVI. Summer Residence at Rosstrevor—The Premier's determination to do Nothing—The Second Auchterarder Decision—The Convocation, . 300-318 CHAPTER XVII. Letter to Mr. Lennox-Final Answer of the Government-Letter from Sir James Graham-The Debate in Parliament-The Claim of Rights Rejected by the Legislature—The Disruption, . 319-343 CHAPTER XVIII. The Two General Assemblies-Progress of the Free Church-Characteristics of its First General Assembly-Labours and Sufferings of the Summer of 1843—Dr. Chalmers's Sustentation Tour, . . 344-364 CHAPTER XIX. The General Assembly of 1844—Fruits of the Year's Labours—History of the Sustentation Fund.—Proposed Modification—Its Rejection by the Free Church, . . 365-376 CHAPTER XX. Christian Union—The Evangelical Alliance, . 377-390 CHAPTER XXI. The West Port, . . 391-415 CHAPTER XXII. Suggestions for altering the Curriculum in the Scottish Universities-The Course of Theological Education—Dr. Chalmers's Professorial Career-Appointment as Principal in the New College-Institutes of Theology—The North British Review—The German Philosophy—The Famine, . . 416-438 CHAPTER XXIII. Last Visit to Anstruther—Incident at Barnsmuir—Sermon at St. Mary's Loch-Cavers Revisited-Domestic Habits-Times and Modes of Composition—Numerical Adjustments—The Toilet—The Breakfast Table -Mode of Recognising his Students-Conversational Mcmoranda-Apostolic Succession—The Monasteries and the Middle Ages—American Slavery—The Spiritual Rabbit-Warren—Excess at Table—Evening Readings of Gibbon, Shakspeare, and Milton-Catechisms and Confessions-Scripture Characters-Life at Burntisland-Feeling of Youthfulness-Heavings of Incipient Civilisation-Janet of the Gallowgate and the Astronomical Discourse-Consolatory Letters, .

. 439-466

		СИЛ	PTER X	XIV.				PAGE
Family Correspondence,								467-485
		СНА	PTER Y	XXV.				
The Success of the Free Ch taryism—The Governm Dr. Chalmers—Evidence and Gloucestershire—F	ent Se ce bef	cheme ore th	e of Educ e Sites C	ation— ommitte	Paper d ee—Vis	lrawn uj it to Lor	p by idon	
Death, .								486-516

APPENDIX.

Appendix A.—Extract from Sedgewick's "Discourse on the Studies the University of Cambridge,"	of	519
APPENDIX B.—Extracts from Dr. Chalmers's Correspondence on the Presbyterial Veto,		519-528
APPENDIX C.—Claim, Declaration, and Protest, anent the Encroace ments of the Court of Session; and Protest by Commissioners General Assembly,		528-551
APPENDIX D.—Address to the Convocation of November 1842, .		551-564
APPENDIX E.—Extracts from "Considerations on the Economics and Platform of the Free Church of Scotland,"		564-571
Appendix F.—Explanatory Notice by West Port Visitors, .		571-578
APPENDIX G.—Balance Sheet of Dr. Chalmers's Territorial Church West Port, for year ending March 1852,		578-574
APPENDIX H.—Account by Rev. Mr. Purves of Visit to Jedburgh, &c.,		575-581
APPENDIX I.—Matured Expression of Dr. Chalmers's Sentiments on the subject of Slavery in America,		581-591
APPENDIX K.—Extracts from Evidence given before the Select Commit tee on Sites for Churches,		591-607
Appendix L.—Account of Funeral,		607-610



PREFACE.

In the preceding volumes it was a studied effort of the Editor to confine himself to the proper task of the biographer, as distinguished from that of the critic or the historian. In the present volume he has been obliged to enter upon a general narrative of the Disruption; but, however grateful might have been the office of rendering a tribute to the memory of Drs. Macfarlan, Welsh, and Brown, and Messrs. Speirs, Hamilton, J. S. Stewart, and Crichton, he has declined the task of delineating the character, or recording the services of the many eminent individuals who, at that period, were Dr. Chalmers's associates and coadjutors.

In closing his labours he has to offer his most grateful acknowledgments to Dr. Irving, Professor Duncan, Dr. Watson, Mr. Bruce, Principal Cunningham, and all those friends who, by supplying materials or advice, have aided in a work which, however imperfectly executed, may, it is hoped, confer some benefit on the Church and on the world.

May 1852.



MEMOIRS

οF

THOMAS CHALMERS, D.D. LL.D.



MEMOIRS

OF

THOMAS CHALMERS, D.D. LL.D.

CHAPTER I.

LITERARY DISTINCTIONS CONFERRED UPON DR. CHALMERS—ELECTED A FELLOW OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY OF EDINBURGH, AND A CORRESPONDING MEMBER OF THE ROYAL INSTITUTE OF FRANCE—THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF LAWS BESTOWED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD—MEMORIAL TO GOVERNMENT REGARDING THE ENDOWMENT OF THE THEOLOGICAL CHAIR IN EDINBURGH—COMMENCEMENT OF THE PUBLICATION OF A UNIFORM EDITION OF HIS WORKS—THE MODERATORSHIP CONTROVERSY.

In January 1834, Dr. Chalmers was elected a Fellow of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, and in the following year was chosen as one of its Vice-Presidents. In January 1834 he was also elected a Corresponding Member of the Royal Institute of France, his high sense of which distinction was thus conveyed to the Secretary of the Academy into which he was admitted:—

"Sir,—I received your much esteemed communication a good many days ago, and have only been prevented by bad health from sooner acknowledging the proudest of my literary honours.

VOL. IV.

"My engagements may disable me for some time from offering any contribution to the Memoirs of that great Institute, but I cannot imagine a higher object of ambition to him who aspires after a name in philosophy than to have his labours associated with the transactions of so illustrious a body.

"If anything could have added to the satisfaction I feel in being connected with the Institute of France, it is that more especial connexion which you have had the goodness to assign for me with the Academy of the Moral and Political Sciences.—I have the honour to be, Sir, yours most respectfully,

THOMAS CHALMERS."

In the summer of 1835, another high literary distinction was conferred upon Dr. Chalmers.

Amid the delays connected with Lord John Russell's motion for the appointment of a Royal Commission, and while not fully recovered from the effects of his former illness, he wrote to his affectionate friend, Mr. George Sinclair:-"I now begin to be jaded and overborne with London, and, with the single exception of my attendance at Court on Wednesday, it will be my wisdom to live as quietly as possible. I spend the bulk of next week at Walthamstow, and then leave for Oxford."* This visit to Oxford was a bright interlude amid the disappointing negotiations of the metropolis. He had received the gratifying intelligence that at the approaching annual commemoration the University of Oxford intended to confer upon him the degree of Doctor of Laws. In acknowledging this unexpected honour, Dr. Chalmers writes,-" I have long had the utmost affection and reverence for the University of Oxford, but I never once dreamed of the possibility of in any manner being admitted within its pale. I truly feel it to be an honourable relation-

^{*} Letter to Mr. Sinclair, now Sir George Sinclair, Bart., dated 18th June 1835.

ship, and must confess the same sort of complacency which one has in some great and splendid connexion into which he has newly entered. Though but the adopted son of your venerable mother, I trust she will ever find me one of the most devoted members of her great family; a sentiment which I shall all the more fondly cherish that I believe in the strong hold which this ancient, this noble, this truly national institute, has on the affections of the many thousands of her children, who are the wisest of our countrymen, not only as regards the cause of learning, but as regards the cause of social order and rational liberty in these our vexed and agitated times."

In the theatre of the University, and in presence of a brilliant assemblage, Dr. Chalmers was invested with this distinguished honour. On presenting him to the Vice-Chancellor and Proctors, the gentleman who officiated for the Professor of the Civil Law made the following speech:—

"Insignissime Vice-Cancellarie, vosque egregii Procuratores, præsento vobis venerandum et doctissimum virum Thomam Chalmers, Regiæ Societatis Socium, Publicum Theologiæ apud Edinenses Professorem, benignitate, doctrina, facundia, ut quem maxime, insignitum; qui pauperum sortem miseratus in melius promovere pro virili semper studuit, 'humani nihil a se alienum putans;' qui, ecclesiæ Scoticæ acerrimus propugnator, ecclesiæ Anglicanæ quoque, idque dubiis et formidolosis temporibus, gravissimus vindex extitit; ea, qua merito apud suos auctoritate pollet, semper usus, ad mutuam benevolentiam ac caritatem fovendam, omni asperitate, omni invidia amota; qui, cum visum est opus magnificum, a Comite de Bridgewater excogitatum pluribus demandare, a viro spectatissimo, quem coram cernitis, D. Gilbert, Regiæ Societati præsidenti deligebatur, ut dignissimus qui cum celeberimis Oxonii et Cantabrigiæ Philosophis consociaretur cum Professoribus nostris J. Kidd, G. Buckland, eo præclaruit ingenio, ea scientia, ut is

potissimum haberetur, cui partes primariæ mandarentur, summi Numinis potentiam, sapientiam, bonitatem, providentiam indicandi: quo opere, quanta copia, quanto acumine perfunctus sit, minime quidem opus est, ut apud hodiernam frequentiam loquar. Professorem igitur venerandum, doctissimum, præsentans vobis gratulor huic nostræ academiæ, quod, quem diu miratus est absentem, hunc hodie præsentem quasi gremio accipiat, admittatque ad gradum doctoris in jure civili honoris causa."

In the reading of this address as mention was made of Dr. Chalmers's eloquence, of his defence of the English Establishment, and of his Bridgewater Treatise, three distinct rounds of loud and unanimous approbation rose from the assembled students of the University. The only written notice taken by himself of a day so memorable in his history, occurs in the following letter to Lady Stuart of Allanbank:—

" Oxford, 1st $July\ 1835.$

"MY DEAR LADY STUART,—I write from this place, from which I had fondly hoped that we might have found our way homewards by land, and spent a day or two with you and Sir James at Harrogate, but the interminable delays to which we have been exposed in London necessitate my return thereto, after which we shall feel the temptation of a quick and less fatiguing movement to Edinburgh by sea. This is a real disappointment to us, believing, as we do, that we should have had a warm-hearted reception at Harrogate, enjoying, as we should have done, the kind converse of old friends after weeks spent among strangers.

"We are here living for a few days with the Professor of Divinity at Christ Church, Dr. Burton, where we are entertained with 'all the elegance of lettered hospitality.' Since beginning this letter, which I have been forced to interrupt, I have been present at the great annual Oxford commemoration, where I have had the honour of being admitted as an LL.D. This entitles me to a Doctor's robes, in which I have been invested, and of which I tell you, without levity, that I am not a little proud. The costume consists of a scarlet silk gown and black silk cap. I shall take a set of it with me into Scotland; and meanwhile, during my brief stay in Oxford, I walk about in a doctor's black gown, with the common University cap. We all dined to-day in full academic costume, with gown and bands. The most interesting introduction which I have had in Oxford is to Keble the poet, author of the 'Christian Year,' a work of exquisite beauty, and most worthy of your personal, nay of your daily companionship, if you have not yet admitted it into your cabinet. Mrs. C. and I lived a few days lately within sight of Sir James's house in Regent Park. We thought much of you and of your predilection for all that is tasteful. The house is greatly to my liking, both in architecture and in a certain monastic style and situation which belong to it. Our ladies here join in best regards: they are quite fagged with their excursions among the halls and colleges of this wondrous place, this city of cathedrals.-I ever am yours, with THOMAS CHALMERS. greatest regard,

" To Lady Stuart of Allanbank, Harrogate."

"I retain," says the Earl of Elgin, of whose kind attentions to him at this time, Dr. Chalmers cherished a lively and most grateful remembrance, "a very pleasing impression of Dr. Chalmers's visit to Oxford in 1835. I do not know that I ever saw him enjoy himself more thoroughly than he seemed to do on that occasion. With the exception, indeed, of the degree conferred upon him by the University, Dr. Chalmers's visit to Oxford was not marked by any very striking incident. What was chiefly interesting to one who esteemed and admired him,

was to witness the heartiness with which he entered into the spirit of the place, and the almost boyish delight which he seemed to experience, after the toils of his sojourn in London. in suffering his imagination to expatiate among scenes of academic grandeur and repose. I well remember his coming to my apartment at Merton, before eight o'clock one morning, and telling me of a sequestered court which he had found in a college, into which he had strayed on his way from Christ Church, and the earnestness with which he claimed credit for having thus discovered for himself a spot of surpassing beauty, which could, he assured me, be known to few. I remember, too, the serious manner in which, while we were strolling in the college garden, on the afternoon of the day on which his degree was conferred on him, he apologized for the extravagance of which he had been guilty in purchasing the robes of a Doctor of Civil Law, notwithstanding the precautions I had taken to relieve him from this necessity, saying, 'You see I could not bring myself to leave the place, without carrying away with me some memorial of the academic costume.'

"On the day following his arrival at Oxford, I was requested to endeavour to ascertain whether it, would be agreeable to him to receive an honorary degree from the University; and I had afterwards the satisfaction of being present when it was conferred on him. Rarely have I witnessed as much enthusiasm in the Oxford theatre, as was manifested when he presented himself to go through the ceremony of admission. This was the more gratifying, because it was notorious that on some by no means immaterial points, his views were not co-incident with those which obtained at the time with an influential section of the Oxford University public. Indeed, the only expression of regret which fell from him in my hearing during the course of his visit, had reference to the reserve which characterized, as he thought, the manner of some eminent men

connected with a certain theological party, to whom he was introduced, and which prevented him from touching, in conversation with them, upon topics of highest import, with the frank and genial earnestness which was natural to him. This was, however, only a passing remark. Most assuredly there was no indication of lack of cordiality in his reception by Convocation. Dr. Chalmers was himself deeply affected by the warmth with which he was greeted; and I think I might almost venture to say that he looked upon this visit to Oxford as one of the most pleasing incidents in his career."*

I am not aware of any other Scottish clergyman being either invested with a Doctor's robes at Oxford, or chosen as a Corresponding Member of the French Institute. In Dr. Chalmers two literary distinctions were thus united, neither of which had ever previously been bestowed upon a clergyman of the Scottish Establishment.

While France and England conferred these unsolicited honours, Dr. Chalmers was in vain endeavouring to secure an adequate endowment for the chair which he held in Edinburgh. In the following letter to Mr. Sinclair, who had taken the liveliest interest in this object, he details the circumstances which had induced him to memorialize the Government.

" June 18th, 1835.

"MY DEAR SIR,—In reply to your kind letter of inquiry respecting the endowments which belong to the Professor of Theology in Edinburgh, I have to state that the facts of the case are very shortly as follows:—

"You are aware of the long struggle which took place in the General Assembly against the practice of uniting professorships with Church-livings. I myself took a part against."

^{*} Letter from the Earl of Elgin to Sir John Maxwell, Bart., of Polloc, dated Quebec, November 7, 1851.

such pluralities; and you may recollect how the great argument against the full and final abolition of them was the unprovided state of the Theological Chairs in the University of Edinburgh. I confess that one of my inducements for the acceptance of one of these chairs was to put an end to that argument. At the time of my entering on the professorship which I now hold, and which was in November 1828, the salary of £196 a year formed the sum total of the emoluments of the office. At that time fees were not exigible from the students of Divinity

"The first step towards the increase of the emoluments took place in 1829. It was ordained by the Town-Council, who are the patrons of the University, and have absolute power over its arrangements, that each professional student should pay a fee of $\mathcal{L}2$, 2s. a year, and each non-professional, a fee of $\mathcal{L}3$, 3s. It should be remarked, that scarcely ever had it been the practice for non-professional students to attend the theological class, and far less to pay for their attendance. Certain it is, that any revenue from their fees ought not to be counted on in estimating the sure and regular income of the professor. In point of fact, my income last year from the professional students amounted to about £300, and from the non-professional to a little above £100 more. But the proper way of reckoning the future likelihoods of the professional income from fees alone, would be to restrict the computation to professional students, I mean those who are destined for the Church; and it would be injurious both to myself and to my successors, if this were rated at any sum above £300 a year.

"But more than this. Within these two years a great disaster has befallen the office: the Town-Council has become insolvent. The salaries of the professors, in as far as they are paid by the City Corporation, (and, unfortunately, the whole of mine is so paid,) are suspended. By an adverse decision of the Court of Session, all hope of redress is put off for an indefinite period. For these three last terms I have received no half-yearly salary; and my strictly professional income is reduced to £300 annually. The additional £100 from non-professional students ought not to be counted in any general measure for the permanent provision of our University offices; and, at all events, this incidental addition to my emoluments does not save from the 'res angusta domi,' in a case where the expenditure in my station, with its various exposures, is such, that I have not yet been able to restrain it to £800 a year.

"In these circumstances, I do not think that I have at all erred in accepting of a Chaplainey, whose emoluments are only £50 a year, even though its duties are so small that the obnoxious name of a sinecure is attached to it. There are greatly too few of such sinecures in Scotland, which, if only well-bestowed, might have the effect of supplying the great desideratum of our meagrely endowed Church—certain places or provisions for men who might be in circumstances of independence and leisure for theological pursuits, and so for upholding our professional literature.

Thomas Chalmers."

The memorial to Government embraced a similar representation, but it led to no result. The continued suspension of his salary induced Dr. Chalmers to listen to his publisher's proposal of issuing in quarterly volumes a cheap and uniform edition of his works. This publication commenced in January 1836, and soon after the appearance of the second volume of the series, the following letter was addressed to the Rev. Mr. Cunningham of Harrow:—

[&]quot;Burntisland, April 20th, 1836.

[&]quot;MY DEAR SIR,-My object in this communication is to

request a favour from you. I am now republishing my works in a uniform edition of small volumes, which will come out quarterly. I have certainly great reason to be pleased with the reception which my publications have met in England, considering the harsh and injurious treatment which I have suffered from so many of the London periodicals—owing, I believe, partly to the political hostility of some of the editors; partly, I fear, to a personal hostility, as in the case of the ——; and, lastly, to the strong antipathy felt by others to my views on pauperism. While I assign these as exciting causes, I am not insensible to the possibility that a great deal is due to the intrinsic demerit of the works themselves.

"However this may be, there is one circumstance which I suspect is working prejudicially against me, and that is the idea of its being a mere republication, with no original matter in it at all. Now, it so happens that the great majority of my five first volumes will be altogether new, and that of the two first, already published, and which finishes my views on Natural Theology, the Bridgewater Treatise is merely a fragment of the whole.

"Now my request is, that you will draw the attention of any of the London reviewers to the new matter of my works. The 'Christian Observer' and 'British Review' have all along been very fair and friendly; and I confess myself unwilling that the large additions which I propose making to all my theological volumes, should be altogether unobserved by them.

THOMAS CHALMERS."

To his necessary preparations for the press, and his daily labours in the University, another heavy burden was now added. The Commissioners of Religious Instruction began their inquiries at Edinburgh, and Dr. Chalmers was deeply sensible of the difficulty and importance of making an effective

ÆT. 56.

representation of the religious state of the metropolis. He resisted a proposal made by the opponents of Church Extension, that a joint survey should be made of the whole city. conducted by persons appointed by both parties. Conceiving that such a survey must necessarily be superficial, and that it would fail to bring out the actual condition as to attendance on religious ordinances of the lowest and most destitute classes of the community, he preferred the confinement of his own inquiries to certain definite localities which could be thoroughly explored. His agents had completed in this way their survey of districts, embracing a population of 20,000 souls, when a digested statement of the results was laid by him before the Royal Commissioners in February 1836. In a lengthened examination before the Commissioners, he explained the manner in which the statistics contained in this document had been made up, and illustrated the grounds and principles of the Church Extension Scheme. That scheme was in a crisis of its history. The Government having virtually pledged itself to act upon the returns of its Commissioners, it was of the first importance to obtain from these Commissioners a favourable report. It was with the deepest mortification, therefore, that Dr. Chalmers learned of the evidence given by the Rev. Dr. Lee, one of the most distinguished ministers of Edinburgh, that it was in many respects unfriendly to that great cause whose progress he was watching over with parental jealousy, to touch or injure which was to touch the very apple of his eye. The pain inflicted thus, was aggravated by a small but influential body of ministers and laymen in Edinburgh being resolved to raise this clergyman to the Moderator's chair of the General Assembly. To do this at a time when the gravest questions were pending between the Government and the Church, appeared to Dr. Chalmers to be a measure fraught with extreme peril. The friends of Dr. Lee, however, were as resolute in urging his claims as Dr. Chalmers was resolute in resisting them; and other measures having failed to induce them to withdraw his name, Dr. Chalmers, in a pamphlet of extraordinary power, published early in 1837, made a public exposure of the grounds upon which he repudiated this appointment. It may freely be conceded now, that the evil which an unfriendly or hostile moderator would have it in his power to inflict upon his favourite scheme, appeared to Dr. Chalmers, as to others, in an exaggerated form. He may have erred also, in assigning so much of a political character to the movement which he opposed. And had his resentment been less strong against those, of whom he too hastily believed that they were ready to sacrifice the best interests of the Church at the shrine of political partizanship, many vehement expressions had been withheld. Believing, however, that great interests were in danger, unrestrained by mere personal considerations, he vented his indignation in the strongest terms he could employ. His pamphlet was followed in a few weeks by a Statement on the part of Dr. Lee's supporters, in which he was directly charged with artful and "perverse twisting of circumstances;" with "having well learned his lesson from the serpent;" with "deceptive concealment;" with a "presumptuous assumption to himself of the whole charge of the Church Extension Scheme;" with "blind and relentless virulence," and a "total disregard to truth." It is difficult to conceive that these charges were understood by those who made them in their literal and most offensive sense; but it is not to be wondered at, that so long as they remained unexplained and unretracted, they should have inflicted acute pain, and kindled the keenest indignation. The first time that Dr. Chalmers attended a meeting of the Presbytery of Edinburgh, after the appearance of the Statement, he took occasion to allude to these accusations. will not," he said, "descend to any treatment whatever of the

hideous charges.* I never could make their utter groundlessness the subject of an argument with others, or strive to render palpable to them by reasoning, what was already far more palpable to myself than any reasoning could make it, in the immediate light of my own consciousness. Certain it is, that the accusations, if true, should banish me from society; and, at all events, must, till retracted, break up all my companionship with their authors. It is quite obvious that as matters now stand, there can be no intercourse, and no recognition between us; and that without an entire disavowal, on their part. of one and all of the moral charges, even the forms of acquaintanceship cannot be maintained. I ask from them nothing for the purpose of making me right, and it is for them to determine whether they are to do something for the purpose of making themselves right. For myself, I am satisfied with the appearance and the declaration that I now make, and count it simply enough to shew the Presbyterv that, notwithstanding the foul and ferocious assaults that have been made upon me, I can still lift an unabashed visage in their presence, and am delighted to hear the sound of my own voice again in the midst of Christian and honourable men."

It did little or nothing to heal this deadly breach that, sympathizing with Dr. Chalmers's alarms, an overwhelming majority of the General Assembly refused to raise Dr. Lee to the Moderator's chair. The question as to the appointment of a moderator was felt to be trivial, as compared with the personal controversy to which it had given birth. Most anxious efforts were made to bring this painful controversy to a close, and five leading members of the Presbytery volunteered their services as mediators. With Dr. Chalmers there was no diffi-

^{*} Although he attempted no personal defence, he was ably and effectively vindicated from the charges which had been brought against him, in two pamphlets by the Rev. W. Cunningham and the Rev. J. Bruce, of whose friendly intervention he always retained the liveliest and most grateful remembrance.

culty. He had never meant to question the integrity or asperse the honour of Dr. Lee or any of his supporters; and if any passage in his pamphlet was capable of being interpreted so as to imply such charges, he was ready to affirm that such was not his own understanding of them, and that had he thought them capable of being so understood he would have expressed himself differently. Had any public interest required, no difficulty would have been experienced in vindicating the position towards his opponents which Dr. Chalmers was forced to occupy; nay, I believe that the moral greatness of his character never gave more striking manifestations of itself than in some passages of this very conflict. But I will not enter farther into the history of this most distressing affair. The conflict touched no vital question; it is now, happily, almost forgotten. The breach, wide as at the time it was, a few years sufficed to heal. was the quarrel of Christian men, devoted to the same objects, though at this time pursuing them by different paths; and the strength of their common Christianity shewed itself in this, that at last every moral charge which had been made was retracted, and they came to look upon one another with mutual confidence and regard.

While the moderatorship controversy was at its height, an aged clergyman, as venerable for piety as for years, in writing to Dr. Chalmers had referred to the adverse spiritual influences of such strife.

" January 9th, 1838.

"I have often felt," said Dr. Chalmers in reply, "that the bustle of too active and varied a sphere of exertion is adverse to the growth of one's personal and spiritual Christianity. In my own case this hostile influence, I fear, has been of late much aggravated by the injustice which I have received at the hands of old acquaintances, and what is still more trying, by the disappointment I have met with at the hands of old friends, who,

in their extreme love of peace, have reversed the apostolical order of first *pure* and *then* peaceable, and who, by their eagerness for peace and neglect of principle, have left me to complain of calumnies still unretracted—of grievances still unredressed.

"But these are matters which I trust that God in His good time will enable me to forget; they are things which are beneath, and the best way of escape from them is to set my thoughts on the things which are above. In the hand of the heavenly Witness every cause of verity is safe, and I entreat your prayers for the perfecting within me of that work of patience and charity which if left undone may leave me in the state of him who walketh in darkness and knoweth not whither he goeth.

Thomas Chalmers.

"Rev. Dr. Muirhead."

More than two years after this letter was written, the following entries occur in Dr. Chalmers's journal:—

"March 26th, 1840.—Dr. —— this day proposed a reconciliation. O my God, I draw upon Thee for wisdom and charity: the preparations of the mouth, the answer of the heart are Thine. May a sense of my gross and awful delinquencies against Thy holy law be ever present with me; and O let me acquit myself in this and every similar affair so as that with unfaltering heart I may be enabled to say, 'Forgive my trespasses, even as I forgive those who have trespassed against me.'

" March 30th.—Had a meeting with ——. Clear my way, O God, through the difficulties which lie in that quarter.

"April 1st.—The prayer of two days ago has been answered. Visited in the morning by the suggestion that as this was the last day of our session I should have another interview with—, which has turned out promising, and leads to the hope

that I may yet die in peace with all mankind. Previous to the conversation I committed both the guidance and result of it to God."

His prayers were answered—his hope fulfilled—"the hand of the heavenly Witness" was laid with healing power upon the last and the worst breach which this unhappy controversy had created. Ere long the graver perils of the Church invited to another and nobler conflict, in which the pleasing spectacle was presented of those, whom this lesser strife had separated, standing side by side among the front-rank defenders of the Church's purity and independence, co-operating with entire cordiality and unbroken confidence.

CHAPTER II.

SIR GEORGE CLERK AND SIR JAMES GRAHAM DEFEND THE EXCLUSIVE SPIRITUAL JURISDICTION OF THE CHURCH OF SCOTLAND—SUPPORT GIVEN TO THE CHURCH EXTENSION SCHEME BY THE CONSERVATIVES—SIR ROBERT PEEL'S TESTIMONY IN ITS FAVOUR—ITS TREATMENT BY THE WHIG MINISTRY—FIRST REPORT OF THE ROYAL COMMISSIONERS—POSTPONEMENT OF ANY GOVERNMENT ACTION—SECOND AND THIRD REPORTS—MINISTERIAL MEASURE PROPOSED AND WITHDRAWN—GENERAL POLITICS OF THIS PERIOD—DR. CHALMERS'S LETTER TO MR. CAMPBELL OF MONZIE—HIS OWN DEFENCE OF HIS CONDUCT—THE KING'S DEATH—FIRST LEVEE OF QUEEN VICTORIA—THE GENERAL ELECTION—CORRESPONDENCE WITH SIR ROBERT PEEL—HOME OPERATIONS ON BEHALF OF CHURCH EXTENSION—THEIR EXTRAORDINARY SUCCESS.

In July 1836 a petition was presented to the House of Commons containing grave accusations against the superior authorities of the Church of Scotland. The petitioner, a Kilmarnock bailie, had been deprived of his office as an Elder of the Church, in consequence of having presided at a meeting called for the purpose of petitioning the Legislature against the union of Church and State. Having appealed in vain to the General Assemblies of 1834 and 1835, by whom the sentence of the inferior Courts had been confirmed, he now applied to the House of Commons to protect his character from the injuries to which such proceedings had exposed it. No sooner had the case of the petitioner been stated than Sir George Clerk rose and said, that "he felt it his duty to take an objection

VOL. IV.

in limine to the reception of this petition. He would call upon the House to refuse to receive the petition, on the ground that the Church of Scotland did not admit of the interference of any civil authority in matters relating to the internal discipline of that Church: that right was sanctioned by the Claim of Rights presented by Scotland to King William III. at the Revolution; it was sanctioned and established by the convention Parliament then held in Scotland, and it was guaranteed to the Church of Scotland by the Act of Union. He was ready to admit-the Church of Scotland was ready to admit-that in all civil matters connected with that Church the Legislature had a right to interfere. The Church of Scotland did not refuse to render unto Cæsar the things that were Cæsar's, but it would not allow of an interference with its spiritual and ecclesiastical rights, ratified as they had been in the manner he had stated, and which constituted the independence of the Church Government of Scotland." Sir James Graham warmly seconded these views. "What the people of Scotland had conquered with their arms had been recognised and guaranteed to them by repeated acts of Parliament, and the Act of Union had recognised the independence of their Church as complete and entire. The Church of Scotland acknowledged the right of no authority to interfere with their ecclesiastical Government. They recognised not the Sovereign of these realms as the head of their Church, and he (Sir James Graham) would always contend for the privileges which that Church had guaranteed to it by the Union." *

The Parliamentary leaders of the Conservative party were, without exception, as zealous supporters of the extension of the Church of Scotland as these two right honourable baronets were upon this occasion zealous defenders of her jurisdiction. The introduction of the topic into the King's Speech was not the

^{*} Hansard, vol. xxxv. pp. 575, 581.

only evidence which Dr. Chalmers had of the friendly intentions of the short-lived ministry of Sir Robert Peel in the spring of 1835. And afterwards, whenever any Parliamentary effort was made to force the subject upon the attention of the Government, and persuade them to endow, Sir Robert Peel and Sir William Rae in the House of Commons, and Lords Aberdeen and Haddington in the House of Lords, were the foremost and the heartiest in urging the claims of the Church Extension Scheme. At the banquet given to Sir Robert Peel in Glasgow, after his election as Lord Rector of the University, the great Conservative chieftain seized the opportunity of addressing that remarkable assembly* in these terms:--" When I have joined in the public worship of your Church, think you that I have adverted to distinctions in point of form?—think you that I have troubled myself with questions of Church discipline or of Church government? No, but with a wish as hearty and cordial as you can entertain have I deprecated the arrival of that day, if ever it should come, when men in authority or in legislation should be ashamed or unwilling to support the National Church of Scotland—to extend its ministration -to advance its banners into the desolate and unclaimed wastes of religious indifference or profligacy. Gentlemen, you respond to that sentiment, do you not? (Long and continued cheering.) Come, then, and let us improve this occasion, not to the mere purposes of festivity; let us improve it to public advantage, and let us see whether we can join heart and hand in resolutions to support that sentiment. (Great applause.)"+

In striking contrast with all the expressions and acts of the Conservatives was the treatment which the Church Extension cause met with at the hands of the Whig ministry. That ministry, favourably disposed as its chief members were at the

^{*} Upwards of 3400 gentlemen sat down at the table. † See "Scottish Guardian" of 17th January 1837.

first to listen to Dr. Chalmers's moderate demands, opened its ear too readily to the representations of the Scottish Dissenters. When reminded by Dr. Chalmers, in 1835, of the encouragement which, in the preceding year, he had given to the first demand for an endowment, Lord Melbourne said, "With respect to the general and very guarded expressions which were used by myself respecting the proposed grant to the Scottish Church, in the year 1834, I can only say that they were employed in utter ignorance of the real state of things. You yourself admit that you were not prepared to expect opposition from any large body of your countrymen; and I, who had never heard anything but that the Church of Scotland was the most exemplary and the most satisfactory to the people of any Church in the world, could still less anticipate the burst of dissatisfaction and condemnation which broke forth so suddenly and unexpectedly. Not four days had elapsed from the time of the employment of the expressions to which you allude before I felt the ground to tremble under me."*

This trembling mood was still upon the Premier when, in July 1835, his ministry proposed to issue a Commission of Inquiry; and Dr. Chalmers and his coadjutors were excusable in cherishing some alarm lest, under that Commission, the entertainment of their question should be indefinitely postponed. Lord John Russell did everything, indeed, to quiet their apprehensions. At their suggestion he had a clause inserted in the Commission, that the Commissioners "were to report from time to time, in order that such remedies may be applied to any existing evils as Parliament may think fit;" and in moving the appointment of the Commission in the House of Commons, his lordship said, "It may be urged as an objection that the Commission might extend its labours to an inconvenient length, but that will be obviated by arranging that they

^{*} Extracted from letter to Dr. Chalmers, dated 11th September 1835.

shall report from time to time, that their reports shall be laid before Parliament, and that as soon as Parliament and the Government shall have considered them, and ascertained that a remedy is required, and settled the nature of that remedy, they shall proceed at once to remedy them." While cordially concurring, however, in the proposal of interim reports, Lord John Russell had no strong conviction of their necessity. trust," he said, in his letter of instruction to the Commissioners, and we quote the expression to shew how sincerely desirous his lordship was to avoid all needless delay, "I trust that in the course of six months the greater part of your task will be accomplished." It was no fault of the Commissioners that this anticipation was falsified, and that instead of completing their whole task within six months, it was a year and a half before their First Report, confined exclusively to Edinburgh, was laid upon the table of the House of Commons. Though conducted with the most laudable diligence, and, one single instance excepted,* with exemplary impartiality, the inquiry was so difficult and operose as necessarily to be tedious. At last, however, on the 7th February 1837, their First Report was presented to the House. This Report bore that, embracing all the sittings in Established and Dissenting churches, there was church accommodation provided in Edinburgh for about 481th per cent. of the whole population—that in the Established churches there were upwards of 9000, and in the Dissenting upwards of 11,000 sittings unlet. As to the actual attendance upon religious ordinances, "it would appear," sty the Commissioners, "as was indeed universally admitted in the evidence, that there is a large number of persons capable of attending who habitually absent themselves from public worship. This number cannot be less than from 40,000 to 50,000,

^{*} The permission given to Dissenters to withhold all evidence as to debts and other encumbrances on their places of worship.

according to the age at which children may be supposed capable of attending church." "It appears to us as the result of the whole evidence, that from whatever cause it proceeds, whether connected with their extent or nature, the opportunities of public religious worship, and the means of religious instruction and pastoral superintendence at present existing and in operation, are not adequate to the removal of the evil complained of."

Upon the presentation of this Report it was hoped that some Government action would ensue. The broad and alarming fact that nearly one-third of the whole population of the Scottish metropolis were living in the entire neglect of religious ordinances, afforded, it was imagined, a sufficient warrant for such action. When questioned, however, in Parliament, the heads of the Government replied, that they thought it "better to wait until they had the other Reports before them, before any further steps were taken on the subject."* At the close of the year, the Second and Third Reports of the Commissioners were issued, and all reason for any further delay was removed. The Second Report, referring to the city and suburbs of Glasgow, stated that, in all the churches, there were sittings provided for only 391 per cent. of the population; while, as to Church attendance, the result is stated to be, "that a very large number of persons, upwards of 66,000, exclusive of children under ten years of age, are not in the habit of attending public worship." The materials for decision being now all before them, the determination of the Government was looked for with profound anxiety. It was at last announced that the ministry would be prepared to bring in a bill, the leading provisions of which were:—1st, That the bishops' teinds should be applied in providing for the religious destitution existing in certain Highland and other

^{*} Speech of Lord John Russell, on a motion brought forward by Sir William Rae, 5th May 1837.—Hansard, vol. xxxviii. p. 617.

rural parishes, having no unexhausted teinds; 2d, That an alteration should be made in the Act 1707, respecting the division of parishes in Scotland, so as to afford increased facilities for the application of the unexhausted teinds in the hands of private proprietors, to relieve the destitution of such parishes as had unexhausted teinds belonging to them; 3d, That nothing should be done for the large towns—that no grant should be made from any source to provide additional means of religious instruction for them. Such was the measure which, after four years of negotiation and expectation, was offered to the acceptance of the Church Extensionists of Scotland. Its first provision, if carried out, would have yielded but a limited revenue; its second, the most competent authorities in Scotland declared it would be illegal to execute; and its third was a distinct negative upon that demand, which, of all others, Dr. Chalmers looked upon as the clearest and most clamant. The measure met so little favour, that it was speedily withdrawn, and all hope of aid from the Whig ministry was abandoned.* In that ministry there were many devoted friends of the Church of Scotland. Of Lord John Russell in particular, we can have no doubt that had he been at perfect

^{*} A deputation from the Church Extension Committee, appointed to confer with the Government as to any measure which might be proposed, found on arrival in London, that on this, as on a former occasion, they had been anticipated-that the Government measure had been announced. Placed thus in a critical position, they were anxious that Dr. Chalmers, who had not accompanied them, should join them in London; but a private intimation, conveyed to one of their number, informed them, that, affecting to regard him as their political enemy, the Government would rather avoid meeting Dr. Chalmers. Dr. Muir was at the head of the deputation at their final interview with Lord Melbourne. At the close of the meeting it was represented, in the most solemn terms, to his lordship, that in what the Government proposed to do they were abandoning the principle of an Establishment so far as great cities were concerned, and that they would inflict a cruel and deep wound on the Church of Scotland. "That, gentlemen," said the Premier, in the easiest tone of good-natured nonchalance, "that is your inference. You may not be the better for our plan, but-hang it-you cannot surely be worse." And so ended the colloquy.

liberty to act upon his own personal convictions, the aid which that Church asked would readily have been granted. But the Government of which he was a member, wanted both unity and strength. It wanted unity, for among its members there were some whose opinions as to Church Establishments, and whose dispositions toward the evangelical party in Scotland, were altogether different from those of the Home Secretary. It wanted strength, for, slender comparatively as was their political influence, the voluntaries of Scotland might at this time have turned the scale. The majority of 300, which the Whig ministry possessed in 1831, had in 1837 dwindled down to 26. With a majority so reduced, and which every year was diminishing, it was evident that the days of the Whig Government were numbered. Amid the struggle which preceded its dissolution, the Church of Scotland was drawn into the strife. In the treatment given to her, a weapon was furnished to the Conservatives too effective to lie unused; and, however desirous Dr. Chalmers and other ecclesiastical leaders might be to avoid everything which could give a political aspect to any of their ecclesiastical movements, it was not possible for them, either as citizens or as Churchmen, to stand neutral between two parties—one of which declared itself to be so friendly, the other of which proved itself to be so indifferent, to the Church's most reasonable demands. In the general politics of the period, Dr. Chalmers took no public part. There was one question, however, and that perhaps the leading one of the day, in which his interest was too lively not to find some vent. Having been asked by Mr. Campbell of Monzie to communicate to him in writing his opinion upon this topic, he did it in the following letter:-

" Burntisland, July 22d, 1836.

"Dear Sir,—On the subject of our recent conversation, I would beg leave to add that I have always regarded the appro-

priation of any part of the revenues of the Irish Church to other than strictly ecclesiastical objects, as a very gross violation of the principle of a religious establishment. And I farther think, that the actual appropriation carried in the House of Commons, militates in the strongest manner against all the principles of Protestantism. I have ever reprobated the grant to Maynooth College; and (a fortiori) I must deplore, should it ever be the adopted policy of our Government, the alienation, in however small a proportion, of the endowments of the Protestant hierarchy of Ireland, to the support of any Popish seminary whatever; and more especially to the support of schools which will only admit the Scriptures in a changed or mutilated form into their course of education. The question, my dear Sir, is altogether a vital one, insomuch that if any, whether in or out of Parliament, shall support the appropriation clause, I doubt whether they have a sincere, and most certainly they have not an enlightened attachment to the interests of the Protestant faith.—Ever believe me, my dear Sir, THOMAS CHALMERS. yours most truly,

" Alexander Campbell, Esq., of Monzie."

"P.S.—If the Government shall carry into effect their proposed act of violence against the Episcopal Protestant Establishment of Ireland, I should certainly feel that the Presbyterian Establishment of Scotland is not safe in their hands."

This letter was avowedly asked and used to serve an electioneering purpose. Mr. Campbell's opponent had previously, with a like object, made a like request of Dr. Chalmers, whose only part in the matter was that when asked for his opinion he frankly stated it. It so happened, however, that the letter above quoted told against the liberal interest in the canvass, and a violent outery was raised against the writer for

improper and unclerical interference in political affairs.* It was one of the few instances in which Dr. Chalmers offered any public defence of his conduct. From a communication addressed by him to the "Edinburgh Courant," we extract a single paragraph.

" BURNTISLAND, 23d August 1836.

"It is interesting to observe the sort of family likeness which obtains among the numerous disciples of the mock patriotism of our day, who all profess to worship at the shrine of liberty, yet with whom it is a mortal offence that one should dare to have an opinion of his own, if it thwart any object of theirs, and an offence still more unpardonable that he should dare to give it utterance. The 'London Courier' has been pleased to denominate the part I have taken as an extraordinary interference with the politics of Argyleshire; and it is not many weeks ago since a vacant professorship, that had been previously much canvassed for, was disposed of by the magistrates and council of Edinburgh. In common with others I happened to be consulted on the occasion, and wrote one or two letters to my own special acquaintances; I was called on to write several more, either in compliance with the wishes, or in return to the communications which I received from various members of that honourable body. On the day of election, when my opinion happened to be quoted at the city board, the effusion of a Councillor R., as reported in the public prints, was, that 'we have had enough of Dr. Chalmers's interference.' I would have been spared this piece of coarse impertinence had I chosen to be so ungentlemanly or uncivil to Bailie Macfarlan and others, as to take no notice of their com-

^{* &}quot;We pronounce such conduct of the reverend doctor to be outrageously disgraceful."—Caledonian Mercury, August 15. "Any thing more characteristic of an officious, vain, self-conceited, factious, meddling spirit, has rarely appeared in the annals of party contests."—Scotsman, August 17.

munications. Nevertheless I shall continue to act as heretofore; and neither the insolence of an unmannerly town-councillor, nor the ferocity and falsehood of all the liberal newspapers, shall deter me from the privilege and the duty of a freeborn citizen, which, in its very humblest form, is to speak when he is spoken to, and write when he is written to.

THOMAS CHALMERS."

The Argyleshire canvass gave token of the extent to which ecclesiastical questions were affecting the politics of the period, and would tell upon the results of a general election. That event, to which both parties looked forward so earnestly, was now at hand. The king died on the 20th June 1837, and the country was plunged into the turmoil of a great political strife. Before alluding, however, to these elections, let us offer to our readers a glimpse of our present beloved Sovereign in the first days of her elevation to the throne. Soon after her accession Dr. Chalmers accompanied to London two deputations, one from the University of Edinburgh, the other from the Church of Scotland. For his Journal letters, with all their minute details of a fortnight's residence in the metropolis, we cannot now find place. One extract, however, we cannot forbear presenting.

"London, July 19th, 1837.—I ordered my glass coach to come to me at half-past eleven, in which I was to take up the other heads of the deputation. It came not till twelve, and subjected me for half an hour to a misery with which I have long been familiar; went in it, first, to the last but one house in Craven Street, Strand, where C—— told me B—— lodged, but when I got there no such name was ever heard of, so I just put it down to the misfortune of having been born in such a world of non-accurates, or such a world of non-punctuals, as we have been destined to occupy; thence I went to 5, Maddox Street, where I took up Sir George Ballingall, quite a kindred

spirit in the glorious virtue of punctuality; thence to the Thatched-House Tavern, near the gate of St. James's Palace, where our deputation assembled, and about fifty cast up, chiefly medical graduates of our college; thence in a bareheaded procession to St. James's, I at the head of the rank and file, and a very respectable and numerously jointed tail of attendants behind me; a most picturesque range of particoloured soldiers, beef-eaters, and attendants of various sorts along the lobbies and staircase which lead to the levee-rooms of the palace; got first to the outer of these rooms, which soon filled almost to suffocation. Instead of a promenade which I used to have, this being the first of all Queen Victoria's levees, was crowded beyond all example. We had sad squeezing to get into the second room, and thence to the third, or chamber of presence; got my first view of the Queen on entering the third or last room; a most interesting girlish sensibility to the realities of her situation, with sufficient self-command, but withal simple, timid, tremulous, and agitated, that rendered her to me far more interesting, and awoke a more feeling and fervent loyalty in my heart than could have been done by any other exhibition. Having kissed her hand and passed, and forgetting to give her my University address, wrapped up in a roll, I was proceeding along with it in my hand, when I was checked by one of the lords in waiting, and instantly put it into the hands of Her Majesty.

"I forgot to mention that in the outermost room (where we stood in a squeeze and half suffocated for nearly an hour) there was one head, just two heads away from me, that of J——H——. Whether he recognised me or not I will not say, but I was resolved in his case not to speak until I was spoken to, and as that did not take place we maintained our reciprocal silence, though our noses were often not half a foot from each other. When I looked at his hard utilitarian face, which,

by the way, was the general aspect and physiognomy of the people around me, I felt the atmosphere most uncongenial to all that is chivalrous or sentimental in loyalty. Nor do I believe that half a dozen there were moved as I was at the sight of our truly interesting Queen, incapable as they seemed to me of all sympathy with Burke, when he appealed to the days of the grand monarque, and spoke of the thousand swords that would have leaped from their scabbards in defence of the Queen of France."

The autumn of 1837 was occupied with the elections, and when the results were known Dr. Chalmers took the liberty of writing to Sir Robert Peel, whose advent to power seemed not far off.

" Edinburgh, December 1st, 1837.

"DEAR SIR ROBERT,—I must not press on your much occupied-time; and indeed I have only one sentence to write, on the subject of Church Extension.

"We have lost our great friend in the present Parliament—Sir George Clerk. But we have gained two, Mr. Colquhoun and Lord Ramsay—the former thoroughly conversant with the question, both in its principle and minutest details, the latter full of attachment to the cause and zeal in its favour, and who will soon, I trust, become as intelligent about it as Mr. Colquhoun, who in everything connected with the ecclesiastical or educational state of Scotland has as sound and enlightened views as any one I know, whether in or out of Parliament.

"I cannot close this brief note without expressing the deep interest I have felt in your personal happiness and wellbeing from the time I had the privilege of spending two days with you at Carstairs,* and how much this sentiment was enhanced

^{*} The seat of Henry Monteith, Esq., where Sir Robert Peel staid a few days on his way to be installed as Lord Rector of the University of Glasgow.

by the newspaper reports of your health. May you experience through life the guardianship of a kind Providence; and, above all, may you plentifully share the richer and higher blessings of Divine grace, so as to pass unhurt amid all the troubles and temptations to which all on the high arena of public life are exposed, where the maxims of an irreligious world come so frequently, often so fatally, into collision with the principles of the Gospel of Jesus Christ.—I have the honour to be, dear Sir Robert, yours, with the most grateful and devoted regard,

THOMAS CHALMERS.

"The Right Honourable Sir Robert Peel, Baronet, M.P."

" Drayton Manor, Fazeley, December 21st, 1837.

"MY DEAR DR. CHALMERS,—I arrived here last night from London, and I avail myself of the first moment of comparative repose to thank you sincerely for the note which you wrote to me some time since. It should not have remained so long unacknowledged had it not been for the incessant occupation of my time—frequently thirteen or fourteen continuous hours in the House of Commons.

"I share sincerely in your satisfaction at the return to Parliament of Mr. Colquhoun, and am confident that he will be of the greatest service to the interests of the Church of Scotland and to the great cause of pure religious instruction.

"I have had two or three interviews with him during the present meeting.

"The kind and flattering manner in which you express yourself towards me, and the assurances of personal esteem which your note conveys, are truly gratifying to me.

"I had learned to honour and respect you long before I had the opportunity of making your acquaintance, and I can truly say that I should be raised higher in my own esteem could I think myself really entitled to the friendship and warm attachment of so good a man.

"The constant occupations of men in public life, the vehement excitement of party contentions, and the feelings and passions to which they give rise, have indeed too great a tendency to withdraw their minds from the contemplation of matters of much deeper obligation and much more lasting interest. They have not, however, deadened in my mind those solemn feelings which are naturally awakened by your affecting remembrance of me in your prayers, and recommendation of me to the guardianship and the mercy of a kind Providence.—Believe me, my dear Sir, most faithfully yours,

"The Rev. Dr. Chalmers."

Robert Peel

Through all the political discouragements of the years 1835, 36, 37, the great home objects of the Church Extension Scheme were prosecuted with unflagging devotion; with the influential clergy and laity of Scotland a most voluminous correspondence was carried on, and the press gave forth many a circular. To the earlier agency a new instrument was added. Dr. Chalmers had been much struck by the effect of a tour made by Dr. Duff in 1835, through the towns and parishes of Scotland, which had awakened the Church and country to much greater missionary zeal, and had drawn forth an enlarged liberality. From this as well as from the effects of political meetings held widely over the country, he became convinced that for many purposes the platform was more effective than the press—that the living voice had a power which the dead letter never can exert. This power he resolved to employ on behalf of his favourite scheme; and having in 1836 obtained the General Assembly's sanction, a Sub-committee on Church Extension was formed for the express purpose of organizing a system of meetings to be held extensively over the country,

at which well-instructed deputies were to appear and plead this cause in the most popular and effective manner. The issue was most encouraging. As the ear of the Government seemed to close, the ear of the country seemed to open; and, under the strong conviction that it was by the country that the Government was finally to be carried, the success in Scotland appeared to Dr. Chalmers more than a counterbalance to the repulses of the metropolis. That success was truly won-In May 1838, as the fruit of four years' labour, Dr. Chalmers announced to the General Assembly, that nearly two hundred churches had been added to the Establishment, for the erection of which upwards of two hundred thousand pounds had been contributed. Well might the prosecutor of this great enterprise say, as he announced these results, "What other single scheme of Christian benevolence in this country ever commanded so noble an income as £50,000 per annum! Had the operations of the Committee not harmonized with the sentiments of the country, they never could have commanded an amount and continuance of pecuniary support altogether without a precedent in the history of Christian beneficence in this part of the British Empire. Nor is there any premonitory symptom yet of declining fervour in this cause among the people of Scotland. The work is still far from its termination. It has only so to speak begun. The cases of most helpless and affecting destitution still remain to be overtaken. There are wastes of poverty, irreligion, and crime, which have still to be redeemed, and which nothing but the aggressive operation of a territorial establishment, wisely, and strenuously, and perseveringly conducted, is adequate to subdue; and until every such moral wilderness is explored and reclaimed, and the whole country presents the aspect of a field which the Lord hath blessed, and is causing to bring forth the fruits of rightcousness, the Committee may not rest from their labours, nor

the people from their hearty and zealous co-operation. At the glorious era of the Church's Reformation, it was the unwearied support of the people which, under God, finally brought her efforts to a triumphant issue; in this era of her Extension—an era as broadly marked, and as emphatically presented to the notice of the ecclesiastical historian, as any which the Church is wont to consider as instances of signal revival and divine interposition—the support of the people will not be wanting; but by their devoted exertions, and willing sacrifices, and ardent prayers, they will yet testify how much they love the house where their fathers worshipped—how much they reverence their Saviour's command, that the very poorest of their brethren shall have the gospel preached to them."

CHAPTER III.

DELIVERY OF A COURSE OF LECTURES IN LONDON IN DEFENCE OF RELIGIOUS ESTABLISHMENTS.

"The Church as it now stands, no human power can save." So wrote Dr. Arnold in 1832; and it was under the abiding conviction that the English Establishment was in extreme danger that he issued, in the following year, a pamphlet, of which, in writing to a friend, he says, "I am sorry that you do not like the pamphlet. I will not answer for its practicability: when the patient is at the last gasp the dose may come too late, but still it is his only chance; he may die of the doctor; he must die of the disease." For some years after the passing of the Reform Bill, a general and not groundless alarm prevailed as to the fate of the English Establishment. That Bill had put a new power into the hands of the Dissenters. That this power would be used against the Church was evident; how far it might prevail was as yet unknown. It was novel and ominous that, in the frequent Parliamentary discussions of ecclesiastical questions, the ground and principle of all religious establishments, boldly challenged on the one hand, was frequently misstated, or but feebly defended, on the other. Under a pressure—the exact force had not yet been measured—the Government itself seemed ready to give way. " Viscount Melbourne," says Sydney Smith, "declared himself quite satisfied with the Church as it was, but if the public had any desire to alter it, they might do as they pleased." And if the

House of Commons represented the public mind, the desire to alter was strong and urgent. "The real question," said the Duke of Wellington in 1838, "which now divides the country, and which truly divides the House of Commons, is Church or no Church. People talk of the war in Spain and the Canada question, but all that is of little moment. The real question is Church or no Church; and the majority of the House of Commons—a small majority it is true, but still a majority are practically against it." The Duke may have to some extent misinterpreted the aim and intention of this majority, but its acts, under the guidance of the Government, were sufficiently alarming. Commissions of Inquiry into the state both of the English and Irish Establishments were issued; and beginning with the weakest institute, not only was the number of its bishops reduced, but it was proposed to abridge the Irish Church to such extent as to leave a large surplus revenue, which revenue was to be appropriated to other than ecclesiastical objects.

It was this appropriation clause appended to the Irish Tithe Bill which roused the friends of the Episcopal Establishments to a vigorous defence. But the methods of defence were various. One party, composed almost exclusively of clergymen and collegians, boldly met the prevailing current by denying the right and authority of the Crown to meddle in any way either with the interior discipline or the external framework of the Church. That Church (and they spoke of the Episcopacy of England) had claims upon the country's reverence separate from and far higher than any which her mere civil institution conferred. Her ministry carrying in its hands a power derived from the apostles—her sacraments administered by those upon whom exclusively the gifts needful for the holy office had been bestowed by the Holy Ghost—she opened up the only channel of grace—she offered the only

secure spiritual asylum. It was as such that she had been of old acknowledged and honoured by the State-it was as such that she expected the State's countenance to be continued. Another party, composed principally of laymen, were disposed to occupy a lower line of defence. Devotedly attached to the Church of England, ready to do battle in her favour, and to guard her against all violence from without, they were yet not insensible to certain defects in that Church—defects partly in her constitution, owing to the peculiar circumstances in which that constitution was formed—partly in her administration, owing to those corrupt influences to which every Church lies exposed. These defects, limiting her powers and opportunities of usefulness, it should be their first effort to remove, so that while lifting above her the shield of protection, they might leave her less exposed to the hostile shaft. Among other means directed to this end a small society was instituted in London, entitled the Christian Influence Society. Early in 1837, its enlightened and zealous secretary, A. Gordon, Esq., wrote to Dr. Chalmers, requesting him to open a course of lectures, which it was proposed to institute in the metropolis, the audience to be limited, and as select and influential as could be secured, and the lectures to be published immediately after their delivery. In the controversy about Establishments, which had so long and so greatly agitated Scotland, Dr. Chalmers had hitherto taken part only when that controversy connected itself with the Church Extension movement. A very favourable opportunity was now offered him of unfolding in a didactic rather than in a controversial form the true theory of a religious establishment, and demonstrating its peculiar efficacy as the only instrument capable of diffusing universally over a whole country the lessons of Christianity. Nor was it without its influence that by these lectures being delivered in London the public mind of England might to some extent be inoculated and impressed with his

peculiar views. He consented, therefore, to undertake the task to which Mr. Gordon had invited him, but he postponed the execution of it till the spring of 1838. Upon their preparation he bestowed unusual pains—pains amply rewarded by the manner of their public reception. The first of these lectures was delivered in the Hanover Square Rooms, on Wednesday the 25th April. It was literally a picked audience, as none were admitted but those to whom tickets had been sent by the Society, and seldom on any similar topic has a minister of religion been privileged to address a similar assembly. One of the royal family honoured the occasion by his presence. "I was waiting," says Dr. Chalmers, "with others in a committee-room, when the Duke of Cambridge entered and inquired for me. I was accordingly introduced, and exchanged a few sentences with him. He has very much the manner and appearance of his father, George III., who used to say of him that he was the only son of his who had not cost him a sigh. I had been told that he was a very great fidget, and that he would not sit still for a moment during the lecture; but it was strikingly the reverse. He and his equery, Colonel Jones, who were accommodated with a sofa directly before me, were among the most attentive, and to all appearance intelligent listeners, of the very high audience, all of whom seemed to sympathize with me to the uttermost." Speaking of this opening lecture the leading journal of the day said, "If the interior of the structure correspond in any degree with the simple and massive grandeur of the porch, these lectures will doubtless challenge the admiration of after ages, scarcely more as an imperishable monument of the doctor's genius than as an invaluable contribution to the permanent literature, and, above all, to the higher interests of the country. From the first word that escaped the lips of the lecturer till the concluding sentence, which died away amid the acclamations of the

audience, the vivid interest was sustained with a deep and unflagging intensity." At the second lecture, the seats reserved for peers and members of Parliament were at an early hour crowded to overflow, and so difficult was it to pack the room aright, that for more than a quarter of an hour after the time fixed for opening, the lecturer could not proceed. The third lecture witnessed a still denser crowd, composed of a still higher grade, and manifesting a still higher enthusiasm. fourth and fifth lectures an American elergyman was present, who tells us, "the hour at which the lecture was to commence was two o'clock. I thought it necessary to be beforehand in order to secure a seat. When I arrived I found the hall so perfectly crammed that at first it seemed impossible to gain admission, but by dint of perseverance I pushed my way onward through the dense crowd till I had reached nearly the centre of the hall. Though the crowd was so great, it was very obvious that the assembly was made up principally of persons in the higher walks of life. Dukes, marquises, earls, viscounts, barons, baronets, bishops, and members of Parliament, were to be seen in every direction. After some considerable delay and impatient waiting, the great charmer made his entrance, and was welcomed with clappings and shouts of applause, that grew more and more intense till the noise became almost deafening."* The concluding lecture was graced by the presence of nine prelates of the Church of England. The tide that had been rising and swelling each succeeding day now burst all bounds. Carried away by the impassioned utterance of the speaker, long ere the close of some of his finest passages was reached, the voice of the lecturer was drowned in the applause, the audience rising from their seats, waving their hats above their heads, and breaking out into tumultuous approbation.

^{* &}quot;Glimpses of the Old World," by the late Rev. J. A. Clark, D.D. Vol. ii. pp. 96, 97. London, 1847.

Nor was the interest confined to the lecture-room. "Nothing," says Dr. Begg,* "could exceed the enthusiasm which prevailed in London. The great city seemed stirred to its very depths. The Doctor sat when delivering his lectures behind a small table; the hall in front being densely crowded with one of the most brilliant audiences that ever assembled in Britain It was supposed that at least five hundred of those present were Peers and members of the House of Commons. Sir James Graham was a very constant attender. The sitting attitude of Dr. Chalmers seemed at first irreconcilable with much energy or effect. But such an anticipation was at once dispelled by the enthusiasm of the speaker, responded to, if possible, by the still more intense enthusiasm of the audience; and, occasionally, the effect was even greatly increased, by the eloquent man springing unconsciously to his feet, and delivering with overwhelming power the more magnificent passages, a movement which, on one occasion at least, was imitated by the entire audience, when the words 'the king cannot—the king dare not,' were uttered in accents of prophetic vehemence, that must still ring in the ears of all who heard them, and were responded to by a whirlwind of enthusiasm, which was probably never exceeded in the history of eloquence. Some of us sat on the platform beside the Doctor, and near us were the reporters. One seemed to leave the room every five minutes with what he had written, so that by the time the lecture was finished, it was nearly all in print. On the day of the first lecture, which commenced at two o'clock, and terminated about half-past three, some of us went round by the city, and when we reached our dinner table at five o'clock, we were able to present to Dr. Chalmers a newspaper, I think the 'Sun' or

^{*} Dr. Begg, along with other members of the Church Extension Committee, accompanied Dr. Chalmers, and availing themselves of so favourable an opportunity, succeeded in obtaining about £5000 in the metropolis.

'Globe,' containing a full report of his lecture. Nothing was more striking, however, amidst all this excitement, than the childlike humility of the great man himself. All the flattery seemed to produce no effect whatever on him; his mind was entirely absorbed in his great object; and the same kind, playful, and truly Christian spirit, that so endeared him to us all, was everywhere apparent in his conduct. I had the honour afterwards to be introduced to the Duke of Cambridge. immediately introduced the subject of Dr. Chalmers. does he teach?' said His Royal Highness rapidly. mated that he taught theology. 'Monstrous clever man,' said the Duke, 'he could teach anything.' I had heard Dr. Chalmers on many great occasions, but probably his London lectures afforded the most remarkable illustrations of his extraordinary power, and must be ranked amongst the most signal triumphs of oratory in any age."

No time was lost in presenting these lectures in an authentic form before the public. They passed speedily through several editions, eight thousand copies having been circulated within a year, and they are now to be found in the seventeenth volume of his works. Throughout them one prevalent and predominant idea is to be traced. It was presented, indeed, in the very title by which they were originally announced as being "upon the Establishment and Extension of National Churches as affording the only adequate machinery for the moral and Christian instruction of a people." Dr. Chalmers regards as the chief distinction, the proudest glory of an Established Church, that by it, and it only, the whole mass of the community, down to the meanest and most worthless, could be reached and thoroughly pervaded. Though he was far from insensible to such secondary advantages as the higher status, greater learning, and superior influence of its clergy, the freedom given by a firm position and fixed creed from the

wayward impulses of a changing belief, the great moral and political benefit rendered to the State, by raising the tone of principle and feeling, and tempering the passions of the populace—not one nor all of these lent any charm to an Establishment in his eye, as compared with its possession of a power by which, if rightly used, the pure and holy truths of Christianity might be carried into every hamlet, and brought to bear upon every conscience and every heart. In conducting his vindication of Establishments, every other feature seems lost in this. It is upon the superior efficacy of the territorial arrangement that he dwells; that arrangement peculiar to an Establishment, by which a certain definite district of town or country—every part of which he was required to cultivate, every house in which it was his duty to enter-was assigned to each clergyman. Let these districts be but small enough; let the whole country be broken up into manageable sections, and let workmen full of zeal in the service of the Saviour be planted in each of them; this was the likeliest—this to his eye was the only method by which the multitudes, already suffered to wander into ignorance and irreligion, could be reclaimed, and the universal Christianity of the country be upheld. For this, however, two things were required:-first, that the State should select and employ some one Church for the accomplishment of this work; and, secondly, that it should adequately endow this Church, and progressively extend it. In fixing upon the Church whose instrumentality was to be employed, there was one broad and clear ground of choice. No difficulty could be felt in rejecting the Papacy, in choosing some one form at least of Protestantism. "He could not imagine," said Dr. Chalmers, "a more testing evidence of an incompetent and vulgarized parliament, than that it should not be qualified to decide the question between the merits of Protestantism and Popery-or which of the two systems, not in respect of policy, but in respect of absolute truth and of sacred obligation, is the most worthy of being

upholden as the national faith of these realms. This is neither a minute, nor is it a manifold controversy, but one great and simple question, on which, too, there shine the broadest lights, both of moral and historical evidence; and that is, whether the Scriptures, as being of Divine authority, be the only rule of faith and practice in religion; or whether, co-ordinate therewith, the decisions of any councils or governors in the Church, after the days of the apostles, should be admitted to an equal or superior lordship over the consciences of men? In this nation, of all others, there is none, with the ordinary schooling of a gentleman, who could not thoroughly inform himself, and by the reading of a few weeks, on this great question, so as to decide between the authority of the Bible and the authority of Rome's Apostate Church; between the miracles of the gospel, performed in the face of the then civilized world, and the mummeries of the Papal superstition, transmitted to us in barbarous legends—those products of the cells and the convents which overspread Europe through the dark and dreary millennium of the middle ages. We need only a parliament of England's best principled and best educated men. But should we not be so fortunate; should the disaster ever befall us, of vulgar and upstart politicians to be lords of the ascendant; should an infidel or demi-infidel government wield for a season the destinies of this mighty empire, and be willing, at the shrine of their own wretched partisanship, to make sacrifice of those great and hallowed institutions, which were consecrated by our ancestors to the maintenance of religious truth and religious liberty; should, in particular, the monstrous proposition ever be entertained, not to tolerate (for that is quite as it should be) but to endow Popery-not perhaps to abolish, but at least to abridge the legal funds for the support of Protestantism, and at all events to uphold an antiscriptural, and with this aggravation, that it should be at the expense and with the diminution of a scriptural faith-let us hope that

there is still enough, not of fiery zeal, but of calm, resolute, and withal enlightened principle in the land to resent the outrage—enough of energy and reaction, in the revolted sense of this great country, to meet and overbear it."

In speaking of the circumstances which determine a government to choose one rather than another Protestant denomination, Dr. Chalmers made light of all differences in the mere forms and order of worship and of government. Compared with the inestimable benefit of setting up an apparatus by which a cheap and universal Christian education might be communicated to every household, these should be as dust in the balance. To gain an end so noble, he would have had all the evangelical dissenters of England to sink, as he himself would have been ready to do, all their objections to Episcopacy; and to gain the same great end, the Church of England should open her bosom wide enough to take them in. "When once the Church of England shall have come down from all that is transcendental or mysterious in her pretensions; and, quitting the plea of her exclusive apostolical derivation, shall rest more upon that wherein the real greatness of her strength lies—the purity of her doctrines—her deeds of high prowess and championship in the battles of the faiththe noble contributions which have been rendered by her scholars and her sons to that Christian literature, which is at once the glory and the defence of Protestantism—the readymade apparatus of her churches and parishes—the unbroken hold which, as an establishment, she still retains on the mass of society—and her unforfeited possessory right to be reckoned and deferred to as an Establishment still-when these, the true elements of her legitimacy and her power, come to be better understood, in that proportion will she be recognised as the great standard and rallying-post, for all those who would unite their efforts and their sacrifices in that mighty cause, the object of which is to send throughout our families, in

more plentiful supply, those waters of life which can alone avail for the healing of the nation."

On the morning of the day on which he was to touch on the nature of the connexion between Church and State, a friend asked him whether he did not feel that he was coming on delicate ground in the presence of the dignitaries and members of a Church which acknowledged the Sovereign as its head. "No," he replied; "it is the most important point of the whole discussion; it is the basis and strength of my whole argument: without it I could not have opened my mouth on the subject; and if there be any one of these lectures on which my mind is clearer and more made up than another, it is on the one I am going to deliver to-day." In the course of that lecture, while engaged in removing the objection that the connexion between Church and State necessarily implied a vitiating or secularizing of Christianity, Dr. Chalmers quoted a conversation which he had had with an American clergyman, who said to him, "If all you mean by an Establishment is an organized provision for a clergy, we should rejoice in it. The thing we deprecate is the authority of the civil magistrate in matters of religion." "Now this," said Dr. Chalmers, "this organized provision is truly all that we contend for. It is just, in other words, a legal provision for the support of a Christian ministry; an arrangement which might truly be gone into, and which actually is gone into, without the slightest infringement on the spiritual prerogatives of the Church, or the ecclesiastical independence of her clergymen. spect of this ecclesiastical independence, I am not aware of any serious practical obstacle to the exercise of it in England; and at all events, we know of nothing more perfect in this respect than the constitution of the Church of Scotland. There is, to each of its members, an independent voice from within; and from without, there is no power or authority whatever in matters ecclesiastical. They who feel dislike to an Establishment do

so, in general, because of their recoil from all contact and communication with the State. We have no other communication with the State than that of being maintained by it, after which we are left to regulate the proceedings of our great Home Mission, with all the purity, and the piety, and the independence of any missionary board. We are exposed to nothing from without which can violate the sanctity of the apostolical character, if ourselves do not violate it. And neither are we exposed to aught which can trench on the authority of the apostolical office, if we ourselves make no surrender of it. In things ecclesiastical we decide all. Some of these things may be done wrong, but still they are our majorities which do it. They are not, they cannot, be forced upon us from without. We own no head of the Church but the Lord Jesus Christ. Whatever is done ecclesiastically, is done by our ministers as acting in His name, and in perfect submission to His authority. Implicated as the Church and State are imagined to be, they are not so implicated as that, without the concurrence of the ecclesiastical courts, a full and final effect can be given to any proceeding by which the good of Christianity, and the religion of our people may be affected. There is not a clerical appointment which can take place in any one of our parishes till we have sustained it. Even the law of patronage, right or wrong, is in force, not by the power of the State, but by the permission of the Church, and with all its fancied omnipotence, has no other basis than that of our majorities to rest upon. It should never be forgotten that, in things ecclesiastical, the highest power of our Church is amenable to no higher power on earth for its decisions. It can exclude; it can deprive; it can depose, at pleasure. External force might make an obnoxious individual the holder of a benefice; but there is no external force in these realms that could make him a minister of the Church of Scotland. There is not one thing which the State can do to our independent and indestructible Church but strip

her of her temporalities. Nec tamen consumebatur, she would remain a Church notwithstanding—as strong as ever in the props of her own moral and inherent greatness; and, though shrivelled in all her dimensions by the moral injury inflicted on many thousands of families, she would be at least as strong as ever in the reverence of her country's population. She was as much a Church in her days of suffering as in her days of outward security and triumph; when a wandering outcast, with nought but the mountain breezes to play around her, and nought but the caves of the earth to shelter her, as now, when admitted to the bowers of an Establishment. The magistrate might withdraw his protection, and she cease to be an Establishment any longer; but in all the high matters of sacred and spiritual jurisdiction, she would be the same as before. With or without an Establishment, she, in these, is the unfettered mistress of her doings. The king by himself, or by his representative, might be the spectator of our proceedings; but what Lord Chatham said of the poor man's house, is true in all its parts of the Church to which I have the honour to belong-'In England every man's house is his eastle: not that it is surrounded with walls and battlements; it may be a strawbuilt shed; every wind of heaven may whistle round it; every element of heaven may enter it; but the king cannot the king dare not."

The spiritual independence of the Scottish Church thus eloquently described was now on the eve of violation, and when that violation drove Dr. Chalmers from its pale, many who on the delivery of this passage had been the loudest in their applause were the readiest in accusing him of inconsistency, while he was only abiding by that great principle which he had always held,* and so frequently and fervently advocated.

^{*} The passage quoted above was taken from a sermon preached in Edinburgh in May 1829, some years before any public discussion of the question as to the Church's spiritual independence.—See Dr. Chalmers's Works, vol. xi. p. 439.

CHAPTER IV.

VISIT TO PARIS—TOUR THROUGH SOME OF THE INLAND PROVINCES OF FRANCE.

From the time of his appointment as one of its corresponding members, Dr. Chalmers had cherished the intention of reading a paper before the Royal Institute of France. In execution of this design, he left England for Paris, early in June 1838, accompanied by Mrs. Chalmers and two of his daughters. Lady Elgin, Sir John and Lady Hay, Lady Shaw Stewart, and Mr. Erskine, all of whom were there, vied with each other in affording him every opportunity for thoroughly exploring Paris; and to the same kind friends he was indebted for more than one interesting glimpse into the interior of French society. From the journal kept upon this occasion a few extracts are presented.

"June 10th.—Much impressed with the beauty and lightness of Paris, up and down the Seine. Delighted with the Tuileries garden; its sculpture, its shaded walks, its groups of pedestrians. But how much more still and leisurely everything moves here than in London. All in Paris is within a manageable compass; and I was not prepared for its being so much less busy, and populous, and extensive, than our own metropolis. It is more a city of loungers; and life moves on at a more rational pace. Its buildings are more impressive.

"June 11th.—Hurried away with Mr. Erskine to wait on Guizot. Found him at home. He speaks English tolerably.

Struck with the smallness of his establishment; certainly not superior to the average of the W.S.'s in Edinburgh. Literary aspect of the rooms. Mentioned my Christian and Civic Economy. Told him how much his opinions on education were valued in England. He said that the connexion between the moral and the economical was a subject altogether new and unknown in France. He readily acquiesced in the distinction between a charity for indigence, and one for disease; and said there was a growing aversion in his country to the admission of the principle that the poor had a right to subsistence. Took cordial leave of him. In the lower story was introduced to his mother, Madame Guizot, who told me that she had read my works; a very kind and, I am told, worthy person, with a most maternal and benign manner. Hence with Mr. Cowan to M. Mignet, near the Foreign Office, where he has an employment. Sitting at papers; young and of an open countenance; remarkably good-looking. No English, but very polite and cordial. Relieved by knowing that there was no immediate hurry for my article.* The Duc de Broglie joined us; and he also very polite. Felt a little embarrassment with my total want of French, and their want of English. Mr. Cowan of great use. The Duc de Broglie called afterwards at my hotel, and left his card.

"June 12th.—Slowness, unpunctuality, and withal irrational dearness of French tradesmen and dealers. But Paris better than London, in not being a place of extreme and high-pressure work in all the departments of industry. More favourable to intellect, to man in his loftier capacities, to all the better and higher purposes of our nature. * * * Professor Stapfer, nephew

^{*} The article read by Dr. Chalmers on this occasion before the Institute was on the "Distinction, both in principle and effect, between a Legal Charity for the Relief of Indigence and a Legal Charity for the Relief of Disease." It will be found in his Works, vol. xxi. pp. 369-408.

of the author, told me on the authority of Baron Maurice, that La Place was restless and inquiring on his last illness; that he sought for books, and had first Burnet's 'Evidences on Christianity'* read to him; that he rejected his view on miracles; that my 'Evidences' were then read, with which he declared himself more satisfied, and more especially that it did not theorize on miracles, but treated them on the footing of historical. This the Professor had from Baron Maurice, of Geneva himself, between whom and La Place the whole transaction took place.† I am, therefore, to send my first new volume on the 'Evidences' to Stapfer, that he might shew the chapters on Hume and La Place to the Baron, and others of the like mathematical taste and understanding. Drew much to Grandpierre, President of the Missionary Society.

"Wednesday, June 13th.—Went to the Louvre. Had to shew our passports. Gorgeous and large pictures in the anteroom. Struck with the picture of one of Bonaparte's battles in his retreat from Moscow. The expression of Napoleon very striking—as if solemnized by the greatness of the coming disaster, yet with an air of full intelligence, and serenity, and majesty, and a deep mournful expression withal. The long gallery of the Louvre superb; impressed at once with the superiority of its pictures. Very much interested in the Flemish pictures, of which there were some very admirable ones by David Teniers. I am fond of Rembrandt's portraits; and was much pleased in recognising the characteristics of Rubens, Poussin, and Claude Lorrain. I also remarked that in most of the Italian schools, with the exception of the Venetian, there was a total want of shading off; yet the separate

VOL. IV.

^{*} I suppose that the reference here is to a small treatise of Bishop Burnet, entitled "A Rational Method for Proving the Truth of the Christian Religion." London, 1693. † See Appendix A.

figures, though not harmonized with the background, very striking in themselves. The statuary of painting perhaps expresses the style of the Roman and other such schools. There is a quadrangle recently attached to the east end of the gallery, filled with the models of towns, ships, and machinery; the towns very instructive. But the most interesting part of this department is the Spanish pictures, in all of which the strong emotions are most powerfully expressed. There is quite a stamp of national peculiarity in these works. The walls which contain them seem all alive with the passions and thoughts of living men. The freshness and force of the colouring quite remarkable, considering the age of the pictures.

Sir John and Lady Hay kindly gave us their carriage to take us to and from an evening party at Lady Elgin's, invited on my account, and consisting of some of the most eminent people Duc de Broglie kind but retired. He arranged for in Paris. me an introduction to the Chamber of Peers. M. Guizot, intellectual and talented. He arranged also for the Chamber of Deputies. Had some talk with him. I told him how limited the public were who would entertain the topics of the moral and the economique in conjunction. He fully congenialized with my own view, that, nevertheless, the solution of all the great problems lay in the reciprocal influence of these two elements. In speaking of the moral, I identified it with the Christian influence, in which I apprehend that he fully concurs. Guizot talked much with a Catholic lady who could not speak English, but who purposes to translate my 'Natural Theology,' and she was very cordial to myself. Felt this cordiality, indeed, to be quite general; and I did enjoy the party very much. M. Mignet also there, of whom Lady Elgin thought highly.

"Thursday, June 14th.—The commonalty all well dressed; and whatever the real profligacy may be, they have all the aspect, expression, and manner of a most moral, orderly, and

withal kind and companionable people. On our return entered a most singular café, leading to a garden, in the midst of which there was a sort of templar erection, making altogether a little Vauxhall, with innumerable parties, placed on benches, or ranged about tables, in the Parisian style of conviviality. We had fireworks and music, to those passages of which that were most responded to by the auditors, I was wholly insensible. There were at least a thousand people outside, who had the benefit of the exhibition gratis, those inside giving tenpence each. I was much impressed by the decorum of the crowd; their respectable dress, and perfect modesty both of look and manner. I have never in a single instance seen the offensive or indecent obtruded on our notice in this city.

"Friday, June 15th.—We were carried down to the Chamber of Deputies. Struck with the poorness of the equipages which carried the Deputies to and from their Chamber. Those who did come in a vehicle at all, came in a one-horse cab, paying their francs; and a row of these, about half-a-dozen, seemed to compose the travelling equipage of the members, where the horses, with draff-pocks appended to their mouths, out of which they were eating, formed a sorry contrast with the splendidly harnessed carriages of our English senators.

"Saturday, June 16th.—Walked over to the Institute at twelve, and attended a sitting of the Academy of Moral and Political Science. M. Mignet introduced me. Taken into the centre of the oval green table, around which the members are placed. Mignet spoke a good deal on the business matters, and seemed to do it sensibly and impressively. The room is a large oblong. The table annular, and is an ellipse of great eccentricity; the president's platform is at the extremity of the conjugate axis. The members sit round the exterior circumference of the table, and the strangers on two rows of forms along the walls.

"Sunday, June 17th.—An old lady told me what was very interesting, that her particular friend Jean Baptiste Say, received a copy of my 'Political Economy' through Mr. James Maconochie; that he read it with the greatest interest; that even in his last illness, he expressed himself delighted with having received it. I could not understand, however, whether he acquiesced in its doctrines.

"Monday, June 18th.—Mr. Wilks told us frightful things of what he termed the insolence of the French against God, in the matter of the cholera. They introduced it into their theatres: ridiculed and defied it: boasted that French science would prevail against it: remained stout while it only visited other countries, or even the poor in their own; till at length it came upon all at the rate of 1500 in a day, when there was a universal terror.

"Tuesday, June 19th.—Mr. Charles Mate gave some curious traits of the French character, particularly on the glorious days; that it was more a thing of fun than of any deep feeling-a universal laughing about it while it was going on-people taking a fight before dinner, going to their Restaurateurs, and taking another fight after it. * * * With Mr. Campbell in a fiacre to dinner at Mr. Jamieson's, banker in the Rue de Londres. A fine specimen both of the mansion and dinner of one of the higher citizens of Paris. A respectable and elegant, but not very spacious house, toute à la Française in the whole air and aspect of its furniture; with a most luxurious dinner, served round as in a table-d'hôte, in a succession of tit-bits, perhaps to the extent of from twelve to twenty The most memorable person was Mr. François Delessart, a member of the Chamber of Deputies, a Parisian merchant, and very intelligent; a strong Conservative; but, like Mr. Jamieson, and many other merchants, apprehends no harm from the sub-division of property. Speaks of the checks to it; that it is greatly overrated; that family arrangements often prevent it. He promises me books which will throw great light on the subject. He spoke as if greatly moved by my sermon on Sunday, which he heard; and which seems, from various accounts, to have made a great sensation.*

* "Je ne me rappelle ni l'année, ni le mois, ni le dimanche, où j'eus le privilège de voir le Dr. Chalmers occuper ma chaire dans la chapelle Taitbout: mais ce que je n'ai pas oublié, ni n'oublierai de ma vie, c'est que je l'y ai vu et entendu prêcher. Les impressions qu'il fit sur moi, aussi-bien que la foule de ceux qui étaient accourus pour l'entendre, sont de celles qui ne s'effacent jamais. C'était à l'époque où il vint à Paris pour être, je crois, reçu Membre de l'Institut de France. Ma chapelle alors était située dans la rue dont elle a pris et conservé le nom. C'était une salle de concerts, ayant forme de théatre, avec trois rangs de loges ou galleries, et pouvant contenir de 700 à 800 personnes. Le Docteur y prêcha deux dimanches de suite, à deux heures après midi. Mes devoirs pastoraux m'empéchèrent d'assister à sa seconde prédication, mais j'étais présent à la première, et je puis facilement vous en retracer les circonstances. Quoique ordinairement bien remplie, la chapelle n'avait jamais été si pleine. Elle était à la lettre encombrée, et cette foule compacte se composait en grande partie de personnes étrangères à notre culte. Il y avait bien peu des Membres de l'Institut et des différents corps savants de Paris qui ne s'y trouvassent pas, tous attirés par le grand intérêt que leur inspiraient le savoir et la réputation du Docteur. Les places devînrent si rares qu'ayant cru devoir, par convenance, céder les deux ou trois chaises que j'occupai tour á tour, je fus réduit à n'avoir pour siège que l'une des trois marches de l'escalier de ma chaire; et c'est delà que je pus à la fois voir la physionomie de l'assemblée, apprécier la profonde attention qu'elle prêtait au Docteur, l'écouter moi-même, et suivre tous ses mouvements. Il n'y eut rien dans son début qui me frappât d'abord. Je dirai même que son attitude, son regard vague, et le ton monotone de sa voix durant l'acte de la prière qui précéda son sermon, furent loin de me la révéler pour ce qu'il était. Mais bientôt le prédicateur se montra, et je ne tardai pas, comme tout l'auditoire, à être saisi d'admiration, et de plus en plus dominé par la puissance de son langage et de son action oratoire. Il prit son texte dans la 1re de St. Jean iv. 8.—' God is love.' Son discours était écrit. Pendant quelque temps il eut devant lui son manuscrit qu'il tenait déployé de la main gauche, et dont il suivait chaque ligne avec l'index de la main droite. Mais cette lecture, qu'il savait rendre aussi attrayante qu'impressive, fut souvent interrompue, pour céder la place à l'indication ou à l'exposition improvisée de nouveaux points de vue du sujet, non traités dans le discours écrit; et le langage que son émotion fournissait alors au Docteur énergique, nuancé, clair et harmonieux, vraiment celui de l'éloquence. Il me semble encore le voir avec son manuscrit plié en rouleau dans sa main, le corps penché en avant, un peu en dehors de la chaire, et prenant à partie tous ses auditeurs, leur adresser les appels les plus directs, et les plus propres à les atteindre dans les profondeurs de la conscience. Ses paroles surgissant évidemment de ses convic"Thursday, June 21st.—Through a street, the eastern outgoing of Rue de St. Dominique, the poorest, though not the poorest looking, in Paris, where the cholera was most fatal. The people not half so squalid looking, nor the houses either, as the poorest in London.

"Friday, June 22d.—Was arrested by the information that the English Ambassador had called at eight, and was to call again at ten. Amazed and put out of sorts by this. He of course did not appear; and I breakfasted at home, and waited till eleven. Consulted Mr. Erskine about it. He approved of my going forth directly, calling at the Ambassador's, and leaving an apologetic line, should he call again. Proceeded with him to the Ambassador's. Luckily missed him, and unluckily left my card. On returning met a Dr. Wright, who cleared up the mystery of the provoking and ludicrous mistake. He had called at eight; given his designation to the porter of 'Ministre de l'Eglise,' understood by him as 'le Ministre Anglais;' and left me in a state of uncertainty whether to laugh or to cry at the absurdity of the whole transaction.

"Sunday, June 24th.—Madame Pelet breakfasted with us at ten. Had much conversation with this pious and intelligent lady, on Christian and economical subjects. Her kindness unbounded; and she affords the far likeliest inlet for me to the knowledge of all that interests me in France. She is the daughter and only child of the deceased ambassador Otto.

tions et des bouillonnements de son cœur, en étaient l'expression fidèle, et avaient quelque chose de la rapidité et de la force du torrent se précipitant de la montagne, et entrainant tout sur son passage. L'action du Docteur ne me parut pas moins remarquable que son langage. Tout son être me sembla concourir a sa prédication. Quoique ce fut pour la première fois que j'entendisse prêcher en Anglais, et quoique je ne connusse alors votre langue que grammaticalement et dans les livres, je pus assez bien suivre le discours; et j'appris qu'il en avait été de même d'un grand nombre d'autres auditeurs tout aussi peu avancés que moi dans l'Anglais."—
Letter from the Rev. J. J. Audebez, dated Paris, 12th November 1851.

"Wednesday, June 27th.—A French company to dinner at Madame Pelet's. The Count very interesting—her father-in-law. The Baron, her husband, very silent, but intelligent too: is a member of the Chamber of Peers. Our talk, through the medium of Madame Pelet, was chiefly of primogeniture and the state of property in France. I had given her my 'Political Economy;' and we had talk of it too.* They

* From the chapter on the Law of Primogeniture in his work on Political Economy, we quote the following passage, a very favourite one of its anthor :-- "France, under her present system, and in spite of the convulsive efforts made by her in seasons of great public excitement, has entered, we believe, on a sure process of decay; and, without a more comfortable peasantry than before, will she sink in the long run, beneath the pre-eminence once held by her among the nations. We feel quite assured, of every land of law and liberty, that with an order of men possessing large and independent affluence, there is better security for the general comfort and virtue of the whole, than when society presents an aspect of almost unalleviated plebeianism. For the best construction of a social edifice, in every large country like ours, we would have a king upon the throne-not rising like a giant among the pigmies, or as an unsupported May-pole in the midst of a level population; but borne up by a splendid aristocracy, and a gradation of ranks shelving downwards to the basement of society. We doubt if the other monarchy could stand; or if France with its citizen king, amid a mighty and ever-increasing swarm of smaller and smaller agrarians, can maintain its present economy for a single generation. We think of our own political fabric, that it not only affords a vastly greater number of noble and graceful spectacles, in the minarets and the blazing pinnacles which crowd its elevation—but that, abstracting from the degradation which has been caused by its accursed law of pauperism, it would have had a more elevated basement in its well-conditioned peasantry, than any other country or kingdom of the civilized world. It is not for the sake of its ornaments and its chivalry alone-it is not for the sake of these chiefly, that we want the high rank and fortune of our aristocracy to be upholden. It is because we think there is a soul in chivalry, which, though nursed in the bosom of affluence, does not cloister there, but passes abroad from mind to mind, and lights up a certain glow of inspiration throughout the mass of a community. Let it only be a land of intelligence and freedom-and we think that, where there are nobles, the common people are not so ignoble; and that, while the property of the rich, though scattered, as by the law of France, into innumerable fragments, would not add by a single iota to the average comfort of our plebeian families; yet the presence of the rich infuses a spirit that, by dignifying their characters, enables them through the medium of their own habits and exertions, to dignify their condition also. It is thus, we hold, that there are materials in Britain, for the composition, altogether, of a finer, and higher, and happier society, than there are in America; and that, without one taint of the pusillanimous in the spirit of our people, there do not think so ill of their own system, and make a stout defence against my ideas of it. The feeling is, that the commercial have compensated for the decay of the landed fortunes. The abolition of primogeniture brings a much greater quantity of land into the market, and so yields all the greater revenue, from the seven per cent. on each transfer. They deny that the sub-division has prevented in any instance the levying of the tax. The Count said it was no hardship on the family, that there should be a tax on such sales, as the buyer paid it. He also said that there was no rural aristocracy spending much in the country under the old régime, as they went to their chateaux only to economize, and spent almost all in Paris. This does not affect the question of the higher luxuries consumed in these days.

"Thursday, June 28th.—On leaving St. Denis for Montmorency, the scenery on our left is very rich and wooded. The landscape grows in beauty as we advance. An immense extent of vineyards. The vale of Montmorency one of the richest in France, with its culture both of corn and vines; and variegated by single trees and even clumps. We went off on three donkeys to an elevation, from whence the prospects are truly superb, as also from the inn, and along the road. Far the best landscape I have seen in France. On returning to the inn, visited the Jean Jacques Rousseau hermitage, where he lived and composed his works. The house much enlarged now; but was shown his identical apartments, the

might be a deference to rank, and withal a truer greatness of soul and sentiment, than republicanism, with all its coarse and boastful independence, can ever realize. We would therefore, on the whole, leave the existing framework of our own community undisturbed; and, instead of letting down the peerage of our realms to the external condition of our peasantry, we should rather go forth among the peasantry, and do all that lies within the compass of education, both to elevate their standard of comfort, and to pour such a moral lustre over them, as might equalize them, either to peers or to princes, in all the loftiest attributes of humanity."

garden, arbour, stone on which he sat at the foot of a water-fall and composed his Heloïse, as well as the bust of Rousseau himself, with an inscription beneath it, beside many other votive testimonies scrawled by admiring visitors."

It was with the most eager delight that Dr. Chalmers embraced a proposal by Mr. Erskine, that they should make a short tour together through some of the inland provinces. Having accepted an invitation to pass a day or two at the chateau of the Duke de Broglie, their first excursion was into Normandy.

"Friday, June 29th.—Left Paris with Mr. Erskine in a caleche, at half-past nine. Our last stage, from Pacy to Evreux. Here one of the finest of our first-rate cathedrals. Its double towers had a mixture of Gothic and Grecian; but in all other respects perfect. The best and greatest amount of painted glass, in three distinct ranges of numerous windows, I have ever seen. No longer wonder that Paris with its contralization should have such an ascendant over France, with its congeries of landed properties under a constant process of diminution. But it is a miserable state of things, when a single banker in the capital (La Fitte) can change a dynasty, by feeding for a few days the hordes of a metropolis. How many bankers would suffice to effect a revolution in England? Total journey this day, twenty-five and a half leagues.

"Saturday, June 30th.—Our next stage to Broglie. Delighted with the approach. More of English looking grounds, after leaving the village on the banks of the Charente, than I had before met with in this country; and certainly the best private chateau I have seen. To me the form is singular. It consists mainly of two rectangles inclined to each other, of immense length. The public rooms lightly and elegantly furnished; and the whole establishment, as far as I have seen, both within and without doors, complete and well-ordered.

All out when we arrived, save Madame de Stael, the Baron's In a little while the Duke widow, now on a visit here. came in; afterwards the Duchess, whose presence, manner, and talk, at once dissipated every feeling of strangeness. Our conversation was chiefly on pauperism, wherein the Duke mediately participated. Had many questions put to me; and from the interest felt by them in it, gave her my printed evidence on Irish Poor-laws. Much conversation in the drawingroom. The Duke made a very able defence of the French law of succession. His argument is, that if there be no increase of population there will be no diminution of properties, and that, besides, there is a latitude of allowing one-third to the eldest over and above his share of the remainder; and the circumstance that in some parts of the country they do now avail themselves of this latitude, explains, in part at least, the larger estates to be met with here and there. He says that the sense of property, and the wish not to fritter it down, must act with strong preventive influences on the matrimonial arrangements of the people. He also says, that the greater part of the minutely subdivided land on the Seine was before not cultivated at all. Drew much to Madame de Stael;—delicate, pensive, highly interesting, the daughter of Madame Vernot, and grand-daughter of Professor Pictet, as well as sister-in-law to Diodati; a younger brother now in England, to whom, of course, I must shew great attention.

"Sunday, July 1st.—Found the morning worship party in the library at eleven. The Duke read a chapter of the French Bible, the tenth of John, at a table; the Duchess, opposite to him, read sermon, one of Audebez's. We then all knelt, and she uttered a French prayer; could not follow it, but her frequent 'O Seigneur,' in a most devotional tone, went to my heart. Whether the prayer was extemporaneous or learned by heart, I know not. At three, a small party. Conversed

in the Duchess's own apartment, when I read a chapter and expounded. My topic was appropriation, from the tenth chapter of the Romans. It gave rise to a brief conversation, chiefly on the part of Mademoiselle Ponnarrie, who must in part have understood me. She is the same I met in Lady Elgin's, and who was spoken of to me as likely to translate my 'Natural Theology.' Madame de Stael said I had given her much comfort. All here are Catholics but the Duchess and Madame de Stael. Was shewn Diodati's translation of my St. John's Sermons. Family worship in the evening, consisting of a chapter and the Lord's Prayer, at which we knelt, the Duchess officiating; about seven domestics present in the morning, and fifteen in the evening.

" Monday, July 2d.—Mr. Erskine and I, in going out, were arrested by the Duchess, who sent us to the Duke, with whom we had a most interesting conversation. He says that at Lisle there is a first-rate agriculture in large farms, from small properties pieced together; that in the Canton of Berne, one part, under the law of primogeniture, has large properties, splendid houses, admirable agriculture, but a population supported by a poor-rate; another part, under the law of equal division, has a worse agriculture, and a better-conditioned population, without a pauper among them. Madame de Broglie told us that almost all the landed gentry were Carlists, and that the soreness of feeling was such as that there was no intercourse between the opposite partisans. The clergy also much opposed to the present Government, though gradually coming round, which-such still is the remaining strength of the ecclesiastical influence is of great consequence to Louis Philippe. I had a most interesting converse with the Duke, through Mr. Erskine, in his library, after dinner. I learn from him that the improvement of an estate does not expose it to a greater land-tax than before that if the whole tax is changed in amount the proportion paid

by each estate to the whole is invariable—that at present the whole sum raised by the land-tax is a hundred and forty millions a year—that there are some properties in France whose rental is a million of francs, or £40,000 yearly—that three whom he knows in the Chamber of Peers have this income from land; three more have £20,000, and forty or sixty members have £10,000 yearly from land, or thereabouts. He admits that the Chamber of Deputies has not so much wealth in it as formerly, and that because the most opulent are against the present Government, and have not taken the eaths to Louis Philippe. He farther informed us, that he knew of seven or eight properties in the department of the Eure which have a population of 350,000, that yield to their owners from £3000 to £4000 a year, a proportion twelve times less, I believe, than obtains in Scotland.

"There are three pictures in the school of Broglie; one of Jesus Christ, another of Louis Philippe, and a third of the Duke, and under them are written respectively, 'Vive Jésus Christ!' 'Vive le Roi!' 'Vive le Duc de Broglie!' * * * They took leave of me with much kindness; and I even have the fondness to think, with some feeling. I myself felt much; and I pray for God's best blessing on the heads of all whom I met in that abode of elegant and lettered hospitality."

Leaving Broglie, they proceeded to Alençon. "The variety and amount of sweet and engaging landscape as we pass along quite baffling. A church to the right, another to the left, another onward, projected on the sky. The succession of loveliness prodigious. I now understand the beauty of Normandy." At Lemans, the cathedral attracted his attention, and the most minute description is given of it. "On the whole, a first-rate cathedral, and the most memorable of them all." Having reached the Loire at Tours, they ascended by the right bank of the river, crossing it to visit the two celebrated chateaux of

Amboise and Chenonceaux, both rich in historic recollections. Long ere they reached Orleans, the two towers of its massive cathedral were visible. "The approach to Orleans, marked by many good houses, and the egress from it in like manner, but with a greater amount of foliage, and verdure, and vineries, which abound in the neighbourhood; but within two or three miles, there is a sudden change into a corn country, more available than any I have seen for the supply of towns-great breadth of homogeneous cultivation." From Orleans, they took the road to Pithiviers and Malesherbes; -" then came to the characteristic features of Fontainbleau scenery; rocks tumbled about in profusion, which seem to have been rolled, fixed now in the earth, and rising into distinct hillocks, which, mingled with the trees, gives a Trosach character to the approach, were it not for the amplitude of the flat circular basin through which we pass. Got a blow on my head here, which I desire to record as a narrow escape and merciful providence. Had my position been half-an-inch different, it might have fractured my skull. May God cause this preservation to make me resolute on the side of holiness." From room to room of the celebrated palace he wandered, exhausting every epithet of wonder and admiration; pausing on the steps whence Napoleon took his last leave of Fontainbleau, and gazing with interest on "the small light round table on which he signed the abdication of his Crown." The variety and gorgeousness of the apartments were so bewildering that at last he has to say, "Lost altogether my estimate of the geometry of the palace."

On returning to Paris, and looking back to this inland tour, he describes it as "a most interesting journey, by which my opinion of the actual state of property in France, and also my views of its eventual, have been made more favourable. Much, however, must be left to time and experience. Have

been greatly enlightened by the conversation of the Duke de Broglie."

Not many months after his return to Edinburgh a letter from Mr. Erskine informed Dr. Chalmers of the heavy domestic bereavement with which the Duke had been visited.

" Edinburgh, October 9th, 1838.

"My dear Sir,—What a sad event this death of the poor Duchess de Broglie! I have ventured to write the Duke on the melancholy bereavement wherewith he has been visited; and indeed nothing but the sense of its presumptuousness restrained me from sending a letter of acknowledgment to Broglie for all the kindness by which I felt myself so much honoured during our sojourn there—far the most brilliant passage of my excursion to France, but now sadly overcast by an event so unlooked for and solemnizing to us all.

"You mention in your letter that our dear departed friend was to have written me. I have received no letter from her; but let me hope that our next communion will be in heaven.

"I shall address this letter to Geneva, where I presume you are, and where it is probable that you will be in communication with Madame de Stael. Let her know how deeply I feel this heavy stroke; and it would to me be a communication from yourself of greatest interest, if you could inform me of any particulars, not only respecting the death, but respecting the surviving relatives—the Duke, Madame de Hassonville, dear little Paul, Madame de Stael, and indeed any of the people whom we met on that splendid occasion, the retrospect of which is now so overclouded by the extinction from earth of the central and presiding lustre that so brightened the days of our abode at Broglie.—I am, my dear Sir, yours most affectionately,

Thomas Chalmers.

[&]quot; Thomas Erskine, Esq."

The letter here alluded to was as follows:-

" Edinburgh, October 8th, 1838.

"My dear and much honoured Duke,—It is with great hesitation that I venture to intrude on the sacredness of your grief, but pray to be indulged, if, for the relief of my own feelings, I lay before your Grace the expression of my deepest sympathy and sorrow on the heavy bereavement wherewith a merciful Providence has been pleased to visit you. The event is indeed a most solemnizing one to us all: Heaven grant that it may not prove overwhelming to you; but even in this the day of your severest trial, when the nearest and dearest of all earthly relationships is broken asunder, may your spirit be sanctified and sustained by the comforts of religion, by the well-grounded prospect of that blissful immortality where all suffering and separation are unknown.

"And there is one precious alleviation when we think of her who lived in the virtues and died in the triumphs of the faith. We know the solidity of that foundation on which her hopes were laid, and withdrawing our affections from a world, the best loved objects of which are so speedily withdrawn from us, let us henceforth be 'followers of them who through faith and patience are now inheriting the promises.'

"In the Duchess de Broglie I have lost the most exalted and impressive of all the acquaintances I had made for many years.

"Her kindness during the few days I lived under your hospitable roof will never, never be effaced from my grateful recollection. Her conversation, and, above all, her prayers, poured forth in the domestic circle, and which at the time of their utterance fell upon my ears like the music of Paradise, have left a fragrance behind them, and the memory of them is sweet.

"Let me send up my earnest prayers for the present con-

solation and for the future and permanent wellbeing of your desolated family. I intreat that you will convey the assurances of my condolence and regard to the Baroness de Hassonville and to the Baroness de Stael. My heart bleeds for 'Paul,' your dear little boy; nor, as it is the prerogative of sensibility to be unceremonious and unguarded, will I disguise the love and veneration for yourself which the whole of our recent intercourse has awakened in my bosom. O may we 'so number our days, and so apply our hearts unto wisdom,' that we and our families may at length sit down together amid the glories of our Redeemer's kingdom.—Ever believe me, my dear Duke, yours with the profoundest sense of attachment and esteem,

THOMAS CHALMERS.

[&]quot; Monsieur Monsieur le Duc de Broglie."

CHAPTER V.

THE SEVENTH DECADE OF LIFE—LAST EFFORTS ON BEHALF OF CHURCH EXTENSION—PLAN OF MR. WILLIAM CAMPBELL—THE GREAT NORTHERN TOUR—THE GRAMPIANS—LOCH EIRICHT—INVERNESS—TARBET HOUSE—SKIBO CASTLE—TEANINICH—CROMARTY—FALL OF FOYERS—CATHEDRAL OF ELGIN—BANFF—THE BULLER OF BUCHAN—HADDO HOUSE—INVERCAULD—RESULTS OF CHURCH EXTENSION.

"It is a favourite speculation of mine," says Dr. Chalmers, "that if spared to sixty, we then enter on the seventh decade of human life; and that this, if possible, should be turned into the Sabbath of our earthly pilgrimage, and spent sabbatically, as if on the shore of an eternal world, or in the outer courts, as it were, of the temple that is above—the tabernacle in heaven. What enamours me all the more of this idea, is the retrospect of my mother's widowhood. I long, if God should spare me, for such an old age as she enjoyed, spent as if at the gate of heaven, and with such a fund of inward peace and hope as made her nine years' widowhood a perfect feast and foretaste of the blessedness that awaits the righteous." His own seventh decade—the wished for Sabbath of his earthly pilgrimage-was to commence on the 17th March 1840, and to the General Assembly of that year he had long looked forward as to the time when he should withdraw from public life. Before doing so, he desired to make one effort more, his greatest and his last, on behalf of his favourite scheme. "And now," says he, writing to Mrs. Chalmers, soon after his return from France, VOL. IV.

"as to my plan for the future, which is shortly as follows:-I long for retirement from public business, but not being able to relinquish it at present, my purpose is to earn a right to retire by the dedication of this summer and the next to Church Extension in the country, after which it is my earnest wish and firm intention to devolve the work on others." This plan was announced on the 15th August, and the 18th witnessed the commencement of its execution. On that day he began a tour through the south-western districts of Scotland, in the course of which ten Presbyteries, embracing 170 elergymen, were visited; and addresses on Church Extension were delivered in Stranraer, Wigton, Greenock, Dunoon, Kilmarnock, Ayr, Paisley, Dumbarton, Hamilton, Lanark, and Biggar. It was a new sphere of effort which Dr. Chalmers had now entered. He was unpractised in extemporary speaking; and yet, without a considerable admixture of this form, he found that he could not adapt himself to the varied and promiscuous audiences which he addressed. He had never taken part in any platform discussion, yet in such public meetings as he now undertook to address, hostile collisions might occur. But he would do all, and dare all, for a cause that was so dear. Speaking of one of his addresses, about which he had been somewhat apprehensive beforehand, he says:-" There were a good many Voluntaries and common people there; and knowing this to be a Radical place, I made a special effort to get at the popular heart and understanding, and so availed myself of certain homely statements, which were possibly too much for sentimental G-, and superfine A-, but which I had occasionally repeated before in a series of addresses, by which our cause had been borne in safety, even in triumph, through the worst and most disaffected regions in Scotland. It is true that it were better if we lived in times when a calm and sustained argumentation from the press would have carried the influen-

tial mind of the community. But as it is, one must accommodate his doings to the circumstances of the age." Having laid his hand upon a new instrument, a new object of effort was now presented to him. The Assembly's Committee over which he presided, had too limited a sum at its command to allow of its doing more than contribute seven shillings and sixpence per sitting, or about one-fourth part of the cost of each new erection. By much the larger portion of the outlay was contributed by those living in the district where the church was raised. The new churches were built, therefore, only where a large amount of local sensibility to the spiritual destitution existed; and along with this, a large amount of willingness and ability to relieve it. Those neighbourhoods came to be helped first which were the most willing and able to help themselves. But a new class of cases came before the Committee for consideration—cases of deeper and more distressing destitution—where little or nothing could be expected from local efforts; and where, if churches were to be built at all, the whole outlay must be borne by the Committee itself, or at least where its rule of distribution would require to be reversed. These were the cases which, above all others, Dr. Chalmers desired to reach, offering, as they did, the opportunity for such purely missionary operations among the poorest and most wretched sections of the community. But though the want was so great and urgent, where lay the means of relief? The remedy was suggested by a lay member of the Church, one who has ever been as prompt in doing as in devising, and whose name takes high and honourable place in the annals of Christian liberality. Mr. William Campbell of Glasgow, suggested that a new fund should be created, especially intended to meet cases of extreme destitution; and that this fund should consist of contributions of £1 or upwards, for each of the next hundred churches that should be built. one thousand such subscribers could be obtained in Scotland,

then one thousand pounds, a sum adequate to defray the whole cost of the edifice, would be available for each of the new buildings. Mr. Campbell himself offered £25 for each such church, coming thus under personal obligation for £2500. Dr. Chalmers hailed the proposal with delight, and resolved to devote the whole summer of 1839 to an effort to carry it into execution.

The brief interval between the close of the College session and the meeting of the General Assembly, was filled up by visits to Dundee, Perth, Stirling, and Dunfermline. General Assembly was scarcely dissolved when he resumed his tour, addressing influential audiences at Brechin, Montrose, Arbroath, and making his way to Aberdeen, where a peculiarly brilliant reception was given to him.* His progress was interrupted by a summons which called him instantly to London; but the busy and anxious negotiations, in which he there for a time took part, directed though they were to a new and most embarrassing subject, did not divert him from his summer project, for again, and after only a few days of rest in Edinburgh on his return from the metropolis, we find him setting out on what he called his great northern tour. That tour is chronicled with unparalleled minuteness of detail as to place, and person, and incident. From the journalletters in which this chronicle survives, we could fill two hundred pages of this volume; and perhaps when it has acquired the character of the antique, the Wodrow Society of some future generation may take pleasure in presenting it to the public. Even now, as they are almost the last of the kind that remain, and as they carry us to a hitherto untrodden region, we are tempted to offer to the reader the following extracts from these letters:-

^{*} For an admirable account of this visit to Aberdeen, written, I believe, by D. Masson, Esq., see *Lowe's Mayazine*, vol. v. p. 29.

Inverness, August 15th, 1839.—MY VERY DEAR HELEN,— Kent inside two stages farther, at Blair Athol. Missed the full view of a deal of beauty in consequence; and as it was now fairing, the outsiders had fairly the advantage of us. Meanwhile, we below were very restless, shooting out our heads from side to side, like chickens stretching their necks out of a crib; and were particularly excited by the time we got to Killiecrankie—the wooded and precipitous pass of which is a noble display of Trosach scenery, the river Garry forcing its way through the rocks at the bottom of the ravine. Was particularly struck with the cottage ornée, occupied by Mrs. Hay of Seggieden, with the beautiful new church she has raised, and which supplies a graceful moral association to the landscape. At Blair Inn, sixteen miles from Dunkeld, I gave Dr. Nichol my place, and took his outside, behind the driver. We had passed Moulin upon the Tummel, Dr. Duff's native parish, by which I was interested. And being now in the very heart of the Highlands, I had all my eyes about me, right and left; and accordingly heard with the greatest interest that the Tilt, which we crossed, and which runs into the Garry, then into the Tummel, came from a region far out of sight, and down Glen-Tilt. Then prosecuting the drive up this Garry, we got at length to its source, named Loch Garry. By this time we had passed Dalnacardoch, which was the next stage from Blair. The mountains here devoid of character and marked individuality-long shelving ridges, and which presented a different aspect from that of a magnificent elevated barrier, which I had all along conceived the Grampians to be. However, it is good to have one's notions corrected; and I confess myself to be nearly as much on edge after novelties for the purpose of geographical truth, as for the purpose of a spectacle; and I even was very glad to take these Grampian swells, which I had formerly imaged to be so many noble

Alpine elevations, just as I found them. Between Dalnacardoch and Dalwhinnie, the next stage, there is what I would like you to understand as the water-shed—that is, the place in the road where the water on this side ran south past your brother-in-law's, by Dundee, and to the mouth of the Tay; and where the water on the other side ran north into the Spey, and emptied itself into the ocean, more than a hundred miles away from the other water, that fell one mile farther south. At this place—the water-shed—the summit of the country, its backbone, if it may be so called, the ridge from which the river falls on opposite sides, like the opposite sides of the roof of a house—this line, I say, separates the two counties of Perth and Inverness. Near this place had the view of a small part of the end of Loch Eiricht; and I do not know if I can make myself understood or sympathized with, but just imagine of this said loch, that I am told of its being fifteen miles long, stretching through wild and solitary valleys the whole of this length, skirted on both sides with mountains unknown to observation, and of which fancy is left to conceive their grouping and their forms; and I ask if this be not an object fitted to excite the feelings, when one tries to figure how it is that the mysterious interior of this long-withdrawing solitude is fitted and filled up. And on the subject of this said boundary between these two shires, I have only farther to remark, that on the left of our road there lies a hill on this side, called the 'Sow of Atholl,' and on the other side, a hill called the 'Boar of Badenoch;' Atholl being the Perthshire district which we left, and Badenoch the Inverness-shire district which we entered. I really felt grateful to the driver for this piece of information, by which he introduced me to an acquaintance with the Sow and the Boar. Got to Dalwhinnie after five, where we swallowed a rapid dinner. This the farthest place from the sea in Scotland; and about the highest house

Again mounted outside for two stages farther; that is. to Pitmain or Kingussie and Aviemore. Much pleased with an opening prospect to Laggan and the hills of Corryarrick, which guided me onward in thought to Fort-Augustus. I at one time thought of making a detour that way to Inverness; but felt that it would not be safe. Seven miles from Dalwhinnie, we descend to a scenery of Trosach character, down the Truim, which runs into the Spey, one of the longest rivers in Scotland, and along which we went downward, through a country gradually improving in cultivation and fertility. On looking back was presented with a glorious medley of crags and mountain tops. Interested by Kingussie, where lives an admirable clergyman, Mr. Shepherd, known to me by correspondence, but not personally. On my way to Aviemore, the twilight became fainter, and I could scarcely descry the hills in the distance; but was exceedingly delighted by Cairngorm and Ben Macdhui, the highest hill in Scotland, being pointed out to me in the South-thus connecting the geography of the Spey with that of the Dee. Got inside at Avienore, thirty miles from Inverness.

"Friday, 16th.—The town handsome and respectable. The environs superb; and I wonder that I had never met with any celebration of what appears to me to be scenery of a very high order.

"Saturday, 17th.—Took boat at Invergordon Ferry. Honest Carment had been looking out for us a long time. The ferry is two miles across; and he came in a boat from the Invergordon side, and met us half way. We identified him at some distance, standing up, with his portly figure, and his ample plaid spread out like a main-sail when he extended his arms. Delighted with my drive along the north bank of the Bay of Cromarty. At the opening of the bay are two elevated ridges, which approach each other, and form its two opposite points, called

the 'Sutors of Cromarty.' Went about six miles through a cultivated country, and landed at Tarbet House, the mansion of Mr. Hay Mackenzie of Cromarty. Mrs. Hay Mackenzie, the daughter of Sir James Gibson-Craig, a very agreeable person—exceedingly like her father in the face. Lord and Lady Hardwicke are guests here—he a naval officer, and most intelligent person, who succeeded to his uncle, the family name being Yorke—she, the sister of Lady Normanby, but of decided Conservative principles, as her husband and all are here. She exceedingly gracious and pleasant; a fine specimen of the English lady, and one of the most marvellous singers I ever heard.

"Monday, 19th.—Prepared for my Tain address, which I delivered to a full audience of upwards of a thousand—a marvellous day meeting in so small a place; and the dinner party of eighty still more marvellous. Was received with true Highland affection by Mrs. Mackintosh, the widow of old Dr. Angus Mackintosh, former minister of this place, and a person of great and distinguished worth. Much pleased with the antique and simple air of the town of Tain.

"Tuesday, 20th.—Rode up the south coast of Dornoch Firth; truly delightful. Across the firth could see Skibo Castle, the chief mansion of Mr. Dempster; and, what to me was very interesting, saw on the opposite bank the church of Criech where Mrs. Parker's father Mr. Rainy was minister, and the manse where Mrs. Parker was born. Saw also with great interest before us on the far west, the distant hill of Ben More Assynt, the highest in Sutherland, and which brought me into contact with the north-west of Scotland. After crossing at Bonar Bridge, we were in Sutherlandshire; and now I may say that I have been in every Scotch county in the island of Great Britain except Caithness, and every English county except Cornwall. Mr. Dempster's shooting lodge is two miles farther up

than Bonar Bridge. Took the most interesting walk I have yet had, in respect both of scenery and of geographical observation, Mr. and Mrs. Dempster along with me, on a hill behind their house. I cannot express the satisfaction I felt in having my eye brought into converse with objects so near to the northwest corner of the island, and themselves composing the main features of a region before unknown."

"Teaninich, August 22d, 1839.—Went two or three miles along the south side of Dornoch Frith, where we struck off to the right, away from the road which leads to Tain, and across an elevated region that separates the Dornoch and Cromarty Friths. Passed through a series of mountain solitudes. length descended, and at fourteen miles from Balblair reached the Slethenburn Inn, where General Munro's carriage was waiting. The name of his place is Teaninich. He himself is a fine old veteran of sixty; has been much in the East Indies, and seems to have brought home with him from Madras a portion of the Christian spirit which is prevalent among certain of the society there. His lady in this respect kindred with himself. After tea two boys of the family came to us in splendid Highland dresses, the General's sons, of eleven and thirteen, of whom I pray that, under the tuition of their mother, who combines the Christian with the feminine, they may escape the pollution of an evil world. She is Irish, and the daughter of a clergyman. Went to bed about eleven, with the feeling after all that, however pleasant this life of variety, and though much among Christian people in the prosecution of a Christian object, home and regularity and moderate exertion supply a far better condition for the health of the soul and its growth in holiness.

"Friday, 23d.—I gave my address at Cromarty, after having been ushered in with an admirable prayer by Mr. Stewart, who is really a person of admirable sense and talent too. When I vol. iv. began the people greatly interested, and the minister I saw smiling and smerkling, in his own characteristic way, at the more ludicrous passages. I had no idea of this being so primitive and sequestered a place.

"Wednesday, 28th.—A rather large and fatiguing party; and some of them had the tone and manner of commonplace religious society. One lady asked me if I was proud or if I was humble, in the idea, I have no doubt, that the admiration of her, and such as she, must prove a sore trial to my vanity. My reply was, that I was somewhat short in the temper, under the fatigues and annoyances to which I was occasionally exposed in my public labours.

" Inverness, Thursday, 29th.—The public meeting took place this day; a full church.

"Friday, 30th.—A public breakfast of a hundred and twenty. The result of our operations amounts to the astonishing sum of £2000, a sum which, if carried out proportionally to Inverness, would realize £400,000 in the whole of Scotland. Inverness stands now at the head of the Supplementary Fund. The Provost, on our breaking up, told me that nothing could exceed the impression which had been made in favour of our cause.

"On driving along the north bank of Loch Ness the most striking feature is the steep and lofty bank on our right hand, shooting up into crags and precipices, and exhibiting all that is noble in rock scenery. Our road often cut out from the cliff, and protected by a parapet, to keep us from falling into the lake beneath. Reached the mouth of Glen Urquhart, through which the Endrick runs from the north, and discharges its waters into Loch Ness. Most beautiful landscape, and well denominated the Tempe of Scotland, enriched by wood, and diversified by slopes and swells in every variety. Landed at the House of Foyers, possessed by Mr.

Frazer, an old gentleman of eighty, and proprietor of the estate in which the fall is. Under the guidance of Mr. Frazer, a preacher, I first crossed the river, walked up its east side, saw the falls from two successive points, a higher and a lower. In the last position the spray fell upon us as in a dense shower of rain. There was about the average quantity of water; and it is far the most magnificent thing of the kind I had ever witnessed. Not so lofty as the falls that mamma and I saw in South Wales, but of far greater weight and momentum; a good hearty thud, and in perfect contrast to the mutchkin fall that descended at one time on mamma's head. If she wanted enough of it she would have had it here to her heart's content. The lower point of view is on the extremity of a projecting ridge, where there is a most impressive view of the rocks on both sides, comprising, I imagine, Coleridge's antechamber to the fall, though this is not perfectly clear, for the banks are precipitous to nearly the mouth of the river, which sinks so much as to afford a suite of ante-chambers. And, besides, on ascending further, to the upper fall, of much less consequence than the first, we, above all, came to a beautiful amphitheatre of level fields, skirted all round by rocky elevations, and giving rise to a beauteous little panorama, which, if Coleridge came to Foyers from Fort-Augustus, he behoved to pass through first, and which might, therefore, for aught I know, be his ante-chamber.

"Saturday, 31st.—Proceeded along the south bank of Loch Ness. Struck off for a mile along the pass of Inverfarigag. Such a scene of desolate and savage grandeur as I never before witnessed; rocks on rocks in glorious confusion, and where one might fancy himself not in the midst of a world, but in the midst of a chaos. Saw an opening which led to the beautiful amphitheatre above the Falls. Coleridge must not have seen this marvellous scene, else he would not have singled out the

ante-chamber of the Falls of Foyers as one of the five good things in Scotland, for Inverfarigag, for wild and primeval majesty, is far beyond it. On this road Mr. Frazer pointed out the birth-place of Sir James Mackintosh, at Aldowrie, which Mrs. Rich lately visited, and wept over with great emotion. A noble object Fort-George, being the most complete fortification in Scotland. Had an interesting view of the opposite plains on the Moray Frith, formerly visited by me along the shores of the Black Island. Landed in the chaplain's. Drove into Nairn, which we reached at five. Dined with the Presbytery and a few of the principal citizens. down to the shore, for a view of the town and harbour. Met, scrambling along the boats, the celebrated James Mitchell, of whom Dugald Stewart has written a memoir. He is deaf, dumb, and blind, and the state of his mind, with such few remaining inlets, is quite a study for the philosopher. hands and hurrahed to him with great delight, when I learned it was he, to the surprise of my companions. Addressed a full meeting at Nairn on Saturday evening.

"Monday, September 2d, 1839.—Mr. Banbury drove me to Forres. The country brightens and beautifies even beyond the scenery at Auldearn; and little do we Southrons expect in this far north region a succession of landscapes so bland, and soft, and fertile, as much so as we meet in very many of the counties in the central or southern parts of England. At length crossed the Findhorn by a new suspension bridge, and have seen few scenes so beautiful as the approach to Forres, with its two graceful spires, and the fine knolls that adorn its southern environ. I addressed a full church, and siested, as usual, and dined in a party of seventy. The speeches admirable, more especially those of Mr. Buchanan and Mr. Bruce of Kennet, who was really eloquent. It must not be disguised that the landed men of Morayshire, taken as a whole, are passionately and

inveterately opposed to us. Visited the site of the castle where Duncan is said to have been murdered by Macbeth, and which is the undoubted scene of other noted events in history. This country is the theatre of Shakespeare's Macbeth; and there are several places which claim a special property in his celebrated witches. The environs of Elgin of a very superior cast, finely diversified, and acquiring a character of enhanced importance from the number of its architectural elevations. Went between ten and eleven to the ruins of the cathedral, accompanied by Mr. Walker and others. The finest remains of antiquity in Scotland, St. Andrews nothing to them; -they are not so large certainly, but a far greater and more picturesque variety. Excavated lately, and exhibiting such a floor as our own; but far more numerous fragments of wall, and more than ten times the amount of rich workmanship, with a large outline of both transepts, numerous windows, parts of interior arches, whole arcades, a chapel and fine chapter-house, supported on a single central column, and quite entire, all composing a greatly fuller skeleton of what it was than we have at St. Andrews. Some remains of pilasters of particularly rich workmanship. The east window exhibits a double row of old English arches. On the west side there are two towers, one of which we ascended by a stair of a hundred and thirty steps, where we had a command of the town and country. On descending, I surrounded the cathedral, and enjoyed exceedingly the various oblique and direct views which we had of its external parts. The Fall of Foyers and Cathedral of Elgin are the two best things I have seen in this journey. Went to the noble, new, and very handsome, but withal greatly too large church, holding not less than eighteen hundred people, yet full notwithstanding. Never felt myself at greater ease and liberty, and am told that I never was in greater force. To understand the scene which followed, you must know that Elgin Presbytery is leavened with moderation, there being none but Mr. Topp and Mr. Gentle who go with us in the Non-intrusion question; and perhaps none but them that go cordially into the object of church extension. With these premises in your mind, understand you that we had a public dinner, in a very handsome public hall; that we numbered upwards of fifty; that the party was highly respectable; that Admiral Duff, a truly good man, and one of our hundred pounders, was in the chair; that on his left hand was Rear-Admiral M'Kay, brother to Lord Reay; that at the foot of the table was the county sheriff, and a goodly number both of clergy and of respectable citizens. Yet, with all these materials for a cordial meeting, there was not one response of enthusiastic feeling to a single sentiment that was uttered; that my first speech, a very fair one, fell still born from my lips; that in my second, when I attempted, with great and graphic power, to pourtray the beauties of their country and their town, a few faint echoes of applause were all which I could elicit; that Mr. Buchanan, powerful and felicitous as he always is, and particularly was on this occasion, spoke with an utterance which only played buff upon them; that when Messrs. Lewis, Noble, and Cochran took up the tale, it told no more on the audience than it would have done upon a clay-dyke; -all symptomatic of the apathetic region and atmosphere which had been created here under the tender reign of moderation. most decisive of all, and before the toasts had nearly run out, at least one half of the whole party at the lower end, by a simultaneous movement, made off from the table, and left the eminentes of the upper half to themselves, to the evident confusion and distress of our chairman, and the no less obvious indignation of Mr. Buchanan. For myself, I was greatly more amused than annoyed, and philosophized on the suddenness of the transition from one Presbytery to another, as also on the great power of clergymen who could inoculate others so with

their own prevalent feeling as to make it the prevalent feeling of their neighbourhood also. Have, nevertheless, got £300 subscribed for at Elgin; and so I went to bed about eleven.

"Tuesday, September 10th.—Got to Banff. It is quite a gem of a town. Altogether it is a very perfect and beautiful composition; and there is a reigning gentility, or what the English would call tidiness, which pervades everything—the harbour, the walks, the streets, the houses, and, above all, the charming burial-place, full of the most tasteful monuments, inclosed with lofty walls, decorated with ivy, and presenting in its fragments of old architecture—the remains of a former church—a very antique and imposing appearance. The present church has been altogether removed from it; and embowered among its ivied tombstones, I could spend whole forenoons with delight in a retirement so full of solemn and affecting interest. To me it is a far more tasteful cemetery than the 'Père la Chaise' of Paris. A full church, and an address of two hours. Then a siesta; then a public dinner of about fifty.

"Thursday, September 12th.—Addressed upwards of a thousand people at Peterhead.

"Friday, September 13th.—Left the coast somewhat on our left, though we had occasional glimpses of very beautiful rock. Soon recovered it at the far-famed Buller of Buchan. Fell in with fishermen, who said that it was quite a day for the boat. My heart leaped for joy at the achievement of getting inside of the Buller—so rare, that even Mr. Philip, the parish minister, had never been there, and Mr. Robertson, of the neighbouring parish, only once. So we scooted down the brae, launched the boat, manned it with four hands, and committed ourselves to the waves, which were moderate enough to admit of the enterprise, for it is only safe in calm weather. The rock scenery, irrespective of the Buller, is superb, bulging out into buttresses, or retiring into creeks, and altogether comprising

the most variegated and rugged outline I had seen anywhere. Turned south to the Buller, where we were presented with a lofty arch, having a fine massive bending alcove, and leading to a hollow cylinder with the sky overhead, and a lofty wall of precipice all round. The waves rise higher in the archway, which is narrow, insomuch that our gallant crew had to ply their boat-hooks on both sides to keep us off the rocks: but got at length into the bottom of the churn, which churns nobly in a storm, and causes a manufacture of yeast, that flies in light frothy balls up to the top of the caldron, and is carried off through the country. It was calm enough, however, now to admit of our leisurely contemplation of the magnificent alcove into which we had gotten; and after glorying in our exploit for some minutes, we rowed and boat-hooked our way back again. Skirted farther south, among the projections and insular rocks between us and Slaines Castle, which we saw at the distance of a mile or so. Went round the noble rock of Dunbigh, covered with sea-fowl, some of which were seen, adding to the interest of the whole spectacle by their picturesque forms. Here we explored a beautiful recess, and looked into a dark viewless cave of unknown depth. Rowed back to our old landing-place, recognising the Buller as we passed. We also saw Buchan-Ness lighthouse to the north, and were told of Longhaven between us and it as a great curiosity, but which it was too rough to visit. Our landing-place is in the bottom of a fine rocky bay, which of itself was worth the visiting and recording. On ascending the brae, went to the top of the Buller, and looked down to the place where we had been rolling half-an-hour before. With the exception of its land side, there is a narrow rim all round it, broadest at the place which surmounts the archway, but contracting into three or four feet at other places, and wearing away at one part into the most ticklish step of all. This broad rim is perpendicular on both

sides, yet so often circumambulated, even by ladies, as to be trodden into a foot-path. I had the greatest desire to finish my conquest of the Buller, by following in the footsteps of these heroines; but thought of prosaic mamma, and made a virtue of moderation. Mr. Robertson says, that if I had offered to do it, he would have laid violent hands upon me. It is, in truth, very seldom done; though the last Duke of Gordon but one, after having dined at Slaines Castle, is said to have rode round it on horseback — a truly after-dinner achievement. Rode on to Slaines Castle, about two miles off. Lord Errol, its proprietor, had previously asked me to dine there yesterday; but as this could not be, I offered instead to call on him this day. He and the Countess received us most cordially. She, by the way, was a Miss Fitzclarence, and is daughter to the late William IV. She bears a great resemblance to the Royal family, and is withal a pious person, which appeared indeed in her conversation. Lord and Lady Errol shewed us the rooms of their castle, going along with us; and nothing could exceed the blandness and perfect nature of the whole style of their attentions to us. It is a most singular place, altogether renewed within these few years on the site of the preceding eastle, a mere fragment of which remains. It consists of only two stories-one sunk, and the other comprising all the accommodation of the members of the familyspread out, therefore, over a great space, and shooting upwards into a number of cones and pinnacles. It is built close upon the edge of the sea-rock, insomuch that at one place flush with the precipice there is no walking round it. The look-out is to me most interesting. The rocks not high; but having more the character of skellies projecting into the sea, forming a number both of creeks and promontories, and deriving an exquisite beauty, first, from the outline of separation between the land and water, and secondly, from the vivid contrast of the rock

with grass of bright verdure—it being the grass of rich and ornamental eultivation. Dr. Johnson was here in the time of the old castle, and said, that if you want to enjoy the sublimity of danger without its exposure you should sleep at Slaines in The roar of the waters is very noble, though the inmates from custom had ceased to be sensible of it; and it was quite in keeping with the scene, and with all its accompaniments, that there occurred, while we were sitting in the library, which overhangs the beach, a very brilliant flash of lightning, followed by a loud peal of thunder. It is a fine old library, with a great proportion of theology, and some of the best editions of the Fathers. Another drive of eight miles to Haddo House, Lord Aberdeen's, whither I and Mr. Robertson went by invitation. Went up the river Ythan which runs by Ellon, a small village, though the seat of a Presbytery, and got on through a prosaic yet cultivated country to his Lordship's, by seven, P.M. His Lordship tells me that the Dean of Faculty, who at one time threatened me with a rod-in-pickle, is now in the press with a pamphlet on Church-matters. His Lordship and I, as well as Mr. Robertson, have had much talk about matters; and though not at one, I can perceive that his Lordship is very desirous of an adjustment, and will be of great use, I doubt not, in bringing it about.

"Saturday, September 14th, 1839.—Walked in the grounds with Captain Gordon, who laughs at a Veto without reasons, and is hostile to the Church in her present position. This is a noble place. The house not ornamental, but spacious, and with two large wings, the grandeur of the whole lying chiefly in its magnitude. A noble straight avenue, descending from a flight of stairs, and marked by ornamental gateways at intervals, stretching forward with an amplitude which is quite baronial; and at its foot having a beautiful lake on the left hand. On the other side of the house there is a beautiful

wooded undulation, which contrasts well with the flowery level at the head of the avenue. Up the Ythan his Lordship has another place, called the Gight, still more lovely than the one I am describing, but which, though only at the distance of four miles, we could not visit. Took leave of the family at eleven, not without an enhanced respect for Lord Aberdeen, whose conversations, and whole conduct, have given me a deeper sense than ever both of his talents and worth. He has had sad work lately with the perverseness of the people of his own parish threatening to veto a most admirable presentee. one of the meetings he had with them he himself opened with prayer, to the great delight of all the good in this quarter. Left Ballater between three and four. The beauty of the afternoon, and the glorious beauty of the landscapes, at length tempted us outside; and certainly the combined grandeur and richness far outstripped all that we have yet met with. Along the banks of the Dee, for twenty miles above Ballater, there is one continued enamel either of cultivation or woodland, while, on both sides, the hills rise in all varieties of form, presenting a glorious assemblage of crags and mountain-tops. On leaving Ballater we were at once in the midst of fine scenery, but much enhanced after passing the bridge of Ginn, and from that to Mirven a fine specimen of the old Highland clachan. Then on the opposite side are the house and classic 'birks of Abergeldie,' succeeded by the kirk of Crathie on this side, and then again on the opposite side the house of Balmoral. Here the scenery is superlative. But the crowning glory of the whole is Lochnagar, surmounting all the hills that are around it, and now made immortal by the stanzas of Lord Byron on this noble mountain.

"Wednesday, September 18th, 1839.—At breakfast there came a polite invitation from Mrs. Farquharson of Invercauld, to stay with her while in this country. Set up our carriage

again at eleven. Down the river by the road of yesterday. Left the carriage for some minutes to visit the Castle of Braemar, built about a century ago to overawe the rebels, and still the property of Government. Entered all its rooms, and looked out at every turret window. Ascended by a ladder to its roof; an interesting but limited panorama all round us, land-locked by hills, and presenting a horizon marked with their lofty outlines. Dismissed our own carriage, and got with Mr., and Mr. Farquharson, junior, into their own double one. Descended from this most satisfactory round and penetration into the inner shrines and recesses of Highland scenery to the house, whence we emerged on foot upon the beautiful grounds. Dined at six. A most delightful party of delightful people; and what charmed me exceedingly was, that the moment we sat down to dinner the bagpipes struck out in the lobby, and serenaded us during the whole feast—a most interesting but now very rare relic of centuries long gone by. I never felt more the spirit-stirring genius of the Highlands and the old feudal times, than here; and finished a day which formed the brightest passage in my journey, by going to bed about eleven."

The biographer of Knox informs us that, in the summer of 1559, at a critical period in the history of the Church's Reformation, he "undertook a tour of preaching through the kingdom. The wide field which was before him, the interesting situation in which he was placed, the dangers by which he was surrounded, and the hopes which he cherished, increased the ardour of his zeal, and stimulated him to extraordinary exertions both of body and mind. Within less than two months he travelled over the greater part of Scotland. * * * The attention of the nation was aroused, their eyes were opened to the errors by which they had been deluded, and they panted for a continued and more copious supply of the word of life."

It was at a like critical period in the history of the Church's Extension that Dr. Chalmers undertook his tour through Scotland. His pre-announced and confident expectation was, that within a year he should raise £100,000, and add a hundred churches more to those already built; and up till the meeting of the General Assembly in May everything promised fair for the fulfilment of this hope. At that Assembly it was announced that more than one-fourth of the sum contemplated had already been subscribed; and as the fortnight during which Dr. Chalmers had made his circuit from Dundee to Dunfermline had yielded £6000, it seemed reasonable enough to calculate that the succeeding summer months would witness the completion of the design. But the event disappointed the expectation. "Better announce at once," said Dr. Chalmers, in presenting himself before the General Assembly of 1840, "that the last has proved a most extraordinary year in the history of Church Extension-in certain respects, a year of great disaster to the cause, yet in others opening up the hope, nay, even realizing the tokens of its coming enlargement. The present controversial state of the Church has operated most adversely, in particular, on that fund, the nature and objects of which were fully explained to the venerable Assembly in the report of last year, and now well known by its designation of the Supplementary Fund, which, but for our unhappy divisions, might by this time have reached, as we calculated from the actual success in a comparatively small part of Scotland, our confident anticipation twelve months ago of £100,000, but which, because of these divisions, scarcely, if at all, exceeds the sum of £40,000." It was discouraging that so much effort should have borne so little fruit; but the disappointment was borne with the greater equanimity on account of that contemplated retirement to which, at the end of his report, Dr. Chalmers thus alluded :-- "The convener of

your committee, who has prepared the above report, craves permission to close it with one brief paragraph which is personal to himself. He finds that the labours and requisite attentions of an office which for six years he has so inadequately filled, have now become a great deal too much for him; and for the sake of other labours and other preparations more in keeping with the arduous work of a theological professorship, as well as with the powers, and, he may add, the prospects and the duties of advanced life, he begs that he may now be suffered to withdraw. While he rejoices in the experimental confirmation which the history of these few years has afforded him of the resources and the capabilities of the Voluntary system, to which, as hitherto unfostered by the paternal care of Government, the scheme of Church Extension is indebted for all its progress, it still remains his unshaken conviction of that system notwithstanding, that it should only be resorted to as a supplement, and never but in times when the powers of infidelity and intolerance are linked together in hostile combination against the sacred prerogatives of the Church should it once be thought of as a substitute for a national establishment of Christianity. In days of darkness and disquietude it may open a temporary resource, whether for a virtuous secession or an ejected Church to fall back upon; but a far more glorious consummation is, when the State puts forth its hand to sustain but not to subjugate the Church, and the two, bent on moral conquests alone, walk together as fellow-helpers towards the achievement of that great pacific triumph—the Christian education of the people. He to whom you assigned so high and honourable an office as the prosecution of this object, and who now addresses you in the capacity of its holder for the last time, will not let go the confident hope, that under the smile of an approving Heaven, and with a blessing from on high, glorious things are yet in reserve for

the parishes of Scotland; and though his hand, now waxing feeble, must desist from the performances of other days, sooner will that hand forget its cunning, than he can forget or cease to feel for the Church of his fathers."

At the earnest entreaty of the Assembly, Dr. Chalmers continued at the head of the Extension Committee for another year, nor did he retire from the great field of labour till two hundred and twenty churches—more than one-fifth of its whole complement—had been added to the churches of the Establishment. The following table exhibits the progress of Church Extension during the period of his convenership:—

${\rm In}~1835$	there were	reported	162	Churches and	£65,626	1	113
1836	do.	do.	26	do.	32,359	12	$5\frac{3}{4}$
1837	do.	do.	67	do.	59,311	6	0
1838	do.	do.	32	do.	41,183	1	$4\frac{3}{4}$
1839	do.	do.	14	do.	52, 959	14	93
1840	do.	do.	15	do.	36,055	8	$0\frac{1}{2}$
1841	do.	do.	6	do.	18,252	6	6
	Grand tot	al.	222	Churches and	£305.747	11	27

CHAPTER VI.

FIRST STAGE IN THE NON-INTRUSION CONTROVERSY—SETTLEMENT AT AUCHTERARDER—ACTION BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSION—DECISION OF THE JUDGES—APPEAL TO THE HOUSE OF LORDS—RESOLUTION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY 1838—SPEECHES OF LORDS BROUGHAM AND COTTENHAM—JUDGMENT OF THE HOUSE OF LORDS—GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 1839—SPEECH OF DR. CHALMERS.

Five years had now elapsed since the evangelical interest became ascendant in the Church of Scotland, and the long lost power at last recovered, every department of Church affairs bore witness to the zeal and energy with which that power was exercised. A prosperous commencement was made in the reformation of the eldership.* Candidates for the holy ministry were subjected to stricter examination, and the course of their collegiate education was enlarged. A salutary discipline was exercised over the ministers of the Church, and many worthless clergymen, over whose delinquencies a shield of protection would in other days have been thrown, were brought to trial and deposed. That spiritual oversight of parishes by Presbyteries, of Presbyteries by Synods, and of Synods by the General Assembly, which the constitution of the Church required, was fostered into increased effectiveness. The English and Irish orthodox Presbyterian Churches were admitted to that ministerial communion with the Church of Scotland from

^{*} This spiritual office had frequently been conferred with no other object than to entitle its holder to a seat in the General Assembly, so that many who had never discharged any of its duties became members of the Supreme Ecclesiastical Court. This abuse was now corrected.

which they had been excluded,* while one entire branch of Seceders from the Establishment was received within its pale. Under the able guidance of Dr. Inglis and Dr. Baird, considerable efforts had been already made in furthering Foreign Missions and Home Education. To both these enterprises a new stimulus was now given. In 1796 the General Assembly had somewhat contemptuously refused to entertain the question of missions to the heathen. "As for these Missionary Societies," said one eminent individual, who has since risen to the highest position on the Scottish bench, and who lived to deliver judgment against the Church in the Auchterarder case, "I do aver, that since it is to be apprehended that these funds may in time, nay, certainly will, be turned against the Constitution, so it is the bounden duty of this House to give the overtures recommending them our most serious disapprobation, and our immediate most decisive opposition." † In 1835, fresh from his field of labour in the East, the Church's own first and most honoured missionary, Dr. Duff, presented himself before the Assembly, and to his fervent pleadings on behalf of missions, the whole House gave back one unbroken response of direct and grateful acquiescence. Hitherto it had been only on educational destitution existing in the Highlands and Islands of Scotland that the General Assembly had fixed its attention. But now its more wakeful eye was fastened on the like but more fatal destitution existing in the large towns and more populous districts of the Lowlands; and under the counsels of Dr. Welsh, and the vigorous agency of Mr. David Stow of Glasgow, the best basis was laid for an improved and extended national education in the institution of Normal

^{*} By an Act of Assembly passed in 1799, the ministers of every other Church in the world were absolutely excluded even from an occasional occupation of the pulpits of the Establishment.

[†] See "The two parties in the Church of Scotland exhibited as Missionary and Anti-Missionary, by Hugh Miller."—Edinburgh, 1841.—P. 33.

Schools. In 1836, widening still further the embrace of her sympathies and efforts, a scheme was organized, and an annual collection in all the churches was ordered, for the promotion of Christianity in the British colonies, where so many of our expatriated countrymen, through want of the means of grace, had fallen into spiritual forgetfulness. The year 1838 was distinguished by the appointment of a Commission of Inquiry into the state of the Jews, in execution of which Dr. Keith and Dr. Black, Mr. M'Cheyne and Mr. Bonar, journeyed over Europe to Palestine,—furnishing that Report upon which a new Scheme for the Conversion of the Jews was added to those formerly existing, and which received from the Christian public a general and cordial support. Altogether, in evidence of the rekindled zeal and redoubled energy with which all her public Christian enterprises were prosecuted, we can point to the Church's collective annual revenue for these objects in the year 1839, as being fourteen times greater than it had been in Speaking of this brief but brilliant period, Dr. Chalmers says,-"We abolished the union of offices,-we are planting schools,—we are multiplying chapels,—we are sending forth missionaries to distant parts of the world,—we have purified and invigorated the discipline,—we are extending the Church, and rallying our population around its venerable standard,we are bringing the sectaries again within its pale,—and last, though not least, we have reformed the patronage; and our licentiates, instead of a tutorship in the families of the great as their stepping-stone to preferment, now betake themselves to a parochial assistantship or to a preaching station, with its correspondent home-walk of Christian usefulness among the families of the surrounding poor, as the likeliest passage to a higher place in their profession, even as it is the best preparation for the duties of their high calling. And not only is there the visible glow of this great and wholesome reform

abroad over the country, or in the outer department of the Church, but in the business of its courts and judicatories, in the General Assembly itself, there is the same great and obvious reformation; so that, instead of the ecclesiastico-political arena which it once was, more at least than half its time is taken up with the beseeming cares of a great moral institute, devising for the Christian good and the best interests of men both at home and abroad." It was no common calamity which put an abrupt and fatal close to a career so promising, and our countrymen, to the latest generation, will be found inquiring what were the mighty interests in defence of which operations so fruitful of good were all arrested, and the men who were so vigorously prosecuting them driven beyond the pale of the Establishment. We have now to enter upon the painful history of those proceedings which terminated in this disastrous issue.

A few months after the passing of the Veto Law by the Assembly of 1834, a presentation was issued by the Earl of Kinnoul to the vacant parish of Auchterarder in Perthshire. Mr. Young, the presentee, was not in orders, holding only a license from his Presbytery, which permitted him to preach as a candidate for the holy office. After he had preached on two successive Sabbaths in the pulpit of the vacant church, a day was appointed for moderating in a call,—that is, for inviting the people to express their concurrence in his settlement. In a parish containing three thousand souls, only two of its inhabitants came forward upon that day to sign the call; and when, in obedience to the recent Act of Assembly, an opportunity was afforded to those male heads of families whose names were on the attested communion roll, of tendering their dissent, out of the three hundred entitled to use this privilege two hundred and eighty-seven, or more than five-sixths of the whole members, gave in their names

as dissentients, and all expressed their readiness to make the solemn declaration, that they were actuated by no factious or malicious motives, but solely by a conscientious regard to the spiritual interests of themselves and the congregation. To afford them time for reconsideration, and an opportunity, if they chose to avail themselves of it, to withdraw their names, the Presbytery adjourned for a fortnight; but at the adjourned meeting, without one exception, they all adhered to their dissent. Before any final judgment was given, in consequence of objections taken to some parts of the Presbytery's proceedings, the case went by appeal before the Synod of Perth and Stirling, and afterwards before the General Assembly of 1835. Having repelled the objections which had been taken to the actings of the inferior Court, the Assembly remitted the case to the Presbytery, with instructions "to proceed in the matter in terms of the Interim Act of last Assembly." Acting under these instructions, the Presbytery, on the 7th July 1835, rejected Mr. Young, "so far as regarded that particular presentation." Against this rejection the presentee entered an appeal to the Synod, which he afterwards abandoned; and it was with mingled curiosity and alarm that the Church learned, that in conjunction with the patron he had raised an action against the Presbytery before the Supreme Civil Court, the Court of Session. As the action was originally laid, the Court was asked to review the proceedings of the Presbytery solely with the view of determining the destination of the benefice, and declaring that the just and legal right to the stipend still lay with the rejected presentee. The case, however, had not been in Court more than a few weeks when an ominous change was made upon the whole character of the action. This change, technically denominated "an amendment of the libel," was effected by the introduction of new clauses, in which the Court was asked to find and declare that the rejection of Mr.

Young, expressly on the ground of a veto by the parishioners, was illegal, being contrary to statute, and that the Presbytery was still under statutory obligation to take Mr. Young upon trial, and if found qualified to ordain him as minister of the parish. The case, the novelty and importance of which began now to be universally appreciated, was ordered to be heard before all the judges. The pleadings began on the 21st November, and closed on the 12th of the succeeding month. On the 27th February 1838, and on six subsequent days, the judges delivered their opinions, deciding, by a majority of eight to five, in favour of the pursuers and against the Church. The majority was composed of the Lord President (Hope,) Lord Gillies, the Lord Justice-Clerk (Boyle,) Lord Meadowbank, Lord Mackenzie, Lord Medwyn, Lord Corehouse, and Lord Cuninghame. The minority consisted of Lord Fullerton, Lord Moncreiff, Lord Glenlee, Lord Jeffrey, and Lord Cockburn. The judgment of the Court, delivered on the 8th March, did not cover the whole of the conclusions craved by the pursuers; but after repelling the objections which had been taken to the jurisdiction of the Court and the competency of the action, restricted itself to finding, that in rejecting Mr. Young " on the sole ground that a majority of male heads of families, communicants in the said parish, have dissented, without any reason assigned, from his admission as minister, the Presbytery have acted illegally and in violation of their duty, and contrary to the provisions of certain statutes libelled on."

Throughout all the lengthened arguments delivered at the Bar and from the Bench the two leading questions which were carefully distinguished from each other, and subjected to separate discussion, were,—1. The legality of the Veto Law,—whether the Church, under statute or otherwise, was legally competent to enact such a law, and whether, in enacting it, she had violated any statute of the realm; and, 2. The competence of

the Court of Session to interfere, in case it should find the Veto Law to be illegal, for any other purpose, and to any other effect, than simply to regulate the destination of the benefice. The pleadings at the Bar, as well as the opinions delivered from the Bench, left a certain amount of obscurity resting upon both these leading topics. It sometimes seemed as if the alleged illegality of the Veto Law lay exclusively in the conclusive force bestowed upon an arbitrary dissent of a majority, and in the Church having thereby transferred to the people a privilege which, though possessed by herself, she was not at liberty to alienate; so that if taking Mr. Young upon trial, and looking upon his non-acceptability as a disqualification, she were on that ground by her own authority and upon her own judgment to reject him, she would be guilty of no breach of any In the arguments, again, by which the competency of the Court of Session to adjudicate upon this case was sustained, it was difficult to know whether it was affirmed or not, that over all such actings of Church Courts as directly or indirectly carried civil consequences, the Court of Session claimed the same authority which it possessed and exercised over all the inferior civil tribunals of the kingdom, or whether any separate standing and exclusive jurisdiction was allowed to the ecclesiastical judicatories. The Court of Session had considered itself competent to declare that a Presbytery which, acting under the explicit directions of the supreme ecclesiastical tribunal, had done nothing but carry out a law of the Assembly, had done an illegal act. But was it prepared to do here what, in every like case of a purely civil character, it was its right and duty to do-to order the Presbytery to proceed as it directed; and holding the Veto Law as a nullity, simply because it, the Court of Session, held it so, to take the necessary steps towards the presentee's ordination: and in case of the Presbytery's disobedience, was it prepared by the ordinary compul-

sitors of law-by fine or imprisonment-to enforce obedience to its edict? In itself the sentence pronounced by the Court was equivocal. Declaring what the Presbytery had done to be illegal, it stopped short of declaring or prescribing what the Presbytery should do. That sentence might have been given though all that the Court meant to interfere with was the appropriation of the stipend. One thing alone was clearly and conclusively determined by it, that should the Church persist in rejecting Mr. Young, she incurred thereby the forfeiture of the benefice. It was to prevent, if possible, this forfeiture that, at its meeting in May 1838, the General Assembly instructed its law officer to appeal the case to the House of Lords. That there might be no misunderstanding, however, of the position relative to the Civil Courts assumed by the Church, the same Assembly passed a very memorable resolu-The Church's separate and exclusive spiritual jurisdiction, though not yet actually invaded, was most seriously menaced. Opinions had been uttered both at the Bar and from the Bench which went to strip her of all those liberties and privileges, which, given her by her Great Head, she believed had been amply guaranteed to her by statute, and which, except in the darkest periods of her persecution, she had freely exercised and enjoyed. The blow had not yet been struck which should lay her prostrate beneath the secular power, but the arm was lifted, and there seemed no want of will to strike. Calmly, solemnly, resolutely, in front of the impending danger she took up her ground—ground from which she never swerved. By a majority of 183 to 142 the General Assembly of 1838 resolved-

"That the General Assembly of this Church, while they unqualifiedly acknowledge the exclusive jurisdiction of the Civil Courts in regard to the civil rights and emoluments secured by law to the Church and the ministers thereof, and

will ever give and inculcate implicit obedience to their decisions thereanent, do resolve, that as it is declared in the Confession of Faith of this National Established Church, that the Lord Jesus Christ is King and Head of the Church, and hath therein appointed a government in the hands of Church officers distinct from the civil magistrate, and that in all matters touching the doctrine, government, and discipline of the Church, her judicatories possess an exclusive jurisdiction, founded on the Word of God, which 'power ecclesiastical (in the words of the Second Book of Discipline) flows from God, and the Mediator, Jesus Christ, and is spiritual, not having a temporal head on earth but only Christ, the only spiritual King and Governor of his Kirk;' and they do further resolve, that this spiritual jurisdiction and supremacy and sole headship of the Lord Jesus Christ, on which it depends, they will assert, and at all hazards defend, by the help and blessing of that great God who, in the days of old, enabled their fathers, amid manifold persecutions, to maintain a testimony even to the death, for Christ's kingdom and crown: And, finally, that they will firmly enforce obedience to the same upon all officebearers and members of this Church, by the execution of her laws in the exercise of the ecclesiastical authority wherewith they are invested."

Nearly a year elapsed ere the Auchterarder case was heard before the House of Lords. Lords Brougham and Cottenham having delivered their opinions on the 2d and 3d May 1839, and their opinions substantially agreeing, the sentence of that Court was passed dismissing the appeal and confirming the deliverance of the Court of Session. For one thing, at least, the Church of Scotland had to thank these noble Lords: their speeches cleared away all the ambiguity which had rested upon the discussion of the Court below. It was by a simple and very short line of argument that they each arrived

at their interpretation of the Law of Patronage. By the concluding clause of the Act of Queen Anne restoring patronages, the Act 1592 had been revived, and became the governing statute upon this subject. That statute ordains "that all presentations to benefices be directed to the particular Presbyteries, with full power to give collation thereupon, and to put order to all matters and causes ecclesiastical within their bounds, according to the discipline of the Kirk; provided the foresaid Presbyteries be bound and astricted to receive and admit whatsoever qualified minister presented by His Majesty or lay patrons." According to the interpretation put upon this statute by Lords Brougham and Cottenham, the sole province of the Church in the matter of collation, beyond which she cannot travel without subjecting herself to civil coercion, is to judge of the personal qualifications of the presentee, and in so judging she must strictly limit herself to an inquiry into his life, literature, and manners. "With respect to qualification," said Lord Brougham, "I am somewhat surprised to find in the very able and learned arguments from the Bench below, an attempt made to shew that qualification is of such extensive meaning, that within its scope may be brought the whole of the matter at present in dispute-namely, the acceptableness and reception of the party presented by the congregation as finding favour in their sight. * * I am going to shew your Lordships that no such meaning can possibly, by the law of Scotland, be given to the word 'qualified.' It is a technical word in this question: it is not the word 'qualified' used in its general sense, as you talk of a man's qualities-of his capacity—of his abilities—of his merits, which are all general phrases, and none of them technically defined. The word 'qualified' is as much a known word of the law, and has as much a technical sense imposed upon it by the statutesby the law authorities—by the opinions of commentators—by

VOL. IV.

the dicta of judges, as the word 'qualification' has when used to express the right to kill game, or when used to express a right to vote in the election of a member of Parliament. * * * It means a qualification in literature, life, and morals, to be judged of by the Presbytery; and no one talks of interfering with that right of so judging by them."* The Lord Chancellor was equally explicit: "But if it be clear, as it certainly is, that the qualifications referred to in the statutes are personal qualifications—'literature, life, and manners'—there can be no ground for contending that the dissent of the majority of the heads of families is a disqualification within the meaning of the statutes. * * * The absolute right of patronage, subject only to the rejection of the presentee by the adjudication of the Presbytery for want of qualification, which is secured by the statute, is inconsistent with the exercise of any volition by the inhabitants, however expressed."+ Such an interpretation confined the jurisdiction of the Church to the one single topic of judging of the presentee's life, literature, and manners, and deprived the congregation or general body of communicants of all standing, weight, and influence in the settlement of ministers. It was an interpretation altogether new-new to every party of Churchmen in Scotland, and inconsistent with the whole current of hitherto unchallenged laws and actings of the Church. When a patron happened to present a clergyman already ordained, upon whose personal qualifications the Church had already passed approving judgment, in such a case, and according to this interpretation, no ground or liberty of rejecting him remained. Lord Brougham referring expressly to such a case, declared that nothing so wild had ever been urged as the supposition that the Church could claim or exercise such a right; and yet up to this time, neither among the lawyers nor the ecclesiastics of Scotland had

^{*} Robertson's Report, pp. 14, 15, 17. † Robertson's Report, pp. 52, 53.

there ever been a doubt as to the Church's possession of this right—her whole proceeding in the instance of the translation of ordained clergymen from one parish to another was based upon its existence—in innumerable cases had it been exercised, ordained presentces having been rejected, and yet never once, whether in court civil or ecclesiastical, had this power of rejection been challenged. In 1817 Dr. Hill, the leader of the Moderate party, introduced and carried a measure in the General Assembly, by which the union of a professorship in a college and the ministerial charge of a country parish was prohibited. By this new version, however, of the Law of Patronage, such a measure was ultra vires of the Assembly, and any professor rejected upon the ground of this prohibition had only to bring his case before the Civil Court to have his right to admission confirmed and enforced. So universal was the conviction that the Church's prerogative extended beyond a mere adjudication upon life, literature, and morals, that when, in 1833, Dr. Chalmers first introduced the Veto Law, Dr. Cook's motion, which on that occasion was carried, declared it competent for the heads of families to give in objections, of whatever nature, against the presentee, and for the Presbytery, if they thought such objections to be well grounded, to reject him. In the discussion which then took place, Dr. Cook strenuously affirmed "that the Church regarded qualification as including much more than learning, moral character, and sound doctrine—as extending, in fact, to the fitness of the presentees, in all respects, for the particular situation to which they were appointed."

Had the interpretation now put upon the Law of Patronage been known in the preceding century, to what an amount of ecclesiastical litigation about calls would it have put an immediate and final termination. For many years in the earlier part of that century, and so long as that party still predominated which was resolved to carry out the principle, which the Church had so often declared to be a fundamental one, that no pastor should be intruded into any congregation contrary to the will of the people, cases continually occurred in which presentees were rejected on no other ground whatever than the insufficiency of the call—their want of acceptability to the people; but there never was a case of any such rejected presentee having recourse to the Court of Session, because neither in the Parliament House nor in the Assembly had this new view been broached, of the unfettered right of the patron. When the Moderate party, under the able guidance of Lord Brougham's distinguished relative, Principal Robertson, began that course of policy, which, after many a painful conflict, finally reduced the call to a mere dead form, the struggle was restricted entirely to the Church Courts, which it certainly would not have been had it ever been imagined that so summary a method of settlement was available, as that supplied by the decision of the House of Lords.

The mere novelty, however, of this interpretation of a single law, was not nearly so alarming as were those general views as to the constitution of the Church, and the nature and consequences of her connexion with the State, upon which that interpretation obviously and ostensibly was based. The Church's power in this single case had been limited to such narrow boundaries, because no statute could be found which distinctly and specifically bestowed upon her any other or wider range of action. It was in vain that the Church's advocates spoke of powers and privileges—of a constitution and polity possessed by her, not in virtue of any donation by the State, but in virtue of her divine institution by Christ. It was in vain that they pointed to the many express statutory recognitions and ratifications of her government and discipline, as flowing to her from her great Spiritual Head. It was in vain that turning

to that very Act of 1592, by help of which the right of the patron was to be carried triumphantly over all those defences against the intrusion of unacceptable ministers which the Church had erected, they quoted the clause which gave the Church full power to put order to all matters and causes ecclesiastical, according to the discipline of the Kirk. It was in vain that they quoted another portion of this same statute, in which, referring to and repealing a previous Act which had asserted the Royal supremacy over all persons and causes ecclesiastical, it was declared that it "should no ways be prejudicial, nor derogate anything from the privilege that God has given to the spiritual office-bearers in the Kirk, concerning heads of religion, matters of heresy, excommunication, collation or deprivation of ministers, or any such like censures specially grounded and having warrant of the Word." The statute had spoken only of judging of the presentee's qualifications, and beyond that the Church must not proceed. If in her judicial capacity she had frequently prevented the settlement of ministers, against whose "life, literature, and manners," nothing could be alleged; if in her legislative capacity she had passed many laws, imposing other restrictions upon Patronage than the single one now allowed, her judgments were illegal, her laws were impotent. Instead of her own old conception that she had all freedom, except that which statute specifically denied, the new conception was that she had no freedom except that which statute specifically granted. Adopting this conception, "one-half, and more than one-half, of the privileges of the Church would be disallowed; and she would be rendered more bare of honour and prerogative, than even any ordinary cor-

poration, whose privileges may be asserted and ascertained by

an appeal to the general practice of the constitution." *

^{*} See the admirable speech of the Solicitor-General (Rutherfurd,) in Robertson's Report, vol. i. p. 256.

In their sentence, the Court of Session had refrained from laving any order upon the Presbytery, and the House of Lords did nothing more than simply affirm that sentence. In the forwardness of his zeal, however, Lord Brougham volunteered to instruct the Court of Session as to their future course. "And then," said his Lordship, "may come this question, 'What is the Court of Session to do upon the petitory part of the summons, supposing that shall be insisted upon?' Enough it is for me to-day to observe that this is not now before us. But suppose it were, I should have no fear in dealing with it. I should at once make an order upon the Presbytery to admit if duly qualified, and to disregard the dissent of the congregation;" "and if they did not admit, they broke the laws, they acted illegally, and were liable to the consequences, civil and other, of disobeying the positive and clear order of a statute." * * * " Still it is affirmed that the Presbytery may persist in refusing. My Lords, it is indecent to suppose any such case. You might as well suppose that Doctors Commons would refuse to attend to a prohibition from the Court of King's Bench; you might as well suppose that the Court of Session, when you remit a cause with orders to alter the judgment, would refuse to alter it." Never once during all that period when litigations about conflicting presentations, and the settlement of ministers thereupon, had been so numerous, had the Court of Session ventured upon such an act as that which they were now so heartily counselled to perform. They had been once asked to do a kindred deed, but they had refused to interfere; "because that was interfering with the power of ordination, or the internal policy of the Church, with which the Lords thought that they had nothing to do."* Should the Presbytery persist in refusing to settle Mr. Young, one clause

^{*} Report in the case of Dunse, by Lord Monboddo, quoted by Mr. Bell—Robertson's Report, vol. i. p. 117.

of the very act upon which so much was grounded, might have suggested to Lord Brougham another alternative than the one which he had suggested:-" Providing always, in case the Presbytery refuses to admit any qualified minister presented to them by the patron, it shall be lawful to the patron to retain the whole fruits of the said benefice in his own hands." If Presbyteries were under statutory obligation to admit qualified presentees, and by the ordinary compulsitors of the law could be forced to fulfil such obligation, how came such a clause as this into that very Act, by which, as it was alleged, that very obligation was imposed? That clause, indeed, stands upon the statute-book as a perpetual protest against that series of encroachments upon the spiritual prerogatives of the Church upon which the Court of Session was now hastening to embark, and a perpetual vindication of that position which, as the sequel will indicate, the Church felt herself compelled to occupy.

The speeches of Lords Brougham and Cottenham were delivered early in May 1839, and had great influence in determining the proceedings of the General Assembly, which commenced its sittings on the 16th of that month. They effected a very important change in that course of policy which Dr. Chalmers had been prepared to advise. He was in no way particularly wedded to the Veto Law. Regarding it only as one mode of gaining a certain end-the hindering of bad and the promoting of good appointments—he was ready to make any change in the mode, if only the same end could be realized. The decision of the Court of Session had made it clear that whenever a rejection under the Veto Law took place, a forfeiture of the temporalities of the living would ensue. But up to the time when the Lord Chancellor and Lord Brougham had delivered their opinions, he had been convinced that if relinquishing the form of procedure established by the Veto Law, and falling back upon her own intrinsic powers,

the Church were to sit in judgment upon each case of settlement as it occurred, she would be able to prevent all improper intrusion of parties upon reclaiming congregations. He had been prepared, therefore, to advise that the Assembly should repeal the Veto Law; and, with a general declaration of a resolution to maintain the principle of Non-Intrusion, should commit the whole matter in the first instance to the Presbyteries of the Church. These speeches of the two Chancellors taught him that a veto by the Presbytery would now be held to be as illegal as a veto by the congregation; and that to repeal the Veto Law would bring them no nearer to the effecting of such a harmony between the law of the State as interpreted by the highest legal functionaries of the realm, and the law and practices of the Church for the prevention of intrusion, as should hinder the dissevering of the benefice from the cure of souls. Assuming that the Church were to stand firm in her purpose, to take no part in the ordination of men whom she conscientiously believed to be unfit for that particular charge to which they had been presented, it was obvious that the desired harmony could be attained only through the intervention of the Legislature. A direct and immediate application to the Legislature seemed, therefore, the fittest, if not the only course for the Church to pursue. For six years past Dr. Chalmers had not been a member of the General Assembly, and with the exception of reading his Annual Report on Church Extension, he had taken little part in the general management of Church affairs. But a truly momentous crisis had now arrived, before which his strong purpose of retirement gave way, and every energy of his nature was devoted to the guidance of the Church through the troubled and perilous passage. He entered the conflict with an anxious but unembarrassed spirit. Mere party ties had but little hold on him. With many of the opinions held, and many of the sentiments uttered by some of the most prominent evangelical leaders, he had no sympathy. He did not participate in the conviction that the right to choose their own ministers belonged by divine donation to the people. He disliked when the contest on which the Church had now fairly entered was represented as a contest for the rights of the Christian people; nor could be approve of the phraseology, rife now in some quarters, according to which the privileges of communicants, in the matter of the appointment of their religious instructors, was spoken of as part of the liberty wherewith Christ had made His people free. Believing in the existence of no divine right, wedded to no abstract theory, his position was, that the Church should be left free to carry out her own conscientious convictions—should be left unbribed and unfettered to do what she thought best for the Christian good of the people; and, as his own convictions most cordially went along with what the Church had declared to be a fundamental principle of her policy, he was prepared at any hazard to take any necessary step, at once for the preservation of the Church's general freedom, and the protection of the Church's humblest congregations. The General Assembly, upon whose deliberations and decisions so much was now depending, met at Edinburgh on the 16th May 1839. Scarcely had the necessary preliminaries been concluded, when Dr. Cook, the leader of the Moderate party, rose to say that there was one question of such pre-eminent importance, that he wished the day for its discussion to be fixed without delay; intimating, at the same time, his intention to submit a resolution regarding it to the House. On the following Monday, three motions were read and tabled; one by Dr. Cook, one by Dr. Chalmers, and one by Dr. Muir. The discussion was fixed to be on Wednesday, and for several hours before the Assembly convened upon that day, the House was crowded in every corner. The days were past when the Edinburgh public suffered an

Assembly to go by with little other notice than that which the military cortege of the Commissioner excited. Interests were now at stake, in which Scotland's remotest extremities were concerned; and the great heart of the body ecclesiastic beat fuller and stronger as each returning Assembly came round. Participating in those deep and solemn feelings, which had gathered many a group of the faithful over the land around the Throne of Grace, the General Assembly, before the debate began, called upon the venerable minister of Kilsyth to engage in prayer. Dr. Cook opened the discus-His motion was to the effect that the Assembly sion. should hold the Veto Law as abrogated, and proceed as if it never had passed. Dr. Chalmers's motion consisted of three parts:-The first embraced an acknowledgment of, and acquiescence in, the loss of the temporalities of the living of Auchterarder; the second contained the expression of a resolution that the principle of Non-Intrusion was not to be abandoned; and the third proposed the appointment of a committee to confer with the Government, in order to prevent any further collision between the civil and ecclesiastical authorities. The magnificent oration in which Dr. Chalmers supported this motion occupied three hours in its delivery; and so great and exhausting was the effort, that he had to retire from the Court immediately, nor was he able to return to give his vote at the close of the debate. The discussion had commenced at twelve o'clock on Wednesday the 22d; and at two o'clock on the morning of the following day, when it was announced, as the result of the vote, that Dr. Chalmers's motion was carried by a majority of 49, the irrepressible cheer that burst from the galleries, told in what direction, and how strongly the popular current was running. It will not be deemed an overloading of these pages, to present anew, and thus preserve, a few passages from Dr. Chalmers's speech.

Having disclaimed all connexion with any section of the Assembly, having explained the personal position which he occupied, and touched on a few preliminary topics-" But we now pass on," said he, "to vindicate another part of the motion, by which it is implied that, meanwhile, and previous to the settlement of the question between the Legislature and ourselves, the Veto Law shall continue the unrepealed law of the Church. Is there not rebellion here, it may be askedand that too against an authority to which hitherto we have been professing the homage of so much loyalty and respect? By the sentence of the Civil Courts this law is pronounced to be illegal; and if we persist in keeping by it, we incur of course the forfeiture of certain temporalities. But how can we, it is said, how can we, after such a sentence, persist in yielding obedience to it as a law of the Church, without incurring the further charge of disobedience to the law of the land?

"To answer these questions I must fall back on what I conceive to be the true theory of the connexion between Church and State.

"When this alliance then was first entered on, the first movement was made by the State. The overture came from them, on what motive, whether of piety or patriotism, or any other cause, it matters not—if it was such an overture as could be righteously, in which case it might be most rejoicingly, consented to by the Church, who might bless God in orisons of the devoutest gratitude, in that, by aid of the civil magistrate, a way had been opened up for the lessons of the Gospel, for the words and the message of everlasting life to all the population. The boon on the one side was a maintenance for the Church's labourers, who might be distributed over the length and breadth of the land, and act each as the herald of salvation on his own assigned portion of the territory. The return on the other side was an immense blessing to the State—that best

security, not for the temporal and eternal happiness of individuals only, but for the moral and political and the economic well-being of every community—a universal Christian education.

"Such then is the precise footing on which the Church enters into that alliance with the State, by which it becomes what is termed a National Church, or an Established Church, or a Religious National Establishment. It may have subsisted for many ages as a Christian Church, with all its tenets and its usages, not as prescribed by human authority, but as founded either on the word of God or on their own independent views of Christian expediency-meaning by this their own views of what is best for the good of unperishable souls. None of these things were given up to the State at the time when the Church entered into an alliance with it; but one and all of them remained as intact and inviolable after this alliance as before it. She did not make over her liberties to the State, at the time when she entered into fellowship with it, in this new character of a National Establishment—she only made over her services. That was the return, the only return she could make, if along with the new she was to retain her old character as a Christian Church; and I will say an adequate, nay, an overpassing return, for the maintenance of her clergymen. Her office henceforward was to dispense the lessons of Christianity to the people of that sovereign who gave subsistence to her labourers; but still it was no other than the Christianity of the Old and New Testament. Her subsistence came from the State; but her formularies and her doctrine, and her discipline, and the methods of her ecclesiastical polity, and her articles of faith, and her methods of worship and of government, were all her own.

"It would serve, I think, greatly to clear this argument, did we make careful discrimination between the Church of Scotland viewed as a National Establishment, and the Church of Scotland viewed as a Church of Christ. There are certain obligations incumbent upon her quasi a Christian Church, and there are certain privileges which belong to her quasi an Establishment. Now, I hold it to be quite an axiom, a first and elementary truth, that we are never, in any instance, to depart from the obligations which lie upon us as a Christian Church, for the sake either of obtaining or perpetuating the privileges which belong to us as an Established Church. But though, on the one hand, we cannot either rescind or refrain from enacting what we hold to be vital—ere we make a voluntary withdrawment of ourselves from the State, we should make every attempt to obtain its concurrence, and that in order to avert the calamity of a disruption betwixt us; and this too in the face of every ungenerous misinterpretation, to which our desire of preserving the connexion between the parties with all its advantages is liable. There may be nothing of the sycophantish, nothing of the sordid, in the most strenuous attempts which principle will suffer us to make, to maintain unbroken the alliance between Church and State. On the contrary, it may be the high aim of Christian patriotism, prosecuted in the spirit and with the apostolic zeal of a devoted missionary, intent on the spiritual wellbeing of the country's population, and therefore desirous of enlisting the energies of the civil government, in the holy enterprise of bringing the lessons of the Gospel within the reach and hearing of all the families of the land. Every method should be tried to preserve, or if we have unfortunately lost it, to recover the favour and confidence of our rulers. meanwhile, till we make this out, we have nothing for it but to administer our own affairs in conformity with, and under the guidance and authority of our own statute-book. Now, it was by the deliberate voice and judgment of the Church that this law, so obnoxious in other quarters, found its way there; and though it never should be consented to by the State it must continue to be our regulator till rescinded by the same power to which

it owes its enactment, and on no other considerations I trust than those of principle and of the public weal. Whether a law is to be established or repealed by us, let me never see the day when we shall be constrained to either the one or the other by a force ab extra, or by any principle whatever distinct from our own spontaneous views of what is best for the interests of Christ's spiritual kingdom. The only moving principle which we should acknowledge is the 'majus bonum ecclesiæ;' and that not in any sordid or secular meaning of the term, but in the sacred, the celestial, the high, and wholly disinterested sense of the 'majus bonum populi'—the greater good of their unperishable souls. In other words, we should decide this and every other question on considerations purely religious and ecclesiastical alone.

"But let me now, instead of looking forward into consequences, give some idea to the Assembly of the extent of that degradation and helplessness, which, if we do submit to this decision of the House of Lords, have been actually and already inflicted upon us-a degradation to which the Church of England, professing the King to be their head, never would submit; and to which the Church of Scotland, professing the Lord Jesus Christ to be their head, never can. You know that by the practice of our Church the induction and the ordination go together. We regard both as spiritual acts; but by the practice of the Church of England, the two are separated in point of time from each other, and as they look only upon the ordination as spiritual, this lays them open to such civil mandates and civil interdicts, as we have never been accustomed to receive in the questions which arise on the subject of induction into parishes. But ask any English ecclesiastic, whether the Bishop would receive an order from any civil court whatever on the matter of ordination; and the instant, the universal reply is, that he would not. In other words, we should be degraded far beneath the level of the sister Church if we remain in

connexion with the State, and submit to this new ordinance, or if you will, to this new interpretation of their old ordinances. I hold in my hands a book entitled a History of the Romish and English Hierarchies, by James Abbott, A.B. of Queen's College, Cambridge. He was refused ordination by the Bishop of Norwich, and afterwards by the Archbishop of Canterbury, to whom he carried his case by appeal. Upon this he addressed a letter to the King as head of the Church, dated Bracondale House, Norwich, November 27, 1830. Of this letter, in which he details the circumstances of his case, the following is the concluding paragraph: - 'I, therefore, earnestly invoke your Majesty, as head of the Church, and father of your people, graciously to consider my prayer, to remove this hindrance to my obtaining Episcopal ordination; in order that your Majesty's royal prerogative may secure to me the privileges and rights of a denizen and of a British graduate.' The following is Lord Melbourne's reply, written by his secretary:-

"" WHITEHALL, 29th December, 1830.

"'SIR,—I am directed by Lord Melbourne to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 27th instant, and to inform you that his lordship cannot advise the King to give any command for controlling the judgment of a bishop on the subject of ordination to holy orders.—I am, Sir, your obedient humble Servant,

S. M. PHILLIFS.

'James Abbott, Esq., Bracondale House, Norwich.'

"To what position then are we brought if we give in to the opposite motion, and proceed in consequence to the ordination of Mr. Young? To such a position as the bishops of England, with all the Erastianism which has been charged, and to a great degree I think falsely charged, upon that Establishment, never, never would consent to occupy. Many of them would go to the prison and the death rather than submit to such an

invasion on the functions of the sacred office. We read of an old imprisonment of Bishops, which led to the greatest and most glorious political emancipation that ever took place in the history of England. Let us not be mistaken. Should the emancipation of our Church require it, there is the same strength of high and holy determination in this our land. There are materials here too for upholding the contest between principle and power; and enough of the blood and spirit of the olden time for sustaining that holy warfare, where, as in former days, the inflictions of the one party were met with a patience and determination invincible in the sufferings of the other."

Having quoted also from a speech of the Bishop of Exeter, in which that prelate had declared it to be his intention not to obey a certain law, though it should receive the sanction of the Legislature, Dr. Chalmers concluded with some remarks on the principle of the Veto Law.

"I am fully prepared for all the wanton ridicule which has been cast on a popular antipathy without reasons, or such reasons as can be stated before a bench of judges for them to judge upon. The Dean of Faculty, in his pleading before the Lords of Session, makes repeated and contemptuous allusions to this mystic and incomprehensible something, too shadowy for expression, too ethereal to be bodied forth in language, and on which we would reject the presentee-grounding our rejection on a veto, itself without grounds, or at least such grounds as are capable of being set forth and made intelligible to the minds of other men. Now, if there be one thing of which we are more confident than another, it is that here we have all philosophy upon our side, and all that is sound in the experience of human nature. Not in Christianity alone, but in a thousand other subjects of human thought, there may be antipathies and approvals, resting on a most solid and legitimate foundation—not properly, therefore, without reasons, but reasons deeply felt, yet incapable of being adequately com-

municated. And if there be one topic more than another on which this phenomenon of the human spirit should be most frequently realized, it is the topic of Christianity—a religion the manifestation of whose truth is unto the conscience; and the response or assenting testimony to which, as an object of instant discernment, might issue from the deep recesses of their moral nature on the part of men with whom it is a felt reality—able therefore to articulate their belief, yet not able to articulate the reasons of it. There is much, and that the weightiest part by far of the internal evidence for Christianity, that rests on the adaptations which obtain between its objective truths and the felt necessities or desires of our subjective nature—adaptations powerfully and intimately felt by many a possessor of that nature, who is yet unable to propound them in language, far less to state or vindicate them at the bar of judgment. And if ever the prerogatives of the human conscience were at one time more cruelly trampled on than at another, it has been within the last century, and at the bar of this House—when the collective mind of a congregation, who both knew and loved the truth as it is in Jesus, has been contemptuously set at nought; and the best, the holiest feelings of our Scottish patriarchs, by lordly oppressors sitting in state and judgment over them, were barbarously scorned. In that age of violent settlements, these simple, these unlettered men of a rustic congregation, could say no more, yet said most truly of the intruded minister, that he did not preach the Gospel, and that in the doctrine he gave there was no food for the nourishment of their souls. I cannot image a more painful spectacle than such men as these, the worthies of the olden time, at once the pride and the preserving salt of our Scottish commonwealth, placed under the treatment and rough handling of an able, jeering, ungodly advocate; while coarse and contemptuous clergymen, booted and spurred for riding Committees, were looking on and enjoying the scene; and a loud

VOL. IV.

laugh from the seats of these assembled scorners completed the triumph over the religious sensibilities of men, who could but reclaim with their hearts and not with their voices. was the policy of Dr. Robertson, recently lauded in high places -a policy which has dissevered our population from our Church, and shed most withering influence over the religion of the families of Scotland. Re-enact this policy if you will, and you place your Kirk as a National Establishment on the brink of its sure annihilation. Have a care, ye professing friends of order and loyalty, have a care lest, by a departure from the line of resolute and unswerving principle, you strip the Church of all moral weight in the eyes of the community. Think of the deadly enemies by whom we are encompassed; and have a care lest, by one hair-breadth of deviation from the path of integrity and honour, you cause the hearts of these Philistines to rejoice.

"This discernment of the Gospel, this just perception of truth on the part of a home-bred peasantry, though unable to assign the principles or reasons, is not more marvellous than is their just perception of beauty, though unable to assign the philosophy of taste. Hear the most philosophical of all our poets, Akenside, who, in his 'Pleasures of Imagination,' bids us

""Ask the swain who journeys homeward from a summer day's Long labour, why, forgetful of his toils And due repose, he loiters to behold The sunshine gleaming as through amber clouds O'er all the western sky. Full soon, I ween, His rude expression and untutor'd air, Beyond the power of language, will unfold The form of beauty smiling at his heart, How lovely, how commanding !"—"Heaven, In every breast hath sown these early seeds Of love and admiration."

"In the one case our peasant feels, and correctly feels, an admiration, which, unskilled in metaphysics, he cannot vindicate; in the other he knows the truth, though, unskilled in logic, he can neither state nor defend the reasons of it.

"'It has been frequently remarked,' says Dugald Stewart, 'that the justest and most efficient understandings are often possessed by men who are incapable of stating to others, or even to themselves, the grounds on which they proceed in forming their decisions.'- 'An aneedote which I heard many years ago, of a late very eminent judge, (Lord Mansfield,) has often recurred to my memory, while reflecting on these apparent inconsistencies of intellectual character. A friend of his who possessed excellent natural talents, but who had been prevented, by his professional duties as a naval officer, from bestowing on them all the cultivation of which they were susceptible, having been recently appointed to the government of Jamaica, happened to express some doubts of his competency to preside in the Court of Chancery. Lord Mansfield assured him that he would find the difficulty not so great as he appre-"Trust," he said, "to your own good sense in forming your opinions; but beware of attempting to state the grounds of your judgments. The judgment will probably be right; the argument will infallibly be wrong." '*

"I would take the verdict of a congregation just as I take the verdict of a jury, without reasons. Their judgment is what I want, not the grounds of their judgment. Give me the aggregate will; and tell me only that it is founded on the aggregate conscience of a people who love their bibles, and to whom the preaching of the cross is precious; and to the expression of that will, to the voice of the collective mind of that people, not as sitting in judgment on the minor insignificancies of mode and circumstance and things of external observation, but as sitting in judgment on the great subject-matter of the truth as it is in Jesus—to such a voice, coming in the spirit, and with the desires of moral earnestness from such a people, I for one would yield the profoundest reverence."

^{*} Stewart's Elements, vol. ii., 8vo, pp. 103, 106.

CHAPTER VII.

FIRST EFFORT OF THE NON-INTRUSION COMMITTEE—DEPUTATION TO LONDON—INTERVIEWS WITH THE LEADING POLITICIANS—REPORT TO THE ASSEMBLY'S COMMISSION—EXTRACTS FROM PRIVATE JOHENAL

The first effort of the important Committee appointed under Dr. Chalmers's resolution, was to obtain from the Legislature a confirmation as to civil consequences of the Veto Law. There was much to recommend that law as the basis of their earliest negotiations. It embodied the mildest form in which conclusive effect could be given to the will of the people. During five years, and out of one hundred and fifty settlements which had taken place under it, in ten instances only had the power which it conferred been exercised. Some of its greatest ecclesiastical enemies had expressed their surprise and satisfaction at the quiet manner of its operation, nor had any opposition been elicited from the patrons of Scotland. There was one special reason, besides, for counting upon the prompt aid of the Government now in power. It was with the express concurrence and sanction of that Government that the Veto Law had originally been passed; * and if, in carrying

^{*} One of the earliest expressions of Government countenance to the Veto Law, is contained in the following letter of Lord Jeffrey, then Lord Advocate:—

[&]quot;House of Lords, 13th May 1833.

"My dear Dr. Chalmers,—Your obliging letter of the 9th has just been brought to me here. I wrote very fully to the Solicitor on Thursday last, on the important subject to which you refer; and directed him to communicate that letter, or the substance of it, to you. If this has been done, you will find a satis-

out a measure to which it virtually had been a party, the Church had become involved in the most painful perplexity, it was natural to expect that the generous hand of the Government would instantly be extended to extricate her.

In obedience to Lord Melbourne's advice, and as the best way of opening their negotiations, the Committee resolved to send a large and influential deputation to London. As there was a strong desire that Dr. Chalmers, as convener of the Committee, should head this deputation, and as he was now absent on his northern Church Extension tour, Dr. Gordon was commissioned to write to him to that effect. He received the following reply:—

"STONEHAVEN, June 22d, 1839.

"My dear Sir,—The effects that may arise from the measure of a deputation to London are so very uncertain, that I could not have incurred the responsibility of advising it; but now that it is resolved upon, I, for the very same reason, could as little incur the responsibility either of resisting or of refusing to sanction it. After Lord Melbourne's reply, it was very natural for the Committee to feel themselves as if shut up to the necessity of adopting the resolution which they have come to; and as I have incurred no absolute engagements beyond Aberdeen, I shall, if God will, so soon as I am quit of these, take the first lawful opportunity by steam of making my way to the metropolis.

"And now, my dear Sir, let me express my carnest hope

factory answer to the question you now propose; and if it has not, I believe I cannot be much mistaken in saying, that I have the strongest conviction that the Government must be much gratified by the Assembly's adopting such a resolution as you mention, and that I entertain little doubt that they would be anxious to give effect to it, by any legislative measure which might be thought necessary for that purpose—though I must guard myself against the risk of being supposed officially authorized to announce such a resolution, or formally to pledge them to such a proceeding.—Believe me always, very faithfully yours,

F. JEFFREY."

and entreaty, that you will join us. I do honestly think it of the greatest practical importance that you should; and as it otherwise would not be in keeping with the noble appearance which you made the other day before the Lords in Edinburgh, (a far more formidable looking set than any Lords in London,) and for which the Church of Scotland owes you a debt of gratitude.

"It is right, however, that the Committee should know that on the occasion of the last deputation to Government from the Church, Lord Melbourne expressed a hope or wish that 'that d-d fellow Chalmers was not amongst them.' I have not the vanity to think that his Lordship cared whether the person was present or absent. It was the embarrassing proposition with which he was charged, and which he was prepared to urge in every possible, if right, way, that probably annoyed him. But should he still manifest the same antipathy, this will not restrain me from doing all the good I can in other quarters. It is the chance of this which enters very strongly into the determining force that leads me to join the deputation. I am very strongly of opinion, that as this is in no shape a political question, we should with the full knowledge of the one party, hold explicit and full communication with the other party in the State-all should be above boards with both. Nor should we consent to the introduction of any question into Parliament, without a previous reasonable assurance of its passing favourably through both Houses.

"Allow me to say that both you and Mr. Candlish ought to preach when in London.—I am, &c. Thomas Chalmers."

On the 4th and 5th of July, Dr. Chalmers was tossing on the German Ocean on his way to London.* For reading upon the

^{*} The roll of the sea was so heavy as to prevent most of the passengers from attempting one "useful operation," which, notwithstanding, he resolutely carried through. "I have a hereditary intrepidity," he writes, in detailing this, "in the matter of shaving. My father had no fear in putting himself into the hands of a

passage he had supplied himself with some of the recent pamphlets on the Church question, to two of which he thus alludes: "Hugh Miller's Letter to Lord Brougham is a very noble composition. * * * Finished Gray's pamphlet, which I pronounce to be an admirable composition, and written with very great force both of argument and expression." His time in London was chiefly occupied by formal interviews with the leading political men of both parties in the State.

"Saturday, July 6th.—I called on Lord Aberdeen. A long 'conversation with him: friendly and intellectual, but not thoroughly satisfied, and refused to pledge himself. I was a little damped. He rose in my estimation, though I can see how strong the barrier is in the way of a thorough understanding. I prevailed with Dr. Gordon, very much against his will, to be the spokesman with Lord John Russell to-day, even as he was with Lord Melbourne yesterday—a measure of great public policy as well as personal prudence on my part. would not and did not prevent me from striking in when I chose, and I did not want the trouble of conning over a formal * * Thirteen of us moved conversation on the matter. * to the Home Office at half-past four o'clock. Lord Belhaven said, that it was better that as Dr. Gordon was the speaker at Lord Melbourne's, he should be the speaker here too. Afraid somewhat, I think, of my effusions; but they will not be able to restrain them. Let me enumerate the thirteen, whom I counted and looked over as we sat in the ante-chamber:--Lord Belhaven, Dr. Chalmers, Dr. Gordon, Dr. Dewar, Dr. Makellar, Mr. Candlish, the Procurator, Mr. Alexander, Captain Trotter, Mr. Bruce, Mr. Hog, Mr. Dunlop, Mr. Shaw

drunken barber, John Bruce, the great Anstruther operator in my beardless days. He sometimes came staggering into my father's back-shop with his razor in his hand; yet my father shrunk not, but submitted himself to him, for he had uniformly found, that the moment John got him by the nose, he steadied himself thereby, and got through the whole process in perfect safety, and without a scar."

Stewart. In a minute or two we were called. Dr. Gordon opened the matter very well; and I and the Procurator struck in;—but such a feckless and fushionless entertainment of the matter on the part of his Lordship I never witnessed in my life. It was 'N, nihil, naething,' as we used to say to the tee-totum. I could not but laugh when we came out, and looked at the blank faces of all and sundry. * * * The Conservatives are all on the qui vive about the matter, but I can perceive that they are sadly blind and prejudiced.

"Monday, 8th.—Breakfasted altogether at 32, Craven Street. Arranged our business. We offer interviews to the influentials, and so many of them are accepted. The acceptors are parcelled out among four sub-committees of three each. Sir Robert Peel fell to my share for this day. I have two very agreeable colleagues, Mr. Hog and Mr. Bruce; but I proclaimed a liberty to any others to accompany me, and so there went forth with us, beside the two above mentioned, the Procurator, Mr. Alexander, and Mr. Trotter. With Sir Robert there came in to us Sir William Rae, and afterwards Sir James Graham. I opened the case, and spoke altogether about twenty minutes or more. I was nobly supported by our friend Mr. Bruce; and, on the whole, it has been our first comfortable interview since I came to London. There will be nothing done this session, but that makes not our visit here useless or insignificant. Sir Robert very bland, and Sir James Graham quite joyous and cordial. Sir William Rae friendly to our object, I have no doubt. The Conservatives don't promise so much, but I have more confidence in their doing all they engage for. * * * Went back to 32, where so many of us rendezvoused for the dinner of this day. We set forth in two carriages, and were conducted thereby to the Duke of Somerset's in Park Lane. Fox Maule was there, and other Lords and M.P.'s whom I do not remember. But the main person was Lord Melbourne, whose whole

deportment was very remarkable. He shook hands with Dr. Gordon, whom he had seen on Friday, and perhaps one or two more of the deputation, but brushed past me. * * * After these cuts, I of course was thrown back on my independence, and asserted it more firmly and calmly than I had ever done before. * * * The first seeming approximation which Lord Melbourne made to me was to look at me while talking as if he was directing that talk to me; but without some more individual and distinct act of recognition, I was determined to keep aloof, and so escaped the Premier without exchanging words with him.

"Tuesday, 9th.—Sallied forth to the Duke of Sutherland, whose natural but polished simplicity delighted us all. He is not unhopeful, though naturally ignorant of the merits of the question. Had the great kindness to conduct us through some of his rooms and best pictures. A very splendid mansion: the rooms vie with those of Versailles and Fontainbleau. Threaded my way to Sir James Graham's. Met with a most frank and friendly reception. Mr. Colquhoun came in, and we fell to on our Church question. Sir James's views have given me more comfort than any I have met in coming to London. I am particularly delighted with the effect which my conversation in Sir Robert Peel's, where he was, had on him, and still more with the effect of my printed speech, which has converted him from his strong principle of a veto with reasons, whereas he now acquiesces in a dissent without See the last pages of the latest of my works, and more especially my quotation from Akenside, which has impressed Sir James very powerfully. Came away greatly relieved and comforted; for Sir Robert's extreme caution and coldness operate as a damper on a man's spirits, whereas Sir James is a fine, hearty, honest, outspeaking Englishman, of great good feeling and practical sense withal.

"Monday, 15th.—I sent a few of our deputation to join Lord Belhaven at our last and final interview with the Premier. It was not politic for me to go—me who am in disgrace at Court—me who am the hapless object of the chief of the Cabinet's frowns—me who must retire in chagrin from public life, and spend in obscurity and pining neglect the remainder of my days; yet though outwardly scowled upon, inwardly clated with the honour of such a distinction,—for quoth the poet, 'A courtier's curses are exalted praise.'"

At a meeting of the General Assembly's Commission held on the 14th August, Dr. Chalmers gave in a Report of the Deputation's proceedings in London:—

"After frequent opportunities to converse with the leading men of both parties, they can confidently state, as the result of the whole, that they are more hopeful than ever of matters being brought to a speedy and successful termination.

"First, we can state our having received the assurance of the Government, that they were fully impressed with the importance of the subject, and would give it their most serious consideration, and that they would give instructions to the Lord Advocate to prepare, along with the Procurator, a measure to be submitted to the Cabinet.

"And for those who might desiderate something more definite, and as they perhaps feel, more substantial than this, we have the satisfaction of announcing, if not yet a specific measure by the Legislature, at least a specific and most important concession to the views of the Church on the part of the Government. They have authorized us to state, that in the disposal of those livings which are at the nomination of the Crown, its patronage will most certainly be exercised in accordance with the existing law of the Church, a resolution which applies to nearly one-third of the parishes of Scotland. But

we reckon on a good deal more than this. We deem ourselves to have good grounds for believing, of the great majority of our patrons, that they will not be outdone by the Government, either in a kind and liberal consideration for the difficulties, or in a deferential respect for the laws of the Church of Scotland.

"It will not be expected of us, in the yet unfinished and necessarily immature state of our proceedings, that we can be more particular. Suffice it to say, in one word, that after much intercourse, both with the highest functionaries of the State and many of the highest standing and name in public affairs, it is our firm persuasion, that, if we but prosecute and sustain our part rightly here, there exists no insuperable obstacle there to the happy settlement of this question. We have every prospect of obtaining a large and liberal consent on the part of the patrons, and we cannot doubt that there will be a full expression of sentiment on the part of the community—that the people will make known their wishes to the Legislature, and that, for the liberties of a Church dear to Scotland, a call will be lifted up from its towns and parishes which our rulers will not fail to listen to. With such helps and encouragements on our side, let but the adherents of this cause remain firm and united in principle among themselves, and with the favour of an approving God, any further contest will be given up as unavailing; when, let us fondly hope, all the feelings of party, whether of triumph on one side, because of victory, or of humiliation on the other side, because of defeat, shall be merged and forgotten in the desires of a common patriotism, to the reassurance of all who are the friends of our Establishment, to the utter confusion of those enemies who watch for our halting and would rejoice in our overthrow."

Betwixt the time of his return from London and the meeting of Commission an interval of a few days occurred, during which Dr. Chalmers resumed his private Journal, which had now for a long period been suspended, and which was not resumed till the spring of the succeeding year.

"July 30th.—A sad interval of great action and variety, with some melancholy defections, and no distinct spiritual progress. Attempting this night in Edinburgh an hour of religious exercise. Awoke this morning in heaviness, against which I am sure there is no right remedy but a confident hold on Christ and his righteousness as my righteousness. Farther, sure that this confidence cannot hold along with the cherishing of sin. O Lord, I pray for thy grace and strength being perfected in my weakness. Give me to experience, like Augustine, the light and liberty consequent on the abandonment of every wrong affection. There is a great conflict before me. O my God, in thee may I have strength and victory. My repeated failures have arisen from drawing upon myself as if the power were in me, instead of drawing upon Christ for the power that is out of me.

"July 31st.—Let me, in prospect of an encounter with temptation this day, hold myself forewarned and be forearmed against a humiliating defeat. But conscious of my own infirmity I hereby implore the grace and strength of Christ. May His power rest upon me; and I lift this not as a general prayer, but as a prayer for this specific thing. O that in this way I could succeed in making a real business of my sanctification. How delightful it were to hold communion with God in the midst even of a festive party, a communion with Him in the exercise of duty, if not of express and formal devotion.

"August 2d.—Let me renew my efforts on the occasion that lies this day before me. I have been in heaviness from various causes—the want of public sympathy with our Church question—perhaps the amount of time not filled up by interesting occupation—the sense of manifold infirmities—a feeling to a certain extent of wounded vanity from the way in which I was

met by argument in a recent committee, all of which causes can only be counteracted by a transference of thought and affection to the objects of that boundless and elevated panorama which faith places before and around the soul. Then would there be trust in God—a quiet assurance that He would bring good out of evil—a busy engagement of the heart with His service—peace of conscience in the fellowship of Christ—the absorption of self and of all selfishness in the glory of our Father in heaven and the good of men.

"Sunday, August 4th.—This my marriage-day, which event took place twenty-seven years ago. What a life of ungodliness and transgression, and carelessness and neglect, both of personal and family religion, have I led! O how little have I acted on the feeling of my responsibility for the souls of my children! O my God, let me set up now the new principle in my heart and the new order in my family. But let me begin at the beginning. Let me accept of Christ. Give me, O Lord, full assurance of heart in the blood of the everlasting covenant. In this blood would I wash out my sins; and, O my God, give me henceforth the clean heart and the right spirit, the love of Thyself shed abroad in my heart by the Holy Ghost. I would now go forth with my bands loosed, and pray that my future life may be a perpetual thank-offering to Him who died for me and who rose again. Hear these, my aspirations, O God, and fulfil them speedily.

"August 5th.—Felt more than ever the anteriority of confidence in Christ to the keeping of His commandments—the priority of simple trust in the act of closing with him, of faith in my acceptance with God as a preliminary to cheerful and fruitful obedience. Let me cherish this all the day long; and let me watch the effect of it, now praying in the name of Christ that it may succeed. I would pray unto watching, and watch unto prayer."

CHAPTER VIII.

THE CASE OF LETHENDY—THE DEAN'S ADVICE—THE PRESBYTERY'S PROCEDURE—THE APPEARANCE BEFORE THE COURT OF SESSION—THE REBUKE—THE CHARGE OF REBELLION BROUGHT AGAINST THE CHURCH—HER ANSWER TO THAT CHARGE—THE CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION INVOLVED IN THE COLLISION—APPEAL TO THE LEGISLATURE—COURSE TAKEN BY THE MODERATES—PAMPHLET BY THE DEAN OF FACULTY.

In a letter given in the preceding chapter allusion is made to an appearance of Dr. Gordon before the Lords in Edinburgh. The occasion of that appearance was one which threw a still fuller light upon the course which, fortified by the decision of the two Chancellors, the Civil Court was prepared to prosecute. In 1835, the Crown, as patron of the parish, nominated an assistant and successor to the aged and infirm minister of Lethendy. Mr. Clark, the nominee of the Crown, was vetoed by the people, and on that ground rejected by the Presbytery of Dunkeld.* An appeal having been made to the General Assembly of 1836, and that Court having confirmed the sentence of the Presbytery, Mr. Clark appeared to have acquiesced in the decision. In November 1837, however, under the same prompting which instigated the rejected presentee of Auchterarder, he raised an action against the Presbytery in the Court of Session,—the patron, however, being no party in this case. Not long afterwards the aged incumbent died. Proceeding upon

 $^{\,\,^*\,}$ After the Disruption Mr. Clark was deprived of his license, having been found guilty of drunkenness.

the validity of the Veto, and lending thus the whole weight of its sanction to the procedure of the Church, the Crown. regarding the parish as vacant, issued a new presentation. When the Presbytery were on the eve of ordaining the presentee, Mr. Kessen, an interdict from the Court of Session was served upon them, prohibiting the ordination. Sisting procedure, they reported the matter to the General Assembly, and craved advice. The case came before the Commission of Assembly in May 1838, by whom, with only two dissentient voices, the following deliverance was pronounced:—" Find that admission to the pastoral office is entirely an ecclesiastical act, subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the ecclesiastical courts, and ordain the Presbytery to proceed without delay to the induction of Mr. Kessen, upon the call in his favour, according to the rules of the Church." The last clause of this deliverance was framed with the special purpose of indicating that the Church declined altogether meddling with the civil question of the comparative validity of the two competing presentations, a question which it belonged to the Civil Court to decide. The interdict which had previously been obtained prohibited the Presbytery from proceeding upon the presentation to Mr. Kessen. As that interdict might be found too narrow to cover the sentence of the Commission, which had directed the Presbytery to proceed alone upon the call, a new and ampler one was granted, prohibiting the settlement, whether upon the ground of the call or on any other ground whatever. On this second interdict being served upon them, the Presbytery, which acted throughout with mingled caution and firmness, referred the matter to the Commission which met in August. The motion that the Presbytery should be directed to proceed immediately, notwithstanding the interdict, was seconded by the Rev. Hamilton Buchanan of Strathblane, a minister strongly attached to the Moderate party in the Church. The Rev. Dr. Brunton of Edinburgh, a clergyman of similar sentiments, "regretted that the necessity of deciding this case had been thrust upon them, but still he felt that the necessity existed. They had instructed the Presbytery to do a purely spiritual act, to ordain Mr. Kessen as minister of the parish, and for this they were interdicted. It might happen that the temporalities of the parish would not go to the minister in this case, but he thought that was exceedingly unlikely. He for one would never consent to delay, nor would be consent to go into a civil court to plead this cause. He knew his own province, and in that province he would stand or fall." The motion to proceed was carried by a majority of fifty-two to six; and in that small minority only one solitary elergyman was found, so general was the conviction that the Court of Session had overstepped its boundaries and made unlawful inroad on the Church. When, on the day named by the Commission, the Presbytery of Dunkeld assembled, the agent of Mr. Clark sought and obtained leave to read an opinion from an eminent lawyer in Edinburgh. It came from the Dean of Faculty, the leading counsel and chief adviser in all the legal measures taken against the Church. It was sufficiently startling, and had the ministers who sat to listen to it been men of infirm principle or yielding purpose, it might well have shaken their determination, for it hung over them the weightiest terrors of the law. "The members of the Presbytery," said the Dean, "will most infallibly be committed to prison, and most justly." It had been said, that in acting as he had done Mr. Clark had been guilty of contempt of the Church, and some had even spoken of depriving him of his license, so as to take from him the ground that gave him his legal standing. The Dean at once placed the rights of Mr. Clark upon what seemed to him a broader and surer basis. "The deliverance of the Assembly attempts illegally to trample on Mr. Clark's rights as a British subject; for any man in this country who adheres to its doctrines is entitled to be a member of the Established Church.* The rights of Mr. Clark as a probationer, in this respect, are as sacred as those of a layman. He was legally entitled to his license, and he holds it as a British subject." Perhaps it was their clear conception of the length to which such a doctrine would go in exposing the whole discipline, as well as the whole government, of the Church to secular dictation and control, which helped to fortify this Presbytery against all the arguments and threats by which they were assailed. moved by these, they ordained Mr. Kessen to be minister of Lethendy. They had now to face a more trying ordeal. The act of ordination had no sooner been consummated than a complaint was lodged against them for a breach of interdict, and they were summoned to appear at the bar of the Court in Edinburgh, on the 14th June 1839. In itself it was a formidable enough matter to be dragged from their quiet country charges and to be pilloried for public observation in an uncon-

^{* &}quot;According to the Dean's ideal of the relationship between the Church and the State, the Church would not have the command of its own discipline. On this question, too, he would subordinate the ecclesiastical to the civil power. He tells us of the right of Church membership, which is neither more nor less than a right of admission to the sacraments, and which right he gives us to understand may be prosecuted by any of the citizens at a court of law; so that, if armed with this authority, he could force his way to the communion-table, even though, by the judgment of the Church, and all its consistories, he should thereby profane the ordinance, and bring damage and condemnation upon his own soul. Ere he can forfeit the privilege there must be a corpus delicti-some specific delinquency, palpable enough for cognizance and condemnation by a bench of secular judges, at whose mandate the prostrate Church must receive into her inmost sanctuary men, who, in her own judgment, though living without any gross or definable immorality, are yet living without God in the world. If this be State religion the sooner it is banished from our land the better for the good of the Church and for the moral wellbeing, as well as the peace of the commonwealth. If such be indeed the necessary consequences of an ecclesiastical establishment, in the name of all that is sacred, let our establishments perish; but let it never be forgotten that the authors of this their fearful degradation, that they, and they alone, are responsible for their overthrow."-Remarks, &c., occasioned by the Publication of a Letter from the Dean of Faculty. By Thomas Chalmers, D.D. P. 27.

genial court, and before an unsympathizing bar. But it was as criminals guilty of a contempt of constituted authorities—it was for punishment as such that they were to appear. Dean had pledged his word that they would be imprisoned, and there were not wanting other tokens that his prophecy might be verified. A very deep sympathy on their behalf was excited, and one or two of the leading clergymen of Edinburgh resolved to accompany them to the bar. The day arrived. When the twelve Judges took their places on the Bench they had a court-room before them crowded densely to the door. The Presbytery was summoned to appear. They entered, accompanied by a few friends. The crowd through which they passed had already closed, when once more it opened, and with meek but dignified demeanour, Dr. Gordon stepped forward to place himself at their side. There was something singularly appropriate in the act. No minister of equal talent had been more unobtrusive, or shewn a stronger aversion to popular agitation, or anything like public display. But now that elergymen who had mingled in the strife of parties as little as himself were called to suffer for conscience' sake, he felt compelled, in the most public manner, to countenance and support them.

"Gentlemen," said the Lord President, after their names had been read over, and the citation read, "I have to ask you, one and all, whether, by yourselves or counsel, you have anything to say, and what you have to say, in explanation or vindication of your conduct?"

"As my name," said the Rev. Mr. Stirling of Cargill, "is the first on the list, and as I happen to be the senior minister present, I have been intrusted by my brethren with the statement which they wish to make to the Court." The following statement was then read by him:—"My Lords,—We appear in obedience to the citation of your Lordships, inasmuch as we hold it to be the duty of all subjects to render

their personal compearance when cited by the Civil Courts; and being deeply impressed with the obligation of giving all honour and reverence to the judges of the land, we disclaim any intention of disrespect to the Court in what we have done. But in ordaining to the office of the holy ministry, and in admitting to the pastoral charge, to which, in our proceedings complained of, we strictly limited ourselves, we acted in obedience to the superior Church judicatories to which, in matters spiritual, we are subordinate, and to which, at ordination, we vowed obedience." Mr. Kessen having read a similar statement, the Judges retired for consultation, and the Court adjourned. By a narrow majority the elergymen escaped imprisonment, and were subjected only to the solemn censure of the Court. In pronouncing the censure, the Lord President took occasion to say,—"I am directed by the Court to signify that it was not without considerable difficulty their Lordships brought themselves to adopt this lenient measure; but they desired me to state, that if you or any other Presbytery of the Church were ever brought before them again under similar circumstances, you and they will be dealt with in a very different manner. The ordinary punishment for disobedience to the law by a breach of interdict is imprisonment; and I am directed to say, that if a case like the present should occur again, that punishment will be resorted to."

Why did the Court of Session not proceed one step further, and annul the ordination? and why did not the Moderate party in the Church openly condemn the breach of interdict, and afterwards declare that they held the ordination void? Because neither the one party nor the other was prepared for a step which yet, as we shall presently see, was ere long deliberately taken by both.

The charge with which the country now was ringing was that the Church was in open rebellion against the law of the

It might have been so; yet condemned before the bar of man, she might have stood acquitted before the bar of There have been human laws, the keeping of which was tantamount to a breaking of the divine. But the charge, as made against the Church of Scotland at this time, involved the assumption that the authority which she resisted was a legal one. She denied that the Constitution had clothed the Court of Session with any such power as it had attempted to put forth. The State, by Act of Parliament, had created three secular Courts in Scotland, each supreme in its own sphere, and none of them permitted to exercise any jurisdiction over the other. The administration of justice was committed to the Court of Session in all civil, to the Court of Justiciary in all criminal, to the Court of Exchequer in all fiscal causes. The decisions of these Courts might not only be different, but they might be, and sometimes have been, directly opposite to each other; and when such a collision between Courts of co-ordinate jurisdiction occurs, the Constitution knows no remedy: it holds the decision of each separate Court to be right, and it allows it to carry out that decision by its own proper and peculiar methods. It has committed to none of these Courts a sovereign authority over all the others, nor does it permit the exercise of any such control. And the same State which created these Courts supreme in all secular, had recognised and ratified the authority of the Church as supreme in all ecclesiastical causes. From the latter to the former there lay no appeal, and by the former over the latter no supreme jurisdiction could constitutionally be exercised. Interpreting the Law of Patronage for her own especial purpose, the Church had declined ordaining either Mr. Young or Mr. Clark. Interpreting the same law for its peculiar purpose, the Court of Session had decided that in doing so the Church had violated the statute. Let its proper civil effect follow

the one decision, and its proper ecclesiastical effect the other; but let not this collision be otherwise characterized than would a like collision between any two of the Courts already named, nor let an attempt be made to end it which the Constitution in every parallel case repudiated. If in thinking and acting as she did the Church misunderstood her position, it was for another party, but not for the Court of Session, to correct the error. The State, in raising her to the condition of an Establishment, had attached certain conditions to her enjoyment of the civil immunities of that position. These conditions had been embodied in certain statutes, the interpretation and execution of which, as to all civil effects, was entrusted to the Court of Session, and as to all spiritual effects, to the Church. If they understood the conditions differently, neither was bound to accept the interpretation of the other. The Church was at liberty to act upon her own understanding of the terms on which she held her endowments; but if that understanding happened to be so different from the one entertained by the Civil Courts that their decisions came into collision, it was for the State to step in, and by new legislation to adjust the difference. The right of the State to define, or alter, or remodel, and that for the guidance of both parties, was admitted; but the right of the Civil Courts to do so for the guidance of both parties was denied. It was evident, at least, that until the competent authority had declared that she had mistaken her position and privileges, the Church could not fairly be charged with the flagrant crime of rebellion.

It was to that alone competent authority that she had now carried her appeal, and she might perhaps have been suffered to prosecute that appeal without any further obstructions having been thrown in her way. That an interval clear from all new internal difficulties might be secured, though she had not repealed, she had suspended for a year the operation of the Veto

Law. It would have been a generous and a dignified course in the opponents of the Church, if, during that year, at least, they had suspended all hostile operations. She had approached the Legislature; she was about to deal with the British Parliament-a body but little acquainted with her history or peculiar constitution. The task was sufficiently delicate and difficult in itself; her own children might have suffered her to make the attempt without throwing new embarrassments in her way. But neither the Moderate party nor their legal and political advisers had magnanimity enough to refuse to take advantage of the difficulties in which the Church was involved. They acted, we believe, upon a sincere conviction that they were doing what was best for their Church and country; but the course taken by them at this time was neither an enlightened nor a very generous one, and had the actual result been known to them, we doubt whether they would have helped so vigorously to realize it.

The favourable Report given in by Dr. Chalmers to the Commission in August got a most unfavourable reception from one quarter of the House. Contrary to his recent practice, which had been to absent himself from the meetings of Commission, Dr. Cook was present on this occasion; and no sooner had Dr. Chalmers sat down than he rose to declare that the announcement just made, that the Government of the country intended to exercise their patronage in conformity with the Veto Law, appeared to him to be nothing short of a violation of the law on the part of the Crown. In the leading case which came before the Court he put forth all his strength of argument to prove that the Veto Law was now defunct,-the decision of the Civil Courts had blotted it out of the statutebook of the Church. The casting of such an imputation on the Crown, and the continuance of a vigorous opposition in the Church Courts, though calculated to increase the

existing embarrassments, may have appeared to the Moderate party necessary for the vindication at once of their principles and their consistency. We can offer no such excuse for the next step taken by the Dean of Faculty. If not, as generally believed, the prompter, he had been the vigorous promoter of all the litigation by which the Church had been harassed. The struggle had now been carried to a different arena, where his interference was less called for, and, perhaps, not so appropriate. He had power, however, even in that quarter to hinder the Church's getting what she asked; and with the laborious diligence which distinguished all his doings, he exerted that power in the production of an enormous pamphlet, given to the public soon after the close of the Commission. "There is one peculiarity," said Dr. Chalmers, "in this pamphlet of the Dean's, which makes it far more difficult to deal with than any to which my attention has been called or challenged on the lists of controversy. And this peculiarity lies, not so much in the multifariousness of its topics, as in the utter mal-arrangement of them. Truly it is not with literary or with intellectual as it is with military tactics, when the very disorder of a hostile force makes it all the easier prey to the victors who are bearing down upon it. It is different in the warfare of argument, where the ill-marshalled paragraphs of some lengthened and laborious ratiocination, instead of offering a facility to the assailant, leave the author wellnigh unassailable; almost safe and beyond the reach of attack, because entrenched as it were in the mazes of his own confusion. There is one line of English poetry, which I happen to recollect, the first half of which, taken alone, is descriptive of this pamphlet, 'A mighty maze,' but when taken along with the second half, ceases altogether to be descriptive of it, 'A mighty maze, but not without a plan.' The study of the universe is not so formidable as is the study of this enormous

miscellany—this mare magnum, and interminable medley of contents, moles indigesta—having, if not the vastness, at least all the confusion and disorder of a chaos, 'A mighty maze, but quite without a plan.'"

Confused as to its topics, this pamphlet exhibits a singular unity of design; the object, never for a moment lost sight of, being to exhibit the sayings and doings of the dominant party in the Church in such a light as to create the greatest possible amount of opposition and antipathy. The long dark winding passages, which few might have patience to tread throughout, are yet so constructed, that enter or make his exit where he may, the same hideous phantom is obtruded on the reader's eye, and made to haunt his fancy. The errors as to fact, the inconsistencies of argument, are manifold; but whether it be popular fickleness or clerical ambition that is denounced whether the Veto Law is condemned as assumption by the Church of an unlawful power, or as a transference by the Church to the people, of a power which she never should have parted with—whether the recent movement is represented as an organized design to abolish Patronage, and put the election of their ministers wholly into the hands of the people, or as a cloaked attempt to establish a spiritual despotism, dangerous alike to the civil and religious liberties of Scotland, the one unvarying impression attempted to be stamped upon the reader's mind is, that a spirit of priestly fanaticism, reckless of change, and panting for domination, had got hold of the Church of Scotland, and was threatening a thousand nameless evils to the land. What Dr. Chalmers felt as the unkindest act of all, was the deliberate and sustained endeavour made by the Dean to arouse against that Church the hostility of Englishmen. members of the English Church and Senate the question would come finally to be settled; and to awaken their prejudice was an effectual mode of preventing a settlement favourable to the

Scottish Church. Every strong or bitter sentence, therefore, that had recently been uttered against the English Episcopate by any of the evangelical leaders, was quoted and commented upon, whilst the alarm was loudly sounded that if they got what they demanded, the patronage and peculiar policy of the English Establishment would be no longer safe.

Having done what he could to prevent such a parliamentary adjustment as would be satisfactory to the Church, "The Dean," says Dr. Chalmers, "proposes for us an alternative, either to give in to him, or go out of the Church; the first time, perhaps, that a majority, and a large one too, had the doors opened, and the way out shewn to them, by the champion and representative of that minority whom they themselves had vanquished. Our reply to this civil hint is, that upon this subject we stand alike opposed to those on our own side who have threatened a secession, and unmoved by the kind suggestion of the Dean of Faculty. There may a conjuncture arise, when the sin of not coming out from among them might outweigh the sin of schism; but till that happens. let the virtuous remonstrance, and the reclaiming testimony of our brethren, be heard within the walls and precincts of our Establishment, rather than from beyond them, so long as conscience can allow-let them not quit their places at the call of their taunting adversaries, nor leave the beloved Church of our fathers a useless residuum, and a mere caput mortuum in their hands. A forcible ejectment from our places would put an end to all the difficulties of conscience; and the sin of schism would then be no longer ours. But, meanwhile, we refuse to be bowed down stairs, or walked off from the Church of our fathers by the Dean of Faculty. We shall as little understand his hints as he seems to understand our arguments; or, to avoid putting it in this form, he will at least forgive us if we shut our ears against his propositions, as long as he is going to shut his eyes against our pamphlets."*

As Dr. Chalmers commenced writing his reply before he had finished reading the Dean's pamphlet, it was not till he had advanced a considerable way in its composition that he fell in with those passages in which an open attack was made upon the integrity of that Report, which, as the head of the deputation to London, he had recently given in to the Commission. "I cannot say," says Dr. Chalmers, in dealing with this unworthy accusation, "how much I have been shocked and mortified by this painful discovery. The cause is still the same, but the combatant now stands in a new character before me.

"This easts another light on certain anterior passages of the pamphlet, in which light if I had seen them at the time, I should have modified, or rather repressed altogether, certain anterior passages of my own. What I innocently conceived, and indeed called an historical imagination, or a hypothetical basis on which to rear his adverse reasonings, I can now well understand to be a real and settled conviction in the breast of one who virtually tells the world, of the Assembly deputation to London, that, in framing their Report to the Commission, he does not believe that they have acted in good faith." * * *

The whole of this unhappy passage is wound up by the following sentence:—"I suspect that Lord Melbourne has been very ill used in the whole of this affair."

"I am not conversant in those methods or laws which regulate the intercourse of statesmen; nor have I often in the course of my life had access to the elevated platform on which they move. I know not, therefore, what it portends to the Church of Scotland, when I behold the Premier of England approached from the opposite quarter of the political horizon,

^{*} The Dean had announced that he would read nothing that was written against him.

in the language first of adulation, and then of condolence, because the untainted chivalry of their higher region has been desecrated and broken in upon, by an inroad of Jesuitism and low cunning from beneath. I cannot divine either the character or the effects of this strange approximation and act of obeisance on the part of the inflexible Tory, to the head of our present Liberal administration. Meanwhile, as a spectacle it is very curious to behold; and the last and most exquisite touch is given to it by the hand of the Dean of Faculty, when he finishes off by the expression of his deepest sympathy and concern for the sorely injured Lord Melbourne.

"Let me hope for his own sake, that the Dean of Faculty will yet make avowal of his regret for these unguarded and most unseemly paragraphs."*

^{*} Remarks, &c., occasioned by the Publication of a Letter from the Dean of Faculty. By Thomas Chalmers, D.D., &c. Glasgow, 1839. Pp. 5, 10, 11, 78, 80, 81, 93.

CHAPTER IX.

THE CASE OF MARNOCH—THE REFRACTORY PRESBYTERY OF STRATH-BOGIE—THEIR RESOLUTION TO DISOBEY THE ORDERS OF THE COMMISSION—THE SUSPENSION OF THE SEVEN MINISTERS—THE PREACHING OF THE GOSPEL PROHIBITED IN THE DISTRICT OF STRATHBOGIE—THE BROKEN INTERDICTS.

In June 1837, Mr. Edwards was presented to the church and parish of Marnoch. Having acted previously, for a period of three years, as assistant to the former incumbent, he was well known to the parishioners, and so unacceptable were his ministrations, that at their urgent and almost unanimous desire, their aged pastor had dispensed with his services. In a parish whose population was about 2800 souls, his call was signed by one solitary communicant, the keeper of the inn at which the Presbytery were wont to dine. Out of 300 heads of families whose names were on the Communion-roll, 261 tendered their dissent. Acting under special direction of the General Assembly 1838, the Presbytery of Strathbogie rejected Mr. Edwards; and on this rejection being intimated to the patrons, the Trustees of the Earl of Fife presented another individual to the charge. Upon the issuing of this second presentation, Mr. Edwards applied for and obtained an interdict from the Court of Session, prohibiting the Presbytery from proceeding with the settlement. After due consideration of this document, and with the declared principles and recent practice of the Church before them, the Presbytery resolved

"That the Court of Session having authority in matters relating to the induction of ministers, and having interdicted all proceedings on the part of the Presbytery in this case, and it being the duty of the Presbytery to submit to their authority regularly interponed, the Presbytery do delay all procedure until the matters in dispute be legally determined." This judgment was brought under review of the General Assembly of 1839. The circumstances being precisely similar to those which had occurred at Lethendy, the Presbytery might have been enjoined to take the same course which had been prescribed to the Presbytery of Dunkeld. Instead of this they were simply instructed to suspend all further proceedings in the matter till the following General Assembly. Avoiding all immediate and direct collision between the Presbytery and Court of Session, this decision was one which even those who disapproved most vehemently of the recent actings of the Church could have no difficulty in obeying; and it was framed so as to lay the least possible pressure upon the majority of a Presbytery well known to be so affected. While the Church was dealing thus tenderly with her own children, under the first indications of a refractory and rebellious spirit, Mr. Edwards was pressing on the action which he had raised against the Presbytery in the Court of Session; and in June 1839, he obtained a judgment in his favour, by which it was declared that, notwithstanding the veto put by the people on his appointment, the Presbytery were still bound to take him upon trial with a view to ordination. As this judgment was purely a declaratory one, unaccompanied by any such order as Lord Brougham had suggested as the proper means of enforcing obedience, the Presbytery with perfect safety, and without violating their own convictions, might have delayed, at least till the compulsitors of law had been applied. Their new-born allegiance, however, to the Court of Session was too ardent to

admit of delay; and no sooner was its sentence notified, than, with needless haste, and with a violence and irregularity of movement which found no defender, even among the leaders of the Moderate party, by a majority of seven to three, they resolved to bid open defiance to their ecclesiastical superiors, and to proceed forthwith to settle Mr. Edwards as minister at Marnoch. It was in these circumstances that the case came before the Commission of Assembly on the 11th December 1839. In vain were the seven refractory clergymen asked to reconsider their extraordinary resolution; in vain were they assured that if they would only sist procedure, and in the meantime do nothing, all judgment upon their contumacy would be waived, and the Commission would be content simply to remit the matter to the General Assembly in May. They would make no concession. They would neither express any regret for the past, nor give any promise as to the future. Its authority thus openly defied, its laws and decisions thus daringly trampled on, what was the Church to do? In the way of prevention, rather than of punishment-to take from them for a season that power which they had openly declared it to be their purpose to employ in a manner so flagrantly unlawful—it was resolved that they should be suspended from exercising the functions of the holy ministry. In a speech of extraordinary ability, Dr. Candlish moved this resolution. It was warmly supported by Dr. Chalmers:—"I did expect," he said, "that we would have been followed in our forbearance by the other side; that there would have been a cessation of hostilities. Everything, however, is doing to thwart useverything is doing to annoy us-everything is doing to prevent us from bringing these negotiations with the Legislature to a happy issue. But our immediate business is not with any partisanship out of doors, but with the part which our own brethren, the ministers and elders of the Church of Scotland,

take in this question. Let us remember that it is not the Veto Law we are now considering, but a thing greatly more radical, and vital, and elementary, and of far more permanent and pervading importance to the Church, than any single law on its Statute-book. The Veto is a bagatelle, and but dust in the balance, when compared with the proper independence of our Church in things ecclesiastical, and to which, in the case before us, there is superadded another object charged with essential principle, and where neglect or irresolution on our part would be followed by consequences the most ruinous -the proper subordination of our inferior to our superior courts. These are the momentous considerations which now engage us; on which Vetoists and anti-Vetoists ought to feel a common interest, and to make a common cause. They did so in one of the Commissions of 1838, when parties dropped their differences on this specific question, and took all but a unanimous view of the interdict by the Court of Session in the matter of Lethendy. In the name of all principle and all patriotism, I would implore them to do so still, and to remember that what they are now called upon to do, is not to defend or to rescind the Veto law, but to protect our beloved Church from anarchy within, and that tyranny which now menaces and lowers upon us from without. Heaven forbid that in the heat and frenzy of our divisions on another, and altogether distinct topic, we should go headlong on this; or that in the wild delirium of our controversies, the principles alike dear to both parties should at the moment be forgotten, and the Church of Scotland should fall by the hands of her own children."

At the largest meeting of Commission ever known to have assembled, and by a majority of a hundred and twenty-one to fourteen, the motion for suspension was carried. By this sentence the refractory majority were prohibited from discharging any duties of their office; and the minority, as constituting

now the Presbytery of Strathbogie, were directed to take all proper means for supplying their parishes with ministerial services. At the same time, and in the hope that a final and irreparable breach might still be avoided, a committee was appointed to open a friendly correspondence with the suspended ministers. As a deputation from this Committee, Dr. Gordon, Dr. Makellar, and Mr. Bruce, proceeded to Aberdeen, where they had invited the Strathbogie ministers to meet with them. On arrival, however, they were met only by a legal agent, who put into their hands a paper signed by the seven clergymen, in which they declined the interview. Already, indeed, had proof too palpable been afforded that all hope of reconciliation was gone. On the day after that on which the sentence of suspension was passed, and while the Commission was still sitting, a notarial protest, at the instance of these ministers, was served upon that Court; and a few days thereafter, as if no judgment against them was in force, they assembled as if in Presbytery, and proceeded to take Mr. Edwards upon trial. The protection sought for and relied upon was that of the Civil Court, to which they presented an application, in which they called upon the Court to suspend the sentence of the Commission—to prevent its intimation and execution—to prohibit the minority from acting as a Presbytery, and to interdict all clergymen of the Church from preaching or discharging any of the functions of the ministry in any of their parishes. The demand was so broad and startling that even the Court of Session for the moment drew back. "In this case," said the Lord President, "the Court are prepared to grant the interdict, but not to the full extent prayed The complainers prayed the Court to interdict and prohibit the parties complained of from preaching in the respective parishes of the complainers. Now, the Court could not prevent any man preaching in these parishes. Any one might preach

in the open air for instance. The Court had jurisdiction only over the parish churches, the church-yard, the school-room, and the bell." Taking in the meantime this limited view of its jurisdiction, the Court interdicted the minority of the Presbytery, and all others, from using, in executing the sentence of the Commission, any of the places and buildings specified by the Lord President. Acknowledging, as it so fully did, the Court's right of entire control over all its temporalities, the Church yielded immediate compliance with this interdict. The clergymen appointed to intimate the judgment of the Commission either preached in the open air or under such shelter as some neighbouring shed or barn could furnish. Then and afterwards an opportunity was opened for the effective preaching of the Gospel over a wide district of country. Some of the ablest ministers of the Church were deputed by the Commission to officiate in the parishes of the suspended clergy-In Marnoch, and the seven parishes in its neighbourhood, their warm and zealous ministry gathered around them crowds of attentive and devout hearers. "I have no words," wrote one of these clergymen, * " to describe the scenes of yesterday at Marnoch. Never in my life has it been my privilege to witness such intensity of feeling as in that congregation. Men and women were bathed in tears; numbers rose to their feet, and stood in breathless attention, and at the close of the service all seemed unwilling to retire." In proportion, however, to the interest excited among the people must have been the annoyance to the suspended clergymen. Unsatisfied with the exclusive possession of their church, churchyards, and school-houses, they renewed their application to the Court of Session, which, on the 14th February, by a decision which outran all its predecessors, granted to its full extent the prayer of their primary petition. In doing so, that Court not

only suspended a spiritual censure passed by the proper ecclesiastical authorities, being guilty thus of a direct interference with the spiritual discipline of the Church, but by drawing a fence round a whole district of the country, and by prohibiting any member of the Establishment from preaching or administering the sacraments within its bounds, it at once deprived such of the people as remained true to the Church of all freedom to worship God according to their conscience, and it assumed the right of dictating to the Church where, and where only, by whom and to whom, the ordinances of the Gospel were to be administered. It was an open invasion of the most sacred territory of the Church, and it met with a resistance at once prompt and decisive.

The Church instantly made known her resolution, and followed it out with unfaltering footstep. At a public meeting held in Edinburgh on Monday the 24th February, Dr. Chalmers said-"We come not here to sound the trumpet of defiance, or make boastful proclamation of whom we disobey. We come here as to an assembly of fellow-Christians, and would lift in your hearing the solemn proclamation of whom we do obey. We stand before you as sons and servants of the Church which Providence hath set up in these realms for the Christian good of the families of Scotland. We profess subjection to her authority when acting under the perpetual obligation of the precept, 'Go and preach the Gospel to every creature under Heaven,' she, within the limits of her own domain, passes her spiritual and sacred ordinances for the religious government of our Scottish parishes—for the moral and religious wellbeing of our Scottish families. This is our principle, and this the form in which we would propound it. But let there be no mistake. Should any earthly power-should the Court of Session, vested with legitimate authority in the things of Cæsar, stretch forth her hand to intromit or intermeddle

with these undoubted things of God, then, if the character of an act is to receive its designation, not from the obstacle over which it has to pass, but from the urgent principle which impels to the performance of it, then let our act be named by that which is its actuating motive—obedience to the Head of the Church, rather than by that which is not its actuating motive—disobedience to the Court of Session. Still, whatever imputation be cast on our principles, let no ambiguity rest upon our conduct. If the Church command, and the Court countermand, a spiritual service from any of our office-bearers, then it is the duty of all the ministers and all the members of the Church of Scotland, to do precisely as they should have done though no interdict had come across their path."

The Commission of Assembly met on the 4th March, and its tone was no less firm and decided. "There are two questions," said Dr. Chalmers, in addressing it, "wherewith, in our present position, we are now implicated; and what I fear is, that neither the Church nor the country, in the gregarious movement of parties and of masses, will make the right discrimination between them. There is one of these questions which I would call the determinate one, by which I mean that it admits of but one solution, or one way of disposing of it: I mean the question of our spiritual independence. There are not two ways of resolving this question. It is a question on which all compromise is impossible—we have no choice; but must do by it what the Apostle Paul did by the doctrine of justification through faith, who felt that by yielding to the slightest encroachment, he would be making a surrender of the whole principle. And therefore he tells us of its adversaries, however slight or imperceptible their inroad was, 'to whom we give place by subjection, no, not for an hour.' In like manner, when invasion is offered by whatever party, and to however insignificant a degree, on the spiritual power of the Church's government in things which are spiritual, we take up his language and say, 'to whom we give place by subjection, no, not for an hour.' It is not a question of degree—it is a question of principle; and when called to recede by a single inch from that line of demarcation between the ecclesiastical and the civil, on which we have planted our footsteps, we have only one reply—that we cannot, we dare not. We trust that this will both explain and vindicate the position we have maintained from the first outset of the present misunderstanding. We saw the mischief at its commencement: we saw it in what may be termed its seminal principle, and, as it were, through its rudimental or embryo wrapping, from the first deliverance of the Civil Courts on the case of Auchterarder. The public did not comprehend, and at the time did not sympathize with us. The celebrated interdict against preaching has at length opened their eyes: it has been a great astonishment to them, but it was no astonishment to us. We then saw in the germ what they now see in the development or fullblown expansion of the evil. The Court of Session have all along been most consistent with themselves. We were not at all surprised by their last inroad on the hallowed ground of the Church; nor should we be in the least surprised though, assuming a farther mastery over the gospel's most sacred ordinances, they were to give forth their prohibitions and their mandates on the matter of sacraments, as they have already done on the matter of sermons, and compel at their bidding the prostrate Church to administer Baptism and the Lord's Supper to all or any whom they shall judge in a civil action to have made good their right to it. I trust, therefore, that my Lord Tweeddale will recall the threat which, at an Intrusion meeting in East-Lothian, he cast out the other day against us ecclesiastics. He seems to intimate that before we can obtain any redress of our grievances from the Legislature, we must

retrace the way that we have gone, and make our submission to the Court of Session. Why, this would be lording it over us with a vengeance! It would be making us swallow the whole principle; and the Church of Scotland, bereft of all moral weight, might henceforth be cast a useless and degraded thing into the bottom of the sea. I am glad, through the medium of this respected nobleman, the representative of a numerous and influential class, to take the opportunity of saying one word against a misconception, I fear too common, both among Peers and among Parliament-men. It is right, and may serve to simplify the question, that they should distinctly know the grounds upon which we stand. Be it known, then, unto all men, that we shall not retract one single footstep,we shall make no submission to the Court of Session, -and that, not because of the disgrace, but because of the gross and grievous dereliction of principle, that we should thereby incur. They may force the ejection of us from our places: they shall never, never force us to the surrender of our principles; and if that honourable Court shall again so far mistake their functions as to repeat or renew the inroads they have already made, we trust they will ever meet with the same reception they have already gotten—to whom we shall give place by subjection, no, not for an hour-no, not by an hair-breadth."

Interdicts without number were served upon those clergymen who had received appointments to preach in the banned district, but they dealt with them as the apostles dealt with a like interdict of the Sanhedrim of Jerusalem. That they might take their share in all the risk, and give their brethren all the sanction of their example, Dr. Chalmers, Dr. Makellar, Dr. Gordon, and others of like standing in the Church, in the face of interdicts served personally upon each of them, went and preached in the district of Strathbogie. Why was the threat so gravely made that the next breach of interdict would cer-

tainly be followed up by imprisonment not now carried out? Whatever was the reason for it, that threat remained unexecuted, and broken and dishonoured interdicts lay scattered over the country without a single effort made to vindicate an authority so often and so conspicuously disregarded. Had the law been legally and righteously administered, it could not, and ought not to have borne such an indignity. That it was borne without redress, the ordinary foundations of law and justice remaining meanwhile untouched and unshaken, is a very signal evidence that in the general judgment of the country the Court of Session had been guilty of a rash and unconstitutional act. It was a state of things, however, too anomalous to be suffered to continue. The call for legislative intervention was loud and urgent, and it might have been hoped that an enlightened and vigorous Government would have found some speedy and effective cure.

CHAPTER X.

PROSPECT OF A SATISFACTORY MEASURE FROM THE WHIG GOVERN-MENT_THE HOPE DEFEATED_THE CHURCH TURNS TO THE CONSERVATIVES—CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN LORD ABERDEEN, DR. CHALMERS, AND THE NON-INTRUSION COMMITTEE_THE BILL—THE MISUNDERSTANDING—THE CHARGE MADE BY LORD ABERDEEN—THE MANNER IN WHICH IT WAS MET BY DR. CHALMERS.

Parliament had once more assembled, and in petitions more numerously signed than any which had been presented since the days of the Reform Bill, the Scottish people asked for a measure to relieve and to protect their Church. To promote this object a deputation from the Assembly's Committee proceeded to London early in February 1840, and, instructed to disconnect their object in every possible way from party politics, they placed themselves in immediate communication with all the leading statesmen, whether Whig or Tory. It was upon the Government, however, that the first obligation to move in the matter rested, and the hopes which at the close of the preceding session it had permitted the Church to cherish it seemed at first ready to realize. "I lose no time," said one of the deputation in writing to Dr. Chalmers,* "in making you aware that we have now every cause to believe that the Government are undoubtedly at work upon a measure, and one likely to be satisfactory to the Church." The day was named on which the final, and as it was believed the favourable, answer of the Government would be communicated to

^{*} The Rev. Dr. Robert Buchanan, in letter dated 17th March 1840.

the deputation. That day, however, brought with it a darkening of the prospect. "From all that we can learn," says Dr. Buchanan, "Government were prepared two days ago to have announced to us a measure substantially the same with the Veto. Meanwhile, it would appear, some adverse influence -dissenting, it is believed-has crossed their path, and we have been placed in this position-left either to ask our answer to-day, as we had been promised, with the certainty of getting it, but getting an answer that would tell us nothing, or to consent not to ask till Thursday, with an assurance that we shall undoubtedly have it fully and finally on that day."* Thursday came, but still the Government was unresolved. last, however, their determination was announced :- "We have just had an interview with Lord John Russell. His answer is, that they cannot consent to bring in a measure. He said he thought they could have prepared a measure which, in their own view of the matter, might have served the purpose, but that there was so much disagreement and difference of opinion everywhere on the subject, they thought there was no chance of carrying through a measure, and therefore that they would not meddle with it—at least till there was a greater agreement on the subject. So this is the end of all our dealings with a Government which has kept us waiting eight months to tell us they will do nothing. But let us not despair—our cause is righteous; and though great men may frown, let us trust that the Church's Head will smile. Meanwhile we must persevere in the use of means with more energy than ever. Never let it be said the Church of Scotland blenched before the opposition of men in power. It is when difficulties increase we must become more firm." + Deserted by the Whigs, the Church turned now to the Conservatives, upon whom, indeed, from the begin-

^{*} From letter dated 23d March 1840.

[†] From letter dated 30th March 1840.

ning her chief hopes had been built. So early as the month of January, Lord Aberdeen, Sir George Clerk, and Sir William Rae had an interview at Edinburgh with the Non-Intrusion Committee, which led to a lengthened correspondence between Lord Aberdeen, Dr. Chalmers, and the Committee, from which the following excerpts are presented:—

" Argyle House, January 22d, 1840.

"My dear Sir,—In a letter which I addressed, the day before yesterday, to the secretaries of the Non-Intrusion Committee, in answer to a communication received from them, I took occasion to repeat the suggestion which I had the honour of making to the Committee at their meeting on the 10th instant, as a substitute for the Veto. It appeared to me that this suggestion, if adopted, would give full effect to the principle of Non-Intrusion, which, according to any rational interpretation of the term, I am anxious to uphold. Although my letter will probably be laid before you, I transcribe here the substance of the suggestion referred to; and which, at the time it was made, appeared to meet with a decidedly favourable reception from the Committee.

"That the Presbytery shall be bound to take a qualified presentee on trials; and in the course of the proceedings previous to ordination, the objections of the parishioners, if any, shall be received and duly weighed by the Presbytery. Such objections, in every case, to be accompanied with reasons assigned; but the Presbytery to be at liberty to consider the whole circumstances of the case before them, and to form their judgment without reference to the actual number of persons dissenting, or their proportion to the whole amount of communicants and heads of families in the parish. The decision of the Presbytery, with respect to the fitness of any individual for the charge to which he is presented, to be founded on such full and mature consideration, and to be pronounced on their

own responsibility, and according to the dictates of their hearts and consciences; all proceedings before the Presbytery to be liable to review in the Superior Church Courts.—Aberdeen.

" Rev. Dr. Chalmers."

" Edinburch, January 27th, 1840.

"My Lord,—What I should have liked best was a full recognition by the Legislature of the Church's competency to deal, whether legislatively or judicially, with every question which related to the ordination and admission of ministers, reserving the patron's initiative, and at the same time protecting us from all interference by the Court of Session in the subsequent steps taken by the Church, after that the presentation was laid before us. This your Lordship will recollect to have been the substance of the propositions sent to you at Haddo House before you left home.

"When we met at Dalmahoy, your Lordship stated as your objection to the above proposal, that it did not preclude the General Assembly from maintaining the Veto Law.

"I next morning endeavoured to modify the proposition, and confined the recognition by the State to the Church's competency to deal judicially with the questions at issue, thinking that thereby the matter would be brought into conformity with your Lordship's views. I gave your Lordship that modified view, along with a copy of the Bill which had been previously given to the Lord Advocate.

"When we met with the Committee in Edinburgh, the impression I had from the conversation was, that we were all substantially at one, both as to the accompaniment of their dissent with reasons by the people, and as to the full power of the Presbytery to sit in judgment on the whole case. I had the feeling at the time, that as this seemed to be the common understanding of both parties in the conference, it was unnecessary in some of our members to specify the particular case of

a Presbytery not being satisfied with the reasons produced, and yet sustaining the dissent when satisfied of its proceeding from a real and honestly expressed dislike on the part of the people—thinking, as I did, that the fulness of the judicial power entrusted to the Presbytery, comprehended this and every other case that could possibly occur or be imagined. But even this specification did not appear to me to disturb our unanimity, as your Lordship, I thought, did not object to a dissent being sustained even in such an instance, provided that it was done by the Presbytery on its own responsibility.

"On further reflection, I am satisfied that the gentlemen who brought forward the instance of a dissent being sustained, irrespective of the reasons, did right. First, because it was fair and honest that you should understand the full extent of the judicial power which we desire for the Church. Second, because, though the reasons as expressed by the people might none of them be of a very presentable or pleadable character, there might after all be a well-founded dislike on their part, that might prove a most effectual moral barrier in the way of a minister's Christian usefulness among them. And third, because, unless the measure be of that full and comprehensive nature which may provide for every possible or conceivable instance, and so as to make the presbyterial veto quite absolute, we shall not be placed quite securely beyond the reach of interference, and so of a collision with the Court of Session.

"In reference to the finality of the presbyterial veto, which is altogether an expression of my own, it is but doing justice to my long-cherished opinions when I say, that so far from conflicting with the popular Veto, I believe that in far the greater number of instances it will never be more rightcously or usefully exercised than when giving effect to it. Grant me a simple and sincere, however illiterate, congregation, and there could not be offered a weightier element for my decision

than the real unwillingness of such a people for a particular minister—if satisfied that it is on religious grounds, though grounds which can neither be distinctly stated nor far less logically defended by them.

" I confess that were the Veto Act still to subsist, I should have liked to see a modification of it, at least to the effect of our being able to set aside the popular dissent on its being proved to have been a dissent not from religious motives. the free exercise of our proposed judicial power, we shall be able to take cognizance of this element, and to decide upon it. If there be at all a difference betwixt us, it is whether we are to have a perfect and unexcepted freedom. Sir George Clerk, both in word and in writing, seems to concede this, and I flatter myself that your Lordship does not differ from him. The instance given is perhaps the best possible for testing the whole extent of our freedom. We are willing that reasons should always accompany dissent, and that these reasons should be dealt with and canvassed to the uttermost; but we are not willing that we should be bound to admit the presentee, if the people do not make good their reasons. On the contrary, we hold ourselves free, though not obliged, to exclude a presentee because of the strength of the popular dislike, though not substantiated by express reasons—a case which may occur, though not once in a hundred, I believe not once in a thousand times. The Act of 1690 requires that reasons shall accompany the dissent, and to this we object not; and it empowers the Presbytery to judge, not on the reasons alone, but on the whole 'affair.' With this, I think, (though your Lordship will now observe I am writing my individual opinion,) we will and ought to be satisfied. If your Lordship were alike satisfied, I do not see but we are thoroughly at one.

"We do not say that we desire the Church to be bound in every instance, as by a Veto law, to reject the presentec in respect of a dissent irrespective of the grounds; but that the Church will not abandon the power of so rejecting him, if it seem to her right. Short of this we shall be exposed to the same shameful treatment of our people which disgraced the ecclesiastical proceedings of last century, with the fresh danger now of the Court of Session finding its way, through some opening or other, to the proper business of a Church not secured in the full exercise of her judicial and administrative powers, in every ease that comes before us.

THOMAS CHALMERS."

"P.S.—I beg to copy the following sentence of a letter received from Sir George Clerk, which meets with my full concurrence; and I really do not see what the remaining obstacle is to a general agreement, if it also meet the views of your Lordship:—

"'The leading features of the plan which was suggested were these, that full opportunity should be given to the people to express their dissent; that they should assign, for the information and the consideration of the Presbytery, the grounds of their objections to the presentee; and that then the Presbytery, subject to the review of the superior Church Courts alone, should have full and unfettered power to decide judicially on the fitness or unfitness of the presentee for the particular parish, as their conscience and a sense of duty might direct, on the consideration of all the circumstances of the case, they being free either to admit or reject the presentee, without being bound either by the numerical amount of the objectors, or the precise nature of the reasons of dissent assigned."

" Argyle House, February 6th, 1840.

"MY DEAR SIR,—I cannot help thanking you for your last letter; with the sentiments expressed in which I almost entirely concur. I am also happy to perceive that you did not

misapprehend the import of my former communication. This the Committee very unaccountably did; but the matter is now explained, and I am not aware of any material difference existing in the objects proposed by the Committee, and those which I should be prepared to support.

ABERDEEN.

" Rev. Dr. Chalmers."

The following letters supply the necessary information as to what that misapprehension was to which his Lordship here alludes.

" Edinburgh, January 28th, 1840.

"My Lord,-It appears to the Committee that the result of your Lordship's proposition would be, to leave to the Church Courts simply to determine on the character of the reasons adduced for objecting to a presentee—preventing them from giving effect, even in a judicial determination, to their views of the inexpediency of a settlement in respect of the opposition of the people, apart from their opinion of the character of the reasons alleged for such opposition-nay, excluding, as an element in that determination, the circumstance of whether the objection be entertained by a few individuals, or the great body of the congregation. The Committee regret the more to find that such are your Lordship's views, as when at the conference Sir George Clerk expressed his acquiescence in the proposition, that the Church Courts should have the power of judicially giving effect to the objections of the people, whatever opinion they might form of their reasons for objecting, the Committee were not aware that your Lordship had stated any dissent from Sir George's opinions.

"Although the Committee were inclined, which they are not, they have not the power even to entertain such a proposition, involving as it does the abandonment of that very principle which the Assembly, by whem they were appointed,

resolved could not be abandoned. The special object with which they have been charged is, to endeavour to have it secured that the Church Courts may, without severing the benefice from the cure, give effect, where they see cause, to the opposition of the people, independent of their opinion of the reasons on which that opposition may be founded. The proposal that the power of the Church in this matter should be exercised judicially in each case, and free from the imperative obligation of an unbending statutory rule; and that the reasons of disapproval should be stated, in order to admit of the Church Courts dealing with regard to them, was one that the Committee might have considered, (whatever judgment they might ultimately have formed upon it,) without violating the terms of their appointment; but any proposition implying that the Church should not have power to reject, simply in respect of the circumstance that the congregation continued to oppose the settlement, they cannot listen to for a moment.

ROBT. S. CANDLISH, A. DUNLOP, Secretaries."

" Argyle House, February 1st, 1840.

"Gentlemen,—It is very agreeable to me to be able to assure you that you have entirely misapprehended the import of my letter.

"I should hope, on a reference to my letter, that it will be found sufficiently clear and explicit, and that there is no expression which can fairly be understood to limit or fetter the discretion of the Presbytery in the ordination and admission of ministers. But after all, as it is intended to place them in a state of freedom, and to liberate them from the obligations of the Veto Law, the Presbytery, like other men, must be governed by rational considerations.

"In order to prevent farther misapprehension, I will explain

by an imaginary case, in what manner I understand the proposal, and the mode of its operation. It is agreed that, in all cases, the people objecting to a presentee, shall assign the reasons of their dissent, be they what they may. Now, let us suppose that any number of persons should object to a presentee because he had red hair. This would, no doubt, be a very bad reason; but if they persevered in their hatred of red hair, and the Presbytery found it consistent with their sense of duty, and the dictates of their own consciences, they might give effect to the objection by rejecting the presentee. But then the reason of dissent on the part of the people, as well as the rejection by the Presbytery, would be recorded; and if the superior Church Courts should confirm the decision, the matter would there terminate. It is to this publicity, and to the common sense and justice of mankind, that I look for a security against arbitrary and capricious proceedings in any quarter. ABERDEEN."

"Edinburgh, February 4th, 1840.

"My Lord,—The Committee are gratified to find that they have so entirely misapprehended your Lordship's sentiments, and they trust that they do not misunderstand them now, in supposing you to agree that the Church Courts should have the *power* to reject a presentee in consideration of the continued opposition of the people, although they should think the reasons assigned for that opposition as frivolous as that in the case supposed by your Lordship, viz., his hair being red. Your Lordship's proposition thus explained, will receive from the Committee an attentive and favourable consideration.

A. Dunlop."

Matters rested thus when the Government relinquished the attempt to introduce a Bill into Parliament. Lord Aberdeen

took up the task. Acting upon his own individual responsibility, he declined entering into any communication with the Non-Intrusion Committee. He announced, however, his purpose to Dr. Chalmers:—

" Argyle House, April 4th, 1840.

"MY DEAR SIR,—You will have seen from the public papers that I have undertaken to introduce a Bill into Parliament for the purpose of attempting to heal the present distractions of the Church.

"My chief object in writing to you at present is to explain to you, that the principle of my measure will be founded on the recognition of the judicial powers of the Church Courts in the matters in question,—very much in accordance with your own views of that which, though not the most desirable, might be regarded as the most practicable solution of the existing difficulties.

ABERDEEN."

Upon the 5th May, Lord Aberdeen introduced his measure to the House of Lords, after retiring from which he wrote thus to Dr. Chalmers:—

"My DEAR SIR,—I cannot retire to rest this night without informing you that I have presented a Bill to the House of Lords, having for its object the termination of those unhappy differences by which the Church of Scotland is distracted.

"I deceive myself, if the report which you may receive from Mr. Buchanan and Mr. Hamilton will not shew that, in all my communications with these gentlemen, I have evinced a sincere desire to meet their views to the utmost of my power; although, unfortunately, I may not in every instance have been able to adopt their suggestions. After all, however, I am well aware that the success of this measure will mainly depend on the reception with which it may meet from yourself. I believe that the peace of the Church is at this moment in

your hands; for although, from the accident of birth and social position, I have had the means of proposing this measure to the Legislature, it will depend on you whether it is to receive life and efficacy.

"I pray that you may be led by the spirit of wisdom; and that your great talents may be directed to the restoration of peace and order, and to the happy union of all the real friends of the Church.

'Semper honos, nomenque tuum, laudesque manebunt!'

ABERDEEN."

The Bill introduced by Lord Aberdeen allowed the parishioners to state objections of all kinds to the presentee; but it obliged them, at the same time, to state the grounds and reasons of their objections. It allowed the Presbytery to take all these objections into consideration, but it permitted them to give effect to them only when personal to the presentee, when legally substantiated, and when sufficient, in their judgment, to warrant his rejection. It altogether excluded a dissent without reasons. It disallowed unacceptableness to the people as a disqualification. It refused to the Presbytery the power of giving effect in any instance to the popular opposition simply as such, no matter how general or how strong that opposition might be. That which the Veto Law had said should be done in every instance, it said should be done in none. It left the judgment of the House of Lords in the Auchterarder case untouched; and it offered no protection whatever against such aggressions on the part of the Court of Session as it had Even within the limited domain conrecently committed. ceded to the Church, the Court of Session would be the final judges whether the objections on which a Presbytery rejected were such as the Bill allowed, and whether they had been sufficiently substantiated. The Presbytery might have the strongest possible conviction that, acting within the provisions of the Bill, they were bound to reject; yet if the Court of Session thought otherwise, they would be bound to ordain, and if they refused, all the ordinary consequences of disobedience to the common law of the country would follow. It was nominally as a remedy for an existing evil that this Bill was introduced, yet it left that evil just where it found it. New legislation was asked for and required; yet it professed to be merely a declaratory enactment, and did not propose to effect any alteration in the Law of Patronage, as interpreted by the two Chancellors. The reader will not wonder, therefore, that after the most careful and candid perusal of it, Dr. Chalmers should have written thus to Lord Aberdeen:—

" Edinburgh, May 12th, 1840.

"My Lord,-I have now examined the Bill; and it is with inexpressible grief and concern that I am forced to confess myself dissatisfied. Such is my intense desire for adjustment and peace, that all my tendencies were on the side of putting the most favourable construction on every clause, and of labouring to harmonize with all my might its various provisions with that independence which belongs to a Christian Church, and which we did not renounce in the act of becoming a National Church. I little thought, my Lord, after my incessant attempts all last year to bring down others to the point at which I conceived your Lordship willing for a settlement, I should have met with a fresh obstacle in finding that your Lordship had taken up a position so much lower than I was counting on. I find myself in a situation precisely analogous to that I was unexpectedly brought into this time twelvemonth, when I had resolved to move the transference of the case of Auchterarder from the popular to the presbyterial veto, and was driven from that position by the speeches of Lords Cottenham and Brougham, which awakened the apprehension that even this presbyterial

veto would not be sustained in the Civil Courts. Your Bill, my Lord, turns this apprehension into a certainty; and so conflicts with a principle which I have zealously advocated, both in speeches and writing, for four-and-twenty years—that the power of the Presbytery was co-ordinate with that of the patron, insomuch that it lay within the competency of the Church to put an arrest upon any presentation for any cause which might seem unto her good.

Thomas Chalmers."

His Lordship was not prepared for such a reception of his measure by Dr. Chalmers, and a painful misunderstanding ensued:—

" ARGYLE HOUSE, May 14th, 1840.

"My Dear Sir,—I am persuaded that you are under a misapprehension in supposing that the Bill limits or restricts what you call the 'liberum arbitrium' of the Presbytery in the matter of collation. * * * * ABERDEEN."

" Burntisland, May 18th, 1840.

"My Lord,—Your Lordship seems to think that the Bill, as it stands, does not limit or restrain the liberum arbitrium of the Presbytery.* Now, it appears to me, that it does so in one most important particular. The Presbytery are restricted by it from giving effect to the conscientious dissent of the people, on the ground of the simple fact of that dissent, and irrespective of reasons. Even supposing that this were the alone restriction laid by your Bill on the liberum arbitrium, I hope to convince your Lordship of the insuperable barrier which it raises up in the way of its acceptance by the Church. * * *

THOMAS CHALMERS."

^{* &}quot;I state it absolutely as a fact, which Lord Aberdeen will confirm, that if there was any object which both he himself and his acute and anxious legal adviser were determined, at all hazards, effectually to secure by means of the Bill, it was just the entire and utter exclusion of the 'liberum arbitrium' of Presbyteries in the sense in which it was understood by the Church."—Remonstrance, &c., by John Hamilton, Esq. Edinburgh, 1841. P. 64.

"ARGYLE House, May 18th, 1840.

"My dear Sir,—Having had occasion to see the report of the recent proceedings of the Synod of Lothian and Tweeddale, and to mark the language of the men by whom it is directed, I am induced again to address you. It is not possible for me to believe that you can have any community with these persons; and I address you because I wish to bring distinctly before you the state and prospects of the Church of Scotland, so far as the Legislature is concerned, as well as respectfully to represent to you the awful responsibility under which you are about to be placed.

"I have now only to pray that, by an effort of moral courage, you may save the Establishment from the dangers by which it is threatened. But whatever may be the course you may think it right ultimately to adopt, the convictions of my conscience assure me that I have performed my own part in the work, feebly, perhaps, and imperfectly, but honestly, and with a single view to this great end.

Aberdeen."

" Edinburgh, May 20th, 1840.

"My Lord,—I feel the responsibility of my situation, and have long made up my mind to the principle, that any thing short of an unfettered spiritual power in the Church would be fatal to its national establishment.

"It will be some satisfaction to recollect, that in this principle I had the entire concurrence of Sir James Graham, Sir George Clerk, Sir William Rae; and I certainly did flatter myself that I at one time had the concurrence of your Lordship in this view.

"I can assure your Lordship that the moral courage necessary at the present crisis is to defend our Church from the invasions which, for a hundred and fifty years, had never been attempted either by our Courts or in Parliament.

THOMAS CHALMERS."

"ARGYLE HOUSE, May 21st, 1840.

"My dear Sir,—Let me recall to your recollection what is the state in which I find the Church. The House of Lords, in affirming the judgment of the Court of Session, has declared that a Presbytery, by rejecting a presentee, on the sole ground that a majority of the male heads of families have dissented without any reason assigned, from his admission as minister, act illegally, in violation of their duty, and contrary to the provisions of the statute.

"Now, this restriction is not imposed by my Bill, but by the existing law of the land. I apprehend that no Presbytery will be permitted in future to reject a presentee on such grounds; and it certainly was never my purpose to enable them to do so.

ABERDEEN."

" ARGYLE HOUSE, May 23d, 1840.

"MY DEAR SIR,—I think it necessary to address a few words to you in answer to your note of the 20th, received last night.

"You say that it will be some satisfaction to you to recollect that, in the principle of your proposed amendment, enabling the Church to give effect to the mere dissent of the people, you had the entire concurrence of Sir James Graham, Sir George Clerk, and Sir William Rae. Now, from recent personal communication with all three, I can venture with certainty to assure you that you are mistaken in this persuasion. I will go further, and express my doubt of there being a single member of the House of Commons who would give the proposition his support. There may be some who would grant the right of an absolute veto to the people; but I greatly doubt if any would be disposed to recognise in the Church that power which your amendment would confer.

"In the House of Lords I could name but one member from whom such support might be expected.—Aberdeen."

" EDINBURGH, May 23d, 1840.

"My Lord,—There must be a profound misunderstanding somewhere. In terms as explicit as human language can make it, I have the assent of the three members named to my own principle of the Presbyterial Veto.* I hope to see Sir George Clerk on the subject; and can only now express my regret that your Lordship's last letters do not warrant the hopes which I had founded on all our previous correspondence.

THOMAS CHALMERS."

On the 27th May the Report of the Non-Intrusion Committee was laid before the General Assembly by its convener, Dr. Chalmers. After detailing the different measures which had been presented by it for approval to the leading politicians, it proceeded to allude thus to the Bill of Lord Aberdeen:-"With reference to the Bill which has recently been introduced to the House of Lords, your Committee are in no way responsible for its provisions. The noble framer of it had ceased to honour them with his correspondence for some weeks previous to its introduction into Parliament; and coming greatly short even of that measure in favour of which they had been led to anticipate the full consent of all the influential members of both Houses with whom he is associated, the appearance of the Bill could not fail to be met by them with feelings both of disappointment and surprise." After reading this Report, and referring to the private correspondence with which he had individually been honoured by Lord Aberdeen after he had ceased to correspond with the Committee, Dr. Chalmers said,

^{* &}quot;Lord Aberdeen's Bill, unquestionably, does not give the 'liberum arbitrium,' in this sense of it, to the Presbyteries of the Church; although I may state that one and all of the leading Conservative Members of Parliament, with whom I had the honour to confer at the period of the Bill being introduced, were of opinion that it ought to have given that power."—Remonstrance, &c., by John Uamilton, Esq., p. 63.—For important additional evidence on this point, see Appendix B.

"I have to state, with deep concern, that up till three weeks ago I was led to believe that Lord Aberdeen's Bill would be of such a nature," (that is, would confer an unfettered liberum arbitrium.) "I was led to expect it, and I think I have right and reason to be disappointed." The debate upon the Bill involved a very thorough discussion of its provisions, and in a division of the House, by a majority of 221 to 134, the Assembly resolved, that in its existing form they could not acquiesce in it, and that it was the duty of the Church to use every method to prevent its obtaining the sanction of the Legislature. A few days after this debate, in an anonymous communication to the Advertiser newspaper, the Dean of Faculty accused Dr. Chalmers and the Committee of having made an altogether unfounded charge against Lord Aberdeen, and denied most peremptorily that his Lordship had ever given them reason to expect a measure different from that which he had proposed. As the whole of the correspondence had been communicated to the Dean, and as he pointedly, and by date, referred to certain private letters, both from Dr. Chalmers and from Lord Aberdeen, which, as he affirmed, corroborated his assertions, this communication carried with it an air both of authority and weight. Dr. Chalmers immediately replied to it, and after stating some of the grounds on which his expectations had been grounded, and which justified his expression of surprise and regret, he added, "The misunderstanding is so very subtle and profound that I am not able to explain it, yet I will not let go my confidence that it is but a misunderstanding, and nothing more. Lord Aberdeen, though he occupies to the full as high a place in the aristocracy of mind as in the aristocracy of rank—a noble of nature as well as birth might not comprehend what to him was a matter so extraprofessional as the application which might be made of his proposed Act in our Courts of Law. * * * In these circumstances there is surely room enough for the explanation of all errors, on the principle that understandings are in the wrong, without the wretched resource of casting an imputation on the honour or integrity of any one. This I am determined shall with me be the solution of last resort, and I have not yet nearly come to it, least of all with Lord Aberdeen, whose views I am at present not able to comprehend, yet with undiminished reverence for the virtues of that patriotic and high-minded nobleman."

While Dr. Chalmers was penning these sentences in Edinburgh, Lord Aberdeen was addressing the House of Lords on the motion for the second reading of his Bill. In doing so he referred to the Report which, as Convener of the Non-Intrusion Committee, Dr. Chalmers had laid before the Assembly, and having quoted from it a statement made by Lord John Russell, but which Lord Aberdeen erroneously attributed to Lord Melbourne, the following interlocutor occurred:—

THE EARL OF ABERDEEN.—" I am sure that there is no good ground for the statement of the noble Viscount, that any measure of the Government relative to the subject would be objected to by your Lordships. This is not treating your Lordships in a manner becoming the noble Viscount."

VISCOUNT MELBOURNE.—" I do not remember it. Does the Report mention me?"

The Earl of Aberdeen.—" No, the term is the Government; but my noble friend must excuse me if I look to him as the Government. At all events, I am certain that the Report refers to the noble Viscount."

VISCOUNT MELBOURNE.—"I did not say anything of the kind."
THE EARL OF ABERDEEN.—"I will fairly tell the noble Viscount, that I do not believe the statement contained in the Report. In the Report of the communications which the Committee have had with me they have been so unscrupulous in VOL. IV.

their statements that it is probable they have not dealt more honestly with the noble Viscount."

VISCOUNT MELBOURNE.—"I do not mean to say that, however."
The report of this extraordinary conversation had no sooner

reached Edinburgh than, in the name, and as the former chairman of the Committee, the Rev. Dr. Gordon addressed a letter to Lord Aberdeen, requesting to know whether he had made such an impeachment of their integrity, and if so, upon what ground. The correctness of the report was not challenged by his Lordship, and in vindication of his charge he referred to that very correspondence which contained the passages already presented to our readers, and which so fully warranted that statement, the honesty as well as the accuracy of which was called in question. Dr. Chalmers though still a member had now relinquished the Convenership of the Committee. In alluding to this, Lord Aberdeen spoke of Dr. Chalmers "as a reverend gentleman, a great leader in the Assembly, who having brought the Church into a state of jeopardy and peril, had left it to find its way out of the difficulty as well as it could." A few weeks afterwards, a pamphlet by Dr. Chalmers appeared, bearing the following eharacteristic title, "What ought the Church and the People of Scotland to do now? being a Pamphlet on the Principles of the Church Question, with an Appendix on the Politics and Personalities of the Church Question." In the Appendix the following passages occur:-"For ourselves, such is the strength of our natural veneration for rank, (a sentiment that may be either of a chivalrous or a pusillanimous character,) that we are most unwilling to relinquish the favourable opinion which we have been led to entertain of any person who may chance to inherit its honours; and would rather wait the most decisive evidence of ours being a misplaced and extravagant partiality, ere we could agree conclusively to let it go. But over and above this

instinctive, or, as it may be termed by many, this blind affection, there are certain principles on what we hold to be best for the stability and good order of the commonwealth, which strongly prepossess our inclinations towards the aristocracy of the land. We look in fact on the great families of Britain as the supports or buttresses of our national edifice; and, just as we love in architecture the graceful minarets, by which, not these ornaments but these lateral strengths of the building, are surmounted—so do we confess an affection for the crowns and coronets which sit on the brows of our nobility. But greater, if not in the order of taste greater, far greater in the order of worth and solid importance than the supports of our edifice, is the foundation of our edifice; and on this principle a still mightier interest than even the character of our grandees, is the character of our general population. most essential element of a nation's health and safety is that we shall be sound at bottom; but this is an element which nothing tends more fearfully to endanger, than that the Christian instructors of a land, the officials charged with that highest of all education the education of principle—that they should · be brought down, whether by their own deservings or the injustice of others, in popular estimation. 'Ye are the salt of the earth, but if the salt have lost its savour, wherewith shall the earth be salted?' It is as a blow struck at the corner-stone when the moral integrity of clergymen is assailed; and when not in any secret or obscure whispering place, but on the very house-top of the nation, we behold, and without a single expression of remonstrance or regret from the assembled peerage of the empire, one nobleman sending forth his wrathful fulmination against the honesty and truth of ministers of religion, and another laughing it off in his own characteristic way with a good-natured jeer as a thing of nought-we cannot but lament the accident, by which a question of so grave a

nature and of such portentous consequences to society as the character of its most sacred functionaries, should have come even for a moment under the treatment of such hands.

"I trust that I may now bid my final adieu to the field of authorship upon this question. Let me never at least be allured to it in the vain hope, that I shall be able to silence the voice of personal injustice, which I henceforth leave to expend itself upon me, either in the form of unhandsome disclosures or injurious criminations by adversaries, for whatever purpose may seem unto them good. It is not long since I have been made aware of a hostile misinterpretation on the part of Lord Aberdeen, which but a few weeks ago I should have deemed impossible to have come from such a quarter. reported to have said in the House of Peers, that after having led the Church into her present difficulties, I now leave her to find as best she may her own way out of them. He greatly overrates my influence. The Church came into her present difficulties not by my advice, but in opposition to it—and that advice, given as far back as 1833, I have now reiterated, as the likeliest method by which, if let alone from without, she might still be extricated with safety and honour. There are necessities which compel my retirement from all that is distracting or laborious in the public business of the Church, which I will not now explain; and, in virtue of these, it is more than a twelvemonth since I entered upon measures that might prepare for my resignation by the time of last Assembly, of all the tasks and managements that had been devolved upon me. But, in truth, apart from these necessities which have long decided me to the step of a general retirement, his Lordship might have guessed at another reason for the separation of myself from the Non-Intrusion Committee, beside the one which he is said to have proclaimed in that high assembly, where no counter explanation could possibly be given. I cannot

better express the true reason, than in the following words of a late speech to the General Assembly, when I told them that I could no longer be a member of the Committee, whose Report I had just read to them :- 'The truth is, that in this harassing warfare I am able to hold out no longer. Irrespective of this, I should have tendered my resignation of every office I hold from the Assembly, which involves the incessant labour of the last six years. For more than a twelvemonth I had made up my mind to do this in the Assembly of 1840, and during that twelvemonth, the resolution has been strengthened every day, by the infinity of calls and conflicts and tracasseries innumerable, to which my twofold situation of Convener of Church Extension and Convener for Non-Intrusion has exposed me; and, to crown and consummate all, there have not only been the fatigues, but, within these few weeks, the sore bitter crushing disappointment—the blasting of all my fondest hopes for the good and peace of our Church, in my correspondence with public and parliamentary men."

"But his Lordship mistakes, if he thinks that there is no other field on which we can be of service to the Church, save that one which he accuses me of having deserted in cowardice—when he and his Conservative friends, to whom I looked as our last and only dependence in London, may be more truly said to have driven me from it in despair. The weary struggle of six years that we had with his political opponents for the extension of our Church, we at length gave up in utter hopelessness of any good from them. And we are like to pass through the same experience, with another party in another cause. The fruitless higgling of the last six months,—and in which shorter, all the distastes and discouragements and annoyances of the longer period have been fully concentrated,—we now fling from us as we would a broken reed on which we unwarily had leaned. Lord Aberdeen may stigmatize as a

desertion that relinquishment which has been forced upon us by our discovery and consequent distrust of those with whom it had been our habit to deal; but he will find that both their insinuating flattery and their disappointed violence are alike impotent of effect, for leading us either to betray the cause of the Church of Scotland into the hands of her once professing friends, or to surrender that cause into the hands of her now declared enemies.

" After all, I now feel that I owe an act of justice to the Whigs. I understand justice in the same sense as equity, (equitas;) and I am now bound to say, that if on the question of Church Endowments I have been grievously disappointed by the one party-on the question of Church Independence I have been as grievously disappointed by the other. Of course I speak on the basis of a very limited induction; but, as far as the findings of my own personal observation are concerned, I should say of the former, that they seem to have no great value for a Church Establishment at all—and of the latter, that their great value for a Church Establishment seems to be more for it as an engine of State than as an instrument of Christian usefulness. The difference lies in having no principle, or in having a principle that is wrong. In either way they are equally useless, and may prove equally hurtful to the Church; and though the acknowledgment I now make to the Whigs be a somewhat ludicrous one, if viewed in the character of a peace-offering, I am nevertheless bound to declare, that, for aught like Church purposes, I have found the Conservatives to be just as bad as themselves.

"It is for the Church now to renounce all dependence upon men; and, persevering in the high walk of duty on which she has entered, to prosecute her own objects on her own principles—leaving each party in the State to act as they may." ÆT. 60.

CHAPTER XI.

THE CONTRAST BETWEEN THE ENGLISH AND SCOTTISH REFORMATIONS...THE FIRST GENERAL ASSEMBLY...ITS INDEPENDENCE OF THE STATE...CONFLICT BETWEEN THE CHURCH AND STATE IN SCOTLAND...CONDUCT AND TESTIMONY OF THE CHURCH...THE ACT OF 1592...THE REVOLUTION SETTLEMENT...DR. CHALMERS'S OPINION AS TO THE TWO PRINCIPLES OF NON-INTRUSION AND SPIRITUAL INDEPENDENCE...LETTER TO LORD ABERDEEN AND SPEECH IN THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY...POSITION AND PROCEEDINGS OF THE MODERATE PARTY IN THE CHURCH...SPEECH OF SIR ROBERT PEEL...

"THE child is father of the man."—With Churches as with individuals this holds true; and it stands conspicuously verified in the histories of the two Reformed Churches of England and Scotland. The English Church was created by a fiat of the The reformed doctrine had but little prevalence monarch. and power among the people when Henry VIII., by an act of royal authority, abolished the Papal jurisdiction, and substituted his own in its stead. From that period till the present time, the reigning monarch has been the Head of the English Church; a dogma which finds one of its embodiments in the fact, that in all matters, even as to doctrine and discipline, there lies an appeal from the Ecclesiastical to the Civil Courts. It was exactly the reverse in Scotland. It is now nearly three hundred years since the first General Assembly convened in Edinburgh. It met by no summons, it received no express sanction from the State. The spread of the Holy Scriptures and the preaching of that Gospel which they contain, had so leavened the general community, that in 1560, by the Estates of Parliament, and against the Sovereign's will, the Papal jurisdiction was abrogated. But no other was substituted in its stead. At their own instance, and having the Word of God as their alone guide and warrant, a few clergymen and laymen* assembled and organized the Protestant Church of Scotland. They framed a creed, drew up a code of discipline, and resolved that, as the highest court of the Church exercising supreme legislative and judicial authority, they should meet in General Assembly twice each year. There were not wanting those who at first challenged the lawfulness of these Assemblies. At one of their earliest meetings, Maitland of Lethington, the Queen's Secretary, ventured to express a doubt upon this point. "Take from us," said Knox in answer, "the liberty of Assemblies, and take from us the evangel, for without Assemblies how shall good order and unity of doctrine be kept?" Seven years elapsed; fifteen General Assemblies were held; kirk-sessions were instituted; Provincial Synods erected; ministers appointed, suspended, deposed; in a word, all the highest functions of ecclesiastical authority were exercised without any authority from, or any recognition by the State. + It did finally interfere, not to create, however, but to ratify; and by Act of the Scottish Parliament 1567, "the ministers of the blessed evangel of Jesus Christ, whom God of his mercy has raised up among us, and the people of the realm that profess Christ, and do partake of the holy sacraments," were declared to be "the true and holy Kirk of Jesus Christ within this realm." One fourth only of the livings were at this time in the gift of lay patrons, the remainder being held by ecclesiastics.

^{*} The first General Assembly consisted of forty members, of whom six only were elergymen.

[†] The progress made during these seven years was extraordinary. In 1560 there were only twelve ministers of the reformed faith in Scotland: in 1567 there were 250 ministers, 467 readers, and 150 exhorters.

Church unwillingly* consented that these lay patrons should retain the right of nomination; but in the statute ordaining this, it was specially provided that "the examination and admission of ministers be only in the power of the Kirk," and that in case the Church should "refuse to receive and admit the person presented by the patron, it shall be lawful to the patron to appeal to the superintendent and ministers of that province where the benefice lies, and desire the person presented to be admitted, which if they refuse, to appeal to the General Assembly of the whole realm, by whom the case being decided, shall take end, as they decern and declare."

One third of the ancient revenue of the Church was set apart as a fund out of which stipends were to be paid to the reformed clergymen, the remaining two-thirds being appropriated by the Crown and the nobles. The Roman Catholic prelates, however, were permitted to retain for life, two-thirds of the rents of their bishopricks. At the death of the Archbishop of St. Andrews in 1571, the Earl of Morton found a clergyman willing to take the office, while allowing the Earl to retain for his own use the greater portion of the income. Knox was at this time residing in St. Andrews, unable through the infirmity of declining years to attend the General Assembly. He wrote, however, to his brethren, "Unfaithful and traitors to the flock shall ye be before the Lord Jesus, if that with your consent, directly or indirectly, ye suffer unworthy men to be thrust into the ministry of the Kirk, under what pretence that ever it be. Remember the Judge before whom ye must make account, and resist that tyranny as ye would avoid hell fire." The Assembly responded to the sentiments of the firm and inflexible reformer. A remonstrance

^{*} In the First Book of Discipline, the provisions of which were in force from 1560 till 1567, it is laid down "that it appertaineth to the people and to every several congregation to elect their own minister."

was written in their name by Erskine of Dun, and forwarded to the Regent Mar. "There is," says that remonstrance, "a spiritual jurisdiction and power which God has given unto his Kirk, and to them that bear office therein; and there is a temporal jurisdiction and power given of God to kings and civil magistrates. Both the powers are of God, and most agreeing to the fortifying one of the other, if they be rightly used. But when the corruption of man enters in, confounding the offices, usurping to himself what he pleases; nothing regarding the good order appointed of God, then confusion follows in all estates. The Kirk of God should fortify all lawful powers and authority that pertains to the civil magistrate, because it is the ordinance of God. But if he pass the bounds of his office, and enter within the sanctuary of the Lord, meddling with such things as appertain to the ministers of God's Kirk, then the servants of God should withstand his unjust enterprise, for so are they commanded of God."

Ten years after this the fidelity of the Church was still more severely tried. The Archbishop of Glasgow died in 1581, and some clergyman was needed by the Duke of Lennox to hold the office, under bargain that the lion's share of the revenue should go to the nobleman. The name and office of diocesan bishop had by this time been abolished by the Church, yet Robert Montgomery, minister of Stirling, accepted the nomination. His Presbytery prohibited him from doing so, but as he persisted, they reported the case to the Synod of The civil authorities interfered, interdicted the Lothian. Synod from proceeding, and summoned them before the Privy Council. Their representatives appeared, and in their name respectfully declined the judgment of such a Court as incompetent in such a matter. Montgomery was now summoned to appear at the bar of the General Assembly, indicted to meet at St. Andrews in April 1582. His case had just been called

when a messenger-at-arms entered the House, and, in the King and Council's name, charged them under "the pains of rebellion" to desist. Resolving that it was their duty to proceed, they ratified the sentence of the Presbytery, suspending Montgomery from the office of the ministry, and found that he had made himself liable by his conduct to the still weightier sentence of deposition and excommunication. Alarmed for the moment, the recreant minister appeared before them, confessed his error and promised submission. His submission was so far accepted, that the sentence was delayed; but the Presbytery of Edinburgh was instructed and authorized, in case of a violation of his engagement, to proceed instantly to pass it. sense of immediate terror had no sooner passed away than he revived his claims, and in face of all the threatenings of the Court the final sentence was promptly pronounced against The ministers of the metropolitan Presbytery were summoned to appear immediately before the Privy Council; one of their number was banished from the capital, and their sentence was declared null and void. The emergency was urgent; a special meeting of the General Assembly was called, and a remonstrance forwarded to the King, in which the following passage occurs:-

"Your Majesty, by advice of some counsellors, is taught to take upon your Grace that spiritual power and authority which properly belongeth to Christ, as only King and Head of the Kirk. The ministry and execution thereof is only given to such as bear office in the ecclesiastical government of the same; so that in your Grace's person, some men press to erect a new popedom, as though your Majesty could not be full king and head of this commonwealth, unless, as well the spiritual as the temporal sword be put in your hand—unless Christ be bereft of His authority, and the two jurisdictions confounded which God has divided, which directly tends to the wreck of all true religion."

Montgomery and the Court gave way. The struggle between the Church and the State lasted some years longer, till the celebrated statute of 1592 was passed, by which were abrogated "all acts, laws, and statutes made at any time before the day and date hereof against the liberty of the true Kirk, jurisdiction and discipline thereof, as the same is used and exercised within this realm." This statute was accepted and regarded at the time as ratifying that claim to an exclusive spiritual jurisdiction for which the Church had contended during the thirty preceding years—a statute like that of 1567, still in force, but which, in 1839, was strangely quoted and founded on as destructive of such a claim.

Our space does not permit us to complete this historic sketch. The first thirty years, however, are a type of all that followed. The right to a free and uncontrolled self-government—the liberty to order all her doings according to her own conscience and in obedience to the will of Christ, the Church of Scotland still resolutely asserted and maintained. For a brief season or two she ingloriously succumbed and suffered the invader's inroads.* But from these temporary disgraces she

^{*} In one of these periods of defection a few faithful ministers had convened in Assembly at Aberdeen. In the King's name, and on pain of rebellion, they were charged to dissolve. They offered to do so provided his Majesty's Commissioner would name a day and place for the next meeting. On this being refused they continued in Assembly, for which act sixteen were committed to prison, and six were indicted on the charge of high-treason. One of the six, John Welsh, the sonin-law of Knox, was condemned; and writing from his prison in the Castle of Blackness, he says, "Who am I, that He should first have called me, and then constituted me a minister of the glad tidings of the Gospel of salvation these years past, and now, last of all, to be a sufferer for his cause and kingdom! Now, let it be so that I have fought my fight, and run my race, henceforth there is laid up for me a crown of righteousness, which the Lord, the righteous God, will give, not to me only, but to all that love his appearing, and choose to witness that Jesus Christ is the King of saints, and that his Church is a free kingdom, yea, as free as any kingdom under heaven, not only to convocate, hold, and keep her meetings, conventions, and assemblies, but also to judge all her affairs, in all her meetings and conventions, amongst her members and subjects. These two points-1. That

nobly redeemed herself. Under the tyranny of the Stuarts* four hundred of her clergymen voluntarily resigned their livings rather than acknowledge the royal authority as supreme within the house of God. And true to the same principles, their scattered flocks were driven into exile, shot down in

Christ is the Head of the Church; 2. That she is free in her government from all other jurisdiction except His;—these two points, I say, are the special cause of our

imprisonment, being now convicted as traitors for maintaining them."

* The first clergyman who suffered under the Stuarts was Mr. James Guthrie, and the last Mr. James Renwick. One of the leading counts in Mr. Guthrie's indictment was, that he declined the King's judgment as iucompetent in matters of doctrine. In his defences, and for the purpose of shewing how warrantable such a declaration was, Mr. Guthrie says, "The Word of God doth clearly hold forth that Jesus Christ hath a visible kingdom which He exercises in or over His visible Church, which is wholly distinct from the civil powers and governments of the world, and not depending upon nor subordinate unto these governments in the administrations thereof, which are spiritual, and are to be regulated not by the laws of men, but by His own laws, set down in His Word. * * * As for divine reason, the defender doth only say, that if the function of the magistrate be distinct from the ministerial function in all the causes thereof, then must needs the jurisdictions and exercises thereof be also distinct and not depending one upon another. The confounding of these, and the clashings and encroachments of the civil and ecclesiastical powers, have been the cause of much trouble and confusion in the world, and the preserving of them distinct, and giving to God the things that are God's, and to Cæsar the things that are Cæsar's, is the best foundation of order, union, and peace, both in Church and State."

Mr. Guthrie's defences were overruled, and he died upon the scaffold at Edinburgh, on the 1st June 1661. "I bless the Lord," said he, in his last speech, "that as I die not as a fool, so also that I die not for evil doing. The matters for which I am condemned are matters belonging to my calling and function as a minister of the Gospel, such as the discovery and reproving of sin, the pressing and the holding fast of the oath of God in the covenant, and preserving and carrying on the work of religion and reformation according thereto, and denying to acknowledge the civil magistrate as the proper competent immediate judge in causes ecclesiastical."—Wodrow, vol. i. pp. 184, 185, 193.

At his execution, on the 13th February 1688, Mr. James Renwick said, upon the scaffold, "I die a Presbyterian Protestant. I adjoin my testimony to all those truths which have been scaled by blood on scaffolds, fields, and seas, for the cause of Christ. I leave my testimony against Popery, Prelacy, Erastianism, against all profanity, and every thing contrary to sound doctrine, particularly against all usurpations and encroachments made upon Christ's rights, the Prince of the kings of the earth, who alone must bear the glory of ruling his own kingdom—the Church, and particularly against the absolute power assumed by this usurper, that belongs to no mortal."—Wodrow, vol. iv. pp. 453, 454.

the wild morass, or executed on the scaffold, till thousands perished. The glorious Revolution came at last. By one of William's earliest Acts it is declared that "the first Act of the second Parliament of King Charles the Second, entituled, 'Act asserting his Majesty's supremacy over all persons and in all causes ecclesiastical,' is inconsistent with the Church Government now desired, and ought to be abrogated. Therefore their Majesties, with advice and consent of the Estates of Parliament, do hereby abrogate, rescind, and annul the said Act, and declare the same, in the whole heads, articles, and clauses thereof, to be of no force or effect in all time coming." after the Act 1592 was revived, renewed, and confirmed, by which "the sole and only power and jurisdiction within the Church" was declared to stand in the Church, and in her courts, as separate from and not subject to the supreme civil power. At the same time the Westminster Confession of Faith was ratified and engrossed verbatim in the statute. In the 30th chapter of that Confession it is announced as a fundamental principle, "That the Lord Jesus, as King and Head of his Church, hath therein appointed a government in the hand of Church-officers distinct from the civil magistrate."

It is in the light of her bygone history—in the light of her own authoritative documents—in the light of the authorship of the most eminent of her ministers—in the light of the doings and sufferings of her faithful members—that those statutes can alone be properly read and understood which ratify the Church's liberty, and assert over her the supreme and only Headship of Christ. It should not surprise us, therefore, that lawyers of the highest eminence—men versant in all the canons by which the terms of ordinary statute law are interpreted—should have failed in interpreting these statutes aright. Their true interpretation is to be discovered in the fact, that from the beginning of her history, and at no small

cost of strife and suffering afterwards, the Church of Scotland held fast by the principle that the Church is a divine institute, deriving her existence, powers, and privileges directly from Christ, having the rule of her procedure in His Word, for the faithful following of which rule, and the preservation of her allegiance to her great Head, she must be free from all foreign control. She owed it to the peculiarity of her birth—she owed it to the circumstances of her earlier years, that she only, of all the Churches of the Reformation, was called upon to search thoroughly into the principles upon which a pure connexion between the Church and the State can alone be established; and among all the children of the Reformation this was her distinction and her glory, that, walking hand in hand with the secular authorities, she had kept herself, in principle at least if not always in practice, pure and clean.

It was no false alarm which visited the heart of Dr. Chalmers when at the Bar and from the Bench he heard the Church of Scotland pronounced to be a creature of the State, and the civil supremacy over her actings so unhesitatingly and unlimitedly affirmed. That alarm was heightened when, for the discharge of a purely spiritual act, a Presbytery was summoned to the bar of the Civil Court and rebuked; and it received a full confirmation when the preaching of the Word and the administering of sacraments was prohibited in a whole district of the land. At an early stage of the conflict the paramount importance of the question as to the Church's spiritual jurisdiction revealed itself to his eye. It was when exercised in defence of the privileges of the people, that this jurisdiction had been in the first instance assailed, and the two topics of Non-Intrusion and spiritual independence had come thus to be implicated together. He was most anxious to distinguish and keep them separate, that its proper place and its own right relative importance might be assigned to each. In principle

he was opposed to all violent settlements as hurtful to the efficacy of the Christian ministry, and prejudicial to the interests of true religion. In no circumstances, and under no force of compulsion would be ever have taken part or given any sanction to such an ordination as that of Mr. Edwards in the parish of Marnoch; but he was fully aware, notwithstanding, that the ideas prevalent in Scotland as to the nature of the pastoral relationship, and as to the conditions under which the Church should establish it, were to some extent peculiar to his country, and that what might be ruinous to the interests of religion there, might not have the same effect elsewhere, and that the obligations, therefore, resting upon the Church of Scotland might not rest equally upon all other churches in all other circumstances. It was different with the other principle brought now into jeopardy. In his estimate it was a broad, a general, a universal truth, free from all accidents of place and time-a truth for all ages, and all countries, and all churches-that however placed towards, and however indebted to the civil power, the Church of Christ, while giving her services, should never part with her liberties-while receiving State support, should never submit to State control, save in the disposal of the State's emoluments. It was the depth of this conviction which, when Lord Aberdeen, in a letter dated January 1840, referred to the "contempt and disobedience of the law" of which the Church, as he imagined, had been guilty, led Dr. Chalmers in reply to say-"I am quite sensible that the principles of our controversy are grievously misunderstood. The truth is, they have lain dormant for a century and a half, because they have never, during the whole of that period, been called forth by any disturbing force into exercise or manifestation; and so, excepting by ecclesiastics, they have been well-nigh forgotten. They are not, however, on that account less deeply seated in our own

convictions, or less palpably, as we think, deponed to in the Word of God. They were once familiar even to the lay mind of almost the whole of Scotland, and we are sensible of a daily increasing number, now that public attention is turned to the question, who are beginning to perceive the sacredness of the principle that there are certain matters on which a Christian Church should be left untouched to its own power of internal regulation. If there be one assertion which I feel myself warranted to make with greater confidence than another, it is, that we have not rebelled, and that we have kept religiously within the limits of that ground on which the civil power ought to make no invasion. It is my profound feeling that the violence and illegality charged upon us are all chargeable upon the Court of Session, and that we have acquitted ourselves with the most exemplary moderation in the whole of this affair. In strict ecclesiastical propriety, the Strathbogie ministers, instead of being suspended, should have been deposed, and I fear will be deposed by next Assembly in consequence of their disobedience, if not anticipated by a final settlement of the question." It was the same profound conviction which led him, in opening the debate on Lord Aberdeen's Bill in the General Assembly, to speak as follows:-

"Now, Sir, looking on this part of our case, keeping a sted-fast eye on the question of our spiritual independence, and putting out of view for a moment the question of Non-Intrusion altogether, there are many, I trust very many, who think variously on the law of patronage and its modifications, and yet would harmonize and enter into one conjunct and firm phalanx for the vindication of our Church's outraged privileges; and if ever there was a crisis in our history—ever a period of those manifold and sore controversies, among which from infancy our Church has been cradled, when courage and consistency have been more called for, it is the day on which we

have now fallen—when the poison of false and hollow principle is undermining our strength from within, and thousands of our deadliest enemies from without are on the tiptoe of high expectancy for a coming overthrow. Sir, it is a leading principle of our Presbyterian constitution, that there is a distinct government in the Church, which the State of course must approve ere it confers upon us its own temporalities; or, in other words, that we have as uncontrolled a management of our own proper affairs as if we received not one farthing out of the national treasury; that when in the act of becoming an establishment, we, in the brief and emphatic deliverance of my friend Mr. Gray, 'gave them our services but not our liberties,' getting at their hands a maintenance for our clergy, and engaging in return for the Christian education of the people; a conjunction, we think, fruitful of innumerable blessings both to the Church and to society, but in which the value given is many hundredfold greater than the value received. Still, if the State be not satisfied with the bargain, they can at any time give us up. If, over and above our services in things spiritual they must also have our submission in things spiritual, in these we have another Master, to whom, and to whom alone, we are responsible; and we utterly repudiate, as we should an accursed thing, the sacrilegious bribe that would tempt us from an allegiance to Him; for that in these things He has the sole and undivided mastery is a principle which lies at the very foundation of the Church of Scotland; and on her giving up this, as by the loosening of a corner or a key-stone, the whole fabric will tumble into ruins. The establishment of this, as the principle of our Church, is the peculiar glory of Scotland, the fruit of a hardwon victory, after the struggles and the persecutions of more than a hundred years. A principle which has cost us so much we are not now willing to let go; and if the State will insist on our surrender of it, or the forfeiture of our endowments, we

are willing to try the experiment, and to brave the same cost over again. It is a principle, Sir, that we have not forgotten, though it has been renounced by a few declarationists among ourselves, and though it has faded away from the recollections and the feelings of general society, like an old charter which might slumber in its repositories for generations, while its articles remain unbroken, but which the rude hand of violence will recall from its oblivion, and, quickening it anew into vigour and vitality, will bring back, as if by resurrection, on the face and to the observation of the world. It is even so with the grand, the fundamental principle of our Churchits own inherent liberty in things ecclesiastical-familiar as household words, Bishop Burnet tells us, even to the humblest of our peasantry, but which, suffered to lie quiet for a century and a half, because let alone, had ceased at one time to be spoken of, and so fallen away from the memory, even from the understandings, of men. From 1688 to 1838—from the time of the Revolution settlement to the time when the Court of Session gave forth its interdict against the Presbytery of Dunkeld in the case of Lethendy-no civil power ever attempted to interfere with the steps of our ecclesiastical procedure, or to meddle with our Establishment in aught but the temporalities which belong to her. It was the disturbance given then which has aroused the Church, and will at length arouse the nation, from its dormancy. It threw us back on the first elements of a question, which, from the days of our great-grandfathers, had been settled and set by. When conjured up again, it sounded like an antique paradox on many an ear; but minds are gradually opening to the truth and sacredness of our great principle, and we doubt not that the very agitations of this controversial period have flashed it more vividly and convincingly on the understandings of men than heretofore. Our ark is now in the midst of conflicting billows, but so that its flag is all the

more unfurled by the storm which has raised them; and the inscription there, now spread forth and expanded in the gale, is making the motto of our Establishment patent to all eyes, that 'the Lord Jesus Christ is the only Head of the Church of Scotland.' Sir, we have nailed this colour to the mast, and will keep by it in all its fortunes, whether of tempest or of sunshine, through which the winds of heaven may carry it. Lord Jesus Christ is the only Head of the Church of Scotland; that is the watchword of the party with whom I act; and is there none on the other side of the House to reiterate the cry? Yes, many, very many, perhaps all. And does not this justify the distinction of treatment that we are now making between the two questions of spiritual independence and of the Veto Law? And the only other distinction I would press in the opposite quarter, from which we have now heard a response so cheering, is that which obtains between a declaratory and an effective proposition. You nobly join us in the declaratory; will you join us in the effective? I have the proud confidence that a goodly number of you will; and furthermore, that you will assert by deeds as well as words, the great principle on which we stand. We may break into a thousand differences on the Veto Law; of the sacred liberties of our Church there will be no surrender."

There were strong grounds for this appeal to the Moderate party in the Church. Between them and their evangelical brethren there were many differences on questions of ecclesiastical policy, but as yet there had been no difference as to the Church's spiritual independence. It might be difficult to convince politicians—doubly difficult to convince those who, born and educated in England, had imbibed the Anglican ideas as to the relationship between Church and State; but could there be any difficulty in convincing Scottish clergymen, acquainted with the past struggles and victories of their Church, that

resistance to the recent interferences of the Court of Session was constitutional and valid? When the Lethendy interdict was issued it was condemned by an almost unanimous Commission. When the last Strathbogie interdict was issued, the Presbytery of Edinburgh, without a dissentient voice, disapproved of it. The Moderates disliked the introduction of the popular element into the Church, conceiving that it served to vitiate its character. Leaving the majority, however, to fight their own battle of Non-Intrusion—a battle in which they could give no help-it would have been consistent with all their former principles and practice, it would have been a wise and generous policy for them to pursue, had they joined in the conflict for the protection of the Church's spiritual liberties. minority sanctioning the procedure of the Civil Courts, and with the hope held out that the majority would finally break down, the British Parliament might feel free to take its own course. But if the Church had presented an unbroken front of resistance, her liberties had been saved. Even after the Moderate party had come to think that the Civil Courts were in the right, and the Church was in the wrong, there was one weighty consideration by which their procedure might have been affected. Let the liberty now asserted as belonging by birthright to the Church be conceded to her, their position remained unchanged; let it be refused, and the evangelical majority must either renounce their principles or withdraw from the Establishment. The Moderates would have deserved well of their Church and country if they had withheld their hand from helping to precipitate such a crisis. It had been a deed of highest patriotism, if, to avert it, they had sacrificed the immediate hope of regaining a lost ascendency. But they decided otherwise.

The course which they had resolved to follow was sufficiently indicated when the case of the Strathbogie ministers came before the General Assembly of this year. The first step taken by the Assembly, in dealing with these clergymen, was to appoint a Committee to hold a private conference with them. This Committee, while reporting in the strongest terms as to the kindly and Christian spirit which their brethren had manifested in the course of this conference, had yet the painful duty to announce that they would neither confess to past error, nor give assurance of future submission to the Church's Judicatories. The Assembly, in consequence of this, resolved that the sentence of suspension should be continued; that these clergymen should be cited to appear personally before the Commission in August; that, if they continued contumacious, they should then be served with a libel, with a view to deposition; but that the Commission should proceed no farther than to make the case ready for the decision of next General Assembly. The final sentence was deferred thus for a year, in the course of which it was hoped that some event might occur which would remove the necessity of passing it. In opposition to the motion which became the judgment of the Church, Dr. Cook, followed by all his party, moved, that as the Commission had exceeded its powers, its sentence of suspension and all proceedings connected therewith, should be held null and void. When the competency of the Commission was affirmed, he then moved again, that as these clergymen had done nothing that was censurable, the sentence should be removed, and they be at once restored to the full exercise of their ministry. It was not, however, till their reasons of dissent from the final judgment of the Court was laid upon the table, that it was known how far the Moderate party were now prepared to go. These reasons not only affirmed that the conduct of the seven ministers, in taking their orders from the civil, rather than from the ecclesiastical court, was "conformable to the clearest principles of reason, and the express injunctions of Scripture," but that, in the

judgment of the dissentients, the sentence passed upon them was "unconstitutional, illegal, and invalid." Let but one step more be taken; let the dissentients act upon the opinion thus expressed, by holding ministerial communion with these clergymen, and the Church would have been forced to deal with them all as she had dealt with the seven. The bold measure of forcing this alternative at once upon the Church appears to have been contemplated. "If no steps are taken," said Lord Aberdeen, on the 16th June, in moving the second reading of his Bill, "for the settlement of this question before the month of August, a great and lamentable schism will take place in the Church, for a large body of ministers will then announce their intention not to obey the orders of the Assembly." A few days after this information was given to the House of Lords, a private circular, signed by Dr. Cook and others, was sent among their friends, inviting them to form an association, based upon the reasons of dissent already alluded to, and requesting that a general meeting, for the purpose of maturing the plans of this association, should be held in Edinburgh on the morning of the 12th August, the very day on which the Commission was to meet. They were emboldened to take strong steps, by the strong support of that great party into whose hands it was now evident that the ruling power of the State was speedily to pass. More than three-fourths of the evangelical clergymen of the Establishment were at this time Conservatives—the Conservatism of many of them due to the unfriendly, or, as they thought, hostile policy of the Whigs in relation to the ecclesiastical establishments of the empire, and to the strong assurances which they were in every way encouraged to cherish, that from their political opponents they would experience a steady and generous friendship. If Dr. Chalmers was not thrown into any close connexion with the leaders of that party, his intercourse with them, such as it was, was fitted certainly to generate the hope that the evangelical

interest, which he represented, would experience no hostile treatment at their hands. But, from the time that Lord Aberdeen's Bill was rejected, the political friendship of the Conservatives was withdrawn from his party in the Church. Lord Aberdeen, to whom the conduct of the Scotch Church question in Parliament was committed by his political associates, appears at first to have recoiled into irritation and Even after the verdict of the General Assembly antipathy. had been delivered, he carried the second reading of his Bill by a large majority in the House of Lords; and when presenting a petition from the Strathbogie ministers a few days afterwards, he took occasion to tell the House, that "the fact was that the General Assembly was governed by a few ambitious lawyers, and he had no doubt if the measure to which he alluded (his own Bill) were allowed to pass, that the great body of the clergy would acquiesce in its provisions"—that is, that they would act otherwise than they had voted. And when, on the 10th July, he finally withdrew his Bill, he was at pains to say, that it was not because of the objections taken to it by the General Assembly, but because of the opposition of the Government, that he had not pressed it further. He expressed, at the same time, in the strongest terms his sympathy with the seven ministers of Strathbogie, whose case he stated at some length to the House-their conduct in obeying the Court of Session he highly applauded-and so severe was his censure of those who had violated the interdicts forbidding them to preach, that he went even the length of saying, that "there were some of the intruders that he should not object to see imprisoned." Sir Robert Peel, if not so severe, was scarcely less explicit. On the 27th July, on a vote for defraying the expense of building a new hall for the General Assembly, he took the opportunity of giving the first public expression of his judgment. It was calm and dignified, but authoritative and dictatorial. "He regretted that the Church of Scotland had placed itself in opposition to the

VOL. IV.

State," and "had not felt the pre-eminent obligation of setting an example to all the subjects of Her Majesty in Scotland of paying implicit deference to the law." "He was exceedingly sorry that the Bill introduced into the House of Lords was not to receive the sanction of the Legislature. If it had come to that House of Parliament it should have had his cordial sup-He could conceive that bills might pass the House introducing more of the principle of popular election into the choice of ministers—he could conceive that to be possible; but he was quite certain that no bill containing terms more favourable to ecclesiastical authority would ever pass. spiritual authority now claimed by the Church of Scotland he believed to be unjust and illegal, and he would not for the purpose of conciliation give his support to it. He wished to say nothing that could at all prejudice a conciliatory settlement of this question; but the best evidence he could offer to the Church of Scotland of his regard and respect was to take this opportunity of inculcating upon its authorities a giving up of their personal feelings and a strict obedience to the law." This counsel was repeated in various forms, and the echo of it was still ringing in his ears, when Dr. Chalmers joined his brethren at the August meeting of Commission. The rumours of an insubordination about to break out into some wide display had drawn together such a number both of members and auditors that they had to adjourn from the Assembly Hall to the Tron Church, and to that crowded audience Dr. Chalmers addressed these words:-

"We must stand out against this series of aggressions thus rising in magnitude one above the other, else the most sacred of the Church's territories, the very innermost recesses of her sanctuary, will lie open to invasion and be trodden under foot. I know the obloquy which will be heaped upon us; I have heard the odious names which are given to this resistance, and am prepared for them. If not an impartial public, at least an

impartial posterity will judge aright between us and our adversaries, and tell whether it is we who have been the rebels, or they who have been the persecutors. And here I may say one word in reference to those who express the hope, and I observe that Sir Robert Peel is among the number, that we shall give up our personal feelings and submit. What these personal feelings are he has not specified, whether irritation or a false sense of honour—the pride of men who have committed themselves and gone too far to retract without shame and degradation. Never was an appeal made so utterly wide of the object to sensibilities which have no existence, or if they have, it is in so slight a degree that they are overshadowed by principles of such depth and height, and length and breadth, as to engross and occupy the whole man. These principles, whether comprehended or not by our adversaries, are the only moving forces that tell or have told on the proceedings of the General Assembly. The free jurisdiction of the Church in things spiritual—the Headship of Christ—the authority of His Bible as the great statute-book, not to be lorded over by any power on earth—a deference to our own standards in all that is ecclesiastical—and what is more, a submission unexcepted and entire to the civil law in all that is civil;—these are our principles these, and not personal feelings, are what you ask us to give up, by giving in to those adversaries who have put forth an unhallowed hand upon them. And is there no room for a similar appeal being made to them? Have they no personal feelings in this matter—no feeling of ignominy in the anticipation of defeat—no feeling of triumph in the anticipation of victory—no mortification of disappointed vanity should their own battle-cry, 'that what firmness has done before it will do again,'* be rolled back by a resolute and unyielding Church on the head of her haughty persecutors?"

This sentence, falling with overpowering effect upon the

* The phrase used by the Dean of Faculty in his pamphlet.

audience, had scarce been uttered when a member of the Court abruptly and impetuously called Dr. Chalmers to order. What the rock is to the rolling billow which breaks on it, this interruption was to that swelling tide of popular emotion which, rising high above it, burst into a tumultuous expression of approbation. For a few minutes the voice of no speaker could be heard. With recovered breath and reanimated enthusiasm Dr. Chalmers continued his appeal.

"Is there no inward chagrin among Parliamentary friends who now mourn over their own abortive attempts at legislation; and, let me add, is there no sense of offended dignity among the functionaries of the law should it be found that law—no impossible thing, surely—has for once in 150 years gone beyond its sphere? Which of these two rival elements, we ask, in all conscience and equity, ought to give way? whether the feelings of men who, free from all hazard, lose nothing, in whatever way the contest is terminated, or the principles of men who risk their all for these principles, and who, though many of them now in the winter of life, will, rather than abandon them, brave the prospect of being driven from their comfortable homes, and cast with their helpless and houseless families on the wide world? I ask, is it well for Sir Robert, from his elevated station and seat of silken security, to deal forth such a lesson to the Church and the people of Scotland; and while he spares the patrician, the lordly feelings of all in rank or in office who have leagued to bear us down, to make no allowance for the consciences of men who, though humble in condition yet high in sentiment, are, like their fathers before them, prepared to renounce all for the integrity of that Church which is at once the glory and the bulwark of our nation?"

CHAPTER XII.

PUBLICATION OF DR. ALISON'S PAMPHLET ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE POOR LAWS IN SCOTLAND—DR. CHALMERS'S EFFORTS TO NEUTRALIZE THE EFFECTS OF THIS PUBLICATION—DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING OF THE BRITISH SCIENTIFIC ASSOCIATION HELD AT GLASGOW, IN SEPTEMBER 1840—PUBLICATION OF A VOLUME ON "THE SUFFICIENCY OF THE PAROCHIAL SYSTEM WITHOUT A POORRATE FOR THE RIGHT MANAGEMENT OF THE POOR"—CORRESPONDENCE WITH MR. THOMAS CARLYLE AND PROFESSOR DUNCAN—LECTURES TO THE MECHANICS OF GREENOCK ON THE LAWS AND PHENOMENA OF HEAT.

Dr. Chalmers's attention was now for a time diverted from this harassing warfare of the Church to a subject which, at an earlier period, had engrossed his thoughts.

That great change in the administration of the Scottish Poor-Laws which took place in 1844, sprung from the publication in 1840 of a pamphlet by Dr. Alison. It may be doubted whether any similar production was ever followed by like speedy, extensive, and permanent effects. It owed much of its power to the simple, manly, carnest, and devoted philanthropy of its author. By accurate and well-digested statistical details, he fixed in the public memory the fact that Glasgow and Edinburgh exhibited a higher rate of mortality than any of the great towns in England or on the Continent. The fearful ravages of fever and other epidemics he attributed to the squalor and poverty prevalent in those wretched abodes whose inmates were hurried in hundreds to a premature grave. The extreme destitution suffered in so many instances to exist, without any

attempt to relieve it, was faithfully delineated, while, in a tone the most fitted to make it felt, the startling announcement was made, that the "higher ranks in Scotland do much less for the relief of poverty, and of sufferings resulting from it, than those of any other country in Europe which is really well regulated." As the only effective remedy for all the evils which he had so patiently investigated, and so impressively exposed, Dr. Alison proposed that assessments for the poor should be levied uniformly and universally over the country; that the amount raised in this way should be increased from £150,000 to about £800,000 annually; and that a portion of these funds should be applied to the relief of indigence arising from want of employment. A general and generous, though, in Dr. Chalmers's judgment, a hasty and thoughtless response, was given to Dr. Alison's appeal. A demand was made for an investigation, to be conducted by public authority, with a view to demonstrate the failure of the existing system, and the necessity for the proposed alterations. A vigorous association was formed for the purpose of carrying out these views, and in a few years they were embodied in an act of the Legis-In 1834, the English Commissioners, upon whose Report the Poor-Law Amendment Bill was founded, had eulogized the "admirable practice" of the Scottish system, and had pointed to Scotland as "that part of the United Kingdom where the local management and maintenance of the poor has been best conducted:" in 1840, Scotchmen became enamoured of the "admirable practice" which prevailed across the Border, and allowed themselves to be convinced that their country was that part of the United Kingdom in which the management of the poor was worst conducted. Dr. Chalmers had laboured long and earnestly to give a directly opposite tendency to the current of public opinion, not without considerable success; and it was not to be expected that he should witness such a sudden revulsion of the public sentiment without an attempt to check it. The meeting of the "British Association" at Glasgow, in September 1840, afforded him an opportunity of bringing forward his own views and proposals in opposition to those of Dr. Alison. It was an open stage, on which they might fairly meet and subject their differences to amicable discussion. No lack of public interest was manifested. When the day arrived on which the topic was to be discussed, the room in which the Statistical section of the Association ordinarily assembled was found too small, and an adjournment took place to an adjoining church. Nothing new, however, was added to what had already been brought before the public; and the discussion terminated without any effective check being put upon the Edinburgh movement. Unsatisfied with the result, Dr. Chalmers resolved to make a final effort to set forth the sufficiency of the parochial system without a poor-rate for the right management of the poor. This was done, in the first instance, in a series of occasional lectures, delivered to the students of Theology, during the session 1840-41; and which soon after their delivery were embodied in a publication, forming the twenty-first volume of his works. Desirous to render this volume a complete and compendious exposition of the parochial system as the only effective remedy for pauperism, he brought together in the Appendix all those extracts from his former writings which bore most effectively on the subject. Dr. Chalmers sent a copy of this work to upwards of a hundred "public and parliamentary men," accompanying each copy with a letter from himself. From the large bundle of these letters and their answers we select a single specimen.

" Burntisland, September 29th, 1841.

"MY DEAR SIR,—My immediate object in writing to you is to announce the liberty I have taken in sending you a copy of the work I have published the other day on Pauperism. I had read your 'Chartism' with the greatest interest, and have endeavoured, however feebly, to express my sense of its merits. My chief anxiety is for the insertion of a permissive clause in the new Poor-Law Bill which might empower parishes to commence the retracing process to the better order of things without being fettered by the general provisions of the Bill. Could I obtain the concurrence of yourself and other literary and influential men of London in this view, it might go far in securing the object which I have had at heart, and for which I have now laboured more than a quarter of a century.—I am, yours most truly,

"To Thomas Carlyle, Esq."

"5, CHEYNE ROW, CHELSEA, LONDON, October 11th, 1841.

"My DEAR SIR,—The book you have honoured me by sending, and the letter along with it, arrived here two days ago. Allow me to return many kind thanks for this attention. I am glad and proud to be remembered by one who is always memorable to me, and memorable to all the world, whether they have seen or have not seen him.

"A wholesome, grateful air of hope, brotherly kindness, cheerful sagacity, salutes me from this book as I eagerly glance over it: to read it with care, as I purpose shortly to do, will be no task for me, but a pleasure. One is sure beforehand of finding much, very much, that one must at once and zealously assent to; and slower assent, doubt, examination—nay, ultimate dissent itself, (turning only on the application and details,) can but render a beautiful deeper basis of agreement more visible. It seems to me a great truth this fundamental principle of yours, which I trace as the origin of all these hopes, endeavours, and convictions in regard to Pauperism, that human things cannot stand on selfishness, mechanical

utilities, economics, and law-courts; that if there be not a religious element in the relations of men, such relations are miserable and doomed to ruin. A poor-law can be no lasting remedy; the poor and the rich, when once the naked parts of their condition come into collision, cannot long live together upon a poor-law! Solely as a sad transitionary palliative against still fiercer miseries and insupportabilities can it pretend to recommend itself, till something better be vouchsafed us, with true healing under its wings!

"Alas! the poor of this country seem to me, in these years, to be fast becoming the miserablest of all sorts of men. Black slaves in South Carolina, I do believe, deserve pity enough; but the Black is at least not stranded, cast ashore, from the stream of human interests, and left to perish there: he is connected with human interests, belongs to those above him, if only as a slave. Blacks too, I suppose, are cased in a beneficent wrappage of stupidity and insensibility: one pallid Paisley weaver, with the sight of his famishing children round him, with the memory of his decent independent father before him, has probably more wretchedness in his single heart than a hundred Blacks. Did you observe the late trial at Stockport, in Cheshire, of a human father and human mother, for poisoning three of their children, to gain successively some £3, 8s. from a Burial Society for each of them! A barrister of my acquaintance, who goes that circuit, informs me positively that the official people durst not go farther into this business; that this case was by no means a solitary one there; that, on the whole, they thought it good to close up the matter swiftly again from the light of day, and investigate it no deeper. hands of the pitiful women have sodden their own children!' Such a state of matters cannot subsist under the firmament of Heaven: such a state of matters will remedy itself as God livesremedy itself, if not by mild means, then by fierce and fiercest!

"That you, with your generous hopeful heart, believe there may still exist in our actual Churches enough of divine fire to awaken the supine rich and the degraded poor, and act victoriously against such a mass of pressing and ever-accumulating evils—alas! what worse could be said of this by the bitterest opponent of it, than that it is a noble hoping against hope, a noble strenuous determination to gather from the dry deciduous tree what the green alone could yield? Surely, for those that have still such a faith, I will vote that they should have all possible room to try it in. With a Chalmers in every British parish much might be possible! But, alas! what assurance is there that in any one British parish there will ever be another?

"But enough of this. Go as it may, your labours in this matter are not lost—no jot of them is lost. Nay, in one shape or another, as I believe, the thing that you advocate must verily realize itself in this earth—across what famines, poorlaws, convulsions, and embroiled strugglings, is not known to man. My prayer is, that a voice so humane, so true and wise, may long be heard in this debate, and attentively laid to heart on all sides.

"With many kind wishes for you and yours, with lasting esteem and regard, I remain, my dear Sir, yours most sincerely,

THOMAS CARLYLE."

Neither, however, did the publication of Dr. Chalmers's volume avail to arrest the recent Scottish movement. Public sympathy had been fairly roused, and when once roused it is impatient of inaction. Two remedies were presented to it—the moral and the pecuniary. The one, slow in operation, and relying upon influences the efficacy of which men are not ready to appreciate; the other offering an immediate, and, as it was affirmed, a satisfactory issue. The one was

seized on which gave the quickest promise of success. Without entering upon any estimate of their comparative merits, we may refer simply to the fact, that at this period (1840) there were 643 non-assessed, and 236 assessed parishes in Scotland; the non-assessed containing a population of 1,178,280, the assessed a population of 1,137,646; the annual expenditure being, in the non-assessed, £48,769, 5s. 4d., in the assessed, £91,736, 16s.; the number of paupers relative to the whole population being almost exactly the same in the two classes of parishes. Had the destitution of which Dr. Alison complained been due to the insufficient allowances afforded under the Scottish Poor-Law, the smaller the allowance the greater should have been the destitution. But it was precisely the reverse. Upon the same number of paupers twice as much was expended in the assessed as in the nonassessed parishes, and yet the destitution in the former was incalculably greater. It was, in fact, from the assessed parishes that almost all Dr. Alison's instances were drawn. Should not the fair conclusion from these facts be, that the size of the parish had more to do with the destitution than the amount of the assessment? In two hundred assessed, there were as many people as in 600 unassessed; and even that general average gave no correct idea of the overgrowth of population in the parishes where the deepest destitution was found. Had the Scottish ecclesiastical apparatus been but sufficiently extended, by opening up a thousand channels for the benevolence of the rich flowing in upon the necessities of the poor, it would have done as much at least as larger assessments have yet done, while rendering a service at the same time in the way of prevention which the rival system pretends not to furnish. Dr. Chalmers asked only £10,000 a year, and offered in return the gratuitous services of 200 clergymen and 1200 laymen to aid in the management of the

poor. Had £50,000 been given he could have doubled the agency which the whole Establishment supplied. Every large and every over-populous parish could have been broken down into small districts, and putting aside all the higher blessings conveyed by the ministrations of the Gospel of peace to the rude and godless masses, there would have been less destitution than now exists, (for destitution to some extent, the destitution springing from reckless extravagance and vice, will exist under any system;) and yet Scotland pays now to support her paupers upwards of £500,000 per annum.

Professor Duncan of St. Andrews, to whom Dr. Chalmers had sent a copy of his volume on the Parochial Economy, suggested the objection that the system pursued in Glasgow had not been imitated elsewhere, and invited him to write a brief explanation on this point. With that playful freedom used always in addressing Mr. Duncan, Dr. Chalmers writes:—

" Edinburgh, October 27th, 1841.

"My dear Sir,—I am much disappointed with your letter. I write not in jest, but in sad and solemn earnest. You grounded an objection to my system on the fact of its not being followed by others, which is to say, that after being satisfied with the scheme, when brought to the standard of reason and experience, as you profess in the present instance to have done, you will then refer to another standard—that is, the opinion of men blind and prejudiced; or, in other words, after it has made full proof of its own absolute soundness, it must be rejected because it happens to be surrounded by a number of dunderheads. * * *

"I have met with nothing that has made me so heartless and despairing since I read 'Alison on Population.' If he, a literary man, on the spot, with the thing before his eyes, and you, a literary man at a distance, with the thing set in true description before you, can still shut your eyes, not to the reasons but to the facts of the case—pray, where is my encouragement to

writing any more about it, or for composing that address which you, with such glaring incongruity, recommend to me? I will write no more, and have had enough of vexation and annoyance in this weary struggle of a quarter of a century to make me cease from men, and betake myself to some of those purer and higher regions of thought where the provocations of all further controversy with hasty and superficial thinkers will not reach me.* I have no heart to speak and write the same things a thousand and one times. O that is wearisome, wearisome, wearisome, wearisome! I am, my dear Sir, your dejected and disconsolate friend,

Thomas Chalmers."

While at Glasgow during the meeting of the British Association in September 1840, Dr. Chalmers had the privilege of being present and taking a prominent part at a dinner given by the friends of the Church to the Marquess of Breadalbane,

* "Subbath, December 12th, 1841.—The passage respecting Babel should not be without an humble and wholesome effect upon my spirit. I have been set on the erection of my Babel-on the establishment of at least two great objects, which, however right in themselves, become the mere idols of a fond and proud imagination, in as far as they are not prosecuted with a feeling of dependence upon God and a supreme desire after His glory. These two objects are the deliverance of our empire from pauperism and the establishment of an adequate machinery for the Christian and general instruction of our whole population. I am sure that in the advancement of these I have not taken God enough along with me, and trusted more to my own arguments and combinations among my fellows than to prayers. There has been no confounding of tongues to prevent a common understanding, so indispensable to that co-operation without which there can be no success, but without this miracle my views have been marvellously impeded by a diversity of opinions, as great as if it had been brought on by a diversity of language. The barriers in the way of access to other men's minds have been as obstinate and unyielding as if I had spoken to them in foreign speech; and though I cannot resign my convictions. I must now,-and surely it is good to be so taught, -I must now, under the experimental sense of my own helplessness, acknowledge, with all humility, yet with hope in the efficacy of a blessing from on high still in reserve for the day of God's own appointed time, that except 'the Lord build the house the builders build in vain.' In Thine own good time, Almighty Father, regenerate this earth, and gather its people into one happy harmonious family." - See Dr. Chalmers's Horæ Sabbaticæ, vol. ii. pp. 17, 18.

the only Scottish Peer true from first to last, in sunshine and in storm, within and without the Establishment, to the principles of the Scottish Church. There was another engagement of old date which Dr. Chalmers took the opportunity of his stay in the west of Scotland to liquidate. The reader may remember, that when on his Church Extension tour in the autumn of 1838, he had visited Greenock. He was asked at that time by the president and managers of the Mechanics' Institute to deliver a lecture on education. He was so gratified by the request that he wrote the following reply:—

"Gourock, September 8, 1838.

"Dear Sir,—It is with very great regret that I feel myself compelled by circumstances to decline for the present the request by which you have honoured me. You do me no more than justice when you count on the interest which I feel in everything connected with the improvement and comfort of our working-classes; and had it not been for the weight and variety of engagements, which will occupy me, I fear, for many months to come, I should have instantly betaken myself to the preparation, and that with a view to the delivery of such a lecture as you have done me the honour to propose.

"I am the more gratified by your application for a public address on the subject of education, that I flatter myself you have made it in the knowledge, or at least with a pretty confident belief of my principles on this subject. The truth is, that I look upon no system of education as available for the wellbeing either of individuals or of society at large which is not based upon religion, and I deprecate the attempts which are now making to dissever the Christianity from the scholarship of our people; so that while I rejoice in observing that the moral improvement of those who attend it is one great object of your Institution, I do so consistently with my firm

persuasion that the Gospel of Jesus Christ is the most efficient and powerful of all moralizers.

"On the other hand, let this principle be conceded to me, and let our primary or parish schools be protected and placed beyond the reach of the infidel or semi-infidel spirit of the times, and nothing would delight me more than the multiplication and prosperity of well-conducted Mechanics' Institutes all over the land. I have no sympathy whatever with those who would grudge our workmen and our common people the very highest scientific acquisitions which their taste, or their time, or their inclinations would lead them to realize; for next to the salvation of their souls, I certainly say that the object of my fondest aspirations is the moral and intellectual, and, as a sure consequence of this, the economical advancement of the working-classes, the one object which of all others in the wide range of political speculation, is the one which should be dearest to the heart of every philanthropist and every true patriot.

"Such being my views, you will understand the cordial interest I feel in the subject of your communication. I dare not undertake any additional extra work during the present, or even the whole of next summer; but if beyond these periods I am spared, and in circumstances for entering on such a walk of exertion, I hereby promise that I shall make a commencement with one, and should they allow me, I would, if able, like it better with two, three, or more lectures to the mechanics of Greenock.

"With my earnest prayers to the Giver of all blessings for the best and highest interests both of themselves and of their families, I entreat you to believe me, dear Sir, yours most respectfully and sincerely,

Thomas Chalmers."

The promise made in this letter was never forgotten, and on

resolving to attend the British Association, he resolved at the same time to fulfil it. In preparation for this, after the bustle of the General Assembly of 1840 was over, and he had returned to Burntisland, he reverted to the studies of his youth, supplied himself with a small chemical apparatus, and to his own family and a few friends gave an evening series of familiar lectures on chemistry. Unsatisfied, however, with his own capabilities to do full justice to the subject, he asked Dr. Anderson, Professor of Natural Philosophy in St. Andrews, to accompany him to Greenock. An unfortunate circumstance referred to in the following letter had nearly disarranged all when on the eve of execution:—

"Glasgow, 113, N. Montrose Street, Sept. 22, 1840.

"Dear Sir,—You are aware of the invitation given me two years ago by the mechanics of Greenock, and of the promise made by myself that I should deliver in their hearing a lecture on education.

"I have never lost sight of this engagement. I have corresponded on the subject with your predecessor in office; and my communications latterly have been more frequent as the time drew near for carrying the engagement into effect. It was at length settled that I should give my lecture on the evening of Monday the 28th, and that it should be followed up by a brief lectureship for some subsequent nights on the laws and phenomena of heat, as affording a specimen of one branch of education, at least—the education of science. For the better execution of this additional and extended part of the scheme, I have procured the invaluable assistance of my friend Dr. Anderson, Professor of Natural Philosophy in the University of St. Andrews, who is now in Glasgow, and has brought with him an apparatus, part of it obtained from England, for the purpose of elucidating some of the most recent discoveries.

In short, all was in a state of forwardness for the execution of our purposes, and we fixed on Saturday last for an interview with two of your own number, that we might arrange the details.

"Meanwhile, on the Friday preceding—that is, on the 18th of this month, or four days ago—we were informed for the first time of another arrangement by a hand-bill from Greenock, which announces the opening of your Mechanics' Institution on Monday the 21st, under the auspices of the three following gentlemen, who are to address the meeting on subjects connected with the diffusion of knowledge and the improvement of mankind, viz., James Simpson, Esq., advocate, George Combe, Esq., and the Rev. Patrick Brewster; and all this previous only by a few days to my own lectureship, and which you have now been looking forward to for two years, on the subject of education.

"I will not enter on the consideration here of the principles of any of these gentlemen, though I have been told by others of a discrepancy so wide and palpable between their views and my own, that the proposal of such an opening for your Institution, when looked to in all its circumstances, might be regarded by some in no other light than as a personal and practical insult to myself. I will not entertain this feeling. Your own explanations of Saturday have led me to dismiss any idea of this kind from my thoughts. I will say further, that no provocation could ever have made me insensible to the obligation of my own promise. I feel it due to myself, provided the explanations I now give are previously acquiesced in by the mechanics, and laid before the public of your town, to do all I have undertaken to do; and still more do I feel it due to the sacred cause of a Christian and Bible education not to retire from the arena of its proposed advocacy, even though the most inveterate opponents of this cause, unknown to myself, and long subsequent to the task having been put into my hands, should have been invited to enter the field before me.

"It has now become indispensable that I and the mechanics, as well as the general community of Greenock, should understand each other. The object of my appearance among you will be to protest against any system which would dissociate religion from scholarship, and to offer in my preliminary lecture the proofs and considerations on which I hold that, from the first dawnings of a conscience and understanding in children, they ought to be plied under the roof of their parents with the lessons of the Old and New Testament, and ought to be presented with the same lessons not only at church upon the Sundays, but in scriptural schools throughout the week. And though in the subsequent lectures to be given conjointly by Dr. Anderson and myself the time will be chiefly taken up with the demonstrations and experiments of natural science, this will not exclude my special office, which shall be not only to point out the theology that might be educed from the glories of the Divine workmanship, but if possible to neutralize the mischief that flows from but a little learning, which, when unaccompanied with certain principles and considerations that I shall endeavour to urge upon my hearers, is indeed a dangerous thing. I hold that this maxim of the poet admits of being disarmed, so as that even a little learning, instead of a dangerous, shall become a profitable thing, at once accordant with the modesty of true science, and with the spirit of the Gospel of Jesus Christ. It shall be my assiduous endeavour, if I come amongst you, to impress on the minds of those who shall honour me with their attendance the little proportion which all that is or can be known bears to all that in our present state must remain for ever unknown, so as if possible to convince you that with every footstep of growing knowledge there

ought to be a growing humility—that best guarantee both for a sound philosophy and a sound faith.

"May I beg that you will lay this communication before the members of your Committee previous to its appearance in the Greenock newspaper of Friday, after which, if no fresh obstacle be interposed, I shall find my way quite open to the place of delivery for my first lecture on the evening of Monday, when I hope to have a pacific and cordial meeting with you all.—I have the honour to be, Dear Sir, yours very truly,

THOMAS CHALMERS.

" Mr. Alex. Muir."

A satisfactory explanation was offered, and Dr. Chalmers regarded the publication of his letter in one of the Greenock newspapers as sufficiently exonerating him from giving any sanction to the educational views held by his predeces-Dr. Chalmers and Dr. Anderson lectured on alternate evenings; Dr. Chalmers's first lecture on the Education of Principle being delivered on Monday the 28th September; his second and third on the Laws and Phenomena of Heat on the Wednesday and Friday following. It was an occupation altogether to Dr. Chalmers's heart, and on his return to Edinburgh he wrote to the Rev. Dr. Macfarlan, requesting him to supply some memorials of this visit. "It would complete," he adds, "the record which I wish to preserve of a brief but very interesting passage of my journey through the world." He spent the Christmas holidays of the following winter with the writer of these pages in the parish of Skirling in Peebles-shire. In the village schoolroom, to the inhabitants of a remote hamlet, and with the help of a much humbler apparatus, the lectures on heat were repeated; nor have I ever seen him kindle into a truer enthusiasm than when, to that plain but intelligent audience, he illustrated the truth, that the wider man's knowledge becomes, the deeper should be his humility; for the more he knows the more he sees of what remains still unknown. Taking the board on which the village children learnt their lessons in arithmetic, he drew upon it a circle. "Let that circle," he said, "represent the extent or compass of a man's knowledge—the region of light which he has conquered and made his own out of the surrounding kingdom of darkness. Each point in this circumference represents a question about that which is beyond and without, to which the man finds that he can give no answer. Enlarge the circle, and you multiply the number of such points. The more, therefore, the man enlarges his circle of light, he sees but the more of the darkness that lies all around: the wider the diameter of light, the larger the circumference of darkness."

CHAPTER XIII.

DR. CHALMERS'S REJECTION FROM THE CHAIR OF THEOLOGY IN GLASGOW—NARRATIVE OF THE SETTLEMENT AT MARNOCH—THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 1841—THE DEPOSITION OF THE SEVEN SUSPENDED CLERGYMEN OF STRATHBOGIE—THE SERVING OF AN INDICTMENT ON THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY—DR. CANDLISH'S NOMINATION TO THE CHAIR OF BIBLICAL CRITICISM SUPERSEDED—PROPOSAL BY MR. SMITH OF GREENOCK—THE DUKE OF ARGYLL'S BILL—STATEMENT TO THE GOVERNMENT BY THE MODERATE PARTY—MEETING OF THE COMMISSION IN AUGUST—FIRST PROSPECT OF THE DISRUPTION—FAILURE OF THE NEGOTIATIONS BY SIR GEORGE SINCLAIR.

In August 1840, the Chair of Theology in the University of Glasgow became vacant. Although Dr. Chalmers would not present himself as a candidate, it was understood that if elected he would accept this Chair. The choice lay with the Senatus, and it was imagined that they would seize eagerly upon this opportunity of benefiting and adorning their University. A rival, however, appeared upon the field; a rival between whom and Dr. Chalmers it was not pretended that any comparison as to literary or professional qualifications could be instituted. He had, however, this claim upon the suffrages of the electors,—that while Dr. Chalmers had identified himself with the evangelical movement, his opponent had been a consistent supporter of the Moderate party in the Church. As the day* named for the election approached, a large share of public attention was fixed upon the result. The Times, * 20th October 1840.

and other leading London journals, warned the electors in no measured terms of the disgrace which they would incur, if, upon any such ground, the pre-eminent claims of Dr. Chalmers were set aside. Nevertheless, the electors rejected him; the same University which had refused the Chair of Logic to Edmund Burke, refusing that of Theology to Dr. Chalmers. There was one voter upon this occasion whose movements particularly attracted the public eve. Sir James Graham was at this time Lord Rector of the University; and in the eloquent eulogies of his inaugural address, to the illustrious names of Bacon, Newton, Locke, and Herschell, he had added that of Dr. Chalmers, as worthy of the high association. His office gave him a vote in the election, and he undertook a journey to Glasgow for the purpose of opposing Dr. Chalmers's appointment. In ordinary eircumstances this had been less noticeable, as indeed there would have been little likelihood of its having occurred. As things stood, however, coupled with the recent appearances of Lord Aberdeen and Sir Robert Peel in the two Houses of Parliament, it was a clear index of the extent to which the Moderate party in the Church might count upon Conservative support.

Their knowledge of this may have encouraged the seven suspended ministers of Strathbogic to take their final step. Disregarding the citation of the General Assembly, and meeting each fresh judgment of the ecclesiastical tribunal by a nullifying edict obtained from the Civil Court, they had proceeded to take Mr. Edwards upon trial, and had found him qualified. They hesitated, however, as to the act of ordination. They had acted hitherto upon the decision of the Court that they were bound to disregard the dissent of the people, but as yet there had been no express order to ordain. Mr. Edwards supplied them with the authority under which they expressed their willingness to act, by instituting an action, in which he

craved the Court of Session to issue an order to that effect. The question of the competency of the Civil Court to interfere directly with spiritual acts, was here stript of all attendant or accessory considerations. It might review the proceedings of a Presbytery, and pass judgment upon their legality; it might declare, as it had done, that the rejection of a presentee, on the sole ground of the people's opposition, was contrary to statute. All this, however, might be done for no other purpose than to determine the destination of the benefice, and yet the Court might not have felt itself entitled to do what was now asked—give an authoritative direction to ordain. No doubt, however, was felt, no hesitation manifested by the majority of the Judges. Seven clergymen, suspended by the Church-reponed by these Judges, declared by the one authority to be incapable of performing any official act-recognised by the other authority as the one and only Presbytery of Strathbogie, were "decerned and ordained to receive and admit" Mr. Edwards as minister of Marnoch. This order having been received, these ministers proceeded with all due despatch to execute it. The announcement of their resolution to do so, fastened the public eye upon the scene of their operations. The populous parish of Marnoch forms part of Banffshire, lying in a secluded situation along the banks of the Deveron. Its quiet and orderly inhabitants lived far from the great centres of political and religious agitation. Awake, however, to their own and their families' spiritual interests, they had taken the liveliest concern in those proceedings now about to be brought to so strange a close. Having done much and perilled much for their protection, the Church waited in intense anxiety to see how, in a position so new and so peculiar, this people would comport themselves. Thursday, the 21st January 1841, was the day fixed for this extraordinary ordination. A heavy snow-gale had passed over the country,

choking up the public roads, and covering the earth to the depth of two feet and upwards. Stormy, however, as Wednesday had been, and few more stormy days had been experienced for many years—deep as the snow lay on the face of the earth, and gathered as it was in large and almost impassable wreaths on every high-way and by-way in Banff and Aberdeenshire, early on Thursday morning little bands of men from all the neighbouring parishes, moving on in lines, the stoutest in advance breaking up a path for his companions who followed him, were seen wending their way to the church of Marnoch. In two or three carriages drawn by four horses each, the clerical actors and their law-agents were conveyed to the same spot. A singular assemblage was gathered there to greet their approach. Upon the trampled and slushy ground around the kirk, two thousand men were standing. The church doors were opened, and the church was instantly and densely filledthick groups gathering about doors and windows who could not obtain admittance. The lower part of the building was reserved for the parishioners, and the galleries for strangers. The court having been opened by prayer, the following dialogue occurred.

Mr. Murray, one of the elders of the parish.—"I wish to ask you by whose authority you have met here?"

The Rev. Mr. Thomson of Keith, the Moderator of the Presbytery.—" By the authority of the National Church, and in the name of the Lord Jesus Christ."

Mr. Murray.—" Have you any proof to shew that you came here by the authority of the National Church?"

Mr. Thomson.—"The meeting must be first constituted by the Clerk reading the minutes, and we shall then answer your question."

All the necessary documents having been read, the Moderator remarked that they had one party at the bar, and asked if there were any other individuals who wished to appear as parties in the case. The question called up Mr. Murray, and the interrupted dialogue was resumed, the law-agents of the respective parties taking now a part in it.

Mr. Murray.—" Came you here by the authority of the General Assembly? I ask you that before answering your question."

MR. THOMSON.—"We will give any information to parties at the bar, but not to any other. Do you intend to sist yourself as a party at the bar?"

Mr. Murray.—" No, Sir; but, at any rate, I should first require to know by what authority you came here."

Mr. Peterkin of Edinburgh.—" It is utterly impossible that any person can be heard who does not appear as a party at the bar, and is entered on the minutes a party there."

Mr. Duncan.—" As agent for the elders, heads of families, and communicants of the parish of Marnoch, and particularly for Mr. Murray, I put again the question, which has been as yet refused an answer. We cannot appear as parties at your bar, till we are convinced of your authority."

Mr. Thomson.—" Although we do not admit the right of any party to question us on our authority for meeting here, yet I have no objection to say that we are here as the Presbytery of Strathbogie, a part of the National Church, assembled in the name of the Lord Jesus Christ."

Mr. Dungan.—" Do you appear here by the authority of the General Assembly, or against its authority?"

Mr. Thomson.—" We are sent here as the Presbytery of Strathbogie, and under the protection of the law of the land."

Mr. Duncan.—" Do you give me no reply to my question?" Mr. Thomson.—" No. no."

As the authority of the Presbytery was not recognised by the people, the only alternative left to Mr. Duncan was, as their agent, and in their name, to read two protests, the one

VOL. IV.

signed by all the elders, and the other by four hundred and fifty communicants. In the first of these, the protesters, addressing themselves to the ministers, said, "It is with extreme pain and disappointment that your personal position as suspended ministers of the Church of Scotland precludes us from appearing before you to lodge objections against the settlement of Mr. Edwards, which have been prepared and are ready to be substantiated before any competent Church Court. These objections we solemnly declare to be such, affecting as they do the qualifications, life, and doctrine of Mr. Edwards, as, in our opinion, to cause his deposition even if he were an ordained minister, and to preclude him from admission in his character of a licentiate claiming ordination as presentee to our parish. * * * We earnestly beg you to consider the above, and avoid the desecration of the ordinance of ordination; but if you shall venture to disregard this representation, we do solemnly, and as in the presence of the great Head of the Church, the Lord Jesus Christ, repudiate and disown the pretended ordination of Mr. Edwards as minister of Marnoch. We deliberately declare, that if such proceedings could have any effect they must involve the most heinous guilt and fearful responsibility in reference to the dishonour done to religion and the cruel injury to the spiritual interests of a united Christian congregation."

"Having read the protest," we quote now the words of an eye-witness, "Mr. Duncan said, 'As agent for the elders, male heads of families, and communicants of Marnoch, I have now only to say, that they take no further part in these unconstitutional proceedings. They wait a better time and another court. They can have no further business here, and they will, I believe, all accompany me from the church, and leave you to force a minister on a parish against the people's will, but with scarcely one of the parishioners to witness the deed.' The

people of Marnoch immediately arose from their seats in the body of the church: old men, with heads white as the snow that lay deep on their native hills, the middle aged, and the young who were but rising into life. Gathering up their Bibles and Psalm-books, which in country churches often remain there for half a century, they left the church once free to them and theirs, but now given up to the spoiler. They went out, many in tears and all in grief. No word of disrespect or reproach escaped their lips. They went away in the strong conviction that their cause was with the most Powerful, and that with Him rested the redress of all their wrongs. Even those who sat in the pew—the only pew representing Intrusionism, were moved—they were awed. 'Will they all leave?' we heard some of them whispering. Yes, they all left, never to return."*

When they left the church, the people of Marnoch assembled in a snowy hollow, at the foot of the hill on which the church was built, and having listened to a short address from Mr. Duncan, in which he strongly urged that every thing should be done with order, unity, and peace, they separated, and, with a rare exercise of self-denial, retired to their different homes. The place left vacant by them in the church was immediately filled by a rush of strangers from without, and a disgraceful scene of riotous disorder ensued, which it required the presence of a magistrate to cheek. When peace had been restored the act of ordination was completed. It was an ordination altogether unparalleled in the history of the Church, performed by a Presbytery of suspended clergymen, on a Call by a single communicant, against the desire of the Patron, in face of the strenuous opposition of a united Christian congregation, in opposition to the express injunction of the General Assembly,

^{*} Extracted from the "Aberdeen Banner," and from the able pen of its editor, Mr. Troup, whose admirable account of the Marnoch Intrusion was circulated widely over the country, and made a very deep impression.

at the sole bidding, and under the sole authority, of the Court of Session.

The conduct of the people, so decorous on the day of this ordination, was equally judicious and becoming afterwards. To provide for the existing emergency they resolved to erect a place of worship for themselves in a village three miles from the parish church, and where, whatever might be the issue, a church would be required. Many meetings were held over Scotland to express sympathy with them in their painful position, and to aid them in the erection of this church. It was matter of sincere regret to Dr. Chalmers that his state of health prevented his being present at the meeting which was held for this purpose in Edinburgh. In his letter of apology to the chairman, and contemplating the necessary effect of this ordination at Marnoch, he could not refrain from saying, "May Heaven at length open the eyes of those infatuated men who are now doing so much to hasten on a crisis which they will be the first to deplore, and we most certainly shall do nothing to prevent if at the expense of that adherence which we owe to our Lord and Master Jesus Christ, for whose supremacy in the Church we are willing to suffer all, casting the burden of our whole cares upon Him, and confident that out of these tribulations, He, in His own good time, will usher in the peace and the purity of better days." The same cause which detained Dr. Chalmers from this meeting prevented his coming forward publicly on behalf of the Church till the meeting of the General Assembly in May 1841, and but for the Strathbogie case he would not even have ventured to take any part in its proceedings. He restricted himself, however, to two appearances. The extreme difficulty which had been experienced in effecting any legal harmony between the claims of the patrons and the privileges of the people had gradually reconciled him to a public movement for the total abolition of lay patronage

in the Church; and in the debate, which took place on Tuesday the 25th May, he gave expression to his somewhat altered sentiments on this subject. He retired from the Assembly as soon as he had spoken, reserving his strength for Thursday the 27th, the day on which the case of the suspended ministers was to come before the Court. These clergymen occupied that day in St. Andrew's church a position very different from that which they had occupied in the church of Marnoch. The churches were equally crowded, but below, in place of the inhabitants of a quiet rural parish, the whole area was occupied by ministers and elders of the Church, while eager rows of students and citizens of the metropolis were seen piled up in the galleries, packing the space up to the very walls. Instead of calling others to their own bar, the suspended clergymen now stood at the bar of the General Assembly. was a most distressing office which the Assembly had to discharge, and a sense of the momentous issues which hung suspended upon the execution of it inspired an unwonted solemnity. Dr. Chalmers was well aware that the act about to be performed would meet with the loudest condemnation from mere secular politicians, from all who could find no room in the commonwealth, no room even in the Church, for the supremacy of any other law than that which the civil tribunals of the country were instituted to interpret and enforce. He desired, therefore, not only to take his full share of the responsibility, but to place himself here in the front of the battle; and solemn prayer having been offered up for guidance from on high, he moved that the Assembly should, in the first instance, find that these clergymen had committed offences involving deposition. "We are told," he said, "by the friends of these gentlemen, that in all they have done they have been actuated by a sense of duty, or by the impulse of a conscience stirring within them, and which they found to be irresistible. We will not deny this,

and we have no interest in denying it; but I would ask, when we deposed Mr. Irving the other year for an alleged heresy, did we make our decision turn upon his conscience? or did we take evidence on the consciences of Mr. Maclean and Mr. Dow, when we took his license from the one, and his parochial charge from the other? or were we arrested by the conscience or the conscientiousness of that holy and excellent person, Mr. Campbell of Row, when we ejected him from his status as a minister of the Church of Scotland? Sir, I know not what the inward principle of the ministers of Strathbogic may have been, nor will I attempt any conjecture on this subject; but I do know, that when forbidden by their ecclesiastical superiors to proceed any further with Mr. Edwards, they took him upon trials; and when suspended from the functions of the sacred ministry by a Commission of the General Assembly, they continued to preach and to dispense the Sacraments,—that they called in the aid of the civil power to back them in the exclusion from their respective parishes of clergymen appointed by the only competent Court to fulfil the office which they were no longer competent to discharge; and lastly, as if to crown and consummate this whole disobedience,—as if to place the topstone on the Babel of their proud and rebellious defiance, I know that, to the scandal and astonishment of all Scotland, and with a daring which I believe themselves would have shrunk from at the outset of their headlong career, they put forth their unlicensed hands on the dread work of ordination; and as if in solemn mockery of the Church's most venerable forms, asked of the unhappy man who knelt before them if he promised 'to submit himself humbly and willingly, in the spirit of meekness, unto the admonitions of the brethren of the Presbytery, and to be subject to them and all other Presbyteries and superior judicatories of this Church;' and got back from him an affirmative response, along with the declaration that 'zeal

for the honour of God, love to Jesus Christ, and desire of saving souls, were his great motives and chief inducements to enter into the functions of the holy ministry, and not worldly designs and interests.'* Sir, I repeat I am not able to go into the depth and the mysteries of men's consciences; but this I am able to perceive, that if in heresy this plea were sustained, the Church would be left without a creed; and that if in contumacy this plea were sustained, the Church would be left without a government, both doctrine and discipline would be given to the winds, and our National Church were bereft of all her virtue to uphold the Christianity of the nation, when thus helpless and degraded, she was alike unable to correct the errors, however deadly, or to control the waywardness, however pernicious and perverse, of her own children.

"The Church of Scotland can never give way, and will sooner give up her existence as a national establishment, than give up her power as a self-acting and self-regulating body, to do what in her judgment is best for the honour of the Redeemer and the interest of His kingdom upon earth. We can see no other alternative. If these men do not humble themselves, their deposition is inevitable. The Church of Scotland cannot tolerate, and what is more, it could not survive the scandal of quietly putting up with a delinquency so enormous as that into which these brethren have fallen. If the vindication of her outraged authority is indeed to be the precursor of her dissolution as a National Church—if, in the recent language of an offended nobleman within these walls-if this is to be the last knell of the Presbyterian establishment in Scotland, only let the Legislature say so: and then let it be seen whether or not the Church of our fathers be prepared to abjure her connexion with the State, rather than, bereft of all her re-

^{*} From the questions put preparatory to ordination, the answers to which constitute the ordination vows.

spect, and so of all her usefulness, she will submit to be vilified into a thing of nought."

Dr. Cook moved, in opposition, that all proceedings instituted against these clergymen should be set aside as incompetent, and that they should be declared to be in the same situation in all respects as if no such proceedings had ever taken place. The debate, which commenced early in the forenoon, was, after a brief adjournment, resumed in the evening, and lasted for nearly twelve hours. At its close, Dr. Chalmers's motion was carried by a majority of 97, in a house of 347 members. Immediately after the vote was taken, one of the suspended clergymen, on the part of himself and his brethren, read a statement to the House, in which they said-"We acknowledge that we owe all duty, and we are ready to yield all obedience, to the Church in all things lawful; but we cannot consent to violate the rights of others, or to assist in violating the law, or to abandon the duty which we owe to the State, merely because a majority of office-bearers in the Church have arbitrarily resolved to require it. * * * We are here then to justify ourselves in the acts that are set forth in the Having read a lengthened justification of their conduct, they retired from the House. It was now long past midnight, and nothing remained but that the solemn act of deposition should be performed. The Rev. Dr. Macfarlan of Greenock rose and said—"In the absence of my respected friend Dr. Chalmers"—it was known that Dr. Chalmers had retired immediately after he had spoken in the forenoon, and it was not imagined that at so late an hour he would be in the House. He had returned, however, and was sitting at this time in a remote part of the Church, unnoticed by those who were around the Moderator's chair. At the mention of his name numerous intimations were made of his presence, and Dr. Macfarlan immediately gave way. Advancing to the table

amid the profound silence of the vast assemblage, Dr. Chalmers said-"I am sorry to find, from the resolute and unyielding appearance of the gentlemen at the bar, that there is now no alternative but to submit the following motion:-That the General Assembly, in respect of each of the said offences of which the said parties have respectively been found guilty as aforesaid, as each by itself involving deposition, do depose the said Messrs. John Cruickshank, William Cowie, William Allardyce, William Masson, James Walker, James Thomson, and James Alexander Cruickshank, from the office of the holy ministry." The motion passed without a vote; but before the sentence of deposition was pronounced, Dr. Cook read a protest in which, for himself and for as many as would join with him, it was declared—"We regard it as binding upon every member of a Church as established by law to be subject to the civil power in all matters declared by the supreme civil authorities of the country to affect temporal rights, and that for conscience' sake; and firmly convinced as we are that the said ministers have acted in conformity to this obligation, and that they have done nothing which is not sanctioned both by ecclesiastical and civil law, we cannot, without violating what we owe to the Church and State, cease to regard these men as still ministers, just as if the proceedings against them had never been instituted." Such a rush was made to sign this paper, that for a time the order of the House was broken, and its proceedings stopped. When quiet was restored, the Moderator, Dr. Gordon, called on the Rev. Dr. Brown of Glasgow to engage in prayer, after which he pronounced from the chair the solemn sentence It was about three o'clock in the morning when the Assembly adjourned, the House remaining crowded to the last moment.

On assembling the following day, it was felt that the declaration which had been laid on the table the night before, and

which so many of the Moderate party had exhibited such eagerness to sign, was such, that if carried out in action, an immediate and total breach between the two parties was inevitable. Without pressing the matter as far as the character of the document appeared to demand, Mr. Dunlop moved that the Assembly should simply refuse to receive it. Dr. Cook intimated that he and his friends "had no desire to push the matter farther at present; they had no desire to take steps at present to follow out their opinions, and therefore he would not oppose the motion of his learned friend, that the protest be not received." The impending danger thus seemed to be postponed. On the evening, however, of the following day a new alarm was sounded, and the House was thrown into a state of extreme excitement. While a debate on the eldership was quietly proceeding, the Moderator interrupted the speaker to inform the Assembly that he had just received an intimation that a messenger-at-arms was waiting at the door to serve upon the Assembly an interdict against their proceeding to carry the sentence of deposition into effect. For a few minutes the deep silence of amazement and uncertainty prevailed. It was suggested by Mr. Dunlop that as Her Majesty's Commissioner was not present, a deputation should proceed forthwith to his Grace to inform him of the circumstance. Till the result of this movement was known, the debate was recommenced. It had not been long resumed when the Commissioner arrived, and having received formal intimation through the Moderator of what had occurred, he said-"I am at all times happy to be present with you. It is my duty; and in the exercise of that duty I trust I shall not be found wanting, whether it be to uphold the rights of the Assembly or to support and maintain the prerogative of the Crown, from whatever quarter they may be assailed." The messenger-at-arms had in the meantime left the interdict with the Assembly's

officer at the door and withdrawn. The document was laid upon the table, and the House adjourned. On Monday a series of resolutions, carefully reciting all the circumstances as they occurred, and declaring the attempt thus made to be a flagrant breach of the privileges of the National Church, were passed, and ordered to be transmitted to Her Majesty the Queen in Council; and without further notice of the interference, the business of the Assembly was resumed.

The Parliamentary session of 1841 had opened on the 26th January, and on the 28th, in answer to a question by Lord Haddington, the Premier intimated that it was not the intention of the Government to bring in any measure for altering the Law of Patronage in Scotland; that in the meantime the authority of the existing law should be enforced, and effectual means taken for protecting those who were determined to obey it. Lord Melbourne did not specify what particular methods of enforcement or protection were to be employed. A somewhat singular illustration, however, of the equivocal conduct of the Government was ere long supplied. Having resolved to institute a new Chair of Biblical Criticism in the University of Edinburgh, they had selected the Rev. Dr. Candlish as an individual pre-eminently qualified to fill it. No sooner did their intention become known, than Lord Aberdeen brought the matter before the House of Lords, vehemently condemning such an appointment, on the ground that Dr. Candlish had recently broken an interdict of the Court of Session by preaching in Strathbogie. The Government yielded, and at the last moment the appointment was quashed, Lord Normanby remarking, "that, in consequence of many recommendations in his favour, Her Majesty's Government had been disposed to give Dr. Candlish the appointment, but the moment they heard that he had placed himself in opposition to the law, they at once put an end to all further proceedings upon the subject."

was notorious that Dr. Candlish had acted under the sanction of the Assembly, and had done nothing more than had been done by almost all the leading ministers of the Evangelical party. If he and they were guilty of such acts as disqualified them for being the objects of Government patronage-if they had actually placed themselves in opposition to the law, some other and more stringent procedure against them was imperatively demanded; but so long as the constitutional question, whether their acts were acts of rebellion or not, remained unsettled, and so long as the Government itself declined legislatively to determine that question, it seemed unfair to single out an individual, and to inflict upon him such a penalty. gave the Church, however, distinctly to understand that while neither of the two great political parties in the State were disposed to interfere for her extrication, they both agreed in regarding it as imperative upon her to give such obedience to the law, as the Court of Session was requiring at her hands. There was neither the candour to concede her claims, nor the boldness to repudiate them. Had the law officers of the Crown received instructions to proceed in ordinary course to vindicate the authority of the law; had complaints against any or all of those clergymen who had preached in Strathbogie been lodged in Court, and the common compulsitors of lawfine or imprisonment—been put into operation; had the Church even authoritatively been told by the Government, that she must either retrace her steps, undo what she had done, and submit to all the adverse sentences of the Court of Session, or be visited with all the common penalties which an infraction of law incurred, she would have known better what to do. As it was, her position was so painful that it occurred to some ministers in Greenock and its vicinity, that instead of waiting till interminable litigation from without, and a wider anarchy from within, rendered it impossible

for her to carry on her government, she should go forward to the Legislature, and insist either that her spiritual independence should be recognised and secured, or that the connexion between her and the State should be dissolved. This proposal was communicated by the Rev. Mr. Smith of Greenock, to a few of the leading friends of the Church in Edinburgh. It seemed more premature to others than it did to Dr. Chalmers, who replied as follows:—

" Edinburgh, March 24th, 1841.

"My DEAR SIR,—I am quite in love with your proposition, insomuch that if sure of an instant majority in its favour, I would have it tabled instantly. My fear is that many of our truest friends, while they might admire the step as ultimately the very best for our Church, yet would view it still as a measure in reserve, or that the time for its being carried into effect had not just yet arrived. You must agree with myself in thinking, that, if because of the influence of such a feeling we were left in a minority should it be proposed now, it were better that we waited a little longer the progress of events—the leadings and indications of Providence, ere we come to the final resolution which you and your friends now overture; and which I most cordially feel with yourselves to be by far the most graceful and dignified method on our part of terminating the contest.

"Meanwhile every effort should be made, not only to ascertain the sentiments of the clergy on this proposal, but to recommend it, as being in fact the best acquittal which the Church could make of the part which belongs to her—so soon as circumstances shall at length announce the fit and suitable period for such a crisis. I fear it were still premature to confer with Presbyteries on the subject; and more especially as they would have greatly too little time for making up their minds previous to the election of their this year's representa-

tives; but when the Assembly meets, one could better ascertain the dispositions and views of the brethren. Were a decided majority prepared for such a step, I should rejoice in the immediate adoption of it; and, at all events, I hope a declaration will be so framed, as shall commit us to the very measure which you have suggested, by the next Assembly thereafter, should no redress by that time be had from the Legislature, for our now increasing and aggravated wrongs.

"I shall be most happy to hear farther from you on this important matter. You point at a noble outgoing, which I hope, if matters come to the worst, we shall be enabled to realize.—I ever am, my dear Sir, yours most cordially,

THOMAS CHALMERS.

"The Rev. James Smith."

Another gleam of light was hovering on the dark horizon. A few days before this letter was written, the Duke of Argyll had given notice in the House of Lords of his intention to bring in a Bill for the settlement of the Scotch Church ques-The Duke's measure was introduced on the 5th May. It differed from the Veto Law only by extending the right of dissent to all male communicants, instead of restricting it to the male heads of families, and by making specific provision for the veto being set aside, whenever it could be proved to have sprung from factious motives or causeless prejudices. At its first reading, it met with strenuous opposition from Lords Aberdeen, Haddington, and Dunfermline. Its farther consideration was postponed till after the meeting of the General Assembly. The discussion of its merits within that venerable Court was signalized by the remarkable effect of an appeal made by Dr. Candlish to the Moderate party. So sincere, so affectionate, so solemn, and so forcible was that appeal, that for a moment it seemed as if Dr. Cook and his friends would withdraw their opposition to a measure, under which it was admitted that they could act without any violence done to conscience; and had it gone up to Parliament with the seal upon it of an unopposed approval on the part of the Church, this might have gone far to recommend its adoption to the Legislature. The hope was but momentary. While reciprocating the brotherly spirit in which they had been addressed, the Moderate party could not see it to be consistent with the duty which they owed to the Church and country to withhold their opposition. Nevertheless by a majority of more than two to one—the largest majority which had occurred in the course of these divisions, the House declared its approval of the measure. So marked an expression of the Church's feeling must have had some weight at the second reading of But before the time for that second reading arrived, Sir Robert Peel had obtained his majority on the vote of want of confidence in the ministry. Parliament was immediately dissolved. The elections sealed the fate of the Melbourne Administration, and on the 30th August, Sir Robert Peel was at the head of the strongest Government the country had known for years. Meanwhile in Scotland, untoward movements on the part of the Church's adversaries were hurrying things onward to the fatal catastrophe. A deputation from the minority in the General Assembly, which had opposed the deposition of the Strathbogie ministers, had gone to London early in June, and laid before the Government an elaborate statement on behalf of the deposed clergymen, and the minority by whom they were supported. This statement was signed by Principal Macfarlan, Dr. Hill, Dr. Bryce, Mr. Grant of Leith, and Mr. Robertson of Ellon. It contained the following ominous declarations: - "The minority and those that adhere to them, cannot in conscience submit to this decision-[the act of deposition]-they cannot, in conscience, whatever may be

the consequences, fail to act in opposition to it." * * * "If Government will only intimate its resolute purpose of upholding the present law, until the final findings of this law in regard to the cases which have actually arisen shall have been ascertained and complied with, the temporary excitement that now prevails on the subject will soon in great measure pass away. It is, they are fully persuaded, because sufficient care has not been taken to guard against the cherishing of delusive and unconstitutional expectations, that matters have reached in Scotland the fearful crisis to which they have now attained." * * * " If the responsible advisers of the Crown shall be prepared to instruct their law-officers to maintain in the Civil Courts the cause of the ministers of Strathbogie, and of others who may be placed in similar circumstances, and to prosecute for breach of interdict, &c., those who may, in opposition to interdicts granted by the competent Courts, invade the rights of such parties, the minority of the last General Assembly, and the large body of office-bearers of the Church of Scotland who held views in common with that minority, will have much reason to be satisfied." * * * " If her Majesty's Government shall be of opinion that less stringent measures may be effectual for the accomplishment of the object in view, they are not only willing but most anxious that such measures should have in the first instance a fair trial." Worse than the open declaration that they would voluntarily act in opposition to a decision of their Church, and worse even than the expression of satisfaction at the prosecution and punishment of the most eminent ministers of the Establishment, was the assurance given here to the Government, that nothing but a slight exercise of coercion was needed to remove that temporary agitation which false hopes had stirred. The majority had solemnly declared that the law as now interpreted was one which they could not conscientiously obey. The minority

came forward to affirm that this was not so; and that if force were but firmly applied the consciences of their brethren would give way. It was that incredulity as to the religious integrity of the evangelical ministers; it was this false witnessing to Government by those who, being clergymen themselves, were supposed to be best able to take the true gauge and measure of the clerical conscience, which lay at the root of the Disruption.

Before the meeting of the Commission on the 11th August, one part of the London statement had been made good. Mr. Grant, Mr. Robertson, and others, had held ministerial communion with the deposed clergymen, and assisted them in the celebration of the Lord's Supper. This act of gratuitous insubordination was reported to the Commission, which, prosecuting its clear but painful course of duty, instructed the Presbyteries to which the offending ministers belonged, to take such steps as were necessary for vindicating the authority of the Church, and proposed that a "solemn remonstrance and warning" should be prepared and addressed to them. When the resolution to this effect was carried, Dr. Cook gave in reasons of dissent, the second of which was as follows:-"Because the resolution now sanctioned, puts an end to all hope of devising any measure by which the members of the Church might be united, and imposes upon us, and upon all who agree with us in the opinion which we have repeatedly expressed as to our present distressing condition, to take such steps as may appear most effectual for ascertaining from competent authority, whether we who now dissent, and they who concur with us, or they who continue to set at nought the law of the land, and the decisions of the Civil Courts in what we esteem a matter of civil right, are to be held by the Legislature of the country as constituting the Established Church, and as entitled to the privileges and endowments conferred by

VOL. IV.

Statute upon the ministers of that Church." Instead of the question coming before the Legislature as one between the Church and the Civil Courts, Dr. Cook desired to present it as one between two parties in the Church who could not longer remain united, one or other of which must be repudiated by the Legislature. If actually entertained in that form by the Administration of Sir Robert Peel, there could be no doubt of the decision being in favour of that party to which Dr. Cook was attached. The prospect of so speedy a settlement demanded the most prompt and vigorous measures; and a special meeting of the Commission was summoned to meet on the 25th August. Dr. Chalmers, who had not been at the previous meeting, resolved to be present upon this occasion, that he might sound the key-note of preparation for that event which he now believed to be almost, if not altogether inevitable. "As to the war of argument," he said, "that is now over; seeing the time has come when the strife of words must give place to the strife of opposing deeds and opposing purposes. In this, the ministers of the other side have set us the example. They have begun with deeds which we must disallow; and they now tell us that they mean to call on the Legislature for their declaration, which of the two parties is henceforth to be the Established Church of Scotland. It is but justice both to the public and to the Government, that they should know how it is that we stand affected by such an intimation. There has I fear been a strange incredulity all along, in regard to the strength of our principles, or at what hazard, and to what extent of sacrifice, we have resolved to maintain them. The necessity is now laid upon us, that we should make a distinct and articulate reply to this question, and my fondest prayer. even as for the salvation both of the country and of the Church, is for the response of an unshrinking and undiminished majority that the principles on which they have

hitherto acted they are resolved to abide by, whatever be the hazard, and whatever be the sacrifice. * solemn duty to do all we can for the averting of such a catastrophe, (the breaking up of the Establishment,) and heaven forbid that it should be hastened on by any indiscretion, still less by any disrespect, or any deed of violence on our part. * * * I will proceed no further, and for this single reason, lest the language of determination should be interpreted into the language of defiance. Most assuredly I have no desire that the breach should be any further widened; and yet it is of the utmost importance—of the utmost practical importance for the right settlement of this question—that the state of matters should be plainly understood, for nothing can exceed the misconception, cherished especially by the higher classes, both in this country and in London. Be it known unto all men then, that we have no wish for a disruption, but neither stand we in the overwhelming dread of it. We have no ambition, as has pleasantly been said of us, for martyrdoms of any sort, but neither will we shrink from the hour or the day of trial. short, let it be distinctly known, both over the country at large, and more especially in the camp of our adversaries, that, whatever the misgivings might be in other quarters, among us there are no falterings, no fears. Should what has been termed the crisis arrive, we know of a clear, and an honourable, and withal a Christian outgoing; confident in the smile of an approving heaven from above, and that confidence not abated when we look around on the goodly spectacle of our friends and fellow-Christians-the best and worthiest of Scotland's sons—in readiness to hail and to harbour the men who are willing to give up all for the sake of conscience and of Christian liberty. The God whom they serve will not leave them without help or without a home."

To be prepared for the worst, the Commission appointed a

large Committee, with instructions to bring "the principles and privileges of the Church, as well as the dangers that may threaten us, before the Government, the Legislature, and the country at large, by deputations, public statements, meetings, and such other means as may appear expedient." The first public meeting held in fulfilment of this resolution took place in the Church of St. Cuthbert's, Edinburgh, on the evening of the day on which the Commission met. That church exhibited on this occasion an extraordinary spectacle. Fourteen hundred ministers and elders were crowded together in the lower part of the building, while from the double tiers of galleries as many spectators as could force their way into the edifice were gazing down upon the scene. The Rev. Dr. Gordon occupied the chair, and a resolution to adhere at all hazards to the principles upon which the Church had taken her stand was unanimously adopted by the vast assemblage. The alternative of separation from the Establishment, to which so many ministers might speedily be forced, was steadily contemplated, and the first hint thrown out of that peculiar method of sustaining them in their new positions which Dr. Chalmers had already designed. He was the first to give up all hope of a satisfactory Parliamentary adjustment; he was the first also to busy himself both with the design and the execution of the practical measures required by the approaching Disruption. This meeting in Edinburgh was followed up by similar meetings all over the country, in which a spirit of equal energy and resolution was manifested. This general attitude of determination and preparedness had its temporary effect. The threatened appeal to the Legislature was not persisted in, and the Government made a friendly instead of a hostile movement towards the Church. Taking the earliest opportunity of addressing the new Administration, Commissioners, appointed by the Church, had waited on Sir Robert

Peel, and presented a Memorial* to the Government. Almost immediately thereafter a proposal was made by Sir George Sinclair to the Non-Intrusion Committee for effecting a final adjustment of the question, by adding a clause which he had drawn up to the Bill of Lord Aberdeen. Understanding that this clause recognised the right of the Church Courts to give effect to the objections of the people, if found to be insuperable, in every case in which they considered it to be their duty to do so, the Committee, while carefully guarding themselves against a positive approval of such a settlement, stated that it was one to which they could conscientiously submit. negotiation originated by Sir George Sinclair had an official character bestowed upon it, when Mr. Bruce of Kennet, on the suggestion of Sir James Graham, formally submitted the following queries to the Committee:-" In the event of a proposal coming from Her Majesty's Government, based on the clause transmitted by Sir George Sinclair, and sent by the

^{*} Another and counter Memorial was presented to the Government by the Committee of the Moderate party, in which the following statements occur: - "To the principle of Non-Intrusion, holding that term to be synonymous with a right of arbitrary dissent or rejection by congregations or Presbyteries, the Memorialists entertain the strongest objections, in whatever form or by whatever means it may be proposed to bring that principle into practical operation. * * But, much as the Memorialists deprecate the adoption of this 'Non-Intrusion' principle, in any form or to any effect, they must add the expression of their humble but very decided opinion, that of all the modes yet proposed for giving effect to the principle the most impolitic and the most mischievous is that which concedes to the Church the exercise of what is called liberum arbitrium—a discretionary and irresponsible power to determine, not judicially, nor according to any ascertained rule, but arbitrarily in every case, as to the amount and character of the popular feeling existing against a presentee, and the weight that is to be given to it. Such a measure appears to the Memorialists to be dangerous alike to civil and religious liberty. * * The 'spiritual independence' which has been claimed on the part of the Church is essentially inconsistent with the first principles of social order. * * New cases were daily arising; and no man could tell how soon it might become his own personal duty to choose between the obedience which he had vowed to law and constituted authority and submission to a new, funciful, anomalous principle, which the Church has chosen to dignify with the name of 'Spiritual Independence.' "-See Memorial by the Constitutional Committee, pp. 5, 25, 40, 41.

Dean of Faculty to Lord Aberdeen, will the Non-Intrusion Committee appointed by the Assembly (though they should prefer another mode) accept it as a final settlement of the Non-Intrusion question?" Retaining their first idea of the meaning and effect of the clause, the Committee gave the same answer to Mr. Bruce which they had given to Sir George Sinclair. It was understood that unless the proposed settlement was carried through in the session of Parliament then sitting both parties would be relieved from their obligations the Government from any obligation to propose, the Committee from any obligation to accept. The shortness of that session precluded any Government action in the matter, and before the Parliament assembled again in November a correspondence between the Dean of Faculty and Dr. Candlish had disclosed a most serious disagreement as to the real significance of Sir George's clause. According to the Dean's interpretation, which mature reflection convinced the Committee was the true one, the Church Courts would have no liberty in any case to give effect to the dissent of the congregation simply as such. Neither Lord Aberdeen nor the Dean of Faculty looked upon the clause as effecting any change in this respect upon the Bill as it stood originally. It was its denial of such liberty that led to its rejection in its earlier, and the same denial led to its rejection in its amended form. A lengthened explanation of the misunderstanding which had thus occurred was addressed by the Committee to Sir James Graham, who, in his reply, stated, "I have no hesitation in stating, that had it been explained at the time that the words suggested by Sir George Sinclair were intended to bear the construction placed upon them in your letter, the proposed measure would, on that ground, also have been considered equally inadmissible." Upon the negotiation which this letter closed we cannot now look back without admiring the generous and devoted zeal of Sir George Sinclair, who gave

his days and nights to bring it to a successful issue; and whatever blindness the Committee may in the first instance have displayed, (and it was a blindness in which they had good reason to believe that both the Dean himself and Lord Aberdeen participated,)* it almost atones for that error, that we can now point to it as a proof how anxious the Church was to obtain a settlement, and how willing she would have been to accede to any arrangement under which, in any form, the principle of Non-Intrusion could have been preserved. Chalmers took no part in these negotiations which led to so unhappy an issue. The following extracts from letters addressed during this period to Sir George Sinclair, of whose unwearied and disinterested services he entertained the warmest admiration, will indicate not only how hopeless he was of any satisfactory result arising out of them, but how engaged his thoughts were with ulterior prospects already opening to his view.

" Burntisland, August 16th, 1841.

"Dear Sir George,—What you propose is substantially an acquiescence in Lord Aberdeen's Bill.

"Be assured that it was in perfect good faith I told his Lordship, more than twelve months ago, that we could not conscientiously minister in a Church placed under the fetters and provisions of such a legislation as he had prepared for us. Nothing has occurred since to alter this feeling, but every

^{* &}quot;I remember Sir George Sinclair reporting to us Mr. Hope's admission that the Bill, as amended, would enable Church Courts 'to enforce the Veto in any particular instance if they chose;' although I am inclined to believe, from what will afterwards be stated, that this admission must have been made in a very limited and qualified acceptation of that phrase, or that Sir George had misapprehended Mr. Hope. It appears that Mr. Hope's representation was successful in persuading Lord Aberdeen to change his mind. His Lordship consented to the introduction of Sir George Sinclair's clause, of which he had previously said that it would 'make the appeal of the Veto Law illusory.'"—Narrative relating to certain recent Negotiations, &c., by Dr. Candlish, pp. 9, 10.

thing to strengthen and confirm it. Not the outrage at Marnoch—not the harassing interference of the Civil Courts, and not, most certainly, the hostile declarations of public and parliamentary men, backed though they now are by a majority, which seems to have given fresh confidence to our adversaries, and emboldened them to brandish over our heads the menace and terror of our approaching overthrow. This last experiment will be as fruitless as any of the former ones. On this question we are now unchanged, and I hope unchangeable. We stand on the ground of principle; nor can I image a greater infatuation than the incredulity of those who will not believe that from that ground we shall never recede, whatever be the damages or the deprivations which they might purpose to lay upon us.

"I can well understand that the Church of Scotland is dear to many whose views are opposite to our own in the present controversy. It might well be dear to them, as the only palladium of true Conservatism in the land, and the only antagonist force to the Chartism and the Socialism and the lawless spirit of insubordination, against which, when once they have come to a head, all the Parliamentary majorities, which now give so delusive a confidence to the upper classes of society, will prove but a feeble and unavailing defence in the hour of trial. The Church is dear to us for these reasons also, but infinitely dearer as an instrument of Christian good to the people, and still more as the instrument put into our hands with directions how to use it, by the great Author and Finisher of our faith. By these directions we mean to abide; nor will we consent to be the ministers of a Church subject to any power on earth which shall intermeddle with the functions of that distinct ecclesiastical government which is placed by Him who is the head of all authority and power in the hands of distinct office-bearers.

"I conclude with an earnest wish that your friends might have their eyes opened at length to the true wisdom of this question. I can see no other way of its pacific settlement than by passing the Duke of Argyll's Bill, and leaving us to deal with our own refractory ministers according to the usages which, since the last Revolution in this country, have never been invaded. Never, I will venture to say, was a fairer prospect of usefulness overcast than that which lay before the Church of Scotland at the time that the civil authorities so cruelly and unconstitutionally interfered with her—I ever am, dear Sir George, yours most respectfully and truly,

THOMAS CHALMERS."

" Burntisland, September 27th, 1841.

"MY DEAR SIR GEORGE,-You know that I have retired from all further public or practical management of the ques-The truth is, that I reserve myself for one emergency. Should there be a disruption of the Church I shall feel it my duty to help forward the operations of a great home mission, which I have no doubt could take full possession of the country in a very few months. And looking to the Christian interests of Scotland, I believe that more good could be done by such an instrumentality than by an Established Church exposed to such interferences as those of the Court of Session for the last few years. It is not only of their intromissions with the appointment of ministers that I complain. decisions respecting the collections of the new churches and the quoad sacra parishes are both most intolerable fetters on the energies of a Church acting with a view to the spread of Christian education among all classes of the people. I do not give up my views on the mighty good of a religious Establishment, but it is a good more than neutralized should the Establishment be so hampered and restricted as many would wish it

to be, who have really never studied the question of what the best method is for spreading abroad that education of principle which will prove the only counteractive not to irreligion only, but to vice and anarchy and socialism, and the whole tribe of those moral and political disorders which are now in busy fermentation all over the land.

"Heaven grant that the eyes of Sir Robert may be opened to see that there is a country as well as a House of Commons.

—I am, dear Sir George, yours most gratefully, and with great regard,

THOMAS CHALMERS.

" Sir George Sinclair, Baronet."

" Edinburgh, November 20th, 1841.

"MY DEAR SIR GEORGE,—Suffer me to express my fears that you are deceived in supposing it to be the purpose of those who have adopted your formula to give us an unshackled liberum arbitrium. They lay a most important exception on the liberum arbitrium, nay, the very exception that runs the most counter of any to an oft-repeated and great constitutional principle of the Church of Scotland.

"You seem not aware that I am not a member of the Non-Intrusion Committee. It is indispensable to my preservation that I should retire from all public business; nor do I mean to mix with it, unless on the event of a disruption, which, should an entire liberum arbitrium be denied to us, it is my firm conviction will and ought to take place. I have been studying a good deal the economy of our Non-Erastian Church when severed from the State and its endowments—an event which I would do much to avert—but which, if inevitable, we ought to be prepared for. I do not participate in your fears of an extinction even for our most remote parishes. And the noble resolution of the town ministers, to share equally with their country brethren, from a common fund raised for the general behoof of the ejected ministers, has greatly brightened

my anticipations of a great and glorious result, should the Government cast us off. There are thousands in the middle ranks who will let down their establishments rather than that our Church should go to wreck from the want of endowments; and an extensive organization for the small weekly offerings of our people, which I should feel it my duty to promote to the uttermost, will, I fondly hope, enable us not only to maintain the services of all our ejected ministers, but over and above to extend and multiply our exertions, so as to meet the necessities of all our families.—I have the honour to be, dear Sir George, yours most respectfully,

Thomas Chalmers."

" Edinburgh, December 4th, 1841.

"MY DEAR SIR GEORGE. * * * I conclude with noticing as briefly as possible your remarks on my consistency:—1. You speak of my former avowed preference for a National Establishment, reminding me of what you call my own theory. Now, in my London Lectures, in my Church Extension Addresses, in all my controversies with the Voluntaries, in my numerous writings for twenty years back, the spiritual independence of the Church has been ever brought prominently forward as an indispensable part of that theory, and I have uniformly stated, that the least violation of that independence in return for a State Endowment was enough to convert a Church Establishment into a moral nuisance. It is a little too much, that after the Conservatives had accepted with thankfulness my defence of National Establishments they should now propose to take away from me the benefit of their main vindication; or think that an advocacy given to a National Church, solely for the sake of its religious and moral benefits to the population, should still be continued, after they shall have converted it from an engine of Christian usefulness into a mere congeries of offices, by which to uphold the influence of patrons and subserve the politics or the views of a worthless partisanship.

"But (2.) you tell me of my views on the impotency of Voluntaryism. May I beg your perusal of my Third London Lecture on the Distinction between Voluntaryism ab intra and Voluntaryism ab extra. There is a perfect identity of principle between the latter and a National Establishment. I shall ever regret the necessity of a separation from the State. But if driven to it by principle, it is a sacrifice which must and ought to be made. I say so, not in the spirit of menace, or for the purpose of terrifying bull-headed Toryism out of any of its inveteracies, but simply to let you know that I for one shall feel it my duty to draw both on the middle and lower ranks, indefinitely, in order to repair, and I confidently hope to overpass, the mischief, which I fear that our enemies, in the obstinacy of their miserable blindness, are preparing for our land.—Ever believe me, my dear Sir George, yours with great esteem and regard, THOMAS CHALMERS."

CHAPTER XIV.

THE OUTER AND INNER HISTORY—PRIVATE JOURNALS OF 1840-41—HORÆ BIBLICÆ QUOTIDIANÆ—HORÆ BIBLICÆ SABBATICÆ.

THE events in which Dr. Chalmers mingled, and which he helped so much to mould, were far from engrossing his thoughts. The part he took in them was in fact the product of those deeper convictions which rested upon the unseen and enduring objects of faith. Behind the outer history of his life there lay that inner spiritual history which made the other what His correspondence, his speeches, his published writings, and his public acts, which furnish such ample materials for unfolding the one history, are absolutely barren as to the other. We know of no other individual of the same force and breadth of Christian character, who, in all his converse, public and private, with his fellow-men, spoke so little of himself or afforded such slender means of information as to his own spiritual condition and progress, and yet it would be difficult to name another of whose deeper religious experience we have so full and so trustworthy a record. We owe this to the openness and perfect truthfulness of his private Journal. The strict reserve which he observed in his communications with others he entirely laid aside when communing with his own heart, the fulness of the one disclosure more than atoning for the stintedness of the other. The very breaks and gaps, the compressed or the expanded condition of his private Journal, when studied in connexion with his external occupations during different

periods, are themselves instructive. Judged of in this way, the year 1840 formed a marked epoch in his spiritual life, as exhibiting the commencement of that softening, refining, elevating process which, ripening to perfection, threw such a pure and mellow light of piety around his closing years—a light whose chastened lustre was perceived and felt even by those who saw not into the place of its birth. We date the beginning of this process from the close of Dr. Chalmers's correspondence with Lord Aberdeen, from the time when his hope failed him of any peaceful settlement of the Church conflict. Being constrained to face painful and unexpected emergencies, he threw himself for guidance and support upon the great principles of the Christian faith. It was thus that the darker the prospect became, the singler became his eye—the greater the danger, the stronger his faith—the weaker his trust in men, the firmer his reliance upon God. We should not, perhaps, be pardoned by general readers did we interrupt our narrative by exhibiting in full the evidence of this striking fact, but we would violate our own convictions did we not present a few extracts from the Journals of 1840 and 1841.

"March 17th, 1840.—Entered the seventh decade of my life. I have looked long at this birth-day as a great moral and spiritual epoch. My God, enable me by prayer and performance to make it good. Quite sure that the acceptance of Christ, with a full reliance on Him and the confident appropriation of His righteousness, is the transition step to a life of happy and prosperous obedience. O my God, give me to hold this fast, and to realize by it a present salvation—the light and liberty and enlargement of one of thine own children. O that my heart were a fountain of gracious things, which might flow out with gracious influence on the hearts of my acquaintances, and more particularly of the members of my family.

"March 28th.—Sadly exercised with adverse tidings from London anent the Church; and all that is heavenly takes flight by giving way to other themes.

"April 1st.—To-day there is the opening of a great hope in Church matters. I long for my own deliverance from the turmoils of public life. I feel somewhat the advantage which a sally of my own has given to a hostile multitude against me, and yet I am supported in a way that is marvellous under every visitation. O do Thou, the very God of peace, sanctify me wholly, and enable me to cut off the right hand or pluck out the right eye. Deliver me from the wo of those by whom offences come; save me from the sin that doth most easily beset me, and, above all, from the guilt of hurting the souls of others. Keep my heart in the love of thyself, and enable me to keep it with all diligence. Enable me to bid away all thoughts of evil and vanity, and to keep myself holy in soul and spirit and body, which are the Lord's.—Amen, and amen.

"April 2d.—An utter prostration of spirit from the speech of Lord Aberdeen.

"April 3d.—Recovered my spirits, but not my spirituality.

"April 4th.—Came over to Burntisland.—O my God, let a quiet withdrawment from Edinburgh recall to this earthly soul its departed godliness.

"April 13th.—Useless expenditure of thought and feeling in anticipations not afterwards realized. Events are God's. Relieved by Lord Aberdeen taking an independent and irresponsible charge.

"April 15th.—O for quiet! Great need of repose. Gleams, too, of right and religious feeling. Think of my creatureship, but not habitually, not closely enough. What a revolution would it be if I had just an adequate and practical sense of the God who made me! The very sense of being made by another, how it should annihilate the sovereignty of self—how

it should subordinate and keep in check the waywardness of one's own will. What hast thou, O man, that thou didst not receive?

"April 16th.—A glorious day, and had great enjoyment on the coast with my children. O that I could associate God with all that is visible and created. Began a sermon on Rom. x. 6-9. Should begin every new thing with prayer.

"April 17th.—Growing distaste for the burdens of public business. Pray for wisdom amid the manifold difficulties of my position. Visit me, O God, with light and love from thy sanctuary.

"April 18th.-More of study than usual; but I am not making the strenuous and decided efforts to be spiritual which I wished and anticipated, and I neglect certain means of spirituality, such as the avoidance of certain thoughts that stir up affections opposite to the love of the Father. Might make a vast deal more, too, of my solitary walks; and to remove a great practical barrier in the way of religious contemplation, let me assume my personal interest in the promises of the Gospel, and hold fast my confidence therein. feel sure that I would recur all the more hopefully, and therefore all the more fondly and frequently, to the great things of faith, if I but knew the things which are freely given to us of God. It is the imagination of an impracticable gulf betwixt us which keeps me at a distance from Him-a distance that would be overcome if I could but habitually and believingly look at the open highway of communication along which there is perfect liberty of access for the guiltiest of us all. Called at Rose-End Castle; and how little is the sense of the immortality of others present with me when in converse with the partakers of my own imperishable nature.

"Sunday, 19th.—Much weighted with public difficulties. A great infusion of religious thought and feeling, too.

"April 20th.—Began my first waking minutes with a confident hold on Christ as my Saviour. A day of great quietness.

"April 21st.—Let the laying hold of Christ as my propitiation be the unvarying initial act of every morning. Very quiet all this day; yet not in a particularly religious frame. I fancy a swimming in my head, and am certain that my execution is greatly short of my conception and my aim in the composition of the sermon now on hand. This occasions a perpetual strain. Let me devolve this and all other things on God. Enable me to render the doctrine of a free salvation clearly and impressively. But, O how true that the faith of others is given not through the wisdom of words, but by the power of God.

"April 24th.—Much exercised by the conflicting Church politics around me, and feel the earthliness of these engrossments. O that I exercised myself more unto godliness, and could maintain a godly frame all the day long.

"April 29th.—A general want of godliness, and a weight upon my spirit in the prospect of approaching controversies. I pray for light and direction from on high. Assembly preparations.

"April 30th.—Occasional heaviness; yet well upon the whole. A most enjoyable day, and delighted myself with two solitary rounds. Have the feelings and aspirations of piety, but must be more confident and cheerful in Christ—rejoice in the Lord alway.

"May 1st.—What a sad general want of realization as to the things of faith and eternity. Can luxuriate among the beauties of creation. O Creator of all, manifest thy glory to my dark and dormant faculties, possess me with a sense of thyself, forgive the waywardness of my thoughts and inclinations, and give me to feel the controlling influence of thy presence and will all the day long.

"Sunday, May 3d.—Delighted with 'Treffry on the Sonship

of Christ.' Luxuriate here in Sabbath quiet. O my God, purify, refine, and exalt me more and more. Hear imperfectly in church, and my attention wanders among the engrossing topics of the present time. I most earnestly pray for a wisdom and a spirit adapted to the exigencies of the present time.

"May 4th.—Much weighted with Church matters, and the report from London of Lord Aberdeen's vacillations.

"May 6th.—Sadly agitated about Church matters, and things looking very doubtful. But saddest of all is the distress and decay of religious feeling, and the want of a system of practical self-discipline.—O my God, enable me to wait upon thee without distraction; and I pray for wisdom to clear my way through the difficulties by which I am encompassed. My retrospects of the day that is past are exceedingly dim; and the work of self-examination therefore, in that proportion, unsatisfactory. Search me and try me, O God.

"May 8th.—Carried by news from London, reports from Edinburgh, &c. Teach me the lesson, O Heavenly Father, to be still and know that thou art God. Things are thickening.

"Sunday, June 7th.—My eternity is at stake, and the great adversary is plying me with a fresh and formidable temptation. Another approaching controversy, too, which may require all wisdom. O for holiness and charity. Take pity on me, O God, a miserable offender.

"June 8th.—Sadly engrossed with the Dean of Faculty's charge against me.* There are, besides, fiery darts from the adversary. My God, uphold me!

"June 16th.—A dreary interval, throughout which the influences of God's Word have been choked and overborne by the thorns of care and controversy. Let me now resume the moral and spiritual culture which has been so wofully interrupted.

^{*} See ante, p. 168.

"Sunday, June 21st.—Have not yet recovered the shock of Lord Aberdeen's foul attack on me in the House of Lords. May I live henceforth in the perpetual sunshine of God's reconciled countenance. May I experience the sanctifying power of such a habit. Save me, save me, O God, from the untoward imaginations which disquiet and inflame me, warring against my soul, and engrossing my thoughts, to the utter exclusion of the things which make for holiness and peace.

"June 24th.—O my God, direct me now to thyself. Transfer my thoughts to the things that are above. Give me wisdom among the trials and difficulties which surround me. Hide me under the covert of thy wings, and let the menaces which overhang the country and the Church pass away from them both.

"Sunday, July 5th.—A letter yesternight from Dr. Gordon, enclosing one from Lord Aberdeen, which will require a strenuous exercise both of wisdom and charity.* My God, guide and govern all my movements. It is high time now to be seeking the pearl of great price, and for this let there be an intent looking unto Jesus—a strong and simple faith in Him—the love that cleaves to Him—the friendship for Him that will do whatsoever He commandeth. I pray for the fruits of the Spirit —for the mortification of the flesh, and altogether for the establishment of my understanding in the doctrine of salvation, and of my feet in the way of new obedience. Give me, O Lord, to be spiritually-minded, and then shall I have life and peace. May I know what it is to exercise myself unto godliness. it not wrong to countenance by the slightest semblance of an approximation the Sabbath liberties that are taken in this neighbourhood? May I hope from this time forward to have materials for a more full and regular spiritual history than I have kept hitherto.

^{*} See ante, p. 170.

"June 6th.—Began the day with a distinct act of confidence; but should renew it through the day, and see, on the constant repetition and habit of it, whether a blessing will not follow, so as that Christ may see in me of the travail of His soul.

"July 7th.—Began again with an act of confidence; but why not a perennial confidence in the Saviour? And every human creature I meet with supplies an object and occasion for the second law. Give me, O Lord, as fruits of thy Spirit, love and long-suffering.

"July 8th.—I have recurred more frequently to the actings of faith in Christ, and I can have no doubt of this being the habit that is to bring me right. Let me realize Him as a person who knows my thoughts, and from whom I may ask for all that is needful to a life of godliness. O give me to experience the blessed effect of thus abiding in Him. Give me tokens for good. Make me sensible of real answers to actual requests, as the evidences of an interchange between myself on earth and my Saviour in Heaven.

"July 9th.—Going on leisurely, I think feebly, with, I hope, my last controversial pamphlet on the Church question. Have much to learn, and desire to grow in the practical and experimental knowledge of Christ.

"July 10th.—O my God, elevate and inspire me. Give me to feel the operation of the first law in my heart, raising my affections to thyself, and of the second law diffusing the regards of kindness on all around me. What a selfish and ungodly creature I naturally am. Refine and exalt my aims and my purposes, O God, and let me realize the experience of a practical Christianity.

"July 11th.—Why at any time the heaviness of ennui? Should God be a weariness or a wilderness to His own creatures?

"July 13th.—Luxuriated over the beauties of the land-

scape.

"July 15th.—Hurt by a report in the 'Witness' of Lord Aberdeen's saying in the House, that after having brought the Church into jeopardy, I had left them to find their way out of it as they could. Recovered from this. Desire to roll over all upon God.

"Sunday, 26th.—Give me, O Lord, the power of application to spiritual subjects. Give me a firm and tangible hold of spiritual things. Let me know what it is to realize experimental religion. O may it be my daily task, my hourly exer-

cise, my perennial enjoyment.

"Sunday, August 30th.—My engrossments now are with Pauperism and the British Association. I have had miserably little experience of prayer being the aliment of the divine life, but I think that now and previously I have experienced its efficacy in shielding me from temptation. Thou knowest, O God, how frail I am. O give me the $\epsilon \pi i \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota s$ as well as the $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota s$ —that knowledge of the Father and the Son which amounts to fellowship with both.

"October 19th.—A dreary interval; British Association; Greenock lectureship. My God, strengthen, stablish, settle me. Let me resume my journal.

"November 9th.—Yesterday being Sabbath, I employed in part, as usual, in the perusal of difficult theology, when I was visited by a sense of the injunction—'Thou shalt not do any work.' On that day let me rest, and let it be a day not of study, but of sentiment, and of sentiment allied with repose, such as resting in God, having peace and joy in believing, waiting on God, rejoicing in hope, patient under injuries or in any sort of tribulation.—O grant that by a right use of the weekly Sabbath my old age may be mellowed into the Sabbath of my life; and let me experience that in the quietness and

confidence of the seventh day there is a recruiting of strength for the duties and the exercises of the other six.

"Sunday, November 15th.—My Sabbatical meditations to-day have achieved for me an exemption from evil thoughts. I desire to watch as well as pray. Assist me, O God, in the work of holding communion with thyself. Save me from my oesetting sins. Set me on a real work of preparation. I feel the advantage of a holy rest—the good and wisdom of the Sabbath hour. I pray for the faith of immortality. Felt to-day the advantage of a simple faith in the simple statements of God's Word.

"Sunday, 22d.—Suffered myself to be annoyed by the perversities to which I am exposed on the subject of Pauperism. Let me rise to the more serene and elevated panorama of religion.—O my God, let me be clothed with humility and experience the consequent grace which thou hast promised to bestow.

"Sunday, January 10th, 1841.—Give me a constant sense of danger, and along with this of entire diffidence in myself, and so of perpetual dependence on Thee. Lead me to the Rock that is higher than I. I would renounce the devil, the world, and the flesh; and to make this good, I would live a life of faith in thine own Son. O may His power rest upon me; and agreeably to what I heard this day, may I look on all my sins as proceeding from myself, while nought but the grace which dispenses all good and perfect gifts cometh down from the sanctuary that is above. Let me not say, then, that I am tempted of God, when the enticement is felt of my own hurtful and foolish lusts. Let me make escape from this by fleeing from myself, and fleeing for refuge not merely to the hope, but to the strength and life which are laid up for me with Christ in God. I pray for the crucifixion of the flesh-for the mortification of the body through the spirit-for the death of

the old man—for the peace and grace of a spiritual resurrection. O for the spirit of glory and of God, that with the newborn energies of the divine, I might be enabled to trample those vile affections of my own worthless nature into dust.

"Sunday, 17th.—Have practised total abstinence since the beginning of the year. My God, may I experience the life of thee in my soul.

"January 20th.—O my God, give me the language and lofty spirit of him who realizes eternity, and has enthroned thyself in his heart.

"January 26th.—The Church question drawing to a crisis; and I desire to east all on God, with simple faith in his message of reconciliation.—Give wisdom and grace, O Heavenly Father, and cause good to come out of these thickening events to our beloved land.

"Sunday, 31st.—Sir George Sinclair called; and the strong probability is, that I may yet be implicated more than I like with the Church question.

"Sunday, February 7th.—Annoyed with the utter inertness and want of agency for the Marnoch subscription.

"February 9th.—Gloomy accounts from London; and I pray God to strengthen and uphold the mind of our Church.

"Sunday, 14th.—On Thursday met Mr. Wood, and suggested a clerical prayer meeting on the affairs of the Church.

"Sunday, March 14th.—The affairs of the Church thickening; and I sadly exercised by the urgencies that I should again mingle in the fray, to the hazard of my health and serious injury of my literary undertakings. I pray for the Church, O God. Make clear the path of duty.

"Sunday, May 16th.—Was heavy when I awoke this morning; but did experience relief and elevation by the effort of a simple faith. Have adopted a new system of Sunday readings, confining myself to a prayerful reading of Scripture. Last

Sunday began with John i., and to-day John ii. Have had two pleasant, and, let me hope, two spiritual Sabbaths, to some degree, in consequence. Was much delighted by my ordinary Bible passage this morning in 1 Sam. ii.—Hannah's prayer, 'For by strength shall no man prevail.' Still very deficient in my attention as a hearer at Church, though to-day better than usual. Feel now that to be spiritually-minded is life and peace—at least of this very certain, that I shall have no peace without it; and let me hope that this experience will shut me more up to a life of religion. Find that sermons from the pulpit or chapters in the Bible which would fail to interest me were I only bestowing a cursory attention upon them, become interesting when I make an effort to realize the objects of which they treat. Familiarize me, O God, more and more with the things of faith and eternity.

"May 17th.—Cannot but remark how I gravitate to ungodliness. Why are my thoughts, when alone and not studying, so little occupied with God? And O that in company I could appear more for His glory. Assist me to do this in my family, and let me watch my opportunities for doing Christian good. O that I could realize this blessed sequence—'I have believed, therefore have I spoken.' Let me carry about with me a distinct confidence in forgiveness through the blood of Christ; and with earnest desire of shewing forth His praise and learning His doctrine, let me try how this confidence will work in me. The fruits of righteousness so produced will arise from the sense of my own nothingness, and have Christ alone as their origin.

"May 19th.—Let me guard my spirit from the impatience of petty annoyances; and ever remember, in the language of the Port Royal Memoirs, that religion consists not in the doing of extraordinary things, but in the doing of common things extraordinarily well.

"May 20th.—A day of peace; but a wide interval must be filled up ere I can record a day of positive religion. Why do I not go forth both as a forgiven and vested creature-forgiven all my trespasses, vested with the righteousness of Christ? It is only by living up to our privileges that we can live up to the full measure of Christian perfection. There is one temptation that I pray for grace to overcome. I am most sensitively alive to the disgust of certain peculiarities in the manners of people for whom I have no taste, and with whom I feel no congenial sympathy. My God, I would press forward to the triumph of charity in such a case as this. Enable me to honour all men, to bear them all the regard which I owe to immortals, to please not myself, but to take up my cross, and make a daily and hourly sacrifice of all my antipathies for their sake. O for the long-suffering of the gospel and the endurance of all things. Solemnized by the thought that this is the first day of the Assembly, and pray for God's special guidance and favour to the Church of Scotland. And I furthermore pray for direction and the spirit of wisdom to myself, O God.

"Sunday, 23d.—Had my Sabbath Bible exercise, and mean to persevere in it. The chapter of the day was John iii. My chief thought was on the efficacy of faith as apart from conception, and faith too in the naked word, either with or without a lively manifestation of the archetype: our safety and spiritual health hanging on the first; our sensible comfort mainly depending, I should imagine, on the second. Let me here record my prayer to God for sustenance and succour and guidance through the fatigues and difficulties of the coming week, (General Assembly;) and O that he would lead me back to this retreat in safety, and enable me to write of His gracious answer to the voice of my supplications. Hide me in thy pavilion, O God, from the strife of tongues. Give me the

preparation of the heart and answer of the mouth. Cause my way to please thee, that enemies might be at peace. And, O defend the Church, and bring her out of all her perils into a haven of security and quietness. Let me be without carefulness, rolling the whole burden of my anxieties upon God.

"Sunday, 30th.—On Monday crossed to Edinburgh. Spoke in the Assembly on Patronage on Tuesday; away from the Assembly all Wednesday. Spoke in the Assembly, and moved the deposition of the Strathbogie ministers. Mrs. Chalmers came over, and found me at my siesta in the Royal Hotel. She went off to Castlebank after tea, and I returned to bed. where I was raised about twelve for the vote, but was shut out. Present, however, at the deposition, and disquieted by a protest of the Moderates, which was, however, withdrawn next day. Have had abundant evidences of my native carnality and frailty during last week.—My God, if it be thy blessed will, let me spend the remainder of my days in quiet study and retirement, with every aim terminating in thee and thy glory, and so in the furtherance, as thou mayest enable me, of the Christian good of all within my sphere, and more especially in the effectual preparation of myself and my family for heaven. I have to record God's gracious answer to the prayer of last Sabbath. He has mercifully granted me another Eben-ezer; and at the same time convinced me, through the medium of my consciousness and of its experimental findings, that I am no longer fit for the fatigues and the turmoils of public life. Yet I would commit this thought of my heart, and for its establishment, to God, trusting in Him, and leaning not to my own understanding. And O if it be His blessed will, may I spend my remaining days in the retirement which I love; and let it be a retirement of peace and piety, and withal of profit to the souls of men.

"May 31st.—Rode to Colinswell. There learned of the vol. iv.

interdict on the Assembly, indicating a new stage of the Church's troubles.

"June 1st.—Comforted by the Assembly's resolutions on the interdict.

"Sunday, June 6th.—This a strenuous Sabbath of Bible reading, mixed with prayer—a day of faith rather than manifestation, that is to say, of resolute confidence in the tangibilities, which is surely better than to walk in sparks of our own kindling. Let me keep by God's Word and by the doing of His Divine will. The chapter of this day was John v., which I read in connexion with a very great amount of parallel Scripture. The leading sentiment is the security of being in the hands of Christ, viewed as supreme in judgment and power, yet the Saviour. The first chapter of Acts, in my ordinary reading, suggested some pregnant thoughts. Apostles were told that they should not depart from Jerusalem till they were endowed with power from on high; and neither should we depart from the Bible, but give earnest heed thereto till the day dawn and the day-star arise in our hearts.

"June 7th.—Arrested by a sense of my ungodliness while riding. Prayed for living water, that I might thirst no more; and certainly realized a sense of my obligation to do nothing and enjoy nothing apart from Christ, which adhered to me, and made me feel how, by an influence ab extra, such enlargements and enhancements of spiritual manifestation might come upon me as I have never yet experienced. Let me pray and watch for the Holy Ghost; and meanwhile, in the absence of vivid conception, let me maintain a resolute belief in God's Word, and yield myself to the felt obligation of every plain and practical duty. (John xiv. 21.)

"June 12th.—Had a luminous visitation at the shore. Why do I not walk at all times in the light and liberty of the

Gospel?—I pray, O God, for a fruitful Sabbath on the morrow; a quiet, and let me hope a profitable Sabbath. The chapter was the sixth of John; and the most powerful of its topics was the atonement, set forth in terms of the flesh and blood of Christ, the bread that came down from heaven. The transition from death to life, on the appropriation of the sacrifice, is the great turning point of a sinner's salvation. My God, bring me thereto, and let the great Propitiation of the Cross be the very food and aliment of my soul.

"June 18th.—Fatal information from Mr. Dunlop anent Sir Robert Peel. The Church prospect dark; but let us hope in God.

"June 19th.—Had gleams of the Gospel freeness; sure that on no other footing I can prosper or be right. My family in feeble health, and the prospects of the Church very dark. Bear me up, O God, under the weight of every visitation. Be Thyself my portion. To attain the maximum of a right physical state I would perhaps require to be a shade more temperate. I mean by its maximum right state that in which the physical gives the least impediment to the spiritual, and is most consistent with, if not subservient to, the duties and exercises and enjoyments of the life of faith.

"June 21st.—Very quiet day. Surely I might live in greater spirituality did I cherish always the sense of God as a reconciling and reconciled Father. Let me have faith and feeling up to the offered privileges of the Gospel. God has been pleased to make this a day of peace, and rather of bright anticipation in regard to the Church, even though its connexion with the State should be dissolved. But recurring to the topic of a large confidence and belief in the promises of the Gospel, let me act on the injunction, Open thy mouth wide and I will fill it!

[&]quot;June 23d.—I have got into an embarrassment here, as the

prudence of my building a house will be affected by the uncertainty of the Church prospect. We are taught to make our requests unto God in every thing. Help and guide me, O Lord, in this matter also.

"June 29th.—Have begun my fourth volume on the Romans.—O my God, enable me to take my own lesson and lay hold of the righteousness of Christ as my righteousness.

"Sunday, July 4th.—A pleasurable day. My chapter was John ix.; but it was my ordinary reading, in Romans i., that furnished the leading thought of this Sabbath,—'Christ the power and wisdom of God unto salvation.' Never am I in a better frame than when dwelling in simple faith on Christ's offered righteousness, and making it the object of my acceptation.—O Lord, I pray for more and more of the clearness and enlargement of this view; and grant me the Spirit of adoption. O that I could attain the experience of Him who says, 'I have believed, therefore have I spoken.' Let my light in particular shine before my family.

"July 5th.—In peace; but I should like to be more assured of its being a religious peace—peace in believing, the peace of those who love God's law.

"July 8th.—A growing taste for the simplicity of the faith and conviction of its efficacy.

"July 9th.—My first chemical lecture at Craigholm. My God, may the joy of Thee be my strength. A glorious day; but oh that there were more of faith and heaven in my soul.

"July 10th.—Mrs. C. tells me of a complaint under which poor J. labours that might well make me serious. Am I not too light-hearted and too luxurious, and altogether too self-indulgent? Certain it is that in and of myself I am altogether vile and worthless, and would need in dependence on grace alone to have more of watchfulness unto prayer, more of self-denial, and a far more tender sense of the evil of un-

godliness, than habitually and practically belong to me.—My God, give me wisdom and principle, and the life of faith, in reference to our visitors from England.

"July 11th.—Felt the importance of the first verses in John x., as evincing, 1. that ministers might be appointed for congregations ab extra; but, 2. that there is such a sympathy between a minister called and qualified by the Spirit, and all real Christians, as to afford a criterion by which the rightness of the appointment might be tested. Other parts of this chapter very precious.—Give me, O Lord, with all freedom to go out and in, and find pasture for my soul.

"July 13th.—A most unsavoury letter from ——, of which I could only relieve myself by replying to it. Feel a most degrading subjection to circumstances. O for that love of God which nothing can disquiet or offend.

"July 14th.—Wrote Lord Galloway. Medically better, and morally in less discomfort, but have to complain of my extreme sensibility to the opinions of men. Why do I not look upon God? Make me what Thou wouldst have me. Let me be still and know that Thou art God.

"July 15th.—Mean to build at Morningside; but let me not forget the end of the world and the coming of Christ—the catastrophe that comes as a thief in the night. Among the other mercies of this day I have to record a most agreeable letter from Dr. Gordon.

"July 17th.—Find it essential to a religious frame that there should be more of devotional thinking and prayer. The Church crisis looks nearer.

"July 18th.—At home all day; seeking after a simple faith. The more simple and child-like the better. Gleams of comfort under its occasional visitations. Heavenly Father! establish me therein more and more; so shall my bands be loosed, and I will serve Thee henceforth in the spirit of adoption.

"July 23d.—Have great need of the life of faith. I have sad infirmities of temper. My God, help me to overcome all the obstructions which lie in the way of my perfect observance of the second law. How miserably deficient in the grace of endurance. Help me, O God!

"July 29th.—Not satisfied with my composition on the Romans, and fear that I strain too much after effect. Guide and invigorate me, O Lord, in the prosecution of this work; but keep me above all stedfast in the faith of Christ, and let it dwell habitually within me.

"August 1st, Sunday.—My chapter was John xiii., and I certainly had great satisfaction in my Sabbath exercise thereupon. What a blessed and high achievement to realize the charity of the Gospel as described in 1 Cor. xiii., which was in my ordinary reading to-day.—O God, let this be my distinct aim, that so the same mind may be in me that was in Christ Jesus.

"August 5th.—Much, very much, to change ere I am as I ought to be in the element of religion.

"August 7th.—The Church matters seem fast hastening to a crisis, and a disruption seems inevitable. I pray for counsel and fortitude, and all the proper virtues of such an emergency from on high.

"August 8th.—My chapter to-day John xiv. I find it easier, and surely it is safer, to take up my topics of meditation from the Bible rather than to fetch them up by a gratuitous effort, or wait for them in reveries of my own. My God! bless and establish every good impression which the successive topics of that passage made upon me at the time. Let me but delight in thy law, O God, and count it my great business to be occupied therewith, and then nothing shall offend me.

"August 12th.—Heard to-day of the Commission. Guide and fortify, O Lord, thy Church in the approaching crisis.

- "August 13th.—The times are hastening to a crisis. We must all be preparing for great changes. My God, give grace and guidance for the emergency that now hangs over us.
- "August 15th.—The chapter of this day was John xv.—very precious. O let me abide in Christ, and in Him have nourishment and strength. Quicken me, O Lord, and let me so keep Thy words as to have the love of the Father and the Son. The Church question begins to engross me.
- "August 18th.—My Saviour, why art Thou so little in my thoughts? Revive and regenerate me, O God! Brief preparation for the Commission.
- "August 19th.—How humbled I ought to feel at my exceeding distance from the test of loving God, that great is my peace, and that nothing shall offend me.
- "August 27th.—Opened the Commission in the High Church, but adjourned to St. Luke's with Dr. Makellar. Went off to Cramond with Mr. M., where we met for prayer, and dined and spent the night. A number of the brethren,—Dr. Gordon, Messrs. Cunningham, Candlish, Buchanan, and Horne.
- "September 5th.—Had nearer approach to God in Christ than usual, but have still much to aspire after. Much delighted with the first chapter of Colossians. The peculiar Sabbath chapter was John xviii.—O my God, let the mind of Mrs. C. be established, strengthened, and settled in the faith. May the eternity which is so fast approaching be full in our eye; and let us walk together as heirs of the grace of life.
- "September 9th.—Strike off these fetters of false orthodoxy which stand in the way of my new obedience; and while I retain entire dependence on Christ's righteousness and grace, let me, at the same time, have the comfort of knowing that my labour, my own personal labour, is not in vain in the Lord.
- "September 14th.—Let me keep by the work of self-discipline amid all defects and discouragements.

"September 27th.—Began this day my Institutes of Theology. I pray for God's blessing upon the work, and that faith and His glory may be the single aim of my heart. I have great comfort in quiet and leisurely and thorough study.

"October 1st.—My last lecture on chemistry. Some failures and breakages, but altogether a splendid and satisfactory conclusion.

"October 2d.—Let me do all I can for others; but remember that whatever I do beyond the point of doing it cheerfully is not an acceptable sacrifice to God.

"October 3d.—Began my regular Biblical devotions this day,—I trust with good to my soul. The result so far has been a feeling of comfort and satisfaction. Prosper this enterprise, Almighty Father! and bless it to my eternal welfare."

The Biblical compositions referred to in the last extracts, commenced at this period, were continued by Dr. Chalmers with unbroken regularity till the day of his decease. where he might, however he might be employed, each weekday had its few verses read, thought over, written upon, giving what he denominated his "Horæ Biblicæ Quotidianæ;"* each Sabbath-day had its two chapters, one in the Old, the other in the New Testament, with the two trains of meditative devotion recorded to which they respectively gave birth-forming what he denominated his "Hore Biblice Sabbatice." + In preparing the "Horæ Quotidianæ," he had beside him for use and reference the Concordance, Kitto's Pictorial Bible, Poole's Synopsis, Henry's Commentary, and Robinson's Researches in These constituted what he called his "Biblical Palestine. Library." "There," said he to a friend, pointing to the volumes as they lay upon the table of his library, "there are the books I use—all that is biblical is there. I have to do with

^{*} See Posthumous Works, vols. i., ii., iii.

[†] Ibid., vols. iv., v.

nothing besides in my biblical study." It would have defeated his primary object had he used the many other helps which were at hand, had he been led away by their employment into any lengthened critical, historical, or doctrinal investigations. These daily writings were not intended to be vehicles of learned research. They were not intended to constitute an elaborate exposition. He had no intention of drawing up for the use of others a regular commentary on the Holy Scriptures. He used the pen for his own private benefit alone. His great desire was to take off from the sacred page as quick, fresh, vivid, and complete an impression as he could, and in using his pen to aid in this, his object was far more to secure a faithful transcript of that impression than either to examine or describe the mould that made it. His own description of the "Horæ Biblicæ Quotidianæ" was, that they consisted of his first and readiest thoughts, clothed in the first and readiest words which occurred to him. They are not the less valuable on this account. "We want," says Lord Bacon, "short, sound, and judicious notes upon Scripture, without running into commonplaces, pursuing controversies, or reducing those notes to artificial method, but leaving them quite loose and native. For, certainly, as those wines which flow from the first treading of the grape are sweeter and better than those forced out by the press, which gives them the roughness of the husk and the stone, so are those doctrines best and sweetest which flow from a gentle crush of the Scriptures, and are not wrung into controversies and commonplaces."* The wise hand was needed as well as the gentle crush, and in the "Horæ Quotidianæ," the fruit of both, we have the want complained of by Bacon supplied.

The "Horæ Sabbaticæ" differ both in form and substance from the "Horæ Quotidianæ." Written amid the quiet of the day of

^{*} See Douglas Jerrold's Weekly Newspaper, Nov. 27, 1847.

rest, they rise to a high region, and they breathe a holier air. Contemplative and devotional throughout, they pass generally into direct addresses to the Deity. Such references are continually occurring to passing incidents, that they might fitly be described, if the expression were allowable, as the Sabbath diary of the last six years of Dr. Chalmers's life. His impressions as to the events are given here in a manner so free and unrestrained as to impart to them a peculiar interest. But the chief value of the "Sabbatice," and that which makes us rank them as among the most precious of all Dr. Chalmers's writings, lies in the spirit of rational and scriptural, yet lofty and ethereal devotion which they breathe. The innermost movements of his spirit are here spread out to us as he himself spread them out before that eye which seeth in secret: we see him as he bowed in simple, sincere, profound humility when alone in the presence of God-we hear him as in tones often so low and deep, yet often also so heavenly and sublime, he poured his confessions and desires and aspirations into the ear of the Holy One.

CHAPTER XV.

PATRONAGE ABOLISHED BY THE REVOLUTION SETTLEMENT.—THE ACT OF SECURITY AND TREATY OF UNION.—PATRONAGE RESTORED BY THE ACT OF QUEEN ANNE.—DR. CHALMERS TAKES PART IN THE ANTI-PATRONAGE MOVEMENT.—VINDICATION OF THE STEP IN HIS LETTER TO THE DUKE OF ARGYLL—NARRATIVE OF THE SETTLEMENT AT CULSALMOND.—THE INTERDICTS OF THE COURT OF SESSION—CONDUCT OF THE GOVERNMENT.—THE NEW PARTY IN THE CHURCH.—DR. CHALMERS'S LETTER TO THE REV. MR. BRUCE.—THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 1842.—MOTION FOR THE ABOLITION OF PATRONAGE.—THE CLAIM OF RIGHTS.

By the Revolution Settlement in 1699, the Royal supremacy in spiritual affairs and lay patronage in the Church of Scotland were abolished. When, a few years afterwards, a motion for the union of the two kingdoms was brought forward, the people and Parliament of Scotland were so alive to the perils to which their Church would be exposed under the predominating influence of Anglican institutions, and so determined that "the worship, discipline, and government of their Church should be effectually and unalterably secured," that not only did they forbid their Commissioners to treat "of or concerning any alteration" in their Church, but they passed an Act, entitled "The Act of Security," by which they did "for ever confirm the 5th Act of the 1st Parliament of King William and Queen Mary, entituled, 'Act Ratifying the Confession of Faith and Settling Presbyterian Church Government,' and the whole other Acts of Parliament relating thereto;" and did "establish and confirm the said Protestant and Presbyterian Church government to continue without any alteration to the people of this land in all succeeding generations." It was also especially enacted, that this Act "should be held and observed in all time coming as a fundamental and essential condition of any treaty or union to be concluded betwixt the two kingdoms, without any alteration thereof, or derogation thereto, in any sort for ever." This Act was accepted and ratified by the English Parliament, and embodied entire in the Treaty of Union. In violation of these manifold and most sacred securities, when Bolingbroke was plotting the overthrow of the other Protestant institutions of the empire, and was secretly preparing the way for the return of the Stuarts to the throne, he introduced an Act for the restoration of Patronage in Scotland, and hurried it with indecent haste through Parliament. The Church had barely time to let her voice of remonstrance against such a flagrant breach of the Treaty of Union, be heard in the House of Lords. It was heard, however, only to be disregarded; and by the 10th of Queen Anne, passed on the 22d May, 1711, Patronage was once more established. So strong was the general conviction of the impolicy and unrighteousness of this act that for many years it was not acted on in Scotland, the patrons not claiming the right which it bestowed, or the Church, without challenge, disallowing it. It came gradually, however, into operation, and at last, under the reign of Moderatism, was universally acted upon. The course of events once more directed to it the attention of the Church. It obviously lay at the root of all the evils by which the Church was visited; and the failure of recent negotiations led an increasing number to demand its abolition as the best and surest method of deliverance. At an important meeting of the Presbytery of Edinburgh, held on the 26th January 1842, the Rev. Dr. Gordon moved a series of resolutions, affirming "the propriety of seeking the abolition of the Law of Patronage, as, especially

in the construction now attempted to be put upon it, involving a violation of the constitution of the Church and kingdom secured at the Revolution, and unalterably ratified by the Act of Security and Treaty of Union."

In seconding this motion Dr. Chalmers said,—" When the Church and the patrons harmonize, and are alike bent on the Christian good of the people, the matter proceeds rightly and prosperously. The times have been when they harmonized for evil, and the people were sacrificed; but the times are now when the Church is on the side of the people, and very many of the Patrons are against them. I see nothing that can extricate the difficulty but that the people be called in and restored to the place which they held in the first ages of the Christian Church, and in the best and most flourishing periods of the Church of Scotland. If the two can be made to stand together—if Patronage and the rights of the popular conscience can in any way be amalgamated—the object of the motion were fulfilled, without the last resort, which, on the failure of all previous expedients, is thus opened up to us. But I confess I have my doubts, nay more, I have my fears—nay more, after the weary experience of so many years I begin to despair of a reconciliation between these jarring elements, which, after all our attempts to conjoin them, like water and oil, are found to be immiscible. There is one comfort in the midst of all these discomfitures, that if one or other of these adverse elements is to be sacrificed I feel no perplexity on the question which of the two ought to give way. Between absolute and unmitigated Patronage on the one hand, and popular election on the other, I do not hesitate a moment to say which is the likelier constitution of the two for a laborious, a faithful, and a well appointed ministry in the Church of Scotland. With a Church prepared on the one hand to fix and to regulate and to raise indefinitely if she so choose the learning and the qualifications of the eligible—and on the other, by her high demands both for character and knowledge at the admission of communicants to the table of the Lord, to raise indefinitely the character of her electors, I confess that, with the blessing of God, I should look, under the working of a system like this, for the triumphant progress of a so renewed and regenerated Church among the people of these lands. And should the interloper, Patronage, come in betwixt, and ask for her function and her place of occupancy in the midst of us-should this corrupter in other days of the purity of our Church, this disturber, and never more than now, of the peace of our Israel, lift her unabashed visage, and with all her sins upon her forehead, tell us of her claims, and ask how she is to be disposed of, let her be made to know that we have no demand whatever for her services, and that the power which refuses to be regulated ought forthwith to be destroyed.

"I conclude with expressing it as my earnest prayer that these controversies might soon pass away; and still more that, however terminated, the Church may be found from first to last to have acquitted herself with perfect honour, and so as to come forth with unimpaired moral weight in the eyes of the country. Circumstances have brought her into contact and busy converse with the politicians of this world. It is her part to maintain the most perfect simplicity and godly sincerity in all her transactions with them; and I sit down with the most perfect confidence that if her ways please God He will make even her deadliest enemies to be at peace with her."

At the opening of the Non-Intrusion controversy Dr. Chalmers had not only kept himself aloof from the Anti-patronage movement, but had strenuously resisted it, as throwing an obstacle between the Church and the politicians in the pending negotiations. The part now taken by him and others in fostering a movement which they had previously condemned marks

a new stage in the progress of the controversy, and receives its justification from the altered position of affairs. It lay open, however, to grave charges, which were unscrupulously adduced. It was represented as stamping dishonesty on all the previous procedure of the Church, as if she had been cherishing a design which she had carefully concealed. She now, it was alleged, dropped the mask, and revealed the democratic spirit by which her whole conduct had been animated. Nor were enemies the only parties who condemned this movement. By some of the best friends of the Church it was regarded as a needless shifting of her ground, unjustifiable in itself and unworthy of the Church's dignity. Among many remonstrances against its impolicy, there was one which, coming from the Duke of Argyll, who had already proved his attachment to the Church, and was waiting only for the favourable moment for pressing his measure on the Legislature, was entitled to the weightiest regard. Dr. Chalmers replied to it as follows:-

" Edinburgh, February 9th, 1842.

"My Lord Duke,—I understand that the enemies of our cause represent the motion carried the other day in the Presbytery of Edinburgh as an onward movement on the part of the majority in the Church, which they had all along contemplated, but kept secret till now, thereby laying themselves open to the charge of a double and disingenuous policy, as if, while negotiating for a small, they were all the while intent on a larger measure, which they meant, when a fitting opportunity came round, to speed onward by one step after another in a career of indefinite and unknown changes.

"I will not speak of those negotiations wherewith I myself have not had personally to do, though I believe them capable of entire vindication. But your Grace will permit me to say, that in all my correspondence, and on every occasion when I have taken part in the deliberations of the Church Courts, I

have studied the most perfect simplicity and openness in all my avowals, and have never shrunk from the most frank and explicit and unreserved declaration of my views.

"Two years ago, when I corresponded with Lord Aberdeen, my immediate object was to obtain the *liberum arbitrium*; but I never ceased to express my desire for a much larger concession than this to the wishes of the people, and assured him on various occasions that the more nearly he approached to a popular constitution, the more surely would he provide both for the prosperity of the Church and the peace of the country.

"But on this very principle I infinitely preferred your Grace's Bill to the measure I attempted to press on Lord Aberdeen; and surely was quite at liberty to become its advocate when that measure was denied to me. I accordingly did advocate it most strenuously at the last General Assembly, not as absolutely the best, but as the best that we had any likelihood of getting. My opinion as to the optimum maximum, however, remained unchanged, and having nothing to disguise, I proclaimed it openly, which was, that the best constitution for a Church I deemed to be that where the ministers were paid by the State and chosen by the people.

"This brings me down to the present time. The liberum arbitrium was refused to us by Lord Aberdeen, and we took to your Grace's Bill. The liberum arbitrium has been again refused to us by Sir James Graham, and your Grace has represented the carrying of your Bill as all but hopeless. What then remains for us to do? We now feel as if it were a vain endeavour to enlist the understanding of the English Parliament on the side of any of our ecclesiastical peculiarities. It was perhaps wrong to expect that they should judge aright on the merits of a Presbyterian Church law; but we may have a better chance of finding access to the Parliamentary mind of

England if we speak to them of the merits and character of one of their own acts, and appeal to their sense of justice on the flagrant iniquity done to Scotland, when by a breach of the Articles of Union, that Act of Queen Anne was passed which has brought evils on our Church, that, after the failure of our repeated trials, we may well conclude, should your Grace not succeed in carrying your Bill, to be wholly irremediable. thing more natural, nothing more justifiable, than that after these defeats and disappointments we should try to get rid of an act which is the real source of all our difficulties, so as to be thrown back on the state we held between 1690 and 1711, one of the most flourishing periods in the history of our Church. And it is not we who spontaneously, and of our own wantonness, are making changes from one proposal to another: we are compelled to it by those on the other side who reject our first proposals, and force us to take refuge in succeeding ones. It is by a confusion of ideas that people say of us, Nothing will content them; if they get one reform, they will be after another presently. Truly all our apparent changes proceed from this, that, instead of getting anything, we are refused everything; and we have been shut up to our present position, not by any wayward fluctuations of our own, but by the unyielding obstinacy of those who are opposed to us.

"It may very naturally be asked, Why, if you thought it better to have a large than a small measure, why did you seek the small, and not lift at once your demand for the large, and which you profess to regard, too, as intrinsically preferable to the other. We have perhaps done wrong in this; but we wanted to obtain redress in the most practicable and pacific way, and with the least possible amount of change or innovation. We were willing to be put into a state that was at all tolerable in the most cautious, and, if I may so term it, in the most conservative way possible; and all we have got in return

is the misinterpretation of our proceedings, as if with the insatiable spirit of anarchs and revolutionists we had entered on a desperate course of transitions from one stage to another, till we had precipitated the Church into some gulf, the nature of which I do not well understand, but which I have no doubt is sufficiently frightful in the scared imaginations of our adversaries.

"It is exceedingly to be regretted, that, in this heated warfare of party, so much injustice should be going; but I have all confidence in your Grace's calm and enlightened patriotism, and am sure that you will bear with me, if, in the few remaining lines, I attempt to lay before you what I hold for any practical object is most material to be known.

"1st, The Church may acquiesce in, she never will approve of a mere *liberum arbitrium*; and it will have little or no effect in laying an arrest on the Anti-patronage movement.

"2d, The Church, in my opinion, would accept of your Grace's Bill, and that not as a step to ulterior changes, but for the purpose of working it honestly and faithfully, with the view to an efficient ministration of the Gospel in Scotland. Many of us, and myself in particular, do not think that it comes up to the beau idéal of a best possible constitution for the appointment of clergymen. But we shall be content to wait for this being realized by a gradual and pacific march of improvement, and have no sympathy with those who talk of instalments, and would keep the Church and the country in a state of incessant turmoil and agitation.

"But, 3d, and most important of all, the Church, I fondly hope and pray, will never consent to be cast down by any power on earth beneath the *liberum arbitrium*. If the right of the patron, on the one hand, is to carry it over the judgment of the ecclesiastical courts that it is not for the Christian good of the families in a parish that his presentee, unaccept-

able to them, shall be admitted their minister; the Church, on the other hand, never will submit to the mandate of any court under the sun calling on them to ordain and admit that man. On this head I trust that our majority will present an unbroken phalanx of resistance to the violence that would offer such an invasion upon our liberties; and should the further violence be perpetrated of driving us, because of this, from our own rightful patrimony, we shall in hundreds, I trust, quit the endowments of a Church thus Erastianized, and, under God, cast the support of our righteous cause on the people of Scotland.

Thomas Chalmers.

"To His Grace The Duke of Argyll."

Meanwhile, in the race of ecclesiastical insubordination the Presbytery of Strathbogie had found a vigorous rival in its next neighbour, the Presbytery of Garioch. The Rev. Mr. Middleton, who had acted for some years as assistant to the minister of Culsalmond, obtained at last a presentation to that parish. The Presbytery of Garioch met on the 28th October 1841 to moderate in the Call. A majority of the communicants on the roll dissented from the appointment. According to the recent regulations of the Church, the Presbytery was not bound to give immediate effect to that dissent by rejecting the presentee, but was required only to stay procedure and report to the next General Assembly. The Presbytery resolved, however, to proceed immediately to the ordination. A minority of the Court appealed to the superior judicatories, but this appeal was set aside. The people then came forward with special objections to the presentee, but the Presbytery refused to consider them. The parishioners and the minority in the Presbytery protested separately against this resolution, and appealed to the Synod. There is a standing order of the Church that no Presbytery shall ordain in face of an appeal. Trampling upon this

order, and setting all the common forms of procedure at defiance, the Presbytery resolved to meet again at Culsalmond on the 11th November, for the purpose of completing the settlement. It was another bleak, wintry, snowy day, such as that which occurred about a year before in the neighbouring parish of Marnoch, and another such crowd assembled. But the same wise counsels did not prevail, nor was the same spirit manifested by the people. The rapid and imperious movement of the Presbytery had created the feeling that they were stealing a march upon the people, and trying to do the deed before legal check of any kind could be imposed. Rashly and most unwisely the people took the check into their own hands. When the doors were opened, a motley crowd, principally composed of strangers from a distance, rushed in, and took such complete possession of the building, that it was with extreme difficulty, and by the help only of the officers of justice, that the Presbytery could find their way into the Church. to no purpose that they found an entrance; for no sooner was the attempt made to commence the proper business of the Court than loud discordant clamours, rising from all quarters, drowned their voices, and effectually prevented all further progress. They waited for an hour or more—again and again making the effort to proceed, but making it in vain. They retired at last to the manse, and there, in a private room, and within locked doors, this unhappy ordination was consummated. The parishioners complained to the Commission of the arbitrary and irregular conduct of the Presbytery, and that Court, which met on the 17th November, cited the parties complained of to appear before the ensuing General Assembly, and in the meantime, until the protests and appeals which had been made were judicially disposed of, prohibited Mr. Middleton from officiating in the parish of Culsalmond, and instructed the minority of the Presbytery of Garioch to provide for the administration

of sacred ordinances in that parish. The sentence of the Commission was purely and exclusively spiritual: it touched no civil right-it carried with it no civil consequence. It had grounds to rest on disconnected with any question about the legality of the Veto Law. Mr. Middleton, however, and the majority of the Presbytery, applied to the Court of Session to suspend it, and to prohibit its intimation and execution. Lord Ivory, to whom, as Lord Ordinary, their petition was in the first instance directed, refused to grant its prayer, on the grounds "that there was no question now before the Court as to the legality of the Veto Law; that the civil rights, whether of the patron or presentee, would stand perfectly unscathed, notwithstanding all that had yet been done by the Commission; and that the only question here was, shall this Court interfere with the proceedings of a proper Church Court, when that Court, acting within its own province, is dealing with a proper ecclesiastical cause, and this, too, while that cause is still actually depending before them?" The case went before the First Division of the Court, and the majority of the Judges reversed the decision of the Lord Ordinary. On the 10th March 1842 the Suspension and Interdict were granted as craved. In delivering his opinion, the Lord President declared that it was quite sufficient to bring this matter within the jurisdiction of the Court—"that a gross stigma had been fixed on Mr. Middleton's sacred character as a minister of the gospel," by his being forbidden for a time to officiate; and that the majority of the Presbytery had been "degraded from their status and functions as established ministers, and their general usefulness and respectability affected" by their being overlooked, and the minority appointed to supply all the ministerial services which the parish of Culsalmond required. At the beginning of this controversy, it was alleged in defence of the Court of Session, that it had interfered only when such civil rights as are properly the subjects of civil action were immediately involved. As broader and deeper invasions of the Church's territory were made, the defence was widened by its being affirmed that the Civil Court was warranted to interfere in all cases where civil rights were directly or indirectly affected. But now the Court of Session, speaking through its President, had given it broadly to be understood, that if any one conceived that by the sentence of an ecclesiastical court, any injury had been done to his reputation, or respectability, or usefulness, that was in itself enough to justify the Court in reviewing, and if it saw reason, in reversing the sentence of which he complained. No act of discipline could the Church perform; no spiritual censure or sentence of condemnation could she pronounce, which, upon this ground, did not lie open to revisal or reversal by the Court of Session. By assuming this prerogative, that Court constituted itself as the court of last appeal in all such cases; and the Church lay stripped of any supreme or exclusive jurisdiction.

A broad and patent way to the Court of Session had been opened, and where Presbyteries had gone before them, individual ministers could find no difficulty of approach. The minister of Stranraer had been accused of various acts of fraud, and his Presbytery were proceeding in his trial, when he applied to the Civil Court "to suspend the whole proceedings of the Presbytery;" and "further to prohibit, interdict, and discharge the said Presbytery from taking cognizance of the pretended libel." The minister of Cambusnethan had been found guilty of four separate acts of theft, and the Presbytery were about to depose him, when he raised an action of reduction in the Court of Session, and obtained an interdict against their proceeding. Mr. Clark, the presentee to Lethendy, who was living in the manse of which he had taken possession, was

accused of repeated acts of drunkenness, and the Presbytery of Dunkeld had entered upon the investigation of these charges, with a view to deprive him of his license. But he too had recourse to the great Protector, and an interdict against the Presbytery had been issued.

While actions and interdicts were multiplying-each new encumbrance thrown before the Church making it more difficult for her to proceed—the Government refused to interfere. the commencement of the parliamentary session of 1842, Sir Robert Peel informed the House that he had no legislative measure in contemplation. Two months afterwards, the Home Secretary, Sir James Graham, repeated the announcement, adding to it the declaration that the Government was resolved " to stand by the law of the land as laid down by the civil tribunals of the country." The member for Argyleshire, Mr. Campbell of Monzie, moved the appointment of a select committee of inquiry; but his motion was negatived by a large majority, the Government lending all its weight to oppose his motion. Early in May, the determination to do nothing, but to leave the Church to continue the conflict as she best could, appeared suddenly to have been relinquished. This was due to a most untoward event which now occurred, an event fraught with incalculable evil. When the negotiations springing out of Sir George Sinclair's proposal terminated, there was a deep and very general conviction that all hope of any adjustment, based upon Lord Aberdeen's measure, was worse than nugatory. There were one or two members, however, of the Non-Intrusion Committee who thought otherwise, and by whom an active clerical canvas was commenced, with the view of forming a new party, drawn from the evangelical ranks. Dr. Simpson of Kirknewton, and Dr. Leishman of Govan, were the leaders of this disastrous movement. At the meeting of the Synod of Glasgow and Ayr, which took place in April, the latter of these two clergymen

declared, that already, within the bounds of that synod, there were forty prepared to accept of that settlement which the Committee had repudiated. This party had not confined their operations to Scotland; if they had, their movement had been comparatively harmless. They had opened private negotiations with men in power, the bitter fruits of which immediately appeared. Mr. Campbell of Monzie had undertaken to introduce the Duke of Argyle's Bill into the House of Commons, and the 4th May was the day fixed for its second reading. At the last hour-no time for consultation given-the honourable member was informed that the Government had it in contemplation to introduce a measure themselves, which they believed would be satisfactory, and he was requested to withdraw his Bill. He consented to do so, cherishing the natural belief that with the knowledge so abundantly possessed by the Government of what alone would satisfy, its measure would at least be one in which the Church could acquiesce. But when Sir James Graham informed the House that it was in consequence of recent communications from Scotland that the Government had been induced to interfere, and still more, when he presented to the House a general outline of the measure, it was apparent that nothing beyond Lord Aberdeen's Bill, with the added clause, was contemplated; and that the hope of success entertained by the Government originated in the belief that the evangelical party was at last breaking up, and that so many would join "the forty" as to make it both safe and desirable for Government to interfere. So soon as this appeared, Mr. Maule, Mr. Rutherfurd, Mr. Patrick M. Stewart, and other tried parliamentary friends of the cause, resisted the proposal of postponement, and urged most strenuously that the debate should go on. They pressed the matter to a division, and were left in a minority of 43 to 131.

The General Assembly was now at hand, and Government

waited to note its proceedings, as an index for guiding its own course. It was destined to be the last Assembly of the United Church of Scotland. Beset and beleaguered on every side, the evangelical majority held fast its ground, and shewed still an unbroken front. But the difficulties which it had to encounter had now greatly increased—the legal entanglements had become at once more numerous and more embarrassing-the open anarchy which had broken out was rapidly spreadingand now, last of all, and worst of all, there were dark rumours of internal disunion and defection. In the judgment of the wisest and best of its leaders, the period had arrived for a final declaration of principles and purpose, to be followed by some decisive course of action. The approaching meeting of the General Assembly would supply the fit occasion for making this Declaration; but for some weeks previously, it was matter of grave and anxious consultation in what form it should be couched, and to what special objects it should be directed. Dr. Chalmers, who was not in Edinburgh at this time, embodied his own views in the following letter to the Rev. Mr. Bruce :-

" April 10th, 1842.

"MY DEAR SIR,—In the present state of our Church controversy, the first and nearest concern is the integrity of our jurisdiction.

"After the treatment we have received both from Government and Parliament, as well as the Court below, the time seems now to be fully come when we should put forth a Claim of Rights, with a statement of what we hold to be our duty, along with our determination to adhere to it.

"I hold it a great advantage, that in the preparation of such a document, we can set ourselves forth in the light of a suffering and aggrieved party—not as claimants, but as complainers; that is, not as seeking for ourselves any new powers, but as protesting against an invasion made upon our old liberties, and which have been ours in undisturbed possession for many generations. One great benefit of such an attitude is, that whereas to meet the allegation of seeking power for ourselves, we felt compelled to say, in reply and vindication, that it was but the power of giving effect to the dissents of the people—we do not need thus to mix up one question with another, but may confine ourselves simply to a demand for justice against the aggressions of the Civil Courts on the part which belongs to the Church, and to the Church exclusively, in the collation of the ministerial office.

"I have all along been impressed with the deadly mischief that has arisen from the complication of our question-between the Church's power to regulate in this matter, and the propriety of our specific regulation. In every conference or negotiation with our civil rulers, I would keep exclusively by the former of these topics; and unless forced upon us by them, would keep out the other, as an irrelevancy, that was not only unnecessary but uncalled for; but more than this, because it operated as a hurtful distraction, and served to obscure and mystify a question which, if looked to with singleness of eye, might by this time have been so lighted up, as to have made its whole subject-matter transparent to all. When we asked the Parliament to establish and endow Presbyterianism, we did not ask them to become Presbyterians. When we ask them to protect our spiritual independence from the invasion of the courts below, so as that we shall be suffered to give effect to our own principle of Non-Intrusion, I would ask no recognition of this principle from them, or that they should become Non-Intrusionists.

"I should therefore rejoice, if, in preparing a Claim of Rights, it could be so managed, that Non-Intrusion were not once mentioned in it. There might be a necessity for adverting to it in the historical part of the Memorial, but there is both a high principle and a high policy in its not being named—save in the general as a principle held by us to be essential to Presbytery, and which the Church, therefore, is resolved never to abandon.

"To satisfy you that this is no crotchet, let me state the grounds on which my view rests, in what appears to me the following grave and substantial considerations:—

"1st, To set the Parliamentary mind agoing on two points instead of one, is to foreclose all hope of its ever attaining a clear view of our question. One idea at a time is enough for any corporate body to discuss or to decide upon; and the agitation of two in that assembly of several hundreds, will give rise to a labyrinth of confusion that must turn out to be quite inextricable. Lord Lorne's pamphlet owes all its clearness and power to his having kept by the single topic of the Church's rightful jurisdiction; and what is the alone subject of his letter to the Peers, should be the alone subject of our manifesto, both to Peers and Commoners.

"2d, It is the proper topic addressed to the proper quarter. It is not for Parliament to take up the ecclesiastical merits of the principle of Non-Intrusion; nor would I ask from them any opinion on a question which is ours not theirs. It is an internal question wherewith we alone have to do. The other is a boundary question—the only proper one between the two parties—the line of demarcation between the civil and the ecclesiastical. I would not ask their approval to ordain a certain amount of education in Hebrew; but should an inferior court resist this our ordination, I would ask their protection from the molestation thus given to us. As little would I ask their approval ere I ordained a certain amount of acceptableness as essential to the pastoral relation. But I ask protection from the Court that steps beyond its own domain, and

has made invasion upon ours, for the purpose of compelling us to form the pastoral relation on other terms than we ourselves have determined.

"3d, I feel it a sort of injustice to the cause of our spiritual independence—or which is tantamount to this, to the sacred cause of the headship of Christ, to be condescending on the specific question of Non-Intrusion—when so high a matter is at issue as the great generic and comprehensive privilege which is inherent with every true church of deciding this and all other purely ecclesiastical questions for themselves. To speak of the enactment, when the thing in jcopardy is the enacting power, is bringing this power into greater jeopardy still. It is almost like the submission of the enactment to the tribunal of civil authority. On this ground I would never ask from the legislature a recognition of the principle of Non-Intrusion. It is a far greater thing which is at stake—the right of giving effect to this and every other principle of a purely spiritual nature which seemeth to us a sound one. do not ask the bestowal of even this right at their hands. only ask their recognition of it as a right which both originally and constitutionally belongs to us: or rather we stand before them as an aggrieved party, (which, as I have already said, were an immense advantage,) and ask their protection from an invasion on that sacred prerogative, which both in the nature of things and by law belongs to us. This is our high ground, and we should keep by it.

"4th, There is still another ground on which I should like our struggle with the civil power to be for the genus rather than for the species. It is not merely that if the one were secured the other would follow; but that the one, as being altogether of a more catholic and comprehensive character, commands for itself a larger sympathy and respect, not in England only, but throughout the whole of reformed Christen-

dom. It is that great Erastian controversy, in which all states and all churches have a common interest. The other question has more, certainly, of a local character. It is a Scottish peculiarity, which not even our near friends and neighbours, the Methodists of England, can altogether go along with; and I do confess that I have often felt when Non-Intrusion was spoken of out of Scotland, that it was the inopportune presentation of such a topic as gave a certain cast of provincial littleness to a cause which might be so stated as to create a responsive and deep felt interest in every land where national establishments of Christianity were known.

"5th, I have not exhausted these considerations, and could state other and distinct ones; but in addition to these, which might be called the proprieties of the ease in itself, let me give my own impression of what might be termed the policy of the question-though I by no means hinge our determination as to the right method of treating it on a matter so uncertain as the likelihoods of success. But I do feel it to be a grievous deviation from all sound tact and management, to be unnecessarily arousing the antipathies of the English Parliament, by dunning into their ears our ecclesiastical topic of Non-Intrusion, when we have other such congenial and intelligible topics on which to address them, as co-ordinate jurisdiction, Articles of Union, the faith of national treaties, the contents and enactments of their own statute-book, the relations and other generalities which pertain to the connexion between Church and State. What makes me all the less able to comprehend this constant and inveterate tendency to the specific rather than to the general question, and that both in and out of season, is, that after all the general is our vital question, and the particular constitution of our Patronage is not so. It seems to me a further aggravation of this impolicy—as serving to make the breach between the Church and the State all the

more hopeless—that our vital is not their vital question; and therefore did we but confine ourselves to the former, we may carry it our own way-whereas, if we insist on the latter, which is not our vital question, but may be theirs, it might lead to a misunderstanding quite irreparable. many, I believe, in the Senate-house who would sooner see the Establishment destroyed than give a vote, which in their own name should expressly recognise the principle of Non-Intrusion; and who yet rather than venture on the sacrifice of a great national institute, would consent that the power of the patron, and of the civil courts, should cease from the moment that the presentee should be handed over to the Church Courts. Let us keep, therefore, on the right track of negotiation; and we may not only all the more effectually secure the attention of the Legislature to our cause, but may get infinitely better terms by it. In any protest, or remonstrance, or claim of rights, that we intend for the ears of the Legislature, let us address them as statesmen, by speaking of the constitutional standing and relations between the two bodies; and not as theologians or ecclesiastics by speaking of Non-Intrusion.

"But it may be said, is not this casting Non-Intrusion overboard? No, it is only providing each topic with its right theatre, and so taking the best method for bringing each to a right issue. England, and more especially its Parliament, is the arena on which the battle for the Church's jurisdiction is to be fought. Scotland, and more especially its General Assembly, is the arena on which to plead and carry the cause of Non-Intrusion. But indeed it is most true that in one sense I am ready to cast Non-Intrusion overboard; and that to make room for it in its best form, which is the abolition of Patronage. Still it is in Scotland where this cause has, in the first instance, to be launched and set afloat; and that both in the General Assembly, where the ancient protest against it

ought to be renewed, and throughout the country at large, whence petitions might be made to ascend from one end of the land to the other. It is not until backed by these that the question should come within the walls of Parliament. When the General Assembly, the supreme governing power of the Church, makes appearance there, it should be in defence of her own invaded privileges—her own violated jurisdiction. When the abolition of Patronage is the question, then let the whole Church make appearance—the governors with their protest, but that in conjunction with the governed, that is, the people with their complaints and remonstrances from every part of the Scottish territory.

"I am sickened to despair with the argument that we must foist in Non-Intrusion upon every occasion, and before whatever party, lest we should lose our hold of the people of Scotland. Have we no access to the people but via London? They are at our own door; and might not we in daily and immediate converse with them, make it as clear as day that it is for their cause the Church is now perilling all which belongs to her in the world? Within the limits of our own territory, let us take our full swing of Non-Intrusion and Anti-patronage, and above all let the people be made to see, that, in defence of their Christian liberties, the ministers are putting to hazard if not their lives at least their livelihoods. They may perhaps not see this effectually, till these livelihoods shall have been actually wrested from us. This may be or not. But certain it is, that between a resolved elergy and a confiding, attached people, we have the materials for a body of strength, which with the blessing of God will turn out to be irresistible. And therefore it is that I am so desirous of an engagement, entered into by as many hundreds as will have vigour of principle, and, let me add, the truly wise as well as magnanimous policy of putting down their names to it-that, rather than

give up the final jurisdiction of the Church in things ecclesiastical, they are willing, if the hand of power shall offer to inflict such a violence, to be stripped of all the rights and advantages which belong to them as the ministers of a National and Established Church.

"It is thus that we might fix ourselves on our best vantage ground for a bold and righteous administration of our present affairs; more especially, in the exercise of discipline against all offenders, all delicacy and hesitation of every sort ought to be given to the winds. Nothing will serve but an open, courageous, and rectilineal policy. Whatever be the persons, or whatever the Presbyteries who have defied the authority of the Supreme Court, they should, if not immediately deposed, be immediately proceeded against in the way that if they will not return to obedience must infallibly issue in the wholesale deposition of them all. On this matter there must be no shrinkings, nor do I know aught of more imperious obligation, both in respect of wisdom and principle, than that the Church, in dealing with the refractory and the Erastian members of her own body, should proceed against them with a firm and unfaltering hand. I know they are boasting of their numbers, and triumphantly ask if we can depose sixty. What a noble reply should we be prepared to make, if we can say yes, or you must drive off six hundred. Let the Government take their choice. Let all who have a patriotic regard for the country's peace and welfare, set the alternative before them. Let every man who values the blessings of an efficient Church decide the question for himself-Whether it were better that so many tens or twenties of our disorderly members shall have an arrest laid upon them, and that by an appliance of the right censures and penalties, even to expulsion from the Establishment if called for; or, that as many hundreds shall be ejected from their present holdings, and, thrust beyond the

pale of the Establishment, shall, on the fields of Non-conformity to which they are driven, join themselves with all that is good and wholesome among the Dissenters, and, on the strength of their numerous congregations and followers amongst an approving people, take possession of the land? Heaven grant, first, that the clear and full exhibition of this as the inevitable result of their infatuation, be made before the enemies of our Church; and second, that seeing the infatuation of their ruinous policy they may be led to abandon it.—I ever am, my dear Sir, yours most cordially, Thomas Chalmers."

This letter was circulated among the leading friends of the Church in Edinburgh, and in returning it to Mr. Bruce, Dr. Gordon wrote as follows:—

"42, St. Cuthbert Street, Thursday Evening.

"My dear Sir,—I have read with great delight the letter which I now return, and since reading it I have hurriedly run over the proof of our Claim and Declaration. I am sure Dr. Chalmers will be delighted with the latter. It is purely a jurisdiction claim. If 500 would sign it we are safe—I mean humanly speaking. God may be pleased to save us by a smaller number, lest we should boast and say, 'By my hand I have done it.'

"If I might venture a remark on the Doctor's letter, I would say, that his sharp language on the prominence given to the Non-Intrusion principle is more applicable to the Government than to the Church. The only ground on which the former ever condescended to look at our case, was the notion that they could despoil us of our jurisdiction by seeming to give us large powers on that one point; and I fear they will continue to attempt ensnaring us in the same miserable and pettifogging way. They will meet our higher and larger claim with the old cry, the Supreme Court has interpreted against you all

the statutes on which you found. This, therefore, must be the meaning of the statutes, and consequently the real terms of the connexion between Church and State for the last 130 years, and we see no reason to alter these terms. I am convinced that this is the point to which they will bring us. Well; be it so. Let us follow the course so plainly and powerfully laid out for us by our venerable and beloved father. If it come to this, I trust that his setting sun will exhibit him to Christendom in a brighter blaze than in all his other works—leading his brethren in one of the noblest testimonies that have ever been borne to the glorious headship of our adorable Redeemer.—Ever, my dear Sir, most affectionately yours,

"The Rev. John Bruce."

ROBERT GORDON.

The framing of that most important document, in which the Church was to embody a final statement of her principles and her claims, her wrongs and her resolution, was entrusted to Mr. Dunlop, who, in transmitting a proof of it to Dr. Chalmers, says:—

" Edinburgh, May 4th, 1842.

"MY DEAR SIR,—I now send you a proof of our proposed manifesto, which I have endeavoured to draw up as much as possible in accordance with the views set forth in your letter to Mr. Bruce.

"I agree with you in the propriety of putting the great question as to our jurisdiction in the forefront of the battle—or, indeed, making it the battle; although my experience leads me to an opposite conclusion from you, as to the resistance to be given it. So far as I have been able to judge of the sentiments and feelings of statesmen, I think their hostility to the Church's independence is far more intense and inveterate than their hostility to the people having a voice, and most of them would willingly barter the latter for the former—i.e.,

acknowledge it if we would give up the other. This at my last interview I was satisfied was the predominant feeling on the part of Sir Robert Peel, who, you will remember, in alluding to Lord Aberdeen's Bill, on a discussion upon the Assembly Hall grant, said, that we might get more power to the people, but we would never again get so much to the Church. Still, though my views as to this are directly opposed to yours, they lead me to the same conclusion. I would put this matter the more forward, because I am convinced that about it the real fight will be; and make that part of our fortifications the stronger, because there the most violent attacks will be made.—Believe me to be, yours very faithfully,

A. Dunlop.

" Rev. Dr. Chalmers."

The General Assembly was summoned to convene on Thursday the 19th May,* and on the forenoon of that day the

* "Our Assembly begins to sit to-morrow fortnight. The appointment of the Marquess of Bute to be our Commissioner is variously interpreted. That the object of this arrangement is a special one there can be no doubt, as in usual times the office is conferred on a poor nobleman, whereas Lord Bute is possessed of great influence and great wealth; and withal had earned the gratitude of our Church by his munificence in the cause of Church Extension. Some are apprehensive that the object is to conciliate so many as might convert the minority into a majority on the side of Lord Aberdeen's Bill, with some plausible modification. Let me hope, on the other hand, that our majority will remain firm and unbroken; and should such be the result of their experiment, let me further hope that the Government will be wise enough to conclude that ours is a position from which we are not to be driven, and that they will desist from their attempts to force or to carry it.

"The Conservatives have used us very ill; but I have reason to believe are now somewhat staggered at the resolute and unbending front of the majority in the Church. They flattered themselves that we would give in rather than lose our endowments; and they find it a more difficult problem than they had first counted on, now that they are opening to the conviction of such a disruption in the event of their persevering in their present policy, as will lead to the separation from the National Church of so many hundreds of her best clergy, as could, on the strength of their respectability and influence, carry the great bulk of the population along with them, and resolving themselves into a Home Mission, would take possession of the land.

"We are now beginning to organize the country into defensive associations; if

Marquess of Bute, as Lord High Commissioner, held his first levee in the Throne Room of the Palace of Holyrood, in which his Grace had taken up his residence. Never before did so numerous or so brilliant a circle gather round Her Majesty's representative. The levee over, a long array of splendid carriages, flanked by cavalry on either side, wheeled out of the Palace Court. The ring of martial music filled the ear, and the flash of glittering sabres struck the eye of the assembled multitude, as the gorgeous cavalcade swept round the base of the Calton-Hill on its way to the ancient church of St. Giles. After sermon by Dr. Gordon, the Assembly adjourned to St. Andrew's Church. The Court having been constituted, proceeded to make up the Roll of members. From the Presbytery of Strathbogie there was a double return, the deposed ministers having elected and deputed their own representatives to the Assembly. When it was moved by Mr. Dunlop that their return should be altogether disregarded. the motion was warmly opposed by Dr. Cook, who strenuously asserted that the Assembly should not hold these seven ministers as having been deposed. Dr. Chalmers scarcely ever took part in the minor business of the Assembly, but this startling proposition excited him for once to do so. "Moderator," he said. "this is the first time in my life that I ever heard it asserted, that the dissent of a minority superseded the sentence of a court passed by an overwhelming majority. The proposition is in substance, that those deposed by the General Assembly of 1841, shall, nevertheless, be allowed to sit as members in the General Assembly of 1842. Why, Sir, the proposition is so very monstrous, and so fully comes in conflict—so palpably and immediately comes in conflict—with a first principle, that I

necessary to relinquish our present incomes, which of course would be left in possession of a Church then Erastianized, we may from their contributions obtain such support as might be raised for the Non-Erastian Church of Scotland."—Letter from Dr. Chalmers to J. Lennox, Esq. of New York, dated 4th May 1842.

cannot hold it to be a case for argument at all. But that such a proposition should be made, that such a proposition should ever be thought of, is a very instructive fact. It discovers to what a fearful extent of anarchy and disorder the enemy within -whether by the instigation and encouragement of the enemy without, I cannot say—are resolved to plunge the Church of Scotland; how they are resolved to strip her of the last vestige of that authority which belongs to every distinct body, governed by distinct office-bearers. Never, Sir, would I say, has the character of the outrage inflicted upon the Church come out in such bold relief as at the present moment, when we have just met under the countenance of Her Majesty; when we have been ushered to our places with the form and circumstance of a great national Institute; and when we are now holding our deliberations in the presence and hearing of Royalty, represented by one of the most respected of our noblemen. We are now congregated in this our first meeting of the present Assembly, by the authority and appointment of the last meeting of the last General Assembly. And, Sir, in these circumstances, what is the first thing we are called upon to do? Why, to pluck from our archives the most solemn deed of that most solemn convocation, and to trample it down under our feet as a thing of insignificance or a thing of nought. It is under the authority of last General Assembly that we now hold our places, and are now met as a deliberative body; and I must say that if there is anything more than another which could unsettle all men's notions of order and authority, it would be the success of the present proposition. It would truly be an egregious travesty, it would make a farce of the proceedings of our General Assembly, a complete laughingstock of our Church, were there left her no authority to enforce obedience from her own sons. It would present a strange contrast between the impotence of our doings, and the pageantry of our forms—between the absolute nothingness of the Assembly, and the mighty notes of preparation—the imposing cavalcade which accompanied us—the pealing of the clarionets with which we were conducted into the House on the present occasion. I must say, there is not a heart that beats with more gratification, or feels more elevation, than my own, at the countenance given to our venerable Church at present by the high and honourable of the land; but ours will be the fault, if, untrue to ourselves, if untrue to our privileges, we shall allow our Church to become a sounding brass and a tinkling cymbal,—a hissing and an astonishment to all passers by."

Mr. Dunlop's motion was carried by a majority which gave an earnest, good and sure, of the resolute spirit by which all the actings of this Assembly were characterized. On the first day of its meeting, the representatives from the only recognised Presbytery of Strathbogie, informed the House that interdicts from the Court of Session had been served upon them, prohibiting them from taking their seats as members of the Assembly. The Supreme Court vindicated her authority against this violation of her privileges, by entering the names of the interdicted members on the roll, and identifying herself with their act in sitting and voting as members of the Assembly, in such a way that the blow aimed at them was made to fall upon the Church collectively. The other interdicts of the Civil Court, by which the discipline of the Church was interfered with, were treated with the same silent and dignified disregard. The ministers of Cambusnethan and Stranraer were deposed from the sacred office. Mr. Clark was deprived of his license. The settlement of Mr. Middleton as minister of Culsalmond was rescinded, and those ministers who held communion with the deposed clergymen of Strathbogie, were suspended from the exercise of their judicial functions as members of Church Courts, till the March Commission of

the following year. While the Assembly acquitted itself in this determined manner of its severer duties, it had other and more gracious offices to discharge. A most encouraging report was given in by Mr. Dunlop, of the progress made during the past year in all the varied fields of Christian and philanthropic enterprise. The recent strife and contention, it might have been imagined would have quenched or absorbed the Church's Christian zeal. But it was signally the reverse. The gross revenue of the Church's schemes for ten months in 1841, exceeded by £8000 that for the whole of the preceding year; and looking back to the time when the evangelical interest became predominant, not only had three additional schemes of Christian usefulness been added to the two then existing, but the whole sum raised for religious purposes in 1842, was six times greater than that raised in 1834, each intervening year witnessing a growing increase. Coupling this general result with manifold local indications; with the greater prevalence of prayer meetings over the country; with the remarkable awakenings at Kilsyth, Blairgowrie, and Dundee, we become convinced that these years of turbulence and strife had drawn, or driven, the Church nearer to the heavenly fountain of light and strength-had deepened her faith-had purified and intensified her devotion. The two great discussions of the Assembly, and the only ones in which Dr. Chalmers took a part, were those relative to Patronage, and to the Church's Claim of Right. On Monday the 23d May, Dr. Cunningham moved a resolution to the effect that, as both in itself a grievance and as the main cause of the difficulties in which the Church had been involved, Patronage ought to be abolished. This motion, which was supported by Dr. Chalmers, was carried by a majority of 216 to 147. For more than half a century after the restoration of Patronage by the Act 1712, the General Assembly had annually renewed her protest against this

grievance, and had given it as an instruction to the Commission to take all suitable opportunities for effecting its removal; and now once more, after the lapse of another half century, and on the last opportunity given for doing so, the ancient testimony against the yoke of Patronage was renewed.

On Tuesday the 24th, Dr. Chalmers moved the adoption of the "Claim of Rights." This remarkable document is inserted in the Appendix to this volume, * as being the clearest and most consecutive, the most condensed and most comprehensive statement of the great principles which the Church asserted of the Scriptural, constitutional, and legal grounds on which these principles rested—of the violence done to them by the Civil Court-of the wrongs which the Church had consequently sustained, and the claim for protection which she put It closed with the solemn declaration that, subject to such civil coercion as was now attempted, the Church would not and could not carry on its government; and that at the hazard of losing all the secular benefits conferred by the State, and all the public benefits of an establishment, it would resist that coercion, and maintain to the last the inalienable liberties of a Church of Christ. In moving its adoption, Dr. Chalmers said:-"Moderator, I am glad that the putting forth of a Claim of Rights should be moved for in the General Assembly. I liked the proposal from the time I first heard of it, and more than ever are we now shut up to the necessity of such a measure. The Court of Session persists in, nay, is fast multiplying her encroachments. But the crowning necessity for a full and general representation of our case before the country at large, is, that we have been refused a hearing by Parliament. The disposition in high places, is to leave the Church altogether in the hands of the Court of Session, to proceed against her ad libitum, or to any extent that may seem unto

^{*} See Appendix C.

them good, and this is called leaving the law to take its course. They would abandon one Court to the entire mercy and diseretion of another; and this they term being satisfied with the law as it stands. The question whether each Court might not have its own proper and certain limits prescribed by the Constitution, or whether these limits might not possibly, yea, have not actually been transgressed—this is a question which they have not looked at, and will not listen to. Thus given up, thus abandoned, it seems our last expedient to make the solemn appeal which we now meditate to the intelligence, and the conscience, and the good faith of all men; or, rather than our last expedient, Moderator, it is our second last: for, to the very last we shall keep hold of those privileges which essentially belong to every Christian Church, and not resign them to the Erastianism which is now making head against us. the very last, we shall assert a Government in the Church, distinct from that of the civil magistrate, and placed in the hands of distinct office-bearers, and shall continue to administer that government accordingly. To the very last shall we withstand the powers of this world, should they offer to intromit with, or attempt to overbear us in those things sacred and spiritual, which belong exclusively to the kingdom that is not of this world; and at the expense of every suffering, and of every trial, are we resolved to stand or fall with these inherent-or, as our rulers would find them, would they but examine their own Statute-book, these constitutional liberties of the Church of Scotland.

"We are not dealing in threats, but in remonstrances. We are not making an experiment on English courage; that we know would be in vain. We are making an appeal to English justice; and that we hope will not be in vain. We are letting the Capital of the empire know a case of gross, and grievous, and multiplied oppression, which is now going on in one of

the provinces—an oppression which, if not remedied, will have the effect of trampling down the Church of Scotland into utter insignificance; will despoil her of all moral weight, or better greatly than this, though itself a great and sore calamity, will dissever her from the State altogether, and that, too, at a time when her services are most needed to reclaim a sadly degenerated community, and let me add, were never more promising, or, at any former period of our history, more likely to be effectual for the moral regeneration of our land. It has been asked, why not quit the Establishment, or why continue to eat the bread of the State while unfaithful to her service, or refusing obedience to the authority, from which alone ours as a National Church derives all the temporalities which belong to her? There is some little mistake here, nav, a twofold mistake; for, in the first place, to dispute the mandate of a Court that is co-ordinate with ourselves, when they have exceeded their own territory, and made invasion upon ours—that we should not call disobedience to the State. Nor are we willing to receive our doom as an Establishment at the hand of any inferior judge or magistrate, seeing that by the understanding of our adversaries themselves, it is upon the supreme magistrate that we hold both for the origin of our National Church, and for her continuance. But secondly, though we therefore wait the decision of the State, ere we quit our connexion with it, that decision will not be given against us, but by an act of the greatest national injustice. Sir, we are not eating the bread of the State. When the State took us into connexion with itself, which it did at the time of the Union, it found us eating our own bread, and they solemnly pledged themselves to the guarantees or the conditions on which we should be permitted to eat their bread in all time coming. Since at the hands of the Court of Session we may be said to be now suffering one half of a very great iniquity, we are not going to homologate

this iniquity by doing the other half of it ourselves, by a voluntary resignation of the temporalities which we have done nothing rightfully to forfeit, although there be enough of strength in the Civil power to force them out of our hands. If the Government be satisfied with the conduct of their own servants, let them consummate the deed which themselves approve of, and let the act of our deprivation appear in its true character, not as the spontaneous doing of so many simpletons among ourselves, but as a great national act of injustice, a flagrant breach of all national honour and good faith."

Dr. Chalmers's motion was carried by a majority of 241 to 110. The Lord High Commissioner was requested to transmit the document upon which this approving scal of the Church had been set, to Her Majesty, as the head of the State.—Her principles thus faithfully declared, her final purpose thus solemnly announced—the Church committed her ways to God, and waited the evolutions of His will.

CHAPTER XVI.

SUMMER RESIDENCE AT ROSSTREVOR—THE PREMIER'S DETERMINATION TO DO NOTHING—THE SECOND AUCHTERARDER DECISION—THE CONVOCATION.

THE months of July and August 1842, were spent by Dr. Chalmers in the north of Ireland. He was accompanied upon this occasion by his family, and fixed his head-quarters at Rosstrevor, a lovely village lying a few miles from Newry. The exquisite scenery of the Bay of Carlingford was new to him, and he felt its charm with a fresh and peculiar relish. He had not been prepared to find here so refined and Christian a society, and he responded all the more readily and gratefully to the many kind attentions which were lavished on him. His leisure hours were devoted to the completion of his Lectures on the Epistle to the Romans; but the "manifold calls, and invitations, and urgencies, wherewith he was continually beset," left him but little time for study. "I make my escape from this," he writes to Mrs. Morton,* "in a few days, leaving my family for a week or two. Whatever the lionizers may imagine, there is great discomfort often brought upon the lion, who has nothing for it but just to run off, in the hope that both his lioness and her cubs may be permitted the enjoyment of some comparative repose. And yet the kindness of the people here is truly of a most genuine and heartfelt description.

* In letter dated Rosstrevor, July 30, 1842.

What inspires me with this conviction is, that there is so much of real Christianity among them. Lady Lifford, a very excellent and devoted person, comes here occasionally for summer quarters. She is not here at present; but she has been a leaven for good in the neighbourhood, and the savour of her example seems to have told on the vicinity. I have not met with a greater number of families within the same compass in any mere country place, more ready to entertain, and that with obviously congenial feeling, the best and highest of all topics." Writing again to one of his daughters, after his return to Edinburgh, he says:-" All hearts here warm at the recollection of Rosstrevor, both place and people; and it was only the other day that Mrs. Chalmers came forth spontaneously with the utterance of our having had indeed a very happy summer, in which sentiment we all most feelingly concurred. The truth is, I must confess that it forms the sunniest recollection of my life."* There was but one shadow that rested on it—the pursuing anxiety as to the state and prospects of the Church. Sitting on a quiet summer evening in his lodgings at Rosstrevor, and ruminating on a proposal which had been made to him, that the Church of Scotland should ask to be heard at the bar of the House of Commons, and that he should undertake the statement and defence of her claims, we find him writing thus:-" Prepare me, O God, for the whole of Thy blessed will. If a period of darkness and disaster is indeed before me, may I know how to acquit myself in the midst of Thy coming visitation. If our Church is indeed to fall as an establishment, let her not be forsaken by the light of Thy countenance; and may the fruit of all her troubles be righteousness and peace. Thou knowest, O God, if in the further evolutions of the history of our Church, we shall be called before councils and governors of this world. And oh, my God, if ever called

^{*} Letter to Miss Chalmers, dated Edinburgh, September 12, 1842.

to examination and exposure before rulers and spectators, may I not give way to anxieties, against which our Saviour hath both warned and encouraged us, when He bids us not be careful what we should say, for that the Holy Spirit will teach us how to speak as we ought. Let me cherish more confidence than I have hitherto done in the promise of the Holy Spirit, for the ready and right suggestion of what ought to be said in the hour of controversy or examination."*

On the 11th June, when Mr. Campbell of Monzie was prepared to move the second reading of his Bill, an unforeseen and insuperable obstacle was thrown in his way. It was discovered that as many livings in the Scotch Church were in the gift of Her Majesty, no Bill which proposed to make any alteration in the existing law of Patronage could be introduced without the express consent of the Crown. Mr. Maule urged the Premier to exercise the privilege, which it was understood that he possessed, of setting this obstruction aside, but he refused, and the Bill had accordingly to be withdrawn. A few days afterwards, Sir Robert Peel informed the House "that after a full consideration of the subject, Her Majesty's Government had abandoned all hope of settling the question in a satisfactory manner, or of effecting any good by introducing a measure relative to it." The General Assembly had stood firm—the evangelical majorities were as large as ever—the hope held out by Dr. Leishman and "the forty" had failedand as they could not count upon the Church's acquiescence, the measure which a few months before had been announced as likely to be so satisfactory, was finally abandoned. Without interference on the part of Government, the conflict with the Civil Courts must take its own course—a course which ere long resulted in a most disastrous issue. Lord Kinnoul and Mr. Young had raised a second action against the Presbytery of

^{*} See Horæ Sabbaticæ, vol. i. pp. 70, 73, 74.

Auchterarder, to recover damages, laid at £16,000, by way of compensation for the injury sustained by patron and presentee in consequence of Mr. Young's rejection. The Court of Session found this action relevant, and on the 9th August 1842, the House of Lords, sitting as a Court of Appeal, confirmed this judgment, and declared that damages were recoverable by the pursuers. The former decision of the Supreme Civil Court in the Auchterarder case had gone no further than to declare that in setting the presentee aside on the ground of the popular dissent, the Presbytery had acted illegally. Believing that the only legitimate effect of this decision would be to bring into operation the remedy specially provided by Statute for such a case, namely, the withholding the fruits of the benefice, the Church had relinquished all claim to them. this second decision, however, of the House of Lords, it was distinctly declared that the obligation to "receive and admit," which still lay upon the Presbytery, was a civil obligation, the violation of which was to be regarded and punished as a civil offence, as a crime committed against the common law of the country. The four English Judges, Lord Lyndhurst, Lord Cottenham, Lord Brougham, and Lord Campbell, were quite unanimous. It is true that in the opinions which they delivered, not one of them ever alluded to one of the Statutes referred to by the Church of Scotland as ratifying her exclusive spiritual jurisdiction, and shielding it from invasion. They regarded the case as exhibiting no peculiarity, presenting no difficulty, and finding its perfect parallel in that of any common civil corporation violating one of the statutes upon which it was founded. In such an instance, if any individual could plead that by the act of the corporation, his patrimonial interests had been injured, an action for damages was a fair and legal mode of obtaining redress. It was the same, in the judgment of these noble Lords, with the Church of Scot-

land. By putting the Church in such a category, and by subjecting her to such legal treatment, her title to any peculiar exclusive spiritual authority and jurisdiction was ignored, was absolutely and entirely repudiated. It had been clear enough from the whole current of their recent judgments, that the Court of Session conceived itself to be entitled to review, and if it saw reason, to reverse any proceedings of the ecclesiastical Courts, by which a civil injury of any kind had been inflicted. Now, however, and for the first time, the determination of the Supreme Civil Court was given forth, that the judgments of the Court of Session imposed on the Church an obligation to obedience, which she could not disregard without subjecting herself to civil pains and penalties. This amounted not simply to a change, but to the entire overthrow and reversal of the constitution of the Scottish Establishment, so far as that constitution had guaranteed to the Church a sphere of action within which no secular power could control or coerce. The first Auchterarder decision put a new interpretation on the Law of Patronage, from the injurious results of which the Church might have been protected by a change effected by the Legislature in that single law. This second decision gave a new interpretation of the nature and conditions of that relationship in which the Church stood to the Civil Courts, and through them to the State itself, whose organs these Courts were. These conditions were such that the Church could not fulfil them consistently with her principles. A mere Non-Intrusion measure would no longer meet the difficulties of her position. Yet in that position, without some relief, it seemed impossible for her any longer to remain. The tidings of that decision which brought the conflict to its crisis reached Dr. Chalmers while still in Ireland, and writing to his son-in-law, Mr. Mackenzie, a few days after he had heard of it, he says:—

" Belfast, August 22d, 1842.

"My dear Sir,—I have been thinking much in a general way of what the Church of Scotland should now do. I have no idea of an *instant* resignation, and should exceedingly regret if, under any feeling of this sort, we should be exposed to a piece-meal falling away of our friends from the Church one by one. We must not go out in driblets, but in a compact and entire body; and one step clearly, in my view of it, remains to be done ere this great conjunct movement should take place.

"To go out now, would be receiving our doom as an Establishment from the Civil Court, or at the bidding of a mere fellow and co-ordinate with ourselves—for the House of Lords, in its judicial capacity, is nothing more. When we do go out, it must be at the bidding of that party in virtue of whose ordination it was that we became an Establishment, or from whose hands we receive our endowments; or rather, under whose protection it is that our right as a Church to these endowments is secured from all violation. In other words, we should not quit the Establishment till we have obtained from Parliament a deliverance, whether by an adverse proposition, or a refusal to entertain our cause.

"It is thus that I apprehend the final step should not be taken till next Session of Parliament; but meanwhile, a manifesto, setting forth both our principles and our determination. should be put forth as soon as may be, and subscribed by all our friends in the Church, both as an exoneration of their consciences, and as an intimation to all concerned of what that really is which we mean to stand or fall by.

"There are subordinate matters of detail, respecting which I would need to be in Scotland ere I could make up my mind. The size of my new house will be of one service. We shall all nestle there together till some openings cast up for us under

the new system. Let not Eliza be dismayed; but let us cast our confidence and care on that God who careth for us.—I am, my dear Sir, yours very truly,

THOMAS CHALMERS.

"The Rev. John Mackenzie."

While repudiating the idea of immediate and individual resignation, Dr. Chalmers contemplated the speedy withdrawal of the evangelical clergymen from the Establishment as inevitable, and waited only his return to Edinburgh to unite with others in taking instant measures to meet this emergency. As unity of counsel and action were so desirable, some special opportunity required to be created for bringing together all who held the principles for which the Church had been contending, in such circumstances that after a free and full, unrestrained and confidential consultation, they might resolve upon the steps which it became them immediately to take. Dr. Chalmers returned to Edinburgh in the beginning of September, and on the 19th of that month, he wrote again to Mr. Mackenzie.

" Edinburgh, September 19th, 1842.

"My dear Sir,—I think the present state of things eminently fitted to spiritualize our clergymen, by causing them to sit loose to all earthly dependence. I think I can perceive this effect on some of the brethren; and am informed that it tells sensibly on the pulpit ministrations of many. May He who can bring good out of evil, in His own good however mysterious way, convert our present troubles into the means of a signal revival of Christianity in the towns and parishes of Scotland.

"Mr. Hanna suggested to me a general convocation of all the right-minded elergy on the subject; a suggestion which I am pushing amongst the brethren here, and with greater acceptance than I at first anticipated. I wish it to come in the shape at first of a requisition from some twelve or twenty of the most venerable of the senior clergy in all parts of Scotland, so as to divest it altogether of the aspect of Edinburgh leadership, and give it the appearance, as well as the reality, of a great, and general, and withal spontaneous remonstrance from the collective mind and conscience of the Church, against the Erastian invasion made by the recent decision of the House of Peers on the rights and liberties of the Church of Scotland.

"Tell Eliza to keep her mind staid upon God. If there is a break up in time I mean to call my house 'The Refuge.'—I am, my dear Sir, yours very truly,

THOMAS CHALMERS.

"Rev. John Mackenzie."

Agreeably to the intention expressed in this letter, Dr. Chalmers addressed a private letter to a number of the most aged and influential ministers of the Church, inviting them to append their names to the general circular by which the Convocation was to be convened. "It is thought by many," said he, in this private communication, "that the critical and extraordinary position in which the Church is placed by the late decision of the House of Lords in the case of Auchterarder, should be met by extraordinary means; and of these the best and the likeliest were a General Convocation in Edinburgh, before the next meeting of Parliament, of all the ministers in the Church who are friendly to the great principles for which she is contending.

"It is true that in the General Assembly, and other ecclesiastical courts, we may be said to have regular and constituted channels for the expression and conveyance of our views. But our increasing majorities, and the slight influence of these on a Government who have been hitherto adverse to our claims, or stood aloof from the consideration of them, give abundant

evidence that these ordinary methods have been tried and found ineffectual. The truth is, that every effort has been made to foster the delusion in the minds of our rulers, that the late proceedings of the Assembly are due to the factitious influence of a few leaders, which, when once broken up, will leave the Church in a condition to be moulded into a willing conformity with the reigning and Erastian policy of the times. There is nothing more fitted to dissipate this imagination than a spontaneous and free expression, the result of a conference, held for days together, by clergymen assembled in a great and general body from all parts of Scotland; and giving forth such a solemn and deliberate representation of their sentiments and views, as might convince all men that the determination to stand or fall with the spiritual independence of our Church is both so strong and so general as not to be overcome but by a violent oppression of conscience, which, if attempted on the part of the civil authorities, will lead to the degradation and eventual overthrow of the best and greatest of our national institutes."

The response to this communication was that of a cordial and almost unanimous consent; and by a general circular signed by thirty-two of the most venerable clergymen, the whole body of the evangelical ministers all over Scotland were invited to meet in Convocation at Edinburgh on the 17th November. In prospect of a meeting with whose proceedings such momentous issues were bound up, a proposal for united prayer was drawn up by the Rev. Mr. M'Cheyne of Dundee, and disseminated widely over the country. The petitions which Dr. Chalmers individually addressed to the Throne of Grace tell us with what profound anxiety he looked forward to this great occasion.—" Do Thou guide, O Lord, the deliberations and measures of that Convocation of ministers now on the eve of assembling; and save me, in particular, from all

that is rash and unwarrantable, when engaged with the counsels or propositions that come before it. Let me not, O God, be an instrument in any way of disappointing or misleading my brethren. Let me not, in this crisis of our Church's history, urge a sacrifice upon others which I would not most cheerfully share with them. I pray for a right and discerning spirit in this matter, O God. Let me struggle against my own diffidence of my own extemporaneous powers. Appear, O God, in the midst of us for the protection of Thy Church, and the vindication of Thine own glory."*

The Convocation was opened in St. George's Church on the forenoon of Thursday the 17th November, by devotional services conducted by the Rev. Dr. Macdonald of Ferintosh, and a discourse by Dr. Chalmers. The text for this sermon was most felicitously chosen: - "Unto the upright there ariseth light in the darkness." "The great lesson of this text," said Dr. Chalmers in opening his discourse, "is the connexion which obtains between integrity of purpose and clearness of discernment, insomuch that a duteous conformity to what is right, is generally followed up by a ready and luminous discernment of what is true. It tells us that if we have but grace to do as we ought, we shall be made to see as we ought; or, in other words, that if right morally, we are in the highway of becoming right intellectually." After an illustration of this general truth, he closed by this special and appropriate application of it to the circumstances under which his brethren were then assembled :-

"And now, my venerable fathers and brethren of the Established Church of Scotland, I will not speak of it as a certainty that if you persevere in the high walk of uprightness on which you have entered, the secularities of that Establishment will be wrested from your hands. It would not be ven-

^{*} Horæ Sabbaticæ, vol. i. pp. 92, 93; vol. ii. pp. 87, 89.

turing far, however, to speak of it as a probability and a hazard, and surely, at the very least, not to speak of it as a possibility were downright affectation. In this, its lowest and least appalling form, you have been in the habit of regarding it for years, and even when a crisis was obviously drawing nearer, and the symptoms of some great and approaching overthrow looked more menacing than before, let the majorities of our Church attest whether they have been the calculations of worldly prudence or the high behests of principle which had the ascendant over you. And still I rejoice to believe that whatever be the shades or diversities of sentiments upon lesser questions, the tie of that great and common principle which hitherto has bound us together remains unbroken, -that I speak in the hearing of men firmly resolved as ever to lose all and to suffer all rather than surrender the birthright of those prerogatives which we inherit from our fathers, or compromise the sacred liberty wherewith Christ has made us free,—of men whose paramount question is, what is duty? that best stepping-stone to the solution of the other question, what is wisdom? For it is when in this spirit of uprightness, this blessed frame of simplicity and godly sincerity, that light is made to arise, and wisdom is justified of her children.

"This is not the place for attempting any specific delineation of the path which wisdom prescribes in our present eventful circumstances; nor will I utter one word that might indicate my opinion or even my leanings on the question of what, specifically and practically, the Church at present ought to do. But surely this is the place for urging both on myself and others the moral preparation which all experience demonstrates to have an enlightening effect upon the understanding, and all Scripture affirms to be of sovereign efficacy in bringing down the spirit of wisdom from above. This has been the object of your prayers, and it is the identical object, however

feeble in execution, of our preaching. The great lesson of our text is, that if we purpose aright we shall be made to see aright, and that the integrity of our will shall be followed up by light in the understanding. God will not abandon to darkness those who cast their care and their confidence upon Himself, and who can say with the Apostle, He is my helper, and I will not fear what man can do unto me. The man who can lift this honest and unfaltering prayer—'Search me, O God, and know my heart; try me and know my thoughts, and see if there be any wicked way in me, and lead me in the way everlasting; '-the man who can say this fearlessly has nothing else to fear. God will establish the just; for it is said, the righteous God trieth the hearts and reins. Commit, then, thy works to the Lord, and thy thoughts shall be established. In all thy ways acknowledge Him, and He shall direct thy paths. It is He who, by the light of His Holy Spirit, makes good the connexion between singleness of purpose and wisdom of conduct, and thus I understand the text, that He maketh wise the simple and giveth understanding to the simple. Ye men of God, who make the Bible the directory of your hearts and consciences, you will not long be left in uncertainty. He will make your way clear and open before you. He shall bring forth thy righteousness as the light, and thy judgment as the noon-day."

The Convocation assembled for business at seven o'clock in the evening in a small chapel* in an obscure part of the old town. About 450 ministers were present—a larger number than had ever met in council in Scotland, many of them from the remotest parts of the country. Dr. Chalmers was invited to take the chair. In doing so, he briefly stated that the Convocation was met not for debate, but for deliberation. Its great object was to ascertain the mind and purpose of the

^{*} Roxburgh Church.

Church in the present perilous emergency, and he noticed this at the outset to encourage all to come forward with their sen-To secure this object, the public were carefully excluded from this and all the other after conferences. proposal that two eminent lawyers, elders of the Church, whose advice, it was imagined, might occasionally be serviceable, should be admitted, was met with an immediate and general negative. It was arranged that the ordinary formalities of debate should be dispensed with—that the discussion of each topic should be conducted as much as possible in a colloquial form—that after the more aged and eminent ministers had stated their opinions, the members should be invited, Synod by Synod, to express their views; and that no conclusion should be come to, no practical measure resolved upon, till as full an expression and interchange of opinion as possible had been elicited. It was arranged, also, that three times, at least, each day, the Convocation should engage in devotional exercises, accompanied by reading of the Scriptures and praise, and that through all the ordinary business prayer should be interspersed. After some preliminary consultation, the attention of the Convocation was concentrated upon the two following topics:—1st, The exact bearing and effect of the late decisions of the Civil Courts, and especially of the recent Auchterarder judgment, involving a consideration of what would be required in order to effect any right adjustment of the questions now at issue between the Church and the civil authorities; 2d, The duty and prospects of the Church in the event of no adequate remedy being provided. The Convocation was occupied with the first of these topics on Friday and Saturday. Considerable diversity of opinion was at first expressed, and strong apprehensions were entertained that no common ground for united action could be discovered. Some members of the Convocation, acting under

VOL. IV.

2 D

the impression that none but the best remedy for the existing evil should be presented or entertained, were for putting the abolition of patronage on the foreground of any application which should be made to the Government or the Legislature. Gradually, however, it became apparent that to press this would be to frustrate the very object of the Convocation. "I have long felt," said Dr. Chalmers, when speaking on the forenoon of Saturday, "that our proper business is to express not what we hold to be most desirable, but what we hold to be indispensable. We are not to go to Parliament in the attitude of petitioners; for then we might break up into innumerable shades of diversity of opinion. Let us rather ascertain and enunciate the one principle upon which we can all unite-let us fix the point beneath which it is impossible for the Church to act; and let us put it so that we shall be able to say to our rulers-'Your destruction of the Establishment shall arise not from our asking anything which we have not by right already, but from your refusal to continue to us that which by right we already have.' Do those who would have us petition against patronage hold what they seek to be so essential that the refusal of it would oblige them to go out of the Church? If they do, then I say, that what I seek is such, that in the event of its refusal, I should hold it a disgrace to remain in. Will they, then, enfeeble the effort of our representation by declining to co-operate with us? or would these men actually remain in the Establishment if our proposition be not granted? Perhaps they might; for there is a great difference between extremeness of principle and strength of principle. But which is best ?—that we fix a point and surrender all for it, though it be not the highest we could wish; or that we plant our demand at the highest point, but do not make all depend on its being refused. The Church is a vessel upon the billows on the very point of being submerged: is it

time to speak of what will most improve the trim of the vessel, and not rather of what will keep us afloat and bring us to a safe haven? We would adjourn the consideration of the first question altogether till we are safely in the harbour."

In the course of the discussion a series of resolutions was drawn up by Dr. Candlish, in which, after reciting and characterizing some of the late decisions, it was declared—"That as the principle involved in these decisions, and particularly in the recent Auchterarder judgment, is that of the supremacy of the Civil Courts over those of the Established Church in the exercise of their spiritual functions, so the members of the Convocation declare that no measure can in conscience be submitted to which does not effectually protect the Church against the exercise of such jurisdiction of the Civil Courts in time to come, and, in particular, fully prevent all future encroachments of the nature specified in the preceding resolutions." There was a right restriction here of the decision to the one indispensable element, without which no measure of relief could be accepted. Dr. Patrick Macfarlan, Dr. Cunningham, Dr. Candlish, and Dr. Chalmers all concurred in recommending that this restriction should be carefully observed; and such was the happy effect of two days' full and unfettered interchange of thought, that when at last the roll of members was called over in order to ascertain how many acquiesced in Dr. Candlish's resolutions, it was found that there were only seven who refused to concur.* "This morning," says Mr. Bonar, writing on the afternoon of Saturday, "Dr. Chalmers's coming in and delivering his address, which he did with great fervour and kindliness, tended more, perhaps, than anything to determine the result. His speech seemed to produce such an effect that from that moment the other motions which had been

^{*} This series of resolutions, passed on Saturday the 19th November, was concurred in by 427 ministers.

brought forward were obviously sunk or sinking out of sight, so that their movers at last withdrew them. The harmony was indeed wonderful, after all that we had feared. 'The King's heart is in the hand of the Lord.'"*

A good and sure groundwork having been laid, the Convocation proceeded to consider what would be their duty in the event of no adequate measure of relief being granted. One obvious alternative was, that the evangelical clergymen should withdraw from a Church whose government they could not conscientiously conduct without violating the State-imposed conditions on which they held their livings. For more than a year Dr. Chalmers had been contemplating this alternative as the one which they should ultimately be driven to adopt, and had been maturing a plan for providing such a support for all the outgoing ministers, that, carrying their principles, their Confession of Faith, and their ecclesiastical organization entire and unviolated along with them, with no loss but the loss of their temporalities, and with whatever gain of influence their tried fidelity might win for them, they might abide in honour and usefulness, seen and recognised as the true Church of their fathers. He asked and received permission from the Convocation to lay this plan, with all its details, before the Convocation at its meeting on the evening of Monday the 21st. The lengthened and most important address which he delivered on this occasion is now printed for the first time, and will be found in the Appendix to this volume. + It contains no bare unfinished outline, as from the time and circumstances in which it was presented any one might have been prepared to anticipate, but a complete and detailed account of that system of financial operation, which was adopted afterwards

^{*} Notes of the Proceedings of the Convocation, taken at the time in short-hand each day by the Rev. Andrew A. Bonar of Collace.

[†] See Appendix D.

without a single alteration in any of its provisions, and carried out with such pre-eminent success by the Free Church. We search in vain for a parallel to this in the history of any other Christian or corporate society; for where can another instance be produced in which, so long before the crisis occurred for which it was incumbent to provide, a scheme of operations so comprehensive and complicated was laid down, not one of whose arrangements it was afterwards found necessary to set aside? It presents us with a very signal illustration of the foresight, the fertility of device, and the practical sagacity by which Dr. Chalmers's intellect was distinguished. But however judicious that scheme now looks, when viewed in the light of its accomplishment, it remains to be told that not ten out of the four hundred ministers to whom it originally was broached had much, if any, faith in its success, nor was there one, perhaps, whose decision upon the great question of duty then before them it served in any appreciable degree to sway. It was listened to with general incredulity, and the prospects held out by it were regarded as the visionary anticipations of a too sanguine ima-Putting such a future as it depicted out of its thoughts, the Convocation returned to a discussion which hinged mainly upon the point whether, standing upon her constitutional rights, the Church should continue the conflict, though the supreme power in the State should refuse to interfere; or whether, if redress were refused, she should not retire from a conflict which she could not prosecute without loss of character and injury to the general interests of religion. Here, as before, the differences of opinion, brought fully out in the freedom of confidential intercourse, revealed the substantial agreement which prevailed. A second series of resolutions had been proposed by Dr. Macfarlan, concluding with the solemn declaration that as it was the duty, so, "in dependence on the grace of God, it was the determination of the brethren

now assembled, if no measure such as they have declared to be indispensable be granted, to tender the resignation of their civil advantages, which they can no longer hold in consistency with the free and full exercise of their spiritual functions, and to east themselves on such provision as God in His providence may afford; maintaining still uncompromised the principle of a right scriptural connexion between the Church and the State, and solemnly entering their protest against the judgments of which they complain, as in their decided opinion altogether contrary to what has ever hitherto been understood to be the law and constitution of this country." On Tuesday night, after prayer by Dr. M'Donald, the roll was called, and 270 voted that these resolutions should be adopted.* It was felt by all to be a vote not lightly to be given, and for a day or two many held back their names.

On Wednesday forenoon Dr. Chalmers asked how many names were now appended to the resolutions of the preceding evening. When told that already there were above 300, he broke forth with the exclamation—"Then we are more than Gideon's army—a most hopeful omen." As he proceeded to picture forth all the oppositions which this little army might encounter-all the victories it might win, the inward fire kindled into a perfect eestasy of excitement. He stepped forth into the centre of the group, his whole frame quivering with emotion, and looking round upon that band of faithful men, upon whose constancy in the hour of trial he felt now that he could count, he exclaimed-"For throwing up our livings-for casting ourselves with such unequal odds into so great a conflict, men may call us enthusiasts; but enthusiasm is a noble virtue, rarely to be found in calm and unruffled times of prosperity: it flourishes in adversity—it kindles in the hour of danger. Persecution but serves to quicken the

^{*} This second series of Resolutions was finally concurred in by 333 ministers.

energy of its purposes. It swells in proud integrity, and, great in the purity of its cause, it can scatter defiance amid a host of enemies." It was the spirit of chivalry baptized with the fire from Heaven.

The two sets of resolutions which had been adopted having been embodied in a Memorial addressed to Sir Robert Peel and the other members of Her Majesty's Government, the Convocation broke up on Thursday the 24th November. Its sittings lasted for six days—days never to pass from the memory of those privileged to be present; for when shall they be able to forget the solemn, subdued, and anxious feeling which at first prevailed—the fears which once and again arose that discord and disunion might ensue—the manner, often so strange and impressive, in which these fears were dissipated—the grace and wisdom given to those who chiefly guided the deliberations -the brotherly and confiding tone which, broken a little at the beginning, deepened at the close into one of pure and perfect harmony—the noble sentiments of heroic faith and devotedness, sometimes so simply, sometimes so eloquently expressed -the spirit of prayer which, breathing from the lips of Mr. M'Cheyne or Dr. M'Donald, conveyed a profounder sense of the Divine presence than we ever felt before or since in the most hallowed of our Christian assemblies.

CHAPTER XVII.

LETTER TO MR. LENNOX—FINAL ANSWER OF THE GOVERNMENT—
LETTER FROM SIR JAMES GRAHAM—THE DEBATE IN PARLIAMENT
—THE CLAIM OF RIGHTS REJECTED BY THE LEGISLATURE—THE
DISRUPTION.

Writing to Mr. Lennox of New York, on the 31st December 1842, Dr. Chalmers says,-"You may perhaps have, by this time, heard of the proceedings of our Convocation in November last. Between four and five hundred of our best ministers have subscribed a Memorial to Government, by which they commit themselves to the relinquishment of the Church's temporalities, if they are not permitted to hold them but on the condition of being subjected to the Civil Courts in things spiritual, on the footing of the decision by the House of Lords in the case of Auchterarder. And, if the Parliament grant us no redress, I have no doubt that the decision of our Convocation in November will be the decision of our General Assembly in May. It lies therefore with our statesmen whether there shall not be an utter disruption of our Church in a few months. None of us are at all sanguine of a favourable measure at their hands, and we are therefore laying our account with the connexion being dissolved early in summer. The eyes of the country are opening to this fact as to a coming certainty, and I feel great confidence that, with the blessing of God, we shall be able to resolve ourselves into a great Home Mission, and take possession of the land. I do hope that henceforth our friends the Voluntaries will think more generously of us than

they have done heretofore. Not that we renounce the principle of a National Establishment of Christianity, for we think it quite possible to harmonize this with the principle of spiritual independence. It will be the fault of our rulers if the two are not harmonized; and I do hope we shall get a little more credit at the hands of our adversaries when they find us giving up all the endowments of a National Church so soon as it is determined that we shall not be permitted to hold them but at the expense of our Christian liberties. Should this take place, it will be of first rate importance that we, the ejected ministers, and they, the evangelical dissenters, should act with a common and cordial understanding together, as there is now a most formidable enemy, rising every day into greater strength, in the Puseyism of England, and which threatens to bring back upon our fair island the intolerance and all the superstitions of Rome."

Having done what she could to clear away all ambiguity from her principles and position, the Church waited the final answer of the Government, which was conveyed in a letter from Sir James Graham, dated Whitehall, January 4, 1843. The Church felt that she had good reason to complain of this communication. When her integrity as one of the most valuable institutions of the country, and the status and livelihood of so many of her clergymen and their families were at stake, she had reason to expect that in rejecting a claim upon which so much was perilled, that claim would be correctly stated, and that the pleas urged in support of it would be fairly and broadly met. The Government was not ignorant that the abolition of patronage had never been put by the Church on the same footing with the protection of her spiritual jurisdic-The very last document put into their hands—the Memorial from the Convocation—had told them what the one vital point was upon which the Church hinged her continued

alliance with the State. Overlooking this Memorial altogether, and taking advantage of the fact that the General Assembly of 1842 had transmitted two addresses to the Crown -the one praying for the abolition of patronage, and the other that her spiritual independence should be secured, Sir James mixed the two together, giving one answer to both, to the inevitable and injurious confounding of topics which the Church had been at so much pains to keep distinct. It gave him no inconsiderable advantage to deal conjunctly with the two demands, and it helped to win a larger amount of popular concurrence with their refusal; but the Church had the impression that such a piece of dexterous policy was scarcely suited either to her sacred character or to the anxious circumstances in which so many of her ministers were placed. She felt still more aggrieved by the gross mis-statement of her Claim of Rights of which Sir James was guilty when he represented her as demanding that all her "proceedings, whether legislative or judicial, should be beyond the cognizance of the courts of law,"-that these courts "should have no power to determine whether matters brought before them were within the scope of their authority, if, in the opinion of the Church, these matters involved any spiritual consideration,"—and that "neither sentences of courts nor decrees of the House of Lords should be effectual if they interfered with the rights and privileges of the Church, of which interference and of which spiritual considerations the Church itself was to be the exclusive judge." This was to identify the Claim of Rights with the arrogant pretensions of the Church of Rome, and that in face of the notorious fact, that her peculiar connexion with the State had from the beginning been described and vindicated by the Scottish Establishment as lying midway between the two extremes—the extreme of Popery, which asserts the entire supremacy of the Church over the State, and claims for the former a total exemption from all species of civil control; and the extreme of Erastianism, which asserts the entire supremacy of the State over the Church, and denies to the latter any peculiar sphere of action free from the reach of secular authority and control. The painful feeling excited by this misrepresentation was aggravated when it was noticed that instead of dealing with the statutory and constitutional pleas advanced by the Church in support of her claims, these were summarily disposed of by the general allegation that to yield to them would "lead directly to despotic power;" the adverse judgment of the Government being based not upon a consideration of what rights the Church already possessed, but of what rights they conceived it safe for her to enjoy.

In vindication of the course followed by the Civil Courts, Sir James Graham traced all those encroachments which had been complained of to a previous aggression made by the Church on the vested rights of patrons. More than one instance has been already given in which the Court of Session assumed and attempted to exercise authority over the Church when no civil right was directly or indirectly affected. Had any doubt, however, upon this point remained, it must have been removed by a judgment of that Court delivered a few days after Sir James's letter reached Edinburgh. It has been already mentioned that one effect of the reforming measures adopted by the evangelical majority, was the return into the bosom of the Church of a body of dissenters bearing the name of the Associate Synod. The clergymen of this Synod were admitted as members of the respective Presbyteries within whose bounds their charges were situated, and these Presbyteries were proceeding to attach a territorial district to their churches. The Presbytery of Irvine had in this way received the Rev. Mr. Clelland, minister at Stewarton, into their court,

and were engaged in allocating to him a special district for the purposes of pastoral superintendence and spiritual discipline, when an interdict was served upon them prohibiting them from receiving Mr. Clelland as a member of Presbytery, and from establishing an additional pastoral charge in the parish. The Church for a hundred years and more had been admitting additional ministers into her courts, and creating new parishes quoad spiritualia, and the validity of her acts had been recognised by decisions of the Civil Court. Her title was now for the first time challenged, upon the ground that, as a Statecreated institution, she could have no authority and exercise no privilege which had not been expressly granted to her by statute. This case was so novel and important that it was brought before all the judges of the Court of Session. Their decision, delivered on the 20th January 1843, was to the effect, that the Church had acted illegally in receiving such ministers as Mr. Clelland, and in placing any part of an original parish under their spiritual care. This judgment was one of wide compass, applying as it did not only to the members of the Associate Synod, but to all the unendowed clergymen recently admitted into the Church. Its effect, if submitted to, would have been to extinguish about two hundred pastoral charges, and to annihilate as many kirk-sessions, by whose vigorous agency a considerable inroad had been already made upon the ignorance and irreligion of many of the most overgrown parishes. It is one of the simplest and most harmless privileges which any society can enjoy, that of adding to the number of its office-bearers, and of originating methods by which their labours on behalf of the great objects of the institution may be most effectively prosecuted. This privilege was now denied to the Scottish Establishment. Taken in conjunction with a previous decision of the Court of Session, that all the Sabbath collections at the doors of the new churches belonged to the

heritors for the behoof of the poor, this judgment of that Court overturned the whole work of Church Extension as an attempt to break down the unmanageable masses which had accumulated in so many parishes, and threw them back upon the exclusive pastoral superintendence of a single clergyman. other circumstances, the Church might have attempted, by appeal to the House of Lords, to obtain a reversal of a sentence so fatal to her progress, so pregnant with injury to the highest interests of the country. As it was, she received it as a last token of the hopelessness of any recognition of her spiritual independence by the Court of Session, and she engrossed it as the last specimen of the injustice which had been done her in that petition which, at a meeting of Commission held on the 31st January, it was resolved should be presented to the British Legislature. In the letter of the Home Secretary a direct and emphatic negative had been put upon her Claims by the executive Government. If the voice of the Legislature re-echoed and confirmed that negative, she had declared that this would be regarded as a conclusive determination by the supreme power in the State that she held her temporalities on the condition of implicit submission to the decisions of the Civil Courts. As that condition was one which she could not conscientiously fulfil, she had announced it to be her purpose to relinquish the pecuniary advantages conferred by the State, and on the broad ground of British toleration throw herself upon the support of the country. Mr. Fox Maule brought the important petition under the notice of the House of Commons on 7th March—founding upon it a motion that the House should resolve itself into a committee to take into consideration the grievances of which the Church of Scotland complained. Mr. Maule, Mr. Campbell of Monzie, Sir George Grey, Mr. Rutherfurd, and Mr. P. M. Stewart stated the case for the Church so temperately, so

judiciously, and so comprehensively, as left the Church nothing either to desire or to regret. Sir James Graham reiterated the sentiments embodied in his letter, and closed his speech by saying, that the sooner the House extinguished the expectation of the Church the better, "because he was satisfied that any such expectation never could be realized in any country in which law or equity or order or common sense prevailed." Lord John Russell, with many expressions of regret at a calamity which he feared was impending, could not withhold his consent from the opinions as to the Church's jurisdiction laid down by Sir James Graham. "My right honourable friend," said his Lordship, "the member for Leith, has said that the Church did not claim supreme power save over what was spiritual, and that she allowed of the civil authority in other matters, but I cannot conceive the connexion between Church and State carried on under such conditions." Sir Robert Peel took a broader view than any of the preceding speakers of the nature of the Church's demands, and of the results which would flow from conceding them. "The right honourable gentleman opposite says, that these Courts—the Civil and Ecclesiastical have a co-ordinate jurisdiction. Now, I think that it has been very clearly shewn, that would amount to something very like a separation of Church and State. It is in fact impossible that the two Courts can co-exist. Why, take the ease of the Roman Catholic religion or the Dissenters. The latter are, no doubt, quite entitled, as a Voluntary Church, to decide with reference to their own affairs; but if a Church chooses to participate in the advantages appertaining to an Establishment, that Church, whether it be the Church of England, the Church of Rome, or the Church of Scotland, that Church must conform itself to the law. It would be an anomaly—it would be an absurdity, that a Church should possess the privilege, and enjoy the advantages of connexion with the State, and, nevertheless, claim exemption from the obligations which wherever there is an authority must of necessity exist; and this House and the country never could lav it down, that if a dispute should arise in respect of the statute law of the land, such dispute should be referred to a tribunal not subject to an appeal to the House of Lords. * * I consider that a great principle is involved in this matter. If peace could be secured—if the rights of the subject could be maintained consistently with the demands of the Church, then, indeed, such is my opinion of the pressing evils of this protracted disputation, that I should almost be induced to make any concession in order to obtain tranquillity. But my belief is, that such claims, were you to concede them, would be unlimited in their They could not be limited to the Church of Scotland. A principle, then, is involved, and if the principle be conceded by the House of Commons, why, the House of Commons must be prepared to earry it out. My belief is, that there is abroad, both in this country, in Scotland, and in other countries, after a long series of religious contentions and neglect of the duties of religion, a spirit founded upon just views in connexion with the subject. But I hope, that in effecting this object, an attempt will not be made to establish a spiritual or ecclesiastical supremacy above the other tribunals of the country, and that in conjunction with increased attention to the duties of religion the laws of the country will be main-If the House of Commons is prepared to depart from those principles on which the Reformation was founded, and which principles are essential to the maintenance of the civil and religious liberties of the country, nothing but evil would result, the greatest evil of which would be the establishment of religious domination, which would alike endanger the religion of the country and the civil rights of man."

The debate, which was conducted throughout in a temper

and spirit befitting the importance of the subject, closed after the second evening's discussion, when seventy-six voted for Mr Maule's motion and two hundred and forty-one against it. But while so large a majority of the whole House rejected the motion, the Scotch members, in the proportion of more than two to one, voted in its favour. The voice of Scotland, as expressed by her representatives, was overborne. A purely Scottish question, which touched to the quick the constitution of the Scottish Kirk, was decided by members of the Anglican Church, and upon principles applicable alike to all religious establishments. The idea of two co-ordinate authorities—the secular and the spiritual—" did appear" to Sir James Graham "unjust and unreasonable."* Lord John Russell could not conceive of its practical realization. Sir Robert Peel declared it to be anomalous, absurd, impossible. But it did not occur to any of these eminent politicians that the very kind of union which they repudiated had at a very early period been described by the Scottish Church, and that in terms almost identical with those employed in the Claim of Rights, as the only kind of union with the State into which the Church felt itself at liberty to enter-had been sanctioned by Scottish Parliaments, and had peacefully and prosperously been carried on for more than two hundred years. Even if the abstract and theoretical ground upon which this species of connexion between Church and State was disallowed, had been valid, the Scottish Establishment might reasonably enough have complained that the question was not restricted as it ought to-have been, to an inquiry, historical and statutory, into her original and actual constitution. That constitution should not have been violated even although its leading peculiarity -its refusal of the civil supremacy in spiritual affairswas discordant with Anglican ideas. It seemed hard that a

^{*} See ante, p. 18.

principle so dear to Scotland, and to which, through so many periods of persecution, that country had remained so faithful, should be sacrificed to a general theory formed by English politicians as to what the alliance between the Church and State ought to be. But the sense of injustice was quickened into wonder as the Church listened to the broad and sweeping terms in which that principle was characterized. The two great Tory leaders concurred in denouncing it as one, the concession of which would be dangerous alike to the civil and religious liberties of the country. It seemed strange to a Church which had done so much for freedom to have its most distinctive feature thus characterized. It had not appeared in such a light to the men to whom Scotland owed its deliverance from the grinding yoke of Popish bondage, nor to those by whom the tyranny of the Stuarts was so heroically resisted, and who upheld the cause of civil and religious liberty in these lands when no others were bold enough to take the field. Nor was it very easy to make out, when the matter was looked at in its abstract shape, what danger to civil liberty could arise from giving to a Church, when brought into connexion with the State, the same freedom which every Church out of that connexion enjoyed—how a liberty which it was admitted could be safely exercised without the pale of the Establishment, at once became so pernicious if exercised within that pale. The State might not choose to bestow its gifts without exacting an equivalent. It might not be willing to enter into alliance with any Church which would not barter away a part at least of its spiritual liberties in return for the temporal advantages bestowed. Statesmen, in dread of that religious fervour so apt to appear to them under the odious aspect of fanaticism, might regard it as a needful and salutary arrangement, that the religious community raised by favour of the State to the position of greatest eminence and influence,

should constantly, and as to all its proceedings, be kept under State control. But the wisest and best friends of religious establishments could not but regard it as a fatal injury inflicted on that cause, when it was so broadly and authoritatively affirmed that no union between Church and State could legally exist, or safely be endured, save that which implied implicit subjection on the one side, and authoritative control on the other.

The decision of the Legislature, however, was so far satisfactory that it was free from all ambiguity. It put a distinct and conclusive negative upon the claims of the Church. It closed the perplexing negotiations of five fruitless years,turning away from which the Church set herself to a busy preparation for the new condition which awaited her. clergymen who had signed the resolutions of the Convocation lost no time in explaining to their congregations the important step which had been taken, and in inviting their adherence. Acting under the direction of a Committee appointed by the Convocation, the ablest of their number were deputed to itinerate over the country, holding meetings in every parish to which they could find access, announcing to the people their principles and final purposes, and obtaining a large and hopeful amount of popular concurrence. All this, however, did not satisfy Dr. Chalmers, whose grand device for meeting the coming crisis was the organization of local associations, upon the plan and for the purposes indicated in his address to the Convocation. Unable to persuade others to unite with him, he instituted of his own accord, immediately after the Convocation broke up, an association of this kind in the parish of Morningside, where he resided. Districts were laid down, collectors were appointed, donations for erecting the churches, and termly subscriptions for the support of the ministers of the Free Church were obtained, six months before that Church had a substantive existence in the

country. The letter from Sir James Graham opened the eyes of many to the necessity of more instant and practical measures of preparation, and at last the Committee, appointed by the Convocation, united itself with another Committee, instituted at an influential meeting of the eldership, held at Edinburgh, on the 1st February. This most effective body, organized under the title of the Provisional Committee, held its first meeting on the following day, and to its labours the Free Church mainly owes that state of orderly preparation and absence of all division and confusion by which the days of the Disruption were so signally characterized. The Provisional Committee divided itself into three sections, the Financial, the Architectural, and the Statistical. Dr. Chalmers took his position at the head of the first of these sections. The task for which he had been so long reserving himself was now put into his hands; and with an energy scarcely paralleled in the busiest periods of his past history he set himself to its execution. A circular, inviting subscriptions and donations, was instantly drawn up by him, and sent in thousands over Scotland, bearing the mottoes, "Surely I will not come into the tabernacle of my house, nor go up into my bed: I will not give sleep to mine eyes, nor slumber to mine eyelids, until I find out a place for the Lord, an habitation for the mighty God of Jacob."—" The God of heaven He will prosper us; therefore we his servants will arise and build." The acts which followed were in good correspondence with these mottoes. As preliminary to those local and detailed operations, to which he attached such primary importance, Dr. Chalmers addressed a large and influential meeting, held at Edinburgh on the evening of the 16th February. "This meeting," he said, "is not for argument, but for action. I think that the reasoners upon this question have done their work. The time for argument is now over, and the time for action has come on. We have entered upon

a new era, the era of deeds, which has followed the era of speeches, and arguments, and memorials, and manifestoes. Some people are extremely fond of deliberative meetings. They have a greater taste and are more qualified for the field of deliberation than the field of action, in which former field they act as penmen, as spokesmen, as framers and movers of resolutions, and have withal a marvellous faculty of threading their way through a cumbrous and elaborate mechanism of committees and sub-committees, so interwoven with each other that the whole becomes a very complicated affair. And then they go on consulting and deliberating, and treading upon each other, and no one going forward; and all the while there are thousands of hearts burning with desire to support the Free Presbyterian Church of Scotland if they only knew what they had to do. That method of saying much and doing little is not suited to the exigencies of this period. About ten days ago we began what might have been begun and broken loose upon ten weeks ago, and the result has been a meeting—a meeting of names, at least, if not of persons. Yes, and there have been resolutions too, and with all my antipathy to resolutions, the resolutions I hold in my hand are vastly to my taste. They are resolutions carried into effect without either a seconder, or a meeting, or any vote at all upon the subject. The first resolution is by a lady, and her resolution is, that she shall transmit for the support of the Free Presbyterian Church the sum of one thousand pounds sterling. The next resolution is by a person who calls himself a Dissenter, and his resolution is to give £500. * * * This brief circular was only sent forth a few days ago, and the amount of subscription, though we have yet merely broken ground, is £18,550. It has come in upon us like a set rain at the rate of £1000 a day." Having warned his audience against the delusive influence of these large subscriptions, all of which would be absorbed at once in the work

of ereeting their Church, Dr. Chalmers proceeded to point out the paramount importance of those smaller, more numerous, but periodically sustained contributions, on which the support of a Christian ministry was to depend. Having described the apparatus by help of which he hoped not only to see a maintenance provided for all the outgoing elergymen, but the blessings of Christian instruction extended over the land,-" When we come to that," he said, "and I think it may be soon, I should feel myself in my old element,-as at my old work of Church Extension in Scotland. For Church Extension I knocked at the door of a Whig ministry, and they refused to endow. I then knocked at the door of a Tory ministry: they perhaps would have endowed, but they offered to enslave. I now therefore turn aside from both, and knock at the door of * * To make Ireland what he the general population. wanted it to be, O'Connell gave forth his watchword-' Agitate, agitate, agitate;' and the consequence was, that Ireland for a few years was lord of the ascendant. To make England what he wanted it to be, and to shake the empire loose from the power of that agitation, Sir Robert Peel gave forth another watchword—' Register, register, register;' and the consequence is, that Toryism, with all its high church inveteracy, and all its old antipathies to evangelical religion, is now seated in absolute supremacy over the land. Seotland seeks no ascendency, and she neither hopes for, nor is ambitious of power. She seeks the Christian freedom of her Church and the Christian good of her people, and to make out this, let her watchword be-' Organize, organize, organize.' We know that without prayer no human effort, no human wisdom can be of any avail; and we confess our main dependence to be on the prayers of the Christian people of the land. But we also know that prayer does not supersede either effort or wisdom. Therefore I repeat—'Organize, organize, organize;' and without the objects of the demagogue on the one hand, or of the statesman on the other, let us not cease our endeavours till, by the blessing of God, the country in which we live becomes a sacred land of light and liberty—a portion of that greatest and best of empires, the empire of truth and righteousness." Having addressed a meeting in Glasgow held for a like object, Dr. Chalmers devoted himself to the forming and fostering into vigorous operation of Local Associations all over the country. Every hour that he could spare from the duties of the Theological Class was now consecrated to this work—every day he was to be found presiding at the meeting of Committee, and directing and stimulating his willing fellow-labourers.

The fruits of all this toil shall presently be laid before the reader. Meanwhile let us preserve one interesting notice of its progress. Writing to Mr. Lennox, on the 19th April 1843, Dr. Chalmers says: - "Our crisis is rapidly approaching. We are making every effort for the erection and sustentation of a Free Church, in the event of our disruption from the State, which will take place we expect in four weeks. I am glad to say that the great bulk and body of the common people, with a goodly proportion of the middle classes, are upon our side, though it bodes ill for the country that the higher classes are almost universally against us. Notwithstanding this, however, we are forming associations for weekly payments in rapid progression all over the country, and I am glad to say, that by this day's post they amount to four hundred and five. We expect that by the meeting of our General Assembly, the country will be half organized, and are looking for a great additional impulse from the Disruption, when it actually takes place. I am hopeful that ere the summer is ended, we may number about a thousand associations, or as many as there are parishes in Scotland, so that unless there

be an attempt to crush us by persecution, I have no fear of our getting on. But the Lord reigneth, and He alone knoweth the end from the beginning. Let us look to His providence and grace, without which there can be no security from without, nor vital prosperity within.

Thomas Chalmers."

The faith in one another, and the fervid activity in prospect of the Disruption, displayed by Dr. Chalmers and his associates, found a singular contrast in the apathy of the Government, and the infatuated incredulity of the public generally. When the difficulties in which the Moderate party should be placed, on the event of so many of their brethren being forced out of the Church, had been alluded to in the Presbytery of Edinburgh:—"It has been tauntingly asked," said the Rev. Dr. Grant, "how, even if we were successful, we could carry on the Church? I should like to know, before answering the question, how many of our opponents are to leave us?" Dr. Grant was more excusable in speaking so, as the Convocation had not then met, nor had he the resolution of that memorable assembly before him. But we find the Rev. Dr. Cumming of London, after the Convocation, and with the full knowledge that the honour and good faith of more than four hundred Scottish clergymen were solemnly pledged to retire from the Establishment, publicly affirming—" If Government is firm, I venture from pretty accurate information, to assert that less than one hundred will cover the whole secession. * * * The few manses and pulpits likely to be vacated, will be filled up with good and holy ministers. * * * The missionary schemes of the Church will not be overthrown; they will prosper more than they do now, by being released from party domination, and incessant quarrels and squabblings. * But I am not satisfied that any will secede."* When a

^{* &}quot;Present State of the Church of Scotland." London, 1843; pp. 10-16.

Presbyterian clergyman, himself a Scotchman, and claiming to be so well informed, made such public announcements as these, we are the less surprised at the incredulity of public and political men. It is now generally believed, that the testimony of some such informants as Dr. Cumming had satisfied the Government that it would be only a few of the leaders, who had committed themselves too deeply to draw back, who would seeede; and that resting in this conviction, the Government suffered matters to proceed, and did nothing to avert the eatastrophe. In Scotland itself, with the busy notes of preparation ringing in their ears, there were multitudes, comprising almost the entire mass of the aristocracy, who could not to the very last be persuaded that more than twenty or thirty at the utmost would throw up their livings. It became a favourite subject of betting at their clubs; but even among those most friendly, few would peril anything upon the hazard that even so many as half of those who had pledged their word would keep it. And the capital, with all its means and opportunities of observation, was as incredulous as the country. "Mark my words," wrote one of the best informed and most sagacious citizens of Edinburgh, a day or two before the Disruption, "Mark my words-not forty of them will go out."

The day of trial at last arrived. For some days previously an unprecedented influx of strangers into Edinburgh fore-shadowed the approach of some exciting event. Thursday, the 18th May, the day named for the meeting of the General Assembly, rose upon the city with a dull and heavy dawn. So early in the morning as between four and five o'clock, the doors of the Church in which the Assembly was to convene* opened to admit those who hastened to take up the most favourable positions, in which they were content to remain for

^{*} St. Andrew's Church, which had on this, as on a former occasion, been fitted up as the Assembly Hall.

nine weary hours. As the day wore on, it became evident that the ordinary business of the city had to a great extent been suspended, yet the crowds that gathered in the streets wore no gay or holiday appearance. As groups of acquaintances met and commingled, their conversation was obviously of a grave and earnest cast. Towards mid-day, the throne-room at Holyrood, in which the Marquess of Bute, as Lord High Commissioner, held his first levee, was filled with a numerous assemblage of noblemen, clergymen, military and naval officers, the city magistrates, and country gentlemen from all quarters of Scotland. A portrait of King William III. hung upon the wall of the room, opposite to the spot on which Her Majesty's Representative was standing. The throng of the levee was at its height, when, loosened somehow from its holdings, this portrait fell heavily upon the floor; and as it fell a voice was heard exclaiming, "There goes the Revolution Settlement." When the levee closed the customary procession formed itself. In his state-carriage, accompanied by a splendid cortège, and escorted by a troop of cavalry, the Commissioner proceeded to the High Church. The service was conducted by the Rev. Dr. Welsh, the Moderator of the preceding Assembly, whose discourse was made all the more impressive by the frequent allusions to the event by which it was so instantly to be followed. Elsewhere, within the Assembly Hall, as hour after hour passed by, the strained feeling of the multitude, by whom every inch of sitting and standing ground had for so long a time been occupied, was beginning occasionally to relax. At last, however, the rapid entrance of a large body of ministers into the space railed off below for members, told that the service at St. Giles was over. Every symptom of languor at once gave way, and expectation was at its utmost stretch. Dr. Welsh, the Moderator, entered and took the chair. Soon afterwards, His Grace the Lord High Commissioner was announced, and the whole assemblage rose

and received him standing. Solemn prayer was then offered up. The members having resumed their seats, Dr. Welsh rose. the eager pressure forward—the hush! hush! that burst from so many lips—the anxiety to hear threatened to defeat itself. The disturbance lasted but a moment. "Fathers and brethren," said Dr. Welsh, and now every syllable fell upon the car amid the breathless stillness which prevailed, "according to the usual form of procedure, this is the time for making up the roll. But, in consequence of certain proceedings affecting our rights and privileges, proceedings which have been sanctioned by Her Majesty's Government, and by the Legislature of the country; and more especially, in respect that there has been an infringement on the liberties of our Constitution, so that we could not now constitute this Court without a violation of the terms of the union between Church and State in this land, as now authoritatively declared, I must protest against our proceeding further. The reasons that have led me to come to this conclusion, are fully set forth in the document which I hold in my hand, and which, with permission of the House, I will now proceed to read." In this document, after the wrongs of the Church had been succinctly recited, the parties who signed it proceed at its close to say,-" We protest, that in the circumstances in which we are placed, it is and shall be lawful for us, and such other Commissioners chosen to the Assembly appointed to have been this day holden as may concur with us, to withdraw to a separate place of meeting, for the purpose of taking steps, along with all who adhere to us, maintaining with us the Confession of Faith and Standards of the Church of Scotland, for separating in an orderly way from the Establishment, and thereupon adopting such measures as may be competent to us, in humble dependence on God's grace, and the aid of the Holy Spirit for the advancement of his glory, the extension of the Gospel of our Lord and Saviour, and the VOL. IV. 2 F

administration of the affairs of Christ's house, according to his holy word: and we now withdraw accordingly, humbly and solemnly acknowledging the hand of the Lord in the things which have eome upon us, because of our manifold sins, and the sins of the Church and nation; but, at the same time, with assured conviction that we are not responsible for any consequences that may follow from this our enforced separation from an Establishment which we loved and prized, through interference with conscience, the dishonour done to Christ's crown, and the rejection of His sole and supreme authority as King in his Church." Having finished the reading of this Protest, Dr. Welsh laid it upon the table, turned and bowed respectfully to the Commissioner, left the chair and proceeded along the aisle to the door of the Church. Dr. Chalmers had been standing immediately on his left. He looked vacant and abstracted while the Protest was being read; but Dr. Welsh's movement awakened him from the reverie. Seizing eagerly upon his hat, he hurried after him with all the air of one impatient to be gone. Mr. Campbell of Monzie, Dr. Gordon, Dr. Maedonald, Dr. Macfarlan, followed him. The effect upon the audience was overwhelming. At first a cheer burst from the galleries, but it was almost instantly and spontaneously restrained. It was felt by all to be an expression of feeling unsuited to the occasion; it was checked in many cases by an emotion too deep for any other utterance than the fall of sad and silent tears. The whole audience was now standing gazing in stillness upon the scene. Man after man, row after row, moved on along the aisle, till the benches on the left lately so erowded showed searce an occupant. More than 400 ministers, and a still larger number of elders, had withdrawn.

A vast multitude of people stood congregated in George's Street, crowding in upon the church-doors. When the deed was done within, the intimation of it passed like lightning

through the mass without, and when the forms of their most venerated elergymen were seen emerging from the Church, a loud and irrepressible cheer burst from their lips, and echoed through the now half empty Assembly Hall. There was no design on the part of the elergymen to form into a procession, but they were forced to it by the narrowness of the lane opened for their egress through the heart of the crowd. Falling into line, and walking three abreast, they formed into a column which extended for a quarter of a mile and more. As they moved along to the new Hall prepared for their reception, very different feelings prevailed among the numberless spectators who lined the streets, and thronged each window and door, and balcony, on either side. Some gazed in stupid wonder; the majority looked on in silent admiration. A few were seen to smile, as if in mockery; while here and there, as the child or wife of some outgoing minister caught sight of a husband's or a father's form accomplishing an act which was to leave his family homeless and unprovided, warm tear-drops formed, which, as if half ashamed of them, the hand of faith was in haste to wipe away. There were Judges of the Court of Session there, who had placed themselves where they could be unseen observers of what took place, who must have felt perplexed, it may be saddened, when they saw realized before their eyes the fruits of their decisions. Elsewhere in the eity, Lord Jeffrey was sitting reading in his quiet room, when one burst in upon him saying, "Well, what do you think of it?-more than four hundred of them are actually out." The book was flung aside, and springing to his feet, Lord Jeffrey exclaimed, "I'm proud of my country; there is not another country upon earth where such a deed could have been done."

The large hall at Canonmills prepared for the new Assembly, and fitted up so as to receive \$000 auditors, had been filled in

the part allotted to the public from an early hour in the morning. When the procession from St. Andrew's Church arrived, and the space marked off for ministers and elders was fully occupied, Dr. Welsh opened the proceedings with prayer, after which he rose and said: -- (we quote now from a contemporary account)—"Reverend fathers and brethren, I presume our first duty in the circumstances in which we are placed, unquestionably is to constitute ourselves by the choice of a Moderator; and I feel assured that the eyes of every individual in this Assembly—the eyes of the whole Church and country the eyes of all Christendom are directed to one individual, whom to name is to pronounce his panegyric. In the exhausted state in which my duties have left me, it is scarce in my power to say more, but indeed I feel that more would be super-The extent of his labours in connexion with our present position would justly entitle Dr. Chalmers-(the mention of Dr. Chalmers's name here, was received with extraordinary enthusiasm, the whole of the vast audience rising, cheering for some minutes with the utmost enthusiasm, and the house presenting a perfect forest of hats and handkerehiefs)-would justly entitle that great man to hold the first place in this our meeting. But surely it is a good omen, or I should say a token for good from the Great Disposer of all events, and the alone Head of the Church, that I can propose, to hold this office, an individual, who, by the efforts of his genius and his virtues, is destined to hold so conspicuous a place in the eyes of all posterity. But this I feel is taking but a low view of the subject. His genius has been devoted to the service of his Heavenly Master, and his is the high honour promised to those, who, having laboured successfully in their Master's cause, and turned many to righteousness, are to 'shine as the stars for ever and ever." In taking the chair, Dr. Chalmers proposed that the proceedings should be commenced by another act of prayer and praise. The psalm selected to be sung commenced with the verse—

"O send thy light forth and thy truth;

Let them be guides to me,

And bring me to thine holy hill,

Ev'n where thy dwellings be."

As the vast multitude stood up to sing these words, and as the swell of 3000 voices rose up in melody to heaven, a sudden burst of sunlight filled the building, and there were some who thought of Dr. Chalmers's text, but six months before-"Unto the upright there ariseth light in the darkness." The Assembly being constituted proceeded to business; and on the following Tuesday the act of the Disruption day was formally and legally completed by the subscription of the "Act of Separation and Deed of Demission," by which 470 ministers did "separate from and abandon the present subsisting ecclesiastical Establishment in Scotland, and renounce all rights and emoluments pertaining to them in virtue thereof." A revenue of more than one hundred thousand pounds a year was thus voluntarily relinquished for the keeping of a good conscience and on behalf of the liberties of the Church. Five years had passed since the first decision on the Auchterarder Case, and the fruit of the conflict which then commenced was this rending in twain of the Scottish Establishment. When that conflict began there were none on any side who contemplated the possibility of such an issue, and perhaps none who, had it been pre-announced to them, would not willingly have laboured to prevent it. It was an event not only beyond all human foresight, but done without human concert, in great measure against human will. Step by step the Church was involuntarily led on from the lower and less essential to the larger and vital question upon which her very existence as an establishment came finally to be staked. Guided by a way that she knew not, her path was hedged up on the right hand and on the left till no opening but one seemed left for preserving her principles and keeping her honour pure and clean. It lightened amazingly the sacrifice which so many of her ministers were called at last to make, that not a shadow of uncertainty hung over the closing act, and that amid all the bitterness of regret felt by them in separating from an Establishment which they had so loved and venerated, there mingled no feeling of hesitation as to the propriety of their final step. It was an act forced on them by the moral necessities of their position, from the weighty responsibilities of which they felt as if providentially relieved. Those statesmen who constrained them to this alternative might with more show of reason have denied the spiritual independence which they craved to a Church which shuts out the laity from all part and influence in her affairs, and holds high notions of the priestly office and the spiritual powers which accompany it; but can they be forgiven for denying this liberty, and that on the ground of an alarm about clerical domination, to a Church which opened every court to an equal, in some instances to a predominating lay influence, and which utterly repudiated the whole doctrine of priestly authority and power? Can the British Government be forgiven for breaking up the venerable fabric of the Scotch Church upon a plea so groundless, and for putting so mournful a close to that career of Christian usefulness upon which that Church had so vigorously and so hopefully embarked? That an Establishment manned principally by such devoted ministers as were now driven beyond its pale, and guided in its advancing movements by such men as Dr. Chalmers and his associates, would have proved an instrument of greater power for penetrating and evangelizing the masses than any which we now see existing, we cannot doubt; and as the picture of what might have been rises before our eye-the picture of the Church of Scotland, aided by the countenance and liberality of the aristocracystrong in the growing attachment of the great bulk of the middle classes—numbering among her adherents more than two-thirds of the whole population of the land-advancing year by year in numbers and in strength—reclaiming larger and larger portions of the waste places of the wilderness, and turning them into the garden of the Lord—we sigh in heartfelt sorrow over an event which has put the fulfilment of such a prospect for ever out of sight. We cannot doubt that for a calamity so great, Divine Providence has some compensating benefits in store, which as yet we do not see; and with a hopeful faith we look for it, that in some great and beneficent issue, as unlike to any which our sagacity or foresight can now foreshadow as was the Disruption of 1843 to the anticipations of 1834, when the future shall have unfolded and illustrated them, the purposes of that wise and gracious Providence which watches over the Church of Christ will receive their ample vindication.

CHAPTER XVIII.

THE TWO GENERAL ASSEMBLIES—PROGRESS OF THE FREE CHURCH—CHARACTERISTICS OF ITS FIRST GENERAL ASSEMBLY—LABOURS AND SUFFERINGS OF THE SUMMER OF 1843—DR. CHALMERS'S SUSTENTATION TOUR.

THE Church was rent asunder, and, for the first time in Scottish History, two General Assemblies convened together at Edinburgh. What had divided them? It was no difference as to any of the peculiar doctrines of Christianity; for the Creed and Confession of both were identical. It was no difference as to Church order or government; for the form of worship and methods of rule and discipline were in each instance the same. Nor did the division spring from any peculiarity of Presbyterianism; for had the Established Church of Scotland been Episcopalian or Independent, the same kind of separation might have taken place. The Disruption sprang solely and directly from the terms and conditions of connexion imposed by the State upon the Church. The State demanded an unlimited submission to certain sentences of the Civil Courts, upon the broad and general ground that such submission formed an essential element in the bond of union between it and the Church. The evangelical clergymen looked upon this demand as repugnant to the whole spirit and contrary to the very letter of the ancient constitution of their Church,—as one with which it would be both unlawful and inexpedient to comply; and, conscientiously unable to

render the required submission, they withdrew from the Establishment. But what they could not do-what they rather chose to resign their livings than be guilty of-was done without scruple or difficulty by those whom they left behind. The Assembly of the Establishment at once decided that the seven clergymen of Strathbogie were in full possession of all the privileges of their order, and without any reversal by the Ecclesiastical Court of the sentence which had been so solemnly pronounced, those whom the Assembly of 1841 had deposed, the Assembly of 1843 treated as if no judgment against them had ever passed. The Veto Law,-the proceedings of previous Assemblies relative to the settlements at Marnoch, Culsalmond, and Lethendy,-the Acts of 1833, 1834, and 1839, by which the ministers of the Associate Synod and of the Parliamentary and Extension Churches had been admitted, were all subjected to the same simple and summary treatment. It was not thought necessary to go through the form of repealing or rescinding them; but ipso facto, and because simply of the edict of the Civil Courts, they were counted as null and void, and ordered to be erased from the records. Mr. Clark had his license restored to him, and the settlements of Mr. Edwards at Marnoch and of Mr. Middleton at Culsalmond, were recognised and confirmed. In acts like these, so hurtful to the Church's honour, and so prejudicial to the spiritual interests of the people, the evangelical clergymen could take no part; and having struggled in vain within the Establishment to be released from the obligation to perform them-an obligation unrighteously, as they conceived, and unconstitutionally imposed —they retired, to prosecute under all the disadvantages of a disestablished state, the labours of a Christian Church.

Their position, as they assembled for the first time in the plain but spacious building prepared in haste for their reception, was without a parallel. Four hundred and seventy clergymen left without incomes for themselves, or homes for their families, or churches for their flocks,—meeting in a condition of complete ecclesiastical organization, undertaking all the duties of a most arduous ministry at home, as well as the support of extensive operations abroad,—and doing this as quietly and resolutely as if no shock or dislocation had occurred —no difficulties or uncertainties lay before them. The feeling which at first and most strongly prevailed in that singular assembly, was one of intense relief and satisfaction. So confidently and vividly was this feeling anticipated by Dr. Chalmers, that, in penning beforehand his introductory address, he inserted the following sentences:—

"Reverend fathers and brethren, it is well that you should have been strengthened by your Master in Heaven to make the surrender you have done, of everything that is dear to nature; casting aside all your earthly dependence rather than offend conscience, or incur the guilt of sinful compliance by thwarting your own sense of duty, and running counter to the Bible, our Great Church Directory and Statute Book. It is well that you have made, for the present, a clean escape from this condemnation-and that in the issue of the contest between a sacrifice of principle and a sacrifice of your worldly possessions, you have resolved upon the latter; and while to the eye of sense you are without a provision and a home, embarked upon a wide ocean of uncertainty, save that great and generous certainty which is apprehended by the eye of faith-that God reigneth, and that He will not forsake the families of the faithful. We read in the Scriptures, and I believe it will be often found true in the history and experience of God's people, that there is a certain light, and joyfulness, and elevation of spirit, consequent upon a moral achievement such as this. There is a certain felt triumph, like that of victory after a conflict, attending upon a practical vindication which conscience has made of her own supremacy, when she has been plied by many and strong temptations to degrade or to dethrone her. Apart from Christianity altogether, there has been realized a joyfulness of heart, a proud swelling of conscious integrity, when a conquest has been effected by the higher over the inferior powers of our nature; and so among Christians too there is a legitimate glorying, as when the disciples of old gloried in the midst of their tribulations, and when the spirit of glory and of God rested on them, they were made partakers of the Divine nature, and escaped the corruption that is in the world; or as when the Apostle Paul rejoiced in the testimony of his conscience.* But let us not forget in the midst of this rejoicing the deep humility that pervaded their songs of exultation; the trembling which these holy men mixed with their mirth—trembling arising from a sense of their own weakness; and then courage inspired by the thought of that aid and strength which was to be obtained out of His fulness, who formed all their boasting and all their defence. Never in the history of our Church were such feelings and such acknowledgments more called for than now; and in the transition we are making, it becomes us to reflect on such sentiments as these: "not I, but the grace of God in me;" and "let him that thinketh he standeth, take heed lest he fall."

In closing the address from which these sentences are extracted, and after referring to the danger, not unlikely to arise under the new condition of things upon which the Free Church was acting, of exchanging one kind of subjection or dependence for another, Dr. Chalmers added,—

^{* &}quot;You would have been struck with the contrast presented by our outgoing clergy, between their anxious and wo-begone aspect before they had taken their decision, and their perfect relief and light-heartedness after it. Never was there a happier Assembly, with a happier collection of faces, than in our Free Church—with consciences disburdened, and casting themselves without care, and with all the confidence of children, on the providence of that God who never forsakes the families of the faithful."—Letter from Dr. Chalmers to his Sister, Mrs. Morton, dated 16th June 1843.

"To be more plain, let me be more particular. The Voluntaries mistake us, if they conceive us to be Voluntaries. We hold by the duty of Government to give of their resources and their means for the maintenance of a gospel ministry in the land; and we pray that their eyes may be opened, so that they may learn how to acquit themselves as the protectors of the Church, and not as its corrupters or its tyrants. We pray that the sin of Uzziah, into which they have fallen, may be forgiven them, and that those days of light and blessedness may speedily arrive, when 'kings shall be the nursing-fathers, and queens the nursing-mothers' of our Zion. In a word, we hold that every part and every function of a commonwealth should be leavened with Christianity, and that every functionary, from the highest to the lowest, should, in their respective spheres, do all that in them lies to countenance and uphold it. That is to say, though we guit the Establishment, we go out on the Establishment principle; we quit a vitiated Establishment, but would rejoice in returning to a pure one. To express it otherwise-we are the advocates for a national recognition and national support of religion—and we are not Voluntaries.

"Again, if we thus openly proclaim our differences with men who, under the guise of principle—and of this principle we question not the honesty—refuse in the affairs of the Church to have any participation with the Government, still more resolutely do we disclaim all fellowship with men who, under the guise of direct and declared opposition, lift a menacing front against 'the powers that be;' or, disdaining government, and impatient of restraint, manifest a spirit of contention and defiance. * * * If on the flag of your truly free and constitutional Church you are willing to inscribe that you are no Voluntaries, then still more there will be an utter absence of sympathy on your part with the demagogue and agitator of the day—so that in golden characters may be seen and

read of all men this other inscription, that you are no anarchists."

His duties as Moderator interfered with Dr. Chalmers's taking any large share in the public business of the Assembly. As Convener, however, of the Financial Committee, he gave in the report relative to the Sustentation Fund. Had no such central fund for upholding the ministries of all the outgoing clergymen in their former spheres of labour been devised, had each minister been thrown upon the support of such of his parishioners as adhered to him, in more than two hundred instances that support had been so utterly insufficient that their positions must have been abandoned, and the Free Church narrowed by more than a third part in her original dimensions. It was, moreover, the existence of such a fund which alone enabled the Free Church to make and keep her promise of supplying with Christian ordinances all who should adhere to her communion; and looking to the large increase in her ministry and membership which consequently occurred, we may confidently affirm that for more than one half of her existing numerical strength the Free Church stands indebted to that single device of Dr. Chalmers. His report as to the progress made in its establishment was in the highest degree encouraging. Six hundred and eighty-seven associations had been organized. Two hundred and thirty-nine of them were in full operation, and had already transmitted to the general treasury upwards of £17,000. The average yielded by each of these associations was £73 per annum,-a sum much lower than what might confidently be calculated on when the impulse of the Disruption began to operate; but, even as it then stood, if the same rate of liberality were extended over all the existing associations, and sustained throughout the year, there was the promise of an annual revenue of £74,080. "Had the goodly result," said Dr. Chalmers, in giving in this Report, "which I have to-day presented to you, been a few months ago spoken of as either possible or probable, the anticipation would have been regarded, as in fact my expressed conviction at that time generally was regarded, as a vision of Utopia. We know not what the feelings of such are when, instead of presenting the matter to the eyes of their understanding, we now place it before the eye of their senses. Sure we are, it was far easier practically to do the thing, than to convince the people that the thing was practicable. The difficulty lay not in the doing of the work when begun, but wholly in getting it begun-not in the execution of the process after its commencement, but in overcoming the incredulity which stood as a barrier in the way of its commencement. * I doubt not, there are a good many here who heard me predict such a result as that which I have to-day laid before you; and I trust you will forgive me for stating, though I am not a professor of physiognomy, that when I chanced to lift my eyes off the paper to the countenances of those who were before me, I observed in them a good-natured leer of incredulity, mixed up, no doubt, with a benignant complacency, which they cast on the statements and high-coloured representations of a very sanguine Utopian. In order to overcome this incredulity in my own little sphere, and in a parish where eight-ninths of the aristocracy of the soil are against us, I did begin a little Association-I mean the parish of Morningside. But we remained for six whole weeks in a state of single blessedness—we had not a single companion, but stood as a spectacle to be gazed at with a sort of gaping wonder, till we actually felt our situation painful, felt as if we stood on a pillory; but now that we have been followed by no less than 687 Associations, our singularity, we begin to feel, sits rather gracefully upon us. At the hazard of being regarded as a Utopian this second time, and at this new stage of our advance, I will make as confident an avowal now as I made then, that if we only make a proper use of the summer that is before us, in stirring up, I do not say the people of Scotland, but that portion of them who are the friends of our Protesting Church,—if we do what we might, and what we ought, we will not only be able to repair the whole Disruption, but will get landed in the great and glorious work of Church Extension. For you will recollect, that though the application of the first portion of the funds goes towards, I will not say the support of the ejected ministers, but towards the upholding of the continuance of their services; yet after that is secured, and after the maximum has been attained, the over and above sums contributed will go, not to the augmentation of ministerial income, but to the augmentation of ministerial services—not to the increase of the salaries of the ministers, but to the increase of their numbers; and we shall not stop short, I trust, in our great and glorious enterprise, till, in the language you have already heard, 'the light of the gospel be carried to every cottage-door within the limits of the Scottish territory.' This will open a boundless field for the liberality of our Christian brethren—a bright and beautiful ulterior, to which every eye should be directed, that each may have in full view the great and glorious achievement of a Church commensurate with the land in which we dwell, and every heart be elevated by the magnificent aim to cover with the requisite number of churches, and, with God's blessing on the means, Christianity to educate, and, in return for our performance and prayers, to Christianize the whole of Scotland."

The Report relative to the Building Fund, also given in by Dr. Chalmers, was not less encouraging. In one week, by means of the local associations, £16,578 had been collected in smaller sums, which, added to the more munificent donations made during the few months preceding the Disruption, presented no less a sum than £104,776 already available for the erection of churches. And the day of the Disruption sprang a

new mine of charity in the hearts of thousands. Their ministers having led the way, and given to the world a clear and convincing testimony to the reality and power of religious principle, in the pecuniary sacrifices which they made, many a noble-hearted layman was in haste to follow and to rival their example. First among those Christian and generous men who have furnished a new standard of individual liberality, stood Mr. William Campbell of Glasgow, whose benefactions to the Church of Scotland during the progress of her extension had already amounted to about £15,000, beginning a new career of still wider liberality, by a donation of £2000 to the Building Fund of the Free Church. The Marchioness of Breadalbane, Mr. Ewing of Levenside, Mr. Nisbet of London, and Mr. Brown Douglas of Edinburgh, were mentioned also by Dr. Chalmers as the donors of sums equally munificent; and we regret only that the delicacy of a genuine humility forbids our naming one, the overflowings of whose altogether princely generosity crossed the Atlantic, and of which Dr. Chalmers felt himself to be honoured in being chosen as the channel. These were the offerings of the rich, but greater and more precious in the eyes of Him who still sits over against the Church's Treasury, were the offeringsapproaching far more to the character of pecuniary sacrifices made at this time by thousands in the humblest walks of life. "The liberalities," said Dr. Chalmers, "which have been poured forth on our great enterprise even by the humblest of our artisans and labourers, and the grateful responses which these have called back again—the words of kindness and of encouragement which have been sent from all places of the land to bear us up on the field of conflict, and our thankful sense of the friendship which prompted them—the amalgamating power of a common object and a common feeling, to cement and knit together the hearts of men-the very emulation to love and to good works which has given birth to so many associations, each striving to

ÆT. 63.

VOL. IV.

outrun the other in their generous contributions for the support of what is deemed by all to be a noble cause—even the working of these associations, in which the rich and the poor are often made to change places, the former visiting the houses of the latter, and receiving the offerings of Christian benevolence at their hands—the multiplied occasions of intercourse thus opened up between those parties in the commonwealth which before stood at the greatest distance, and were wont to look with the indifference, if not the coldness, of aliens to each other—these are so many sweetening and exalting influences, which serve to foster the sympathy of a felt brotherhood among thousands and tens of thousands of our countrymen, and will mightily tend, we are persuaded, to elevate and humanize the society of Scotland."

Two things especially characterized the first General Assembly of the Free Church,-the marvellous popular enthusiasm which it kindled without, and the equally marvellous and unbroken harmony which prevailed within. The sittings were continued from Thursday the 18th till Tuesday the 30th May, and yet from the beginning to the close of each daily sitting, the Hall at Canonmills saw a compact crowd of 3000 auditors listening with intense attention to every part of the proceedings, and breaking out, whenever the occasion permitted, or at all encouraged it, into extraordinary demonstrations of sympathy and approval. During the two Sabbaths which intervened, religious service was conducted in the Hall of the Assembly. It is to give no conception of the scenes which there occurred to say, that the mass of human beings, forced by the outward pressure into the building, was so compact that, unable to penetrate, the preacher had to be carried over their heads. Such multitudes assembled that five separate congregations were formed without the walls, and though the rain began to fall, remained hanging upon the lips of the speakers. During the course of the Assembly many plans had to be ma-

2 0

tured and resolutions taken, which, had matters been thrown loosely in a crude and undigested form before the House, might have created difference or discord. It was with a clear foresight of this danger that Dr. Chalmers was so urgent in carrying the work of preparation beforehand to the utmost possible extent. There was no measure submitted to the Assembly which had not been the subject of frequent and anxious deliberations with one or other of the committees in Edinburgh; and, even after the Assembly met, no measure of any importance was brought forward for public discussion or approval till after much private consultation regarding it. In these private conferences every one who had any counsel to tender was invited to bring it forward, and each seemed ready to yield his own judgment to the collective wisdom of his associates. A reigning spirit of brotherly love and of mutual confidence guided all their deliberations, and such a rare and unbroken harmony of judgment was effected that not once had a vote to be taken, nor with any one of this Assembly's decisions was dissatisfaction afterwards expressed. The excitements of debate were exchanged for the excitements of an ardent, hopeful zeal-quick to devise and ready to execute. No sound of strife was heard, no shadow of jealousy appeared-all were of one heart and of one mind, stimulating and strengthening each other for the great work to which they stood committed.

That work was sufficiently arduous. First, the pains of separation from old homes and old churches and old friends, had to be suffered, and then the toils of an overburdened ministry had to be undergone, and the front of a most determined opposition to be faced. They knew nothing of the Disruption as a time of trial and of sacrifice who knew it only in our great towns, where amid much to do and to suffer there was much also to animate and encourage. It was in the country manse—it was in the sequestered rural parish that the burden of

this sore calamity was most severely felt. "Just conceive," said Dr. Chalmers, in the Assembly, entering most feelingly into their coming trials, "these clergymen returning to their homes, finding their houses in process of being dismantled and their parishioners saddened by the prospect of an approaching separation. We stay here in our hilarity in the presence of each other, but these gentlemen go to what were once their welcome and comfortable homes, and what is the spectacle that meets them on their return? I cannot venture on the description. Going, they and their families, they know not whither -resigning all those places to which they are attached by so many fond and intense local affections-their garden-walks where they freely enjoyed the hours of their relaxationthe peaceful study where the man of learning enjoyed many a raptured hour of converse with his books, or which the man of piety converted into a sanctuary, and held intercourse there with his God,—all these to be resigned and given up." One venerable minister had to send his wife and children away to a distance of seventy miles—not a house or hut nearer being open for their accommodation—and he had himself to take a room in the only inn which the district supplied. Another was asked by his widowed daughter to share a cottage, within his parish, in which she lived, but the noble proprietor interfered. She was warned that if she harboured her own parent in her house she would forfeit her right to her dwelling, as it was not desired that any house on this estate should be a "lodging-place for dissenters." A third, driven from one of the loveliest homes, compelled to study in a wretched garret, and to sleep often with nothing between him and the open heavens but the cold slate, covered with hoar-frost-his very breath frozen upon the bedclothes-sunk into the grave. From the manse of Tongue the patriarchal clergyman and his son, who was his assistant and successor, separating themselves from their families, retired to a very

humble abode. The exposure and privation were too much for them; they both caught fever, and both died. "I shall never forget, to my dying day," said Dr. Guthrie,* "the scene which I witnessed at the manse of Tongue, or rather-I forget myselfin a mean, at least humble cottage to which that father and son had retired,—parting with their family rather than part with their flock. I was never so unmanned by any sight I ever sawif I may call it being unmanned, for I am not ashamed of being affected by such a sight. I shall not venture to describe what I saw. I shall only say, in the words of Scripture, 'they were levely and pleasant in their lives, and in their death they were not divided.' I rise to bear my humble testimony to the worth of these men,-I should rather say, the worth of these martyrs for those great principles for which we abandoned our earthly They lav on their dying beds in peace. Never shall I forget the sight of that venerable old man-a man who would have adorned any Church-who would have adorned any society,-never shall I forget seeing him in his mean cottagenature exhausted—buried in the sleep which he had not tasted during the livelong night, his venerable locks streaming over the chair where he was sitting asleep. I went up to him and intended to awaken him, but I thought it cruelty to do so. I passed by him again and again in the room, and still he slept on; and after seeing his son lying, in an adjoining closet, on a fever bed,—a son that had never closed his eyes all the night long either, for his father's groans were like daggers to his heart, I left the house; and the last words I heard that son say on the earth were, 'Mr. Guthrie, this is hard enough; but I thank God I don't lie here a renegade. My father's conscience and mine are at peace.' Yes, they are both at peace now. They have both gone to the place where 'the wicked cease from troubling, and the weary are at rest." Such are

^{*} In a speech before the General Assembly at Inverness in August 1845.

single leaves of a record, which, if ever the history of the first plantation of the Free Church be fully written, will be a book of many and strangely-coloured pages.

But toil came as well as trouble. To meet the wants of the adhering population upwards of 600 congregations had to be regularly supplied with all the means of grace, and as many churches had to be erected. Never in the history of the Christian Church were so many sermons delivered, so many prayer meetings held, so many addresses delivered, by the same number of clergymen, within the same period of time, as by the outgoing ministers during the twelve months which elapsed from the day of the Disruption till the General Assembly of 1844; and never over the same surface of country, or within so short a time, were so many churches built. In towns the kindness of their dissenting brethren afforded many facilities for ministers meeting with their people on the Sabbath days. In the country it was different. Here and there the hand of tyranny was stretched out, and from the church and church-yard—from the bare hillside and from the public highways, on all of which they sought to assemble and to worship God-ministers and people were driven, till they took their station within highwater mark on the lone sea-beach, their feet upon the damp and tangled sea-weed—the roll of those breakers whose spray the breeze drove over them keeping time to their solemn psalmody. It was a summer in which there was scarcely a rainy or inclement Sabbath, and very generally in the rural districts, even where no opposition of any kind was encountered, there was preaching in the open air. When this was impracticable or inexpedient, strange shifts and expedients were frequently employed. At Morningside, Dr. Chalmers opened his own dwelling-house, and converted it into a church; and perhaps he never occupied a more picturesque position than when, planted midway up the staircase, he preached to a disjointed

congregation scattered into different rooms, all of whom could hear, but not half of whom could see the clergyman. dition to the increased amount of purely pastoral labour which devolved upon them, the leading ministers of the Free Church had large draughts made upon their time and strength for public services. The lively interest which the Disruption had created in other countries, suggested the idea of despatching numerous deputations to explain the principles and to plead the cause of the Free Church. Familiar as the Presbyterians of Ulster were with the great principles involved in the controversy, and looking with the strong attachment of children to the parent Church in Scotland, they needed less either to be informed or to be stimulated, and, as became them, they were the foremost, both by word and deed, in expressions of In England, the deputations from the North were attachment. received everywhere with extraordinary demonstrations of affection and goodwill. Public meetings were held in the metropolis and most of the principal towns. In Manchester, thirty-five pulpits were opened upon one Sunday, that sermons might be preached and collections taken. In Birmingham, fifteen pulpits were placed, in like manner, upon the same Sabbath, at the disposal of the friends of the Free Church. London was not so well organized, but it exhibited a no less generous spirit. Over all wide England, fervent and substantial expressions of desire were given to aid the men who, after making so great a personal sacrifice, were attempting the task of building up a national institute in a year. The event which had occurred in Scotland had power also to stir profoundly and extensively the sympathies of the American churches, and a deputation, headed by Dr. Cunningham, crossed the Atlantic. In one or other of these public services Dr. Chalmers was again and again solicited to engage. It was pressed upon him in particular and in the strongest terms, that he should deliver a few lectures in

London, explanatory of the principles involved in the Disruption; but he steadily resisted all the urgency by which he was beset. He had the profoundest conviction that all which Ireland, England, or America would or could do for her, was utterly insignificant as compared with what Scotland could and ought to do for herself.* Those bursts of generous feeling, which it was so pleasant to witness or excite, would in a year or two subside, and the contributions begotten by them would die away in like manner. To meet all the temporary necessities of her position, it was proper and needful that the Free Church should avail herself of them to the uttermost. They served, besides, a higher and more enduring object—that of binding together the churches in the bonds of a brotherly unity, and upon that ground especially were they to be cherished. But ere very long the Church would be thrown back upon her own internal resources,—the foreign springs would fail, and it would be upon the home fountain that all would finally depend. It was to the striking out of that fountain, to the rendering it as deep and productive as possible, that Dr. Chalmers's whole and undivided strength was given. When told with rapture of this and that other donation from this and that other remote district of England or America, he playfully would say, "The eyes of a fool are in the ends of the earth." In August and September he made a Sustentation tour, taking in Perth, Dunkeld,

^{* &}quot;Edinburgh, Nov. 6, 1843.—MY DEAR SIR,—Your suggestions are admirable, and will be handed to the proper quarters. Yet, however valuable our labours in England, a tenfold greater good would accrue to the Free Church were each man but to cultivate his own district, and make the most of it. Do tell Mr. Mackinlay that I would have more value for a vigorous and well-conducted system in his locality, and for the imitation of it in the other localities of our own land, than for all that either England or Ireland can do in our favour. I was delighted with my visit to you, nor have I spent more congenial or happier hours for a long time, than within the limits of your domain, and the Necropolis together.—Ever believe me, my dear Sir, yours most cordially,

Thomas Chalmers.

[&]quot; Hugh Tennant, Esq."

Aberdeen, Arbroath, Dundee, and St. Andrews. What he sought for in each place he visited was, a meeting with ten, or twenty, or thirty of those who would undertake the actual work of making the regular rounds through the families of their districts, that he might impress them with the magnitude of their office, and animate them to punctuality and zeal in the discharge of its duties. In one or two instances he had large audiences to address. At Aberdeen 1400 office-bearers of the Church assembled to receive his counsels. But he eschewed the larger assembly, and courted the small and confidential conference.*

There were three visits in the course of this tour which he especially relished—the three days spent with Captain Burnett of Monboddo, the week with Mr. Thomson of Banchory, and the day at the manse of Carnoustie. In his Journal letters, under the date of Monday the 4th September, he says,—"Captain and Mrs. Burnett had the great kindness to accom-

* "I assure you," said he to the General Assembly convened in Glasgow, "that if I can get twenty gentlemen persuaded to do what I recommend, I should consider it an ample reward for all the fatigue endured by the Assembly. The truth is, I have infinitely greater taste for meetings which are followed by commen sense practical workings, than I have for meetings which are not so followed up. I cannot express the futility of these general meetings, which are tenfold less useful to the community, and tenfold more exhausting to the strength, than those private confidential meetings which are attended by none but those who are willing to give their time and their substance to the labour. I cannot express the distaste I feel for the meetings which are not so followed up. I care nothing for the bold oratory, for the bursts of enthusiasm, for the electric flashes of the speeches, followed by the thunder-claps of applause from the thousands of assembled hearers, for the flights of eloquence in the orators, and the peals of admiration from the auditors-for all this, if its energy is to be expended like the winter torrent, will leave few men the readier to put forth their hand to the required work. All this may be very splendid; but it is nothing, or worse than nothing, reminding us of the oratorio, where the sacred music has awakened a thrilling ecstasy in the minds of multitudes who have none of the habits or characteristics of piety; or of the theatrical acclamations with which virtuous speeches or sentiments are hailed by hundreds who have no patience for its toil, no relish for its homely services. I want not the excitement of emotion, but the sturdiness and endurance of good working principles."

pany me on my departure as far as Stonehaven, on my way to Banchory. I took leave with much feeling of the whole family, children and all. I have been treated with the greatest cordiality, and I owe nothing to the Captain but the utmost gratitude and respect. What a difference it would make in Scotland, if we had one such as he within every ten miles of each other." On Sabbath the 10th of September, it was arranged that Dr. Chalmers should preach at Banchory, a short distance from Aberdeen. The Free Church congregation was then worshipping in a tent, which was enlarged for the occasion, so that it might accommodate from 1600 to 2000 persons. Two hours before the time for the commencement of the service, a message was sent to Mr. Thomson that the tent was already crowded. On going to see the state of matters, he found it not only erammed to suffocation, but as many assembled round it as would have filled it two or three times over, while crowds were hastening to the spot along the various roads, on foot and in vehicles of every description. It was obvious that there was no resource but to ask Dr. Chalmers to preach in the open air. He had retired to the library, and requested to be left alone for an hour, but it was absolutely necessary to intrude upon him. "I went to him," says Mr. Thomson, "and said to him, 'We find that the tent will not nearly hold all the people who have assembled; would you dislike preaching in the open air?' He looked up, and with the most perfect simplicity, exclaimed, 'What has brought all the people here?" He at once agreed, however, to the request; and various places being proposed and shewn to him, he promptly selected the principal door-way of the house, where a temporary pulpit of tables, covered with a large green cloth, was immediately erected. The situation was favourable; a level lawn of some extent stretching out before him, bounded on each side by a sloping bank covered with shrubbery and

VOL. IV.

wood. The narrative of the preaching must be given in Dr. Chalmers's own words:—

"I had expressed my preference for a rural Sabbath. But little thought I, that, notwithstanding the day and the hour, and even the rain of this day, there were to assemble six or seven thousand, some say ten thousand people. And so the pulpit had to be carried half-a-mile from the Free Church tent to the front-door of Banchory House, where I could preach under cover, with a lobby full of grandees behind me, and such a multitude before me, as presented what the opiumeater calls an ocean of human faces. The people occupied all the gravel before the house, and all the grassy lawn, wet as it was, to the trees, whose foliage gave back the sound, so that the echo came back upon our ears, and prolonged each line so as to compel a pause from the precentor in a way that was somewhat ludicrous. Nevertheless, I was completely heard; and having Mr. Archibald, a probationer, to conduct all but the sermon, I got over the whole with marvellously little fatigue. The open air in front, and freedom from all heat and stifling, made it far easier for me than if I had been in the tent"

Dr. Chalmers's text upon this occasion was his favourite one from Isaiah xxvii. 4, 5. "The breathless interest," says Mr. Thomson, "with which the people listened was very striking; and the blessed fruits of that discourse will all be known only at the great day."

It was the domestic quiet and Christian converse of the manse of Carnoustie which endeared it to him. Speaking to Mrs. Dymock of the pleasure of Christian friendship, he said,—"But we are wayward; where we love much we often love wrong. We must take care of fixing our hearts on earth. Yet we have a warrant in the Bible for loving much:—'Love one another with a pure heart fervently.' It may be fervently, if

it be first with a pure heart. * * * You do not know how much I need your prayers. This is one of the pleasures of Christian affection. Christians may and should think most of one another, in their holiest and happiest moments. Remember me in your holiest moments—your moments of prayer."* Some time afterwards, he said, "Remember you have promised to pray for me," adding, "every time you pray, for I am a poor wretched sinner." Upon another occasion he said, "I hope you find no difficulty in appropriating Christ. If I were to come as an accredited agent to you from the upper sanctuary, with a letter of invitation to you, with your name and address on it, you would not doubt your warrant to accept it. Well, here is the Bible, your invitation to come to Christ. It does not bear your name and address, but it says 'Whosoever'—that takes you in; it says 'all'—that takes you in; it says 'if any' -that takes you in. What can be surer or freer than that? I have been reading some treatises on the appropriation of Christ, and I like them, especially Ebenezer Erskine on the Assurance of Faith." + Having been engaged in this way for

^{*} From Notes taken at the time by Mrs. Dymock.

^{† &}quot;I enjoyed also my readings of the little book which I have as my companion at present, a collection of little works on the assurance of faith. Its doctrine is very precious—the warrant for appropriation in the message or good news of salvation. I feel confident that nothing else will do, but that this will; that Christ's offer to me in particular, if only trusted, will be realized; and more especially that his offer of strength, if so trusted, will avail for the mastery over every temptation, and for the achievement of all holy and acceptable obedience."—From Letter to Mrs. Chalmers, dated Monboddo, September 2d, 1843.

The volume referred to in this extract became a great favourite of Dr. Chalmers, and was frequently and earnestly recommended to his students. It is entitled—"Saving Faith as laid down in the Word of God: being a series of works by the following authors:—John Anderson, D.D., United States; Rev. Ebenezer Erskine of Stirling; and Rev. William Cudworth of Norwich." Edinburgh, John Johnstone, 1843. In reference to one of those treatises, Dr. Chalmers wrote as follows to Mr. Lennox:—"I have been reading with great interest lately the work of an American divine, whose name I had never before heard of—Dr. Anderson. I believe that the little treatise to which I refer was published about fifty years back; and I have since seen and perused another work of his, entitled 'Precious Truth,'

some time, he said—"This is the kind of conversation I like." It was not often that he indulged in it. He had too great humbleness of mind—too great natural secretiveness, and too great recoil from some of the too frequent characteristics of religious conversation, often to embark in it. But when it came, simply and unaffectedly, the keenness of his relish for it shewed the depth and the tenderness of his piety. Engrossed though he was with the public and outward business of the Church, such conversations as those of Carnoustie Manse indicated how naturally and how fondly his spirits reverted to and reposed upon the most spiritual truths of Christianity. "I regret exceedingly," he wrote to Mrs. Chalmers in the course of this tour, "that this bustling, various, engrossing work should so encroach on the higher occupations of good reading and good thinking; I do hope to make my escape from it; and yet I cannot but feel that I have a call to my present doings. My heart is drawn towards the sacrificing ministers. I do hope that a system of adequate provision will be set up, and kept up not only for supporting but extending the Free Church. Meanwhile, let us cast more of our care and confidence upon God. To him I would commit all our interests, both for time and for eternity."*

in reply to Mr. Bellamy, the well-known American theologian. The title of the treatise is, 'The Scripture Doctrine of the Appropriation which is in the Nature of Saving Faith.' I hold it to be a first-rate composition, and well-fitted to dispel the obscuration which your Bellamy, and even Jonathan Edwards himself, do sometimes (I apprehend) cast on the freeness of the Gospel."

^{*} From Letter, dated Monboddo, August 30th, 1843.

CHAPTER XIX.

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF 1844—FRUITS OF THE YEAR'S LABOURS—HISTORY OF THE SUSTENTATION FUND—PROPOSED MODIFICATION—ITS REJECTION BY THE FREE CHURCH.

Dr. Chalmers returned from his Sustentation tour in the North to attend a meeting of the General Assembly held at Glasgow in October, which he opened by a sermon on the "Outward business of the House of God," from the text, Nehemiah xi. 16. The object of this meeting was, to revive in the west of Scotland that impulse which the presence of the outgoing ministers had created in Edinburgh. Interim reports of the various operations of the Church were read, all bright with promise, but covering too brief a period to give accurate augury of the future. Reanimated by their intercourse, the ministers returned to prosecute their labours amid greater outward difficulties, but with undiminished ardour, during the succeeding winter. The results, as announced at the meeting of the General Assembly in May 1844, were in the highest degree encouraging.

Without exception, all the Missionaries in foreign stations had declared their adhesion to the Free Church. This testimony was doubly valuable, as coming from men who had been quiet spectators of the conflict, the purity and devotedness of whose character was above all suspicion, and who must have had many fears as to the probabilities of an infant Church, struggling for life at home, being able to continue their services abroad. Their fears were disappointed; for, notwithstanding

all that she otherwise had to do, the Free Church, in the first year of her existence, raised no less than £32,000 for her various schemes of Christian philanthropy—a sum greater by £12,000 than had been raised by the whole Church in the year 1842.

It had been looked upon as a marvel that in the course of seven years previous to the Disruption, two hundred churches should have been built, in connexion with a Church the whole number of whose ministers numbered about a thousand. But that marvel was lost in this-that by a Church, whose ministers numbered at the commencement only 470, nearly 500 churches were built in a single year. And yet the work of church-building was far from finished; for, contrary to all anticipations, the people had forsaken the Establishment in a much higher ratio, as to numbers, than the ministers; and it would have required more than 700 churches to accommodate the congregations who were ready to attach themselves to the Free Church. meet the spiritual wants of more than 200 unprovided congregations, the Church had only 130 licentiates at command, some of whom, it might be presumed, were unlikely to be elected as ministers. Of these, so many as 114 were ordained in the course of a year, which saw the original Church of the Disruption making an addition of about one-fourth to the number of her ministers.

Setting aside the generous aid rendered by strangers, upwards of £300,000 had been contributed by a community, which at this period could not embrace so much as one-third of the population of Scotland. That particular branch of the general revenue which was devoted to the maintenance and extension of the ministry appeared also to be in a prosperous condition. Adopting the suggestions embodied in a pamphlet by Dr. Chalmers, printed and privately circulated in 1843,*

^{*} For some extracts from this pamphlet, entitled "Considerations on the Economics and Platform of the Free Church of Scotland," see Appendix E.

two sources of ministerial income had been opened. The produce of all the local associations constituted a general fund, out of which each minister received an equal dividend; while from the collections at the church doors, each congregation was permitted and encouraged to supplement the salary of its clergyman. With the Central Sustentation Fund, established by the Free Church, the name of Dr. Chalmers is imperishably connected. It stands and will long abide as the best monument of his genius in ecclesiastical finance. Compared with the system under which each separate congregation sustains its own ministry, it presented many and peculiar recommendations. By drawing from the abundance of the rich a fixed supply for the necessities of the poor, it preserved a Christian ministry in many districts where otherwise it must have expired. By binding the strong and the weak together, it created a new species of unity in the Church, and breathed throughout it a fresh and healthful spirit of brotherhood. By erecting orderly channels through which the overflowing liberality of the wealthier congregations was spread equally within the whole area of the Church, it established a security against the fitful and capricious distributions of individual benevolence. By inviting every member of the Church to unite, not simply in supporting that clergyman whose services he personally enjoyed, but in sustaining and extending a gospel ministry throughout the land, wherever it was needed, it gave a new, if not a purer motive to his liberality, supplying it "with a wider aim, and a nobler arena." The actual income, it is true, which in the first year of its existence it supplied, was comparatively small and insufficient. The whole sum yielded by the Associations throughout that year amounted to £68,700, which, divided equally among 600 clergymen, afforded to each a salary of £100. Many, however, of the Associations had but recently been organized-many had been in full working order, under the eye of an ordained clergyman, during a portion only of the past year; and when the large and exhausting efforts expended upon church-building were over, it was confidently and generally expected that the Sustentation Fund would be largely replenished. To some extent Dr. Chalmers participated in this expectation. He rejoiced that one of the primary objects of the Fund—the maintenance of the Church of the Disruption in all its original magnitude, had been more than realized. But he had been watching with eager and anxious eye the working of the system during the past twelvemonth; he had subjected the returns which specified each item of congregational income and the object to which it was appropriated, to a most searching scrutiny, and the result was, that beneath a flourishing outward aspect he detected symptoms of weakness and decay, presages of a contracted rather than of an expanding Church. Dividing all the congregations of the Free Church into two classes-those which gave into the Fund more than they got out of it, and those which got more than they gave, it excited his liveliest apprehensions to discover that more than three-fourths of the whole belonged to the latter, while by so small a number as fifteen of the former, one-fifth of the whole Fund was furnished. Confining his attention again to the aid-receiving congregations, the sluggishness of some and the selfishness of others, pained and alarmed him. More than 150 congregations gave less than \mathcal{L}^{20} , and more than 350 less than £50 to the Fund, and yet some of them reckoned their communicants by hundreds. He would not admit the plea of poverty, when urged in excuse of such neglect of duty. "I am only sorry," he said in the General Assembly of 1844, "when some of the Highland brethren were telling us of the inability of the people in some districts to give anything, that I did not put the question, whether the practice of snuffing was at all prevalent among them? Why, I believe that I could make out

by the Excise returns, that in the island of Islay alone, some £6000 a year is spent on tobacco. The power of littles is wonderful. I began with pennies; I now come down to pinches, and say that if we got but a tenth of the snuff used by Highlanders—every tenth pinch—it would enable us to support our whole eeclesiastical system in the Highlands. It is astonishing, the power of infinitesimals. The mass of the planet Jupiter is made up of infinitesimals; and surely, after that, it is in the power of infinitesimals to make up a stipend for the minister of Ballachulish!" But the playful changed into the indignant when he turned to contemplate those congregations which, while receiving from the Fund more than they contributed to it, afforded palpable evidence of their ability to be aid-giving, rather than aid-getting, by what they raised for their own home uses. He wondered whether the congregational conscience was at all awake, when they could permit themselves to extract from a Fund, designed for the weak and helpless, twice as much as they forwarded to it, and yet give to their own minister a supplement twice or thrice, or eight or ten times greater than their contribution to the Fund. He foresaw and he deplored the fatal influence which such apathy and ignoble selfishness must necessarily in the longrun exert, in deadening the generosity of the wealthier and aid-giving congregations, who could not fail to be disheartened by perceiving that though, year after year, they renewed their efforts to enlarge the Fund and raise the equal dividend, these efforts were fruitless. And still more distressing to Dr. Chalmers than the depression of the general ministerial income which he anticipated, was the fatal eheck to Church extension which these aid-receiving congregations interposed. "It is, no doubt, desirable," he writes, "that we should increase both the number and liberality of the aidgiving eongregations, but it is of far more vital importance to

our cause that we should lessen the number, and diminish the enormous absorptions of the aid-receiving congregations. They form a wall of interception in the way of extending the Church to places and people more destitute than themselves; or, perhaps they were better compared to an annular belt of sand, which drinks in all the waters that issue from the central reservoir, making it impossible to reach or fertilize the regions beyond it. We should infinitely less value all the additional hundreds and thousands that might be raised from the wealthier congregations, than we should an average elevation of £50 in the contributions that come to us from the lower half of the scale. This were like the opening of a gate that would set us at liberty, and make us free to expatiate, so that we might find our way both to the most wretched population in towns, and to the poorest and remotest extremities of Scotland."* Dr. Chalmers's chief desire for the Free Church was, that she should prove instrumental for accomplishing this design; and his fondest anticipation as to the Sustentation Fund had been, that it would afford her an additional facility for doing so. As things stood this could not be. With more than a hundred congregations of adherents craving to be supplied with a regular ministry, and ready to absorb all that the General Fund could furnish, that Fund was smitten with impotence as an instrument of Church extension. Dr. Chalmers, to a large extent, attributed this to the fixed and universal equality of the dividend. When the rule was absolute that, let a congregation give what it liked, its minister should receive the same salary from the Fund, no stimulus was applied to its generosity—no check imposed upon its selfishness. This rule, he conceived, should be relinquished, and some other adopted in its stead, constructed upon the principle that the "gettings out" should bear some equitable proportion to the

^{*} Earnest Appeal, p. 14.

"givings in." In deliberating upon what substitute he should propose, there were two qualities or characteristics which he regarded as essential. It should be simple, unencumbered with minute or complicated details; and it should be selfacting, self-regulating, needing not the constant interference or agency of any central authority. Under a conviction, matured and strong, that a change in the method of distribution was imperatively required, and with these qualities or characteristics before his eye, he proposed to the General Assembly of 1844, that the equal dividend should be abolished; that no Congregation should be put upon the Fund till its annual contributions should amount to 250; and that each Congregation should receive from the Fund one-half more than it transmitted, till the ministerial income should amount to £150. The proposition came abruptly upon an Assembly, unprepared, amid the glow of early triumphs, to be told of impending disaster. The existence of so great a peril, and the necessity for so great and immediate a change were not perceived, and the remedy suggested was as little relished as the need for its application was felt. It was strenuously and almost unanimously rejected. All that Dr. Chalmers could obtain, was the consent of the Assembly that a trial of his method should be made in future, with such new charges as were added to the Church; and that a committee should be instructed to watch over all embryo congregations, and stimulate their associations into such activity that they might prove less burdensome than heretofore, when sanctioned as ministerial charges. In the spring of 1845, he printed and circulated a pamphlet, "On the Economics of the Free Church of Scotland," the preface of which commenced as follows:-" In announcing my determination now to retire from the public business of the Free Church, I feel confident that it will not be ascribed to any decay of affection for its

cause. It is not a matter of choice, but of physical necessity. I have neither the vigour nor the alertness of former days; and the strength no longer remains with me, either for the debates of the Assembly, or for the details of committees and their correspondence.

"At the last Assembly, during the first days of which I enjoyed a health that I never expect to regain, I did a very rash thing. I moved the appointment of an extension committee, and accepted of its convenership. I fondly imagined the possibility of weathering one twelvemonth more of such active service as had long been familiar to me, and deemed the object I had in view of such special importance as to justify the attempt. A few weeks convinced me of my error; and, since the month of August, my connexion with our financial affairs has been little better than nominal. I can still describe, however, what I cannot execute; and the process which I hoped to set agoing will be laid before the reader in the following pages. Its accomplishment by me is now wholly out of the question; and, if judged worthy by the Church of being carried into effect, should be devolved on younger and abler men."

As the General Assembly of 1845, whose transactions this pamphlet was mainly intended to influence, made no approximation whatever towards the adoption of its views,* Dr.

^{*} How deeply Dr. Chalmers felt this appears from the following letter to the Rev. Mr. Tweedie:—

[&]quot;Morningside, 29th November, 1845.

[&]quot;My dear Sir,—I have been greatly saddened ever since I heard from you of the set in, on the part of the ministers, for an equal dividend,—believing as I do that it will ruin the economics of the Church, and reduce what I fondly hoped could have been worked up into a great national institute within the narrow dimensions of a limited ecclesiastical corporation. It is in sorrow and not in anger that I write,—the latter emotion being the excitable one in the heat of an argument yet hopeful with an opposing adversary. Such a feeling, however, is completely overborne when hope expires and is succeeded by the apathy of despair. My expectation now of what has been long the object of my existence—a universal

Chalmers had it reprinted and published in the spring of the following year, under the new title of an "Earnest Appeal to the Free Church on the subject of its Economics."* A second preface prefixed to the pamphlet, opened thus:-" The body of this little work was printed, but can scarcely be said to have been published, last year. As it contains the most matured views of its author, the fruit of much thought and of some experience, he is unwilling that it should be altogether lost. He therefore presents it anew to the Church, in a form which leaves the main pamphlet untouched, but with this peculiarity in its structure, that each topic which required any further enlargement, or to be represented over again with still greater earnestness and urgency than before, has a distinct place assigned for it in a little section with its own distinct title, which sections are made to compose an Appendix to the work. It is to this Appendix that I would invite the special attention of the reader, as containing a series of final deliverances on the matters which are there successively taken up. This is the last representation which I mean to offer upon the subject; and, such being the case, it is most natural that I should feel the importance, nay, the paramount duty, of stating not only

Christian education—is transferred from the Free Church of Scotland to such a union of the really good and wise of all evangelical denominations as is now contemplated by many.

[&]quot;I can pay no more earnest and prolonged attention to this melaneholy subject till spring, when I propose to come forth with my last words in the form of a final protest. I shall endeavour to take refuge from the disappointment in my professorial studies and the enjoyment of my private friendships. Among these last, I have the greatest value for confidential intercourse with yourself, and I do hope that our meetings will be frequent. Let me see you soon, and the sooner the better.

Thomas Chalmers.

[&]quot;To the Rev. W. K. Tweedie."

^{*} An edition of this pamphlet was published in America by the Board of Publication of the Presbyterian Church, and through the liberality of a friend a copy of it was sent to each minister of that Church.

the truth, but the whole truth, however unpalatable, if but salutary or needful and desirable to be made known."

From a pamphlet ushered in by such weighty sentences, we offer an extract or two bearing upon its main topic—the condition of the Sustentation Fund.

"It is obvious that if we are to give the same yearly allowance to every new minister, however little we shall receive from his congregational association, we cannot hold out long upon such a system, unless by such successive reductions of the dividend as must sooner or later involve the whole Church in one common overthrow. This is an argument, and a strong one; but we confess that it is not ours. Our argument against the continuance of an equal dividend is, that it would put an end to Church Extension. On the principle that the minister's work is of far higher consideration than the minister's stipend, we have ever regarded an addition to the number of our zealous and hard-working ministers as of paramountly higher value than an addition to their livelihood.

"The great and essential reform needed upon our financial system is some provision, call it either a stimulus or a check, by the operation of which our aid-receiving associations shall be either made to contribute more, or receive less, from that great central fund, which, if but relieved from the present inordinate pressure, could be made so greatly more available for our Church's prosperity and enlargement. * * * It were well if the Sustenation Committee had authority to proclaim such a rule of distribution, as that if associations will give little—whether because they give much for supplements, or from any other cause—they will receive proportionably little. The enactment of 'one and a half' answers this purpose, though there might be other and perhaps better ways of it. It were the removal, for instance, of a mighty incubus upon our operations, if

it could be made law—that in no instance we should give more than £50 to any minister, over and above what we received from his association;—to which it might be added, that the connexion of an association with the Sustentation Committee should only commence when its own contribution came to £50 a year. Without some check of this sort, I predict, with all confidence, but in great heaviness of heart, that sooner or later we must lay our account with a most fearful overthrow; or at least, that a sore paralysis will be inflicted on the support and enlargement of the Church, which might otherwise, in respect of both these interests, be made to advance most prosperously."

The warning given here was unheeded. The brief experiment of the "one and a half" method was abandoned by the General Assembly of 1847, and matters returned to the position in which they stood in 1844. Recently, however, the opinion of the Church has been undergoing a rapid change. The gross amount of the fund has been steadily progressing, till from £68,700 it has mounted to the sum of £91,949, or within a few hundred pounds of what Dr. Chalmers predicted at the Convocation, yet the equal dividend has not increased. Considerable additions have been made to their returns by the more generous congregations, yet the end aimed at, of increasing the annual dividend, is as far out of sight as ever. The charges which, between 1844 and 1847, were dealt with as Dr. Chalmers desired, have been put upon the footing of the equal dividend, and the result has been a declension almost, per saltum, of their annual contributions to the extent of about £3000. Facts like these have at last sufficed to work a very general conviction that a change of some kind is imperiously required; and, as these pages pass through the press, the Church is in the midst of a controversy relative to a new method of distribution which has been

proposed. It would form but another illustration of the singular foresight of Dr. Chalmers should the discussion upon which the Church has thus embarked terminate in the adoption, either of the plan which he recommended, or of one to which the same two features of a simple and self-regulating character are attached. It has taken nearly eight years to convince the Church of the existence and fatal nature of the disease; it may take less to satisfy her of the suitableness and efficacy of the remedy.

CHAPTER XX.

CHRISTIAN UNION_THE EVANGELICAL ALLIANCE.

During the collegiate session of 1831-1832, in commenting upon that section of Dr. Hill's Lectures on Divinity which relates to Church Government, Dr. Chalmers addressed his students in the following words:—"It has been exceedingly well said by the judicious Andrew Fuller, that the points on which the disciples of the Saviour agree greatly outnumber, and in respect of importance, very greatly outweigh, the points on which they differ,—that for many ages the attention and the zeal of Christians have been vastly too much expended on the points on which they differ, but that now it is to be hoped the sentiments which they hold in common will be far more the objects of their steadfast and harmonious regard.

"Without disputing the superior expediency of one kind of government to another, I do think that, considering the manifold ties of common sentiment and principle between us and the evangelical sectaries of Christendom, it were better that we drew more closely together, and that the movement, at all events, instead of being one of wider distance and separation, were in the way of kindlier and more intimate converse than we have hitherto held."*

The spirit of these remarks was fully participated by that

VOL. IV.

^{*} See Prelections on Butler's Analogy, Paley, Hill, &c., Posthumous Works, vol. ix. p. 425.

party in the Church with which Dr. Chalmers acted. The great controversy in which they became involved did nothing to deaden it. It was in the very thick of that conflict that they abolished the statute which, by restricting its ministerial communion, had isolated the Church of Scotland from all the other Churches of the Reformation; and it was when the shadow of the Disruption was hanging over them, that, in the General Assembly of 1842, they moved and carried the appointment of a committee for the cultivation of friendly relations with all evangelical Churches at home and abroad. An active correspondence with some of these Churches had in fact already commenced, originated by their sympathy with that struggle of which Scotland had become the theatre,—a sympathy of whose width and depth an impressive token was afforded by the presence in the General Assemblies of 1841 and 1842 of distinguished members of one or other of the Churches of the Continent. Amid its multifarious engagements the expansive zeal of the first General Assembly of the Free Church found time for prosecuting the work so auspiciously begun. Measures were adopted for uniting with other branches of the Presbyterian family in celebrating the Bicentenary of the Westminster Assembly of Divines. This great commemorative meeting assembled in Edinburgh on the 13th July 1843. Its object was comparatively a confined one, but nothing narrow or sectarian marked its proceedings, in which Dr. Chalmers took so conspicuous and influential a part as to be hailed by one of the succeeding speakers as the great Apostle of Union. He was prepared to go farther here than many could follow. "For myself," he said, "I can see no obstacle in the way of our being fellow-workers, and that to a great extent, for the objects of our common Christianity. And I rejoice to observe the growing prevalence and popularity of this sentiment,—a sentiment which, I can perceive, has formed itself into a sort

of watchword, brief and memorable, and having in it a certain cadence or alliteration, which recommends it all the more to the ear of the public, and is fitted to give it a larger currency and reception throughout the Churches of our land; I advert to the well known and oft-repeated aphorism of 'co-operation without incorporation.' I am aware that by many this goodly and well-sounding aphorism has been fathered upon myself, and yet it is not just the motto that I would inscribe upon an escutcheon wherewith to signalize my family. I have no quarrel with the co-operation, and whenever aught which is good is expedited thereby, the more of it the better; but I except to the negative, as being by far too absolute, that is laid by this maxim on the incorporation. The truth is, that wherever incorporation can be effected with advantage and without violence to the consciences of the parties, it is in itself a most desirable object; and therefore without saying, roundly and universally, 'co-operation without incorporation,' I would, though at the hazard of marring somewhat the euphony of the saying, and of laying an arrest on its way towards the rank and celebrity of a proverb,—I would substitute for these words, 'co-operation now, and this with the view, as soon as may be, to incorporation afterwards'"

One fruitful source of past divisions—one signal obstacle to future incorporation—lay in discordant beliefs as to the form of church government which had been divinely prescribed. But no obstruction of this kind lay before Dr. Chalmers. He did not believe that any peculiar form of ecclesiastical government had been authoritatively enjoined, so that its adoption was matter of imperative and universal obligation.* Let there be an essential unity of faith, and he would

^{* &}quot;The controversies about Church Government have been exposed to much illogical treatment from the want of a right discrimination between the lawful and the obligatory. The distinction which I now make is different from that of Paul

have merged his own Presbyterianism, much as he valued it, either in Episcopacy on the one hand, or in Independency on the other, had it appeared that the great object of a visible unity in the Church of Christ could thereby have been attained. In existing circumstances this was impossible. There appeared even to be wise and important purposes subserved by those

between the lawful and the expedient. I use another word than expedient at present; and I beg you will attend to the import of the difference between that which is lawful and that which is obligatory. Many things are lawful for me to do which I am under no obligation of doing; for, though lawful to do them, it may be equally lawful for me to refrain from doing them. The lawfulness of doing a particular thing does not necessarily imply the unlawfulness of not doing it; as, for example, it may be lawful to celebrate the Sacrament of the Supper in a sitting posture, yet not unlawful to celebrate it in a kneeling posture; whereas, if, instead of lawful, it were obligatory to celebrate it in a sitting posture, then it would be unlawful to celebrate it kneeling. In other words, though you prove the lawfulness of a given practice, you do not on that account prove the unlawfulness of a different or an opposite practice; but, once make out that a practice is obligatory, then all other practices diverse from it, or opposite to it, are held in the face of the obligation, and therefore positively unlawful.

"Now, even though the Independents should be able to allege, which I am very far from conceding to them, that their mode of church government had the warrant of scriptural example, this might establish no more than the lawfulness of that constitution, but not, most certainly, the exclusive obligation of it. There might be the warrant of a scriptural example, and so far this may be called scriptural authority for Independency; but I would not hold such an authority as this as establishing the divine right of Independency. For when we speak of the divine right of any particular form of church government, I imagine that by this is meant, its being obligatory that we should adopt that form, and that only; or, in other words, by the adoption of it we do right, and by the adoption of any other we do wrong.

* * * *

"I must confess that the testimony of Epiphanius is highly accordant with my own views on the question of church government, which seems historically to have been changed and adapted according to the purposes of what may be termed Christian expediency; and instead of being decisively settled in Scripture, left very much to the discretion of Christian men. In as far as we are at liberty to judge from his account of the matter, there seems to have been no regular Episcopacy at first, and that men, instead of starting with it from the days of the Apostles, at length found their way to its more full and formal establishment through centuries afterwards.

* * *

"You will not fail to perceive from what a dimly conjectural region it is that the authorities on all sides of the question respecting church government are gathered; insomuch that I cannot enter with any very keen or decided earnestness into the controversy at all."—Posthumous Works, vol. ix. pp. 420-424.

strong national, or denominational attachments, by which different sections of a common Protestantism were characterized. Every approximation, however, which the different Churches could make to one another, everything that tended to cement their brotherly attachment and to bind them in the bonds of a closer fellowship, Dr. Chalmers delighted to countenance and carry forward. In the General Assembly held at Glasgow in the autumn of 1843, it fell to him to give an account of the various communications expressive of approval and congratulation which had been sent to him as Moderator of the preceding Assembly. "I confess to you," he said, "that I was much interested by the arrival, by one post after another, of these addresses and resolutions from various Churches, of whose very existence I was not aware till I received their letters. And I think that every man whose heart is in the right place, will be delighted with such movements. They are movements quite in my own favourite direction-because one and all of them are movements of convergency; or in other words, movements which point, in the first instance, to union, and as soon as possible and prudent, I trust their landing place will be incorporation. There is among them one very pleasant address, signed by-I have not had time to count the names,-but I believe some of the youngsters of my family tried a more wholesale method of arriving at a probable estimate of the amount of support thus given to the Free Church; instead of numbering, they measured it, and found it about seventeen yards long." Having enumerated about twenty different Churches, at home and abroad, from which communications of this kind had emanated, "I have felt," he added, "exceedingly delighted with these communications. I must say that I consider it as infinitely more characteristic of the religion which we profess —the religion of peace and charity—that instead of each denomination sitting aloft and apart upon its own hill, and

frowning upon each other from their respective orbits, that they should hold kindly and mutual converse, and see each other eye to eye, while they will discern, to their mutual astonishment, if not how thoroughly, at least how substantially, they are at one. And I just conclude with observing that now is the time to rally about the common standard all that is pure and vital in Protestantism; for now it is that we shall have to make head against a new form and revival of Antichrist, whether in the form of Popery—naked Popery—or Popery in disguise, even that Antichrist which threatens to shake a most withering mildew over the whole of Christendom."

"There is that scattereth yet increaseth;" so there is that divideth, yet it tendeth to unity. So was it with the Disruption. Blamed by many as a schismatic act, a great prompter to and promoter of division—no public incident of our times has done more to bring together into one the scattered Churches of the Reformation. For a time, and that the very time when it was most needed, the Free Church formed a centre of union whence the best and happiest influences were spread abroad. Its Assemblies of 1844 and 1845, prosecuted that work of union which preceding Assemblies had commenced. Within two years, and around the moderator's chair of these Assemblies, more Christian ministers, of a greater variety of profession, and from greater distances on the surface of this earth, met for Christian fellowship, than have ever congregated in modern times at the councils of any of our existing churches. And if it cheered the Free Church amid her labours and trials to receive expressions of sympathy, she was not backward in returning them. The Report of the Committee "appointed for corresponding with Foreign Churches, and aiding them in their evangelical operations," given in to the Assembly of 1845, informed the House, that for continental objects of religious usefulness alone, there had been put into the hands of the

Committee during the preceding year, a sum three or four times exceeding the largest contribution ever sent from Scotland for the same objects. It was upon a most memorable occasion (that of May 28th) that this Report was read. Dr. Merle d'Aubigné of Geneva, Mr. Frederic Monod of Paris, and Mr. Kuntze of Berlin, were present. Dr. Chalmers had resolved to absent himself from the meetings of this Assembly, but the temptation to introduce these distinguished foreigners was too great for him to resist. When he entered the vast crowd which the singularity of the occasion had congregated, the whole House rose to welcome him, and saluted him with a tumult of generous applause.*

"The high and honourable office," said Dr. Chalmers, "has been assigned to me of announcing the presence in this Assembly of certain evangelical and much esteemed ministers from various places on the Continent. At the present juncture of affairs, I cannot but regard the appearance of such men amongst us as providential. If ever there was a time when the friends of a scriptural faith and a free gospel should draw closer together, surely it is now, when the spiritual tyranny of former days is raising its head again, and threatens to resume its ancient lordship over the consciences of men. It is possible that, for the maintenance of our liberty, we may again be called upon for the same sacrifices, for the same struggles of principle with power, for the same heartfelt devotion to a noble cause, for the same lofty and intrepid doings on the side of Christian principle, which were first put forth in Germany, under the championship of one whom I need not name, because for three centuries he has been known and revered over all Christendom as the Hero of the Reformation. And, Sir, I am

^{* &}quot;The audience rose, shouted, clapped their hands, and waved hats and hand-kerchiefs." For a full description of this scene, see "Germany, England, and Scotland," by J. H. Merle d'Aubigné, p. 117.

delighted to think-it makes me feel as if I were now at the most interesting moment of my existence, when I can point to one of those strangers whom, in this great Assembly, I need as little to name-who is universally known as the Historian of the Reformation." Dr. Chalmers proceeded then to speak of the works of Dr. Merle, and of the many interesting ties which bound together Geneva and Scotland. But the personal and the national was soon lost in a wider topic,—" I hail," said he, "the footsteps of those friends from the Continent, because I know that one, and I believe that all of them, may be regarded as the apostles of Christian union; and I do hope that their presence among us, and their conversation with the ministers of various denominations, will have the effect of expediting that sacred cause in this country. I trust you will not charge me with over-liberality if I say, as I do from my conscience, that among the great majority of evangelical dissenters in this country, I am not aware of any topics of difference which I do not regard as so many men of straw, and I shall be exceedingly delighted if these gentlemen get the heads of the various denominations to meet together, and consent to make a bonfire of them."

In the Bicentenary commemoration there had lain concealed the germ of the Evangelical Alliance. Catching the enlarged and unsectarian spirit which then had been displayed, John Henderson, Esq. of Park, a name dear now to every lover of the truth, proposed to eight distinguished clergymen of various churches to frame together a volume on Christian union. Dr. Chalmers furnished the Introductory Essay to this volume, closing his brief sketch of "How such a union may begin, and to what it may eventually lead," by quoting a passage from the Moravian poet Gambold, which we have heard him more frequently repeat than perhaps any other passage in English poetry,—

"I'm apt to think, the man
That could surround the sum of things, and spy
The heart of God and secrets of his empire,
Would speak but love. With him the bright result
Would change the hue of intermediate scenes,
And make one thing of all theology."

It was from the Rev. Dr. King, one of Dr. Chalmers's coadjutors in the preparation of this volume, that the first proposal of a general conference emanated. With this proposal Dr. Chalmers cordially concurred, and his name was placed first in the list of requisitionists. The conference met at Liverpool on the 1st October 1845, and led to the organization in the summer of 1846 of the "Evangelical Alliance." Unable to take part personally in the deliberations of the "Alliance," Dr. Chalmers's contribution in furtherance of its object was given in the form of a pamphlet. The main drift of this publication was to dissuade the Alliance from doctrinal discussions, and invite it to instant united action as the best expedient for promoting perfect harmony of sentiment, and even of belief. "It will not do," he said, "for the thing to evaporate, as many other magnificent enterprises have done, in the mere phantasmagoria of committeeship and sub-committeeship, with an imposing list of officials, and large periodical assemblages, where first-rate speakers make their eloquent demonstrations, but are sadly at fault for the materials of real business, or how to assign an operative process by which they might advance towards the completion of the object on which they have met."

Dr. Chalmers was at no loss himself in prescribing the special objects to which he conceived that the members of the Alliance should immediately direct their energies. "We feel all confidence," he said, "in recommending that they should stand forth in the character, first of a great Anti-Popish Association; and secondly, of a great Home Mission; believing as we do you. IV.

that to walk together in the field of Christian philanthropy is a likely preparation for thinking together on the questions of Christian faith." As serving to mark more distinctively what he conceived should be the primary object of the institution, he proposed that it should be called the *Protestant* rather than the Evangelical Alliance. After describing the benefit which might arise from this change of name in the way of warding off the perplexities which might arise from any attempt to define the evangelical doctrine, so as to obtain for the definition a universal concurrence,—

"There is another argument," he added, "in favour of our proposed title. Its single watchword not only describes very clearly the qualifications of the proposed membership; but it intimates with equal clearness what the precise work is, in which, when brought together and formed into an association, they are expected to engage. It is an alliance in defence of Protestantism. Were there no danger to be warded off, there might be no reason in pleading for such an association as we now advocate, or for its title either. But who can deny the existence of a most imminent and daily increasing danger? Who can be ignorant of the busy aggressive proselytism and undermining policy that are now in active operation, under the conduct of agents and emissaries from the Church of Rome? Who can be blind to the evidences now springing up in various lands, that the old priest-eraft of the Middle Ages is lifting its head again, and shedding baleful influence over Churches that were wont to boast of theirs being the foremost place, and theirs the first-rate services in the cause of the glorious Reformation? Whether the Antichrist that is now reappearing be in the ancient and unmitigated form of Popery, or in the no less dangerous though milder form of Puseyism, surely there is most urgent call for vigilance and alarm; and, should it be made the first and most ostensible object of the Alliance to

repel the inroads of this threatening mischief, we are confident, if such an undertaking were to give forth its certain sound, that thousands and tens of thousands would hasten to its summons, in the sacred cause of religious freedom, and scriptural Christianity, and the rights of private judgment. It will be miserable indeed, if the hostile demonstrations from without do not lead us to look outwardly; or if we shall waste our energies on the yet premature attempt to settle the account between the various modifications and distinctions of Protestantism, when the common enemy is at the door, and if not met with a systematic and combined resistance, might bury Protestantism, throughout all its varieties, in one common ruin.

"We tell of a distinct thing to be done, and a distinct thing to correspond about, when we state how desirable it is to ascertain the statistics of Popery all over the world, and more especially the statistics of Puseyism and High Churchism -these great feeders of Popery in our own island; and furthermore, when we state as most fitting objects for deliberation, what the best methods are by which to arrest the progress of this threatening mischief, not only at home, but in America and the British Colonies, and the Continent of Europe, and every other place where Jesuitism is plying her wiles, and practising with deadly success her manifold delusions. And reverting to our own affairs, as well as making an advance from deliberations to doings, what more patent than the various methods by which our literary and ecclesiastical and influential men might arouse the Protestant community of Great Britain; and put our constituencies on the alert; and raise funds for the multiplication of scriptural schools; and outrival our adversaries, who at this moment are labouring with all their might to obtain possession of the masses by their unwearied attentions in the houses of the common people, and

doing whatever in them lies to influence and gain over our heretofore sadly neglected population."*

In this enumeration of things needing to be done, Dr. Chalmers put last of all what he had so long considered to be the greatest and most urgent of all—the evangelisation of the neglected masses. For more than thirty years this had been the ruling passion of his life. That life, though none imagined so at this period, was drawing near its close; and as if knowing that its time was short, this ruling passion rose into redoubled strength, and broke forth into most beautiful manifestation. His first expectation was that the Free Church in her organized capacity, and by help of her Sustentation Fund, might press forward her Christian services into the polluted recesses of city life, and help to heal the spreading leprosy. That expectation failing, he lifted at the close of his "Earnest Appeal to the Free Church" this imploring entreaty to other evangelical denominations:—"We would earnestly wish the concurrence, the practical concurrence of all other evangelical communions in this great work. We are all the more desirous of this, when we think on the mighty, nay, the yearly increasing spaces of wild and outlandish territory which are still unoccupied. Are there not myriads of immortal, yet perishing because neglected, spirits in Edinburgh and Glasgow, and other large towns of Scotland, as well as in hundreds of outfields throughout the country at large, which would require the united efforts of all the wise and good in our land for many years to come? Why put off for another hour, we do not say the fulfilment, but at all events the commencement of this glorious enterprise-for in truth this, though forming the greatest moral problem of our day, has scarcely been entered on? In our city wastes, in our manufacturing villages, in many, very many of our remote and rural

^{*} The reader will recollect that these paragraphs were written in 1846.

hamlets-in all these put together, are there thousands of families who live in guilt and die in darkness, and have never up to this moment been the objects of aught like an adequate effort for their Christian education. Should not all who love the Lord Jesus Christ in sincerity, form themselves into agencies, and select their respective fields of operation? And though each of these bodies will labour far more effectively when labouring apart from each other, or when not overlaid by the weight of that very usual, but, at the same time, very useless apparatus—we mean the incubus of a complex and cumbrous committeeship-yet this need not hinder a busy converse and comparison of their several methods on the part of these distinct bands of philanthropists, the individual members of which might often meet together in social party, and there provoke each other to love, and more especially to this great and good work. And another mighty benefit might be expected from such a co-operation as this. A common object of Christian charity, zealously prosecuted by all, will lead to a more general community of thought and feeling betwixt them. It would speed the cause of Christian union at an infinitely more rapid pace than ever will be effected by Synods and Assemblies labouring in conjunct deliberation to new-model their formularies, and settle their articles of agreement. us be one in well-doing; and this, wherever there is real sincerity and right good earnest, will prove the high-road to being one in sentiment. A oneness in conduct will often lead to an essential oneness of creed—for the reflex influence of the former upon the latter is far greater than perhaps logicians and controversialists in theology are willing to allow. And so may we speed onward the accomplishment of our blessed Saviour's prayer—even that palpable unity among Christians, which He has announced as an indispensable stepping-stone to the world's regeneration."

When he wrote these sentences, he was not without the hope that the Evangelical Alliance when fully constituted, though not itself undertaking the great task, would become its public patron, by proclaiming its necessity, and stimulating the Church and Christian societies of Britain to its vigorous prosecution. It was mainly, in fact, with a view to this that his pamphlet on the Evangelical Alliance was published. Here also, to a large extent, his hope was frustrated; but the undying flame burned on, and made for itself a fitting vent.

CHAPTER XXI.

THE WEST PORT.

The Report of the Royal Commissioners on Religious Instruction established and proclaimed the fact, that one-third part of the entire population of Edinburgh had no fixed connexion with any Christian church. A statement, however, couchedein such general terms could give no adequate conception of the religious condition of the lower classes of the communitymore than three-fourths of whom (and in many sections the proportion was much higher) had forsaken the ordinances and renounced all visible profession of Christianity. Nor was Edinburgh at all singular in this respect.* In all the large towns of the empire, the vast bulk of the working population had been suffered to sink into a profound abyss of ignorance and irreligion. Ever since his own personal observations at Glasgow had convinced Dr. Chalmers that this was the nearest, the greatest, the most growing, and the most alarming of all our national evils, it had been the unwearied effort of his life to stimulate into vigorous operation that peculiar instrumentality which was alone able, as he believed, to cope with this

^{*} London is much worse: —"It is by no means an uncommon occurrence for whole streets to be found without a single individual who attends public worship, or recognises the claims of God. Of the working classes, and especially of the working men of the metropolis, there is only the merest fraction who are to be seen within its churches or its chapels, a proportion so small as perfectly to appal the Christian heart with the consideration."—London City Mission Magazine, Jan. 1852, pp. 5-9.

gigantic evil-effectually to reduce, and finally to remove it. It was to this end that his own ministerial labours in Glasgow were so steadily and strenuously directed, but the extraordinary general popularity by which that ministry was attended, to a great extent frustrated his attempts. He took up and carried on the Church Extension scheme as an effort on a wider scale to compass the same object; but just when that great enterprise had touched the borders of those wide moral wildernesses which were waiting to be reclaimed, he saw it arrested in its course. He was convinced that a Church in which unmitigated Patronage prevailed, and over which a direct spiritual control was exercised by the State, could never be an effective instrument in-Scotland for evangelizing the masses, and this conviction quickened the zeal with which he entered upon the Non-Intrusion controversy. He longed, however, for the close of that controversy, in order that, rid of its embarrassment, the Church might devote herself to this most urgent and important work; and when the Disruption came, he cherished for a brief season the expectation that, by force of its powerful impulse, the Free Church would be impelled onward to its accomplishment; but busied with the supply of so large an adhering population, that Church was unable to make any great or systematic effort in this direction. For a time he turned his hopes towards the Evangelical Alliance, and besought its countenance for his favourite method of territorial cultivation, but that countcnance was withheld. Baffled thus in his endeavours to obtain the support of public bodies, with a conviction unshaken and zeal unquenched, Dr. Chalmers resolved to engage singlehanded in this great enterprise-to select one of the worst districts of Edinburgh, and, by the help of such zealous associates as he could gather around him, to institute an experiment of so testing a kind that, if successful, it might compel belief and invite imitation. "I have determined," he

says, writing to Mr. Lennox on the 26th July 1844, "to assume a poor district of 2000 people, and superintend it myself, though it be a work greatly too much for my declining strength and means. Yet such do I hold to be the efficiency of the method, with the Divine blessing, that, perhaps, as the concluding act of my public life, I shall make the effort to exemplify what as yet I have only expounded."

Recurring again to the same topic in a letter dated the 9th August,—"Indulge me," he says, "if I feel encouraged to state the grievous spiritual destitution of the thousands and tens of thousands in all our large towns who are utter strangers to all the habitual decencies of a Christian land. I could not in my own individual strength, even though aided by the means and energies of all my acquaintances, ever think of coping with this enormous evil, en masse, or in all its magnitude and entireness. I feel very confident as to the likeliest steps by which, piecemeal and successively, the whole even of this great and growing evil might be overtaken. But the most which I can personally undertake to do is, to work off one model or normal specimen of the process, by which a single locality might be reclaimed from this vast and desolate wilderness; and after the confirmation of my views by a made out experience of this sort, pressing it on the imitation of all other philanthropists of all other localities. * * * Such is the value and importance which I attach to this enterprise, that, now I have done all I can for the economics of the Free Church at large, I mean to give up all general business, and, with God's help, will devote my remaining strength to the special object which I have now explained."

As preparatory to the execution of his purpose, Dr. Chalmers delivered four public lectures in the months of June and July, directed mainly to the illustration of the superior efficacy of local schools and local churches, so related to the limited districts in which they are planted as to bear with special and concentrated effect upon the surrounding families; and with as great freshness of thought and feeling as if the topic were wholly new to him, the attractive and the aggressive systems were compared and contrasted. The time was, in one respect, favourable for a re-hearing of the subject. He could present now his favourite territorial scheme, dissociated from all those public questions with the discussion of which it had been formerly mixed up, and upon the ground of its own naked and inherent merits, he could urge its claims equally upon Churchmen and Dissenters. It was true that in each locality in which that scheme was tried, he desired to see a church erected, which must be connected with some Christian communion. It was equally true that in that particular locality which he might himself select, the church so raised would come naturally to be connected with the Free Church; but, with some hope of his motives being understood and appreciated among his former opponents—the Voluntaries—he could indignantly repudiate all sectarian aims, and in the fervour of intense excitement could exclaim,—"Who cares about the Free Church, compared with the Christian good of the people of Scotland? Who cares about any Church, but as an instrument of Christian good? for be assured, that the moral and religious wellbeing of the population is of infinitely higher importance than the advancement of any sect."*

The locality selected by Dr. Chalmers as the scene of his projected enterprise was the West Port; a part of Edinburgh to which a few years previously an infamous notoriety had been attached by those secret murders, the discovery of which sent a thrill of horror through the land. By an accurate survey, it was found that the main street and its adjoining wynds con-

^{*} This passage was uttered with great vehemence of expression, at a public meeting held in Edinburgh on 27th December 1845.

tained 411 families, of which 45 were attached to some Christian communion; 70 were Roman Catholics; and 296 had no connexion with any Church whatever. Out of a gross population of 2000, three-fourths of the whole, or about 1500 of the inhabitants were living-within sound of many a Sabbath-bell, and with abundance of contiguous church accommodation, -lost to all the habits and all the decencies of the Christian life. In these families the number of children capable of attending school was only 411, and of these 290 were growing up altogether untaught. The physical and moral condition of this community was deplorable: one-fourth were paupers on the poor-roll, and one-fourth were street-beggars, thieves, or prostitutes. When Mr. Tasker, the minister of the West Port, made his first visits to some of the filthiest closes, it was no uncommon thing for him to find from twenty to thirty men, women, and children, huddled together in one putrid dwelling, lying indiscriminately on the floor, waiting the return of the bearer of some well-concocted begging-letter, or the coming on of that darkness under which they might sally out, to earn by fair means or by foul, the purchase-money of renewed debauchery. Upon one occasion he entered a tenement with from twelve to twenty apartments, where every human being, man and woman, were so drunk they could not hear their own squalid infants crying in vain to them for food. He purchased some bread for the children, and entering a few minutes afterwards a neighbouring dram-shop, he found a half-drunk mother driving a bargain for more whisky with the very bread which her famishing children should have been eating. He went once to a funeral, and found the assembled company all so drunk around the corpse, that he had to go and beg some sober neighbours to come and carry the coffin to the grave. These were extreme cases, indicative, however, of a deep and general moral corruption. It was a somewhat formidable enter-

prise—to many it would have seemed altogether hopeless to come into close quarters with such a population. Aided, however, by that band of zealous associates which his public lectures, and the many private interviews by which they were followed up had gathered around him, Dr. Chalmers went hopefully forward. The plan of operations laid down by him was sufficiently simple, but it needed zeal and regularity and devoted perseverance to carry it into execution. The West Port was divided into twenty districts, containing each about twenty families. Over each of these districts a visitor was appointed, whose duty it was to visit, once each week, all the families committed to his care; by all such attention and services as he could offer to win their goodwill-by reading the Scriptures, by distributing tracts, by entering into conversation, and by engaging in prayer,-to promote, as fit openings were given him, their spiritual welfare. A printed slip, drawn up by Dr. Chalmers, was to be left in every house by each visitor, explaining the objects of his present and future calls.* As the demand for education precedes that for spiritual instruction, it was proposed that the first step taken should be the opening of a school somewhere within the West Port, and the visitors were advised to direct much of their attention, in the first instance, to the young, and to persuade parents to send their children to the school which would be opened for them ere long in some convenient place. In the execution of this plan there were two points to which Dr. Chalmers attached particular importance. To protect the purity of the enterprise, he was most anxious that his agents should not become almoners, and should dispense as little money as possible among the poor. Dilating upon this subject in one of his lectures, he recounted his own experience at Glasgow,-"I may state to you, that in the outset

^{*} See Appendix F.

of my settlement in Glasgow, I was placed in the Tron Church parish, with a population of 10,000 souls, which afterwards increased. I was anxious to become acquainted with the habits of a city population, and the parish I got was quite to my mind. I was anxious to become acquainted with the inner springs of that department of society, and I therefore resolved to go personally round among the people. My first entry was upon a close, reaching from the Salt Market to the celebrated Molendinar Burn; and to be sure, in that close there were to be found wretchedness and misery of every kind. I was struck with the great apparent interest and cordiality of my reception, so very unlike to what I had anticipated. There was even a competition for me, each one wanting me into their own house. I could not understand it. But I soon discovered that I was thought to possess great influence in the city charities-I found that was the subject they constantly broached whenever they got me into their houses. What I judged and apprehended as the consequence of this was, that it would neutralize the influence which I wanted to have as a Christian minister. I saw that this would vitiate my influence among them. I felt that it would never do if I were to go among them first as a dispenser of temporal good things, and then as urging upon them the things which make for their everlasting peace. I felt the want of compatibility between the two objects, and, rather than defeat my primary object, I determined to cut my connexion with the city charities, and to let it be understood that I would not attend any more of the meetings of this hospital, or that charity, or Millar's Mortification-I am sure it produced great mortification to me-I fairly cut my connexion with them all; I let the people understand that I dealt only in one article, and that, if they valued the advantages of Christian instruction, they were welcome to any approximation which I could make to them. Now, the thing

that delighted me was, that after this declaration was made to them, the people were disabused of the imagination that I had an inexhaustible treasury to dispose of, and that it was in my power to scatter plenty through their habitations, but that if they would insist upon asking me, I could tell them that any thing I might do for them must be at my own expense, and I was not very rich. The effect of this frankness between me and the people was, that, if there was any difference, they received me more cordially than ever. * * * I had an elder who was a person of great benevolence, but not so judicious and discriminating in this instance as I would have liked; for when I instituted the Sabbath-school system, it was reported to me that he was devising, and had gone a considerable length in forming—and the scheme looked feasible enough—a local Sabbath-school clothing society for the parish of St. John's. Sir, said I to him, your society will blast our Sabbath-school enterprise; I wish to have 1200 scholars, do you mean to clothe all these? No, said he, only the most necessitous. Well, said I, but all the rest will wait their turn to be clothed, and we shall get no more than a fraction. My object is, that they should come with the clothes they have on, so do not embarrass us with your society. I accordingly got the society knocked on the head. You may go forth with perfect safety, having this inscription on the forefront of your enterprise - 'Education for all;' but what would be the effect if you were to go forth with this inscription-' Money or meat for all?' If you go forth with that inscription it will require very little effort on the part of the people, by a trifle more of dissipation or of indolence, to qualify themselves for relief in that way."

He was equally strenuous in resisting the proposal that in the school about to be opened, the children should be educated gratuitously. "I don't think," he said, "that you will achieve any permanent good for the population unless you enlist them as fellow-workers in, or at least as fellow-contributors to the cause. I think that a great and radical error in the management of our population has just proceeded from the idea that they are utterly helpless and unable to do anything for themselves. I believe that if you proceed rightly, it will be found that they are able to do a great deal for themselves. I know that there is a difference of opinion on this point; but I stand up most inflexibly on the subject of school-fees, and think those persons ought to pay for the education of their children. I want to train up the families in the sentiment that education is worth its price, and to win them to the paying of that price. I am unfriendly to gratuitous education; nor do I wish that any of our agents, or the people themselves, shall, in the imagination of our indefinite resources, look for any relaxation of this system. The lesson which I am constantly giving out is, that we shall not be able to do aught which is permanently effectual for the people's good, unless they will lend a hand and do something for themselves."

Dr. Chalmers held his first regular meeting with his agents in Portsburgh Hall, an old court-house within the bounds of the West Port, on Saturday evening, the 27th July 1844. Having made in the interval their weekly round among these families, the visitors were to assemble here every Saturday evening to give in their reports, and to converse together about the most effectual methods of carrying on the enterprise upon which they had now fairly embarked. Dr. Chalmers was prevented by illness from presiding at their meetings for a few weeks in August and September, but so intense was the interest which he took in them, that he addressed the following notes to the chairman:—

"Morningside, 31st August 1844.—It grieves me to say, that I at present labour under such prostration of strength as to be unfit for the business of meetings. My physicians have

laid me under an interdict against all committee work. I have pled hard with them on behalf of the meetings of the West Port, and I am happy to say, that so soon as the interdict is removed, the first use I will make of my freedom will be to revisit the West Port, and take all the share I can in the management of its important affairs, deeming this to be of far greater importance than that I should continue my connexion with any of the public committees of the Church. These I mean to give up; but our present attempt to reclaim the population of the West Port is what I never can abandon till forced to it by absolute necessity.

"For the present, I would only request that full minutes should be taken of your meetings, and that I should be favoured with the sight of them. I fear that for some little time my only converse with you may be by such a weekly letter as the present. But I trust that, by the blessing of God, I may soon appear amongst you; and I cannot adequately express how much I long for the opportunity of addressing the householders of the West Port, either in your Court-Hall, or in some larger place, for the accommodation of a general meeting.

THOMAS CHALMERS.

" My best regards to all who are assembled."

"Morningside, 6th September 1844.—I return the minutes, which I have read with the deepest interest and satisfaction.

"There is one providential object which might be gained by my present retirement. I feel quite certain that success is to be attained in no other way than by the blessing of God on the assiduous prosecution of that task which you have severally had the goodness to undertake. Be assured that our doings will be regarded as far more imitable if, instead of being stimulated by the personal influence of any one individual, they are quietly and perseveringly performed by each man doing his duty. I have often said, that great results are to be looked for, not from a gigantic exertion on the part of one. but from the accumulation and practicable efforts on the part of many. I hope that by the time the winter sets in, we shall have all our districts provided with agents, and all our agents in regular operation.

Thomas Chalmers."

"Morningside, 20th September 1844.—There is no statement made by any of you which has yielded me greater hope and satisfaction than the progressive liking which you feel for the families of your respective districts. There cannot be a better security, or more solid guarantee than this, for the perpetuity of our operations. Let me, therefore, once more entreat your perseverance in the great and good work, that with the blessing of God, in answer to our united prayers, a great and signal benefit may be rendered to the population among whom you labour. With my most cordial regards to all members of your meeting, I ever am, &c.

Thomas Chalmers."

A school-room was at last obtained. It lay at the end of the very close down which Burke and his associate decoyed their unconscious victims. Fronting the den in which those horrid murders were committed, stood an old deserted tannery, whose upper storeloft, approached from without by a flight of projecting wooden stairs, was selected as affording the best accommodation which the neighbourhood could supply. Low-roofed and roughly floored, its raw unplastered walls pierced at irregular intervals with windows of unshapely form, it had little either of the scholastic or the ecclesiastical in its aspect; but never was the true work of school and church done better than in that old tannervloft of the West Port. Dr. Chalmers invited all the inhabitants of the neighbourhood to meet him there on Wednesday the 6th November. By this time the frequent calls of the visitors had VOL. IV. 2 L

awakened a general curiosity, and the invitation was accepted. the loft presenting a larger assembly of what he called 'genuine West-Porters' than had met together for many years. upon the saying of Talleyrand, which he so often quoted, "That there is nothing formidable in meeting with the very lowest of the people, if you only treat them frankly," Dr. Chalmers told them all that he and his friends meant to do for them, and all that he expected that they would do for themselves. them, in homely but vigorous terms, that a school was to be opened for their children, and that one of the best teachers in the country had been obtained for it; but that they must pay twopence a week for each child's education; that the article they were to be supplied with was worth a great deal more than that, and that they were quite able, and he was sure would be quite willing to pay that much for it. The audience were quite delighted with the address, and quite persuaded that they both should and could do all that was required. Dr. Chalmers was singularly fortunate in his selection of his first teacher, Mr. Sinclair, to whom, soon after his nomination, he addressed the following letter:-

"Morningside, 30th October 1844.—I expect to tell you in a day or two when the school may be opened; but, meanwhile, it is of prime importance that you should clearly understand our object.

"It is not to fill that school any how, but to fill it from the families of the West Port; a process which will be more or less gradual, but in which, if we fail, we shall conceive our peculiar object to be lost—even though the school should, on being opened for children from all quarters, be filled to an overflow.

"I have all confidence in your energy and ability as a teacher, and like exceedingly the special attention which you mean to bestow on those clever boys that you would employ as monitors. But I should particularly like that your preference scholars for better classes in society.

should be for West Port boys, rather than for those who might be afterwards brought in from beyond the locality. Be assured that you will meet with a full average of talent among the ragged children of this outlandish population. Our great object in fact is, to reclaim them from their present outlandishness, and raise them to a higher platform. We may have a good deal to encounter in the way of slovenliness and untoward habits at the outset: but the achievement will be all the more honourable if you succeed; and be assured that it will be at length productive of a far mightier effect on the interest of plebeian education than if, lying open to children from all distances, you were filled to the very door with a higher style of

"Let us only have patience and toleration for all the disagreeables of our outset, whether as regards the school or the scholars. Let us recollect the coarse materials that we have in the first instance to deal with, gradually to be improved, however, by the refining and humanizing process which they will be made to undergo.

"Do come then, my dear sir, with a heart alive to the importance, and resolved, as far as in you lies, on the success of this great enterprise, and so earn the title to one of the highest achievements which can possibly be contemplated, either by the enlightened patriot or by the Christian philanthropist.—I am, &c.

THOMAS CHALMERS."

The school was opened with sixty-four day scholars, and fifty-seven evening scholars, on the 11th November 1844; and in the course of a single year, no fewer than 250 were in attendance, and those chiefly from the West Port. The educational part of the process having been fairly set agoing, the higher and more difficult operation was commenced, of bringing the adult population under regular spiritual instruction. On

the forenoon of Sabbath the 22d December, Dr. Chalmers opened the tan-loft for public worship. We were present on the evening of that day, when the city missionary officiated, and when we looked round and saw that the whole fruit of the advices, and requests, and entreaties which for many previous weeks had been brought to bear upon all the families by the visitors, was the presence of about a dozen adults, and those mostly old women, we confess to strong misgivings as to the result. But the services were regularly continued thrice each Sabbath, and the private agencies were renewed. In April 1845, Dr. Chalmers was so peculiarly fortunate as to secure the services of the Rev. Mr. Tasker—the attendance grew under his ministry, and at the close of the year the nucleus of a good congregation began already to appear. The scheme, however, was obviously working at disadvantage so long as an apartment so difficult of access, and so rudely fitted up, formed at once the schoolroom and the church. Ground, therefore, was purchased, and all other needful steps were taken for the erection within the West Port of a church and schoolroom. Meanwhile, under the zealous ministry of Mr. Tasker, who in due time was regularly ordained, and with the aid of those ladies and gentlemen who, with the utmost devotedness, gave themselves to the work, all those different operations were carried on which the reader will find so well described in the "Territorial Visitor's Manual" of Mr. Tasker. A library, and a savings'-bank, and a washing-house, and a female industrial school, were all established, and all succeeded. Dr. Chalmers preached frequently in the loft; addressed several meetings of the inhabitants to explain to them the different parts of the scheme, as they successively were instituted; presided at many of the agents' meetings, and was, in fact, the central spring which set the whole machinery in motion. There may have been other works of his hands, upon which a larger

amount of labour was bestowed, but there was none over which so many prayers were offered. It lets us into his secret feelings, and tells us of the depth of that peculiar interest with which he watched the progress of this undertaking, when we find him in his study at Morningside, on Sabbath morn or Sabbath even, penning such prayers as these: - "It is yet but the day of small things with us; and I in all likelihood shall be taken off, ere that much greater progress is made in the advancement of the blessed gospel throughout our land. But give me the foretaste and the confident foresight of this great Christian and moral triumph ere I die. Let me at least, if it be Thy blessed will, see-though it should be only in one or in a small number of specimens—a people living in some district of aliens, as the West Port, reclaimed at least into willing and obedient hearers, afterwards in Thine own good time to become the doers of Thy word. Give me, O Lord, a token for the larger accomplishment of this good ere I die."-"Moving fearlessly onward, may I at length obtain such possession of the West Port, as that the gospel of Jesus Christ shall have the moral ascendency over a goodly number of its families. And let me not forget the conquests of Thine all-subduing grace, and the preservations of Thy faithful servants in the history of the missions of other days. And oh, in this as well as in other work, let it be my care to follow the Lord fully; neither let me go up thither unless Thy presence and favour go along with me. Thou surely hast not forbidden this enterprise; and therefore will we ever pray that Thou mayest prosper and extend it. We would give Thee no rest, O Lord, till Thou hast opened the window of heaven and caused righteousness to run down that street like a mighty river."—"O pour forth the spirit of generosity on my coadjutors and their friends in the work of cultivating the West Port of Edinburgh; and let such a memorial of Christian philanthropy be set up in

that place as to be a praise and an example both in the city of our habitation and in the other cities of our land."-" Reveal to me, O God, the right tactics, the right way and method of proceeding in the management of the affairs of the West Port. O that I were enabled to pull down the strongholds of sin and of Satan which are there; and O save me, save me from the difficulties to which I am exposed should hollowness of heart or principle be found to obtain with any of the agency. O how incompetent I feel myself to be for acting the part of a cautious and wise general in the midst of them. Be my help and my adviser, O God, and tell me by Thy word and Spirit what I ought to do."—"O my God, give me the power of ordering matters aright in the West Port; let all be peace and harmony, and no confusion there; direct all my footsteps in that undertaking; and may I more and more be made to abound in such suggestions as Thy Spirit will prompt and approve of. Let me keep all the impulses of my own spirit under the subjection of a presiding and circumspect wisdom. And more especially, O God, let me understand Thy will in regard to the right place and performances of a female agency. May their work be abundantly blessed and countenanced from on high, and have a happy effect on the families. Let me beware of mine being too much of a restraining authority; and let me seek that all things be done for edification, and all things be done decently and in order."-" Bestow on me in larger measure and proportion that grace which Thou didst so plentifully bestow on the churches of Macedonia. Let it spread abroad more and more among the sadly deficient congregations of our Free Church: Do Thou begin it even now among the families of the West Make them willing in the day of Thy power, that abounding in all that is good, they may abound in this grace also. It is still but a day of small things. O do Thou brighten it onward even unto the latter day glory. Cause the poorest

to take part in the fellowship of Christian charity; and may the substantial equality be maintained among all the classes, by the rich casting in their larger gifts, and each man giving in proportion to his ability."-" O my God, give me to set my delight here on the excellent ones of the earth, that I may be prepared for the perfect enjoyment of their and my presence before Jesus Christ at His coming; and draw close the affection and the affinity between Mr. Tasker and the families of the West Port. Do thou plentifully endow him with the graces and gifts of the Apostle Paul. May he have many souls for his hire; and bestow Thy guidance on him and on all the other associates in the good work of attempting to reclaim an outcast population to the light and obedience of the gospel. O may the attempt be a successful one; and may he, in particular, have many for a crown of joy and of rejoicing in the great day of account."-" And I pray not for myself alone, but for him who labours in holy things among the families of the West Port. Prosper, O Lord, his meditations upon thy word. Let me ever rejoice in the endowments which Thou hast been pleased to bestow upon him. Do Thou guide and encourage him, O Lord. May he be enabled to wait upon Thee without distraction; and let him so minister, that not only his own profiting, but the profiting of those under his charge, may appear unto all. O may he not only be himself saved, but may he be the instrument of salvation to many; and may both he and I be carried in safety and at length with triumph to that prosperous consummation for which we are jointly labouring."*

These impressive petitions were all offered up while yet the West Port enterprise was in its infancy—an infancy shadowed by many doubts and fears. It was while one of those shadows

^{*} Horæ Sabbaticæ, vol. i. pp. 238, 255, 302, 319; and vol. ii. pp. 229, 238, 241, 303.

hung over it that Dr. Chalmers addressed the following letter to Mr. Tasker:—

"Fairley, by Largs, June 12th, 1845.—My chief anxiety is that neither you nor any of our coadjutors shall lose heart because of a less rapid progress than some of them may perhaps have anticipated. We are not worthy of having entered on the experiment, if not capable of persevering with it under the discouragement it may be of many alternations, and for a time, if God so please to exercise our faith and patience, of reverses. Such a spirit is peculiarly called for in a work of which it may be pre-eminently said, that except the Lord build the house, they labour in vain that build it. * * *

"While dependent on the Divine contribution for success, I must say that I feel the importance too of no ingredient in the human contribution being wanting. On this ground I desiderate that all justice should be done to our undertaking, and that as an experiment it should be made as complete as possible, otherwise the instruction given by it will be imperfect; whereas even from its failure we shall learn a great deal more from a full than from a partial operation. I therefore desiderate, and that as soon as may be, a church not only within the locality, but, agreeably to your own view, as much in the view of the families as possible. I look on the present steady attendance, say of 80 or 100, in the present disadvantageous circumstances, as a sufficient nucleus, under God, for a future congregation. And what should reconcile us all to this step is, that even though the worst should come to the worst, though the local preference should not give us a full local congregation for years together, we can, after giving it a local constitution, that is, securing the offer of all vacant sittings in the first instance to the people of the West Port-we can, at each seat-letting, hold out the room not disposed of to the public at large; so that, after having done all for a local, we have still the same resource that is had

in all other churches for supplementing the deficiency from our first aim, by means of a general congregation. The sooner the operation is expedited the better for me, who am getting on in life; and I desire, whatever may be the result, to take the instruction of it with all the calmness both of a philosopher and a Christian, viewing it in one light as the lesson of experience, and in another, as the lesson which God pleases to give me, whether to humble or to gratify me before I die.—Ever believe me, my dear sir, yours most cordially, Thomas Chalmers."

The prospect of a speedy and remarkable triumph brightened before Dr. Chalmers as the year 1846 progressed. Visible success cheered on the various agents, and their efforts were multiplied as the fair and pleasant fruits of them ripened under their eye. The liberality of many Christian friends supplied Dr. Chalmers with funds sufficient not only to build a church and school-room, but to purchase and fit up a tenement of houses as model-houses for working-men, in which, at a low rent, additional means of cleanliness and comfort were enjoyed. It was in a tone, therefore, of rising confidence that, while the church was building, he issued his last circular.—"We have long thought," he said, "that the failure of every former attempt to reclaim the masses of our population is due to the insufficiency of the means which have been brought to bear upon them; and while deeply sensible that means alone will prove of no effect without the blessing from on high on the devotedness and the conscientious labours of those into whose hands they are entrusted, yet we hold it irrational to look for any great or sensible result with so slender an apparatus as that of Sabbath-schools, and prayer meetings, and rare occasional visits from house to house, under the conduct, it may be, of a few missionaries for the whole of a large town-each sinking under the weight of the many thousands who have been committed to his care; and dispirited by the want of any such visible fruit as might serve to satisfy both himself and his employers that his efforts are not wholly dissipated or lost, to all observation at least, in that mighty aggregate of human beings wherewith he has to deal.

"It is under this conviction that we have long advocated the concentration of commensurate efforts and means on a small enough territory. What cannot be done in bulk, and all at once, let us try in separate portions—each within the compass of such an agency as would form a sufficient eldership and set of office-bearers for an ordinary congregation. We are aware of the ridicule that has been poured in other departments, whether of politics or philanthropy, on the process of what has been termed a bit and bit reform. But let us ascertain whether this bit and bit process be not, after all, the only one that is suited to the real mediocrity of the human powers.

"The very essence of our scheme lies in the thorough operation of what we have called the territorial principle. We limit our attention to a single district or locality, itself split into sub-districts, having each a Christian agent attached to it; so that not a home or family which might not be frequently and habitually visited by one having the charge of not more, if possible, than twenty households. By this busy internal missionary process, a vast amount of direct good might be done, even were there nothing more than a kindly influential converse, all, of course, on the side of morality and religion and a better economics, than now prevails throughout the population. But one of the main benefits of such a system as this is, that it might be made to act so powerfully as a recruiting process both for church and schools, as at length to terminate in a parochial economy of a power and character so pervading that each child shall receive a wholesome education, and at the sound of their own Sabbath-bell nearly each house may be seen to pour

forth its family of worshippers. We are sensible that even though this were to take effect, it would yield nothing more than but a reclaimed portion of the whole territory. But if the hundreds of Christian philanthropists in Edinburgh and Glasgow, and the thousands in London, were in little separate bands to select their respective localities and do the same thing, a single decade of years might not pass away without our being landed in the blessed result of a better and happier generation."

On Friday the 19th February 1847, the West Port Church was opened for public worship by Dr. Chalmers, and on the 25th April he presided at the first sacrament administered within its walls. On the following Monday, he said to Mr. Tasker-"I have got now the desire of my heart,—the church is finished, the schools are flourishing, our ecclesiastical machinery is about complete, and all in good working order. God has indeed heard my prayer, and I could now lay down my head in peace and die." On Tuesday the 27th, he wrote thus to Mr. Lennox :- "I wish to communicate what to me is the most joyful event of my life. I have been intent for thirty years on the completion of a territorial experiment, and I have now to bless God for the consummation of it. Our church was opened on the 19th of February, and in one month my anxieties respecting an attendance have been set at rest. Five-sixths of the sittings have been let; but the best part of it is, that three-fourths of these are from the West Port, a locality which, two years ago, had not one in ten church-goers from the whole population. I presided myself, on Sabbath last, over its first sacrament. There were 132 communicants, and 100 of them from the West Port."

Scarcely more than two years had elapsed, yet how great was the transformation. When the work began, the number attending all places of worship did not exceed one-eighth of the whole population of the West Port. In the new church 300 sittings were taken as soon as it was opened; and of the 100 communicants from the district who sat down at the first communion, there were so many as eighty (some far advanced in life) who had never communicated in their lives before, or so long ago that they had wholly forgotten the nature and objects of the ordinance. When the work began, of those capable of education three fourths were not at school; already the ratio had been reversed, and three-fourths were in regular attendance. Many of these children were of the poorest class, yet school-fees, amounting in the aggregate to upwards of £70 per annum, had been cheerfully and gratefully paid by their parents. The change was beginning to show itself even in the outward appearance of the district—in the increased cleanliness and tidiness of the children *-in quieter Saturday nights, and more orderly Sabbaths. Many moral and physical agencies

* "We have upwards of a hundred girls at this school, and I have no recollection of anything so sudden, so much per saltum, as the transition in the aspect of these girls, from the time of their first raggedness, as they were found running about the streets in that destitute locality, to the personal cleanliness and respectability which they now exhibit. Their appearance, indeed, is altogether delightful.—The boys, I may state, have not made so large an advance as the girls in point of appearance; but altogether the schools present a most attractive and delightful spectacle. I have been told that a number of the plebeian schools in our city have been abandoned by female visitors, in virtue of want of personal cleanliness. By the use of baths, this want is counteracted. The truth is, that there is nothing like going thoroughly to work; we even take cognizance of the hair-cutting, as far as the boys are concerned. We have made a bargain,-I don't know that it is a very cheap one, -but we got a hair-dresser to clip the boys' heads at eighteenpence a dozen, which is at the rate of three-halfpence a head. I can just say this much, that I am sure that the ruder and rawer the material is, out of which finished goods are worked, the greater is the triumph of the manufacturing art; and in this point of view, I would consider it a most attractive and inviting circumstance, when we recollect that these poor people have all the capacities of human spirits,that they have talents,—that they have imperishable souls,—that they are on a full level of equality with ourselves in all that is essential to man,—and that we have nothing to do but to go and do them justice, and to give up the shameful neglect which we have indulged towards the lower classes for half a century, I would say for almost a whole century; and it is not to be told to what height of advancement, morally, intellectually, and economically, they are capable of being raised."

still existed which wrought powerfully against the attempted reformation. More than twenty dram-shops in that single district hung out their invitation to debauchery, while fetid nests of filth and vice lay festering in many a close. There was something to be done here which the public authorities alone could do, and which Dr. Chalmers urged them in vain to undertake.—" I would again implore the aid of the authorities for the removal of all those moral, and the aid of the Sanitary Board for the removal of all those physical nuisances and discomforts which are found to exist within the limits of a territory so full of misery and vice at present, yet so full of promise for the future. Could I gain this help from our men in power, and this co-operation from the Board of Health, then, with the virtue which lies in education, and, above all, the hallowing influences of the gospel of Jesus Christ, I should look, though in humble dependence on the indispensable grace from on high, for such a result as, at least in its first beginnings, I could interpret into the streaks and dawnings of a better day,—when, after the struggles and discomfitures of thirty years, I might depart in peace, and leave the further prosecution of our enterprise with comfort and calmness in the hands of another generation."

It was but the dawning which he was permitted to behold. A few weeks after that first communion in the West Port, he was removed to the communion of the heavens, and the work was left in other hands. There were some who thought that his removal would be fatal to its success; and that it was only by such impulses as he could give, that such an enterprise could be sustained. But five years have past since he was at its head; and, under the admirable management of Mr. Tasker, each year has witnessed an advancing progress. In its educational department the work is complete. In the different schools, male and female, day and evening,

between 400 and 500 children are in attendance; nor is it known that there is a single child of a family resident within the West Port who is not at school. Of what other like district in this country could the same be said, and by what other instrumentality could it have been accomplished? The most commodious schoolroom might have been built, and the ablest teacher salaried, and the education offered gratis to all the families, and yet hundreds of these children have remained untaught. It was the district visiting, and the zeal especially of those ladies by whom a special oversight of the children's regular attendance at school was undertaken, by which this great achievement has mainly been accomplished. The ecclesiastical department presents us with a no less gratifying result. The habit of church attendance has become as general and regular within the West Port as it is in the best conditioned districts of Edinburgh. The church is filled to overflowing, and, while these pages are passing through the press, the people of the West Port, who among themselves contributed no less than £100 to the building of their church at first, are contributing, at an equal rate of liberality, for the erection of a It was Dr. Chalmers's conviction that in the worst localities the means existed, and could be evoked, by which an effective gospel ministry, if once created, could afterwards be sustained; and the history of the West Port confirms that conviction. The ecclesiastical machinery is now complete, and were it separated from the rest it could be maintained in all its present efficiency by the freewill offerings of the people them-During the last year, besides meeting all the expenses necessary for the due support of Christian ordinances, amounting to nearly £250, the West Port congregation has contributed £70 to missionary and educational objects.* Nor has the cost been great at which all this has been effected. A site has been

 $[\]mbox{*}$ For the last Annual Balance-Sheet, see Appendix G.

purchased, a church, seated for 520, has been erected, commodious schoolrooms have been built and furnished, a large adjoining tenement has been bought and fitted up, the minister's and the schoolmaster's and the schoolmistress's salaries have been paid, and all incidental expenses discharged, during seven years and a half, for less than £5500.

At the same cost, among the same class, within the same . limits, and during the same time, there never have been accomplished in this or any other land anything like the same educational and spiritual results. It stands the only instance in which the depths of city ignorance and vice have been sounded to the very bottom; nor can the possibility of cleansing the foul basement story of our social edifice be doubted any longer. How the spirit of the departed would have rejoiced had he lived to witness what the West Port now presents: and how gladly would he have hailed every token that the lessons given forth thereby were not likely to be lost!* We have dwelt the longer upon it because we know how willingly he would have seen every other thought of his heart and every other work of his hands perish from the remembrance of his fellows, if only the guiding light were followed which this example furnished as to the best method of raising the sunk millions of our fellow-countrymen to a higher level of character and comfort on earth, and preparing them for "glory, honour, and immortality" hereafter.

^{*} It is with the greatest pleasure that we have noticed two recent articles in the Christian Journal of the United Presbyterian Church, containing an account of the operations in the West Port, and strongly recommending the territorial principle upon which they have been conducted.

CHAPTER XXII.

SUGGESTIONS FOR ALTERING THE CURRICULUM IN THE SCOTTISH UNIVERSITIES—THE COURSE OF THEOLOGICAL EDUCATION—DR. CHALMERS'S PROFESSORIAL CAREER—APPOINTMENT AS PRINCIPAL IN THE NEW COLLEGE—INSTITUTES OF THEOLOGY—THE NORTH BRITISH REVIEW—THE GERMAN PHILOSOPHY—THE FAMINE.

Dr. Chalmers's attention had been early directed to the course of education pursued in the Scottish Universities, to the defects attached to it, and the improvements of which it was capable. In the year 1828, during his examination before the Commissioners appointed to visit the Universities of Scotland, he suggested that the first Latin and Greek classes, in which the elements of those languages were taught, should be abolished, as suited rather for a school than for a college; and that, by the establishment of gymnasia* and a strict entrance

* "Edinburgh, April 22d, 1846.—Dear Sir,—I have now read your Pamphlet, and with high approbation of its general views. I like in particular your strong recommendation of gymnasia. Such is my conception of their usefulness that I think they might do even more than prepare for the literary and philosophical classes of our Universities. I think they might prepare for an immediate entry on all the studies of the learned professions, whether theological, or legal, or medical. But at this rate would they not supersede our Universities altogether? I think they ought not; and that to these Universities there would remain the high function of elevating the literature and science of our land, purely for their own sakes, and apart from their subserviency to any merely professional object. What a glorious country it would make, if, for the expense of some £10,000 or £20,000 a year more, we could thus get our Universities placed in those higher regions of philosophy and taste, where they might contribute to the indefinite elevation of our national authorship in every department, whether of letters or of science! These hasty sentences represent my views very imperfectly, but should

examination, the standard of qualification for commencing a University education should be elevated. He also suggested that an important change should be made in the order of the Undergraduate course. According to the existing arrangement, Logic and Moral Philosophy occupy the second and third sessions in a four years' curriculum; Mathematics and Natural Philosophy occupying the third and fourth. Upon the principle that the mental and moral sciences required a maturer intelligence, he proposed that this order should be reversed, and that the Moral Philosophy of the Undergraduate course should be brought thus into juxta-position with the Natural Theology of the Divinity curriculum. Without altering the place already given to Natural Philosophy, the Royal Commissioners, in their Report of 1830, recommended that Moral Philosophy should be taught during the fourth year of the student's attendance at College. But this, with all the other valuable recommendations of that Report, has lain for years unheeded, so as to make one wonder why such Commissions were appointed.

Although not a member at this time of the Theological Faculty, Dr. Chalmers was examined also as to the course of Theological study. In St. Andrews, as in all the other Scottish Colleges, the Theological Faculty consisted of three Professors,—one of Divinity, one of Hebrew and the Oriental Languages, and one of Church History. The course lasted for four years, attendance, however, being only imperative during three sessions. There fell thus into the hands of the Divinity Professor, to be treated in a four years' course of lectures, the whole range of Natural Theology, the Christian Evidences, and Systematic we ever have the opportunity of meeting, I should like to talk more at large with

we ever have the opportunity of meeting, I should like to talk more at large with you upon the subject. Meanwhile, I rejoice in your having advocated a high system of preliminary scholarship that might well enable us all to take up higher positions in our respective territories.—I am, dear Sir, yours respectfully,

THOMAS CHALMERS.

[&]quot; Professor Blackie, Aberdeen."

and Pastoral Theology. Independently of the undue amount of labour thrown thus upon a single Professor, it was obvious that, entering as they did at different parts of the course, it was only the students of one Session in every four who began the course with the Professor and followed it consecutively to its close. And the few students who might have enjoyed it were deprived even of this benefit, by the prevalent habit of attending only during three sessions, and interposing the session of absence at different points in the curriculum. In a scheme of Theological Education which he drew up and presented to the Commissioners, Dr. Chalmers suggested that if not five, as he would have desired, there should be at least four Professors in the Theological Faculty; and that there should be two Professors of Divinity, the junior to teach two classes each day, and the senior to do the same; so that, enter when he might, the student should be carried regularly forward, and the subjects be presented in a consecutive order. Upon this subject the Commissioners satisfied themselves with advising that a new Professorship of Biblical Criticism should be instituted at the different Universities—an advice which waits till some Government shall be patriotic enough to execute it. Upon his own appointment to the Chair of Theology in Edinburgh, Dr. Chalmers was fully exposed to the disadvantages which the existing arrangements entailed upon the Professor, nor were all the efforts which he made to extricate himself* sufficient to yield anything

^{*} In answer to an inquiry made by a member of one of the English Universities, Dr. Chalmers gave the following account of the manner in which his classes were conducted:—

[&]quot;The Professor of Theology, Dr. Chalmers, teaches two classes, a junior and senior. The junior class commences on Tuesday the 12th of November, and its hour of meeting is two o'clock. This class is attended by all the professional students, or students of divinity, at the beginning of their course; and the following account of its topics of lectureship will serve to shew why, of the two classes, it is the most adapted to the demand and convenience of those gentlemen who, not of the ecclesiastical profession, wish to become acquainted with the grounds or evidences of the Christian faith.

like content. Could he have satisfied himself with drawing up a four years' course of lectures, to be repeated again and again

"The general object of this class is to demonstrate the evidences of natural and revealed religion, which is done in a series of lectures delivered from the Chair on the three first days of every week. The lectures on Natural Theology take up nearly half the Session, and are succeeded by lectures on the historical and internal evidences for the truth of Christianity; and the course is concluded by lectures on the inspiration of Scripture, with a general view of Scripture Criticism and Systematic Theology.

"It will be seen that the main design of this class is to establish the credentials of revelation, or to unfold the grounds on which the Bible ought to be regarded as the genuine record of a genuine communication from heaven to earth. It is for this special reason that an attendance on this class is recommended to the general or extra-professional students, while it is only imperative on those who are destined for the elerical profession. By opening this part of theological education to all sorts of students, we approximate to the academic system of England, in which sacred is blended, to a certain extent, with general literature.

"Two days of the week are occupied with lectures, both written and extemporaneous, on the various topics of a text-book, which gives the Professor an opportunity for entering with greater minuteness and familiarity into the details of the Christian argument; it is in this part of the course that the students are most subjected to examination. It is the practice to examine all the professional students, and only those of the general students who express a wish for it. The text-book for the ensuing Session is Butler's 'Analogy.'

"The senior class of Theology commences on Tuesday the 12th of November at eleven o'clock; it receives the appellation of Senior from the circumstance of its being attended by those professional students who have advanced beyond the first year of their attendance on the Divinity Hall, as the attendance of regular students generally lasts four years. The course of lectures in the senior class, in accommodation to this practice, is completed in three years; comprising, therefore, the instructions which are proper to the divinity students of the second, third, and fourth year's standing. This class is attended by a large proportion of general students, notwithstanding the inconvenience to them of their receiving by one year's attendance only a fraction of its course; and notwithstanding a still greater inconvenience, that for two sessions out of the three, instead of beginning with the commencement of this senior lectureship, they have to begin with the ulterior parts of it.

"The object of the senior class, as distinguished from that of the junior, is to expound not the credentials, but the contents of the Christian message; or, if the business of the junior is to establish the truth in opposition to all infidelity, the business of the senior is to establish the truth in opposition to all heresy; its main topic is the subject-matter of the Christian Theology, and it should conclude with a series of lectures on the duties of the ministry and of the pastoral cure."

The reader is referred to the ninth volume of the 'Posthumous Works' for information as to the text-books used in the Theological Classes, and the manner in which they were employed.

as the four years' curriculum came round, he might have earned for himself the same case and comfort with which the duties of his Chair had been discharged by ordinary and inferior But he could not confine himself within such limits. Upon some of the great topics in Natural Theology and the Christian Evidences, he had original and valuable contributions to offer, which, after first offering them to his students in a course of lectures, he lost no time in presenting to the public. His early professorial career at Edinburgh resembled that of those German professors who pass so frequently through the press the lectures of the preceding year, entailing thus upon themselves a new burden of composition for the future. Subjects, besides, were constantly occurring to Dr. Chalmers of a character somewhat extraneous to the proper topics of his course, upon which a brief set of lectures were drawn up and delivered to his students. The result of the whole, while impairing the orderly treatment of the common heads of Divinity, was eminently favourable to that freshness and force of impulse which it was his great distinction as a teacher to communicate. He who studies attentively the first four volumes of the one, and the last three volumes of the other series of his Works, will not readily believe that even in respect of the amount and variety of information communicated to them, the students of Dr. Chalmers fared worse than others; but it was not here that his power and glory lay, as the greatest teacher of Theology our country has ever seen. Others have amassed larger stores of learning, and conveyed them to their students in more comprehensive and compendious forms. But who ever lit up the evidences and truths of Christianity with a light so attractive; and who ever filled the youthful breasts of those who were afterwards to occupy the pulpits of the land, with the fire of so generous and so devoted an enthusiasm! His professorial career had lasted

for twenty years when the Disruption occurred. Even at that time he could travel, he said, from one end of Scotland to the other, and spend each night in the manse of one of his former pupils; and if the growing majorities in the General Assembly by which that event was preceded were analyzed, it would appear that nine-tenths at least of those who had listened to his fervid prelections in the University, counted it an honour to stand by the side of their venerated instructor when the hour of trial came. Immediately after the Disruption, Dr. Chalmers resigned his Chair at the University, and accepted the appointment of Principal and Primarius Professor of Divinity in whatever collegiate institution the Free Church might be able to erect. Strongly convinced that with the slender attractions which its unendowed and under-paid offices held out, the future ministry of the Free Church could alone maintain its position in the country by the superior scholarship and deeper piety of its ministers, he gave an increased measure both of time and care to the duties of his professorship; and after the experience of three sessions he had this hopeful testimony to bear: -- "The convener of your Committee has the satisfaction of bearing witness, and this after the experience of eighteen winters as a Professor of Theology, and five more as the occupier of a previous chair, that his class of last session stands the highest in his estimation of all which have preceded it, if not in its superior number of eminent and distinguished students who stand above the level of their fellows, in what is far better-a more elevated table-land of general proficiency and good scholarship. But it is of greatly surpassing moment that we should have to report an obvious increase, from year to year, in their sense of things sacred, and devotedness of heart and spirit to the great objects of the Christian ministry." In the hope of contributing to this increase, during his last collegiate sessions Dr. Chalmers

was in the habit of inviting his students to private interviews, devoted wholly to conversation relative to their own spiritual condition and to prayer.

In the General Assembly of 1844, the Moderator, Dr. Grey of Edinburgh, was singularly felicitous in returning the thanks of the Church to Dr. Chalmers, for the valuable services which he had rendered to it. "We are all aware," he said, in closing his address, "of the important and directly spiritual duties which you fulfil in training up the future teachers of Israel, and in your manifold labours as a minister of Christ; but even in the department of finance we feel that your services are invaluable, as clearly and directly subservient to the maintenance and extension of true religion in the land. Sir, we duly appreciate the self-denying, generous zeal, which makes you willing, at the present stage of your valuable and fruitful life, to continue to labour in the service of a Church which, if dear to you, holds you also most dear to her,-granted her by a gracious Providence as her ornament and guide. review of our noble controversy, the words of Milton, in a sonnet addressed to Sir Henry Vane the younger, occur to me as so applicable, that I trust you, Sir, and the Assembly, will excuse me if I conclude with them. After mentioning other high qualities and attainments, he adds—

'Both spiritual power, and civil, what each means,
What severs each, thou hast learned, which few have done,—
The bounds of either sword to thee we owe.
Therefore on thy firm hand religion leans
In peace, and reckons thee her eldest son.'"

"I can only ascribe," said Dr. Chalmers in reply, "these high eulogiums to my having long held a principle which I should like to see carried into effect throughout the whole of the Church's business,—I mean the principle of division of employment. I should like to see that principle made per-

manently applicable to the management of our whole ecclesiastical affairs. So sacred is my respect for this principle, and, I trust, also my observance of it, that all the time and strength which I have been able to spare, have been given to the labour of but one department of the Church's service; and I trust, from experience, and am in some measure confident, that the little, or rather no part I have taken in other, and perhaps more important duties of the Church, has been of more avail than if I had meddled with them all. I knew that these were in other hands, and I wished to allow them to get the full benefit of the same principle. Even although I was at the head of the academical department of the Free Church, I have not attended a single meeting of the Educational Committee. This may seem odd, and incongruous with my situation, as at the head of our Theological Faculty; but it is because I would infinitely rather be satisfied with doing a single task wellwith being an efficient doer in one thing, than being a dabbler universal in everything."

For some time after the Disruption, the general superintendence of the collegiate and educational departments of the Free Church devolved upon Dr. Welsh, and Dr. Chalmers took little share in their management—his class duties, and the oversight of the Sustentation Associations, engrossing his attention. An event, however, soon afterwards occurred which modified his conduct in this respect. In the spring of 1845, the Free Church lost one of her brightest ornaments. "I dare not," said Dr. Chalmers, in alluding to the event, "incur the hazard of exciting the pathos and profound regrets of this assembly by saying all I might on the bereavement which a mysterious though all-wise Providence has been pleased to inflict upon us by the death of Dr. Welsh. This institute, of which he was so distinguished a member, will ever associate with his memory a sense of grateful obligation for high services. The College

fabric now on the eve of its commencement, and to which, I might add, our choice and rapidly increasing, and even already our well-stored library, might be regarded as all his own. And beyond the circle of our Free Church, there must be the general feeling of a heavy loss, in that he was arrested on a most promising career of authorship, when engaged in supplying what might well be termed a great desideratum in British literature, a good Church History. His first volume, all that was published before his death, will ever abide a standing monument to the erudition, and classic taste, and scholarlike accomplishments of its author. Would to God that the lesson of mortality given forth by so near and affecting an example of it—that lesson which of all others is the oftenest repeated, yet the soonest and the oftenest forgotten-were to tell with all the force and feeling which it ought on the hearts of survivors, more especially on those survivors who, years before him in the journey of life, are now pressing hard on the confines of both worlds, on the twilight of their earthly existence, and on the dawn of their eternity."

It was a large debt under which Dr. Welsh laid the Free Church by providing the funds for the erection of a new College in Edinburgh. In the midst of great pecuniary sacrifices required for other and more pressing necessities, it was one of the noblest offerings which Christian generosity presented, when at his solicitation twenty individuals came forward and gave each £1000 to effect this object. At the close of the Assembly of 1846, the foundation-stone of the edifice was laid by Dr. Chalmers. At a public breakfast which preceded the ceremony, he quoted and applied to himself the lines of Byron,—

"I am not what I have been,
And my visions flit less palpably before me;"

but there was no sign either of faded sentiment or decaying

power, when, after the triple stroke with the mallet upon the stone, he addressed those who had collected at the spot, among whom were a considerable number of operatives. referred first to the great objects of a theological education, "It delights me," he said, "to observe that so many of the working classes in our city now stand within the reach of my voice. Within the walls now to be raised by their hands there may or there may not in time be delivered the lessons of general science. But from the very outset, we hope, there will be the lessons of that higher wisdom which is often hid from the wise and the prudent, and revealed unto babes. We leave to others the passions and politics of this world; and nothing will ever be taught, I trust, in any of our halls, which shall have the remotest tendency to disturb the existing order of things, or to confound the ranks and distinctions which at present obtain in society. But there is one equality between man and man which will strenuously be taught,—the essential equality of human souls; and that in the high count and reckoning of eternity, the soul of the poorest of nature's children, the raggedest boy that runs along the pavement, is of like estimation in the eyes of heaven with that of the greatest and the noblest of our land. The youth who frequent our classes will with all earnestness and emphasis be told, that the Christian minister is a man of no rank, because a man of all ranks; and that although he should have an education which might qualify him for holding converse with princes and peers, it is his peculiar glory to be a frequent visitant of the poor man's humble cottage, and to pray by the poor man's dying bed. Heaven grant that the platform of humble life may be raised immeasurably higher than at present, and through the whole extent of it,—that the mighty host who swarm upon its surface, brought under the elevating power of the gospel of Jesus Christ, and so rescued from grovelling ignorance and loathsome dissipation, may rise

to a full equality with ourselves in all that is characteristic of humanity, and take place along with us, side by side, on the footing of kindred and companionable men. Let kings retain their sceptres, and nobles their coronets,—what we want is a more elevated ground-floor for our general population, and this without derangement to the upper stories of the social and political edifice,-where may our beloved Queen, God bless her, long retain upon its summit the place of gracefulness and glory which she now occupies. The beauteous structure behind us, and which bears her name, will not injure, but illustrate our humbler fabric,—not humbler, we think, in respect of its tasteful and becoming architecture, but as rising from a lowlier platform,—whence let us close the ceremonial of the day by the acclamations of honest and deepfelt loyalty, with thanks to heaven for the many preservations which a merciful Providence has awarded to our good Queen Victoria."

In consequence of Dr. Welsh's most lamented death, Dr. Chalmers accepted the convenership of the College Committee, which he was the readier to do, as, owing to recent circumstances, he stood relieved, to a great extent, of the charge of the Sustentation Fund. When the new collegiate arrangements following upon the Disruption were completed, Dr. Chalmers had the satisfaction of seeing the scheme for theological education (first broached by him in 1828) adopted by the Free Church, and an additional Professor of Divinity appointed.* The preliminary branches being committed to his colleague, Dr. Chalmers's undivided labour was bestowed upon systematic theology. It had for many years been the highest object of

^{*} With a staff of five Theological Professors the Free Church College provides now the most comprehensive and complete course of theological education supplied by any British Institution.—For full information on this subject the reader is referred to the Inaugural Address of the Rev. Dr. Cunningham, delivered at the opening of the New College.

his literary and professorial ambition to leave behind him a complete body of Divinity, containing the fruits of his maturest reflections, both on the credentials and contents of the Christian Revelation. Had his Lectures on Natural Theology and the Evidences not been already given to the public, they would have been subjected to the same process of condensation through which his other lectures were made to pass, and his "Institutes of Theology," when given to the world, would have presented a more uniform and homogeneous aspect than they now wear. As for many years he had, however, to go over the same ground with his students which the first four volumes of his works embraced, he adopted the plan of employing these volumes as text-books, accompanying his examinations with that summary and review of their contents which form Book II. and Book III. of his "Institutes of Theology." With the obvious disadvantage of subjecting the reader of this last work to a reiteration of familiar topics, those two books of the "Institutes" exhibit a compactness of diction, which amply proves that he could when he pleased transfer the brevity and force of his spoken into his written language, and what will be of no ordinary importance to any one who undertakes the hitherto unattempted task of estimating the direct and original contributions which Dr. Chalmers had made to theological science, they give us his own estimate of what he conceived to be most valuable in his earlier writings. It is, however, to that portion of the "Institutes" which treats of the subject-matter of Christianity that we would especially solicit attention. no part of his published writings was so large a share of their author's care and thought bestowed. There are to be found here his latest and ripest thoughts upon some of the profoundest questions with which the human intellect has engaged; if not set forth in the gorgeous amplifications in which he loved previously to indulge, yet in the simpler, purer, weightier diction

which became one who was leaving his last intellectual legacy to the world.

The "Institutes of Theology" and the "Daily Scripture Readings" were commenced about the same time, and were carried on simultaneously, a portion of each being written daily, and the transition being frequently instantaneous from the one composition to the other. Engaged with the one, he brought to the Divine oracles a mind singularly free of theological prejudice; he sat as a little child at the feet of Divine wisdom, and received into a meek and loving heart, according to its plain and natural meaning, each utterance she gave forth. Engaged with the other, he brought to the sacred oracles a mind fullfraught with the true spirit of the Inductive Philosophy, and, collecting the varied testimonies of the Divine record as they lay scattered over the sacred page, he combined them into one complete and harmonious system. The two engagements were most unlike. Very rarely has the same simplicity in the one, and the same science in the other, been exhibited; but where shall we find another instance in which the two, brought into such daily and close proximity, went on so harmoniously together? The many prayers, however, which Dr. Chalmers offered that he might be preserved from the fetters of an artificial orthodoxy.* may be taken as an evidence that even in his instance it was not without an effort that simplicity sat embosomed in system, while system did nothing to hurt simplicity.

^{* &}quot;Let me not be the slave of human authority, but clear my way through all creeds and confessions to thine own original Revelation. * * * Deliver me, O God, from the narrowing influences of human lessons, and more especially of human systems of theology. Teach me directly out of the fulness and freeness of thine own Word, and hasten the time when, unfettered by sectarian intolerance, and unawed by the authority of man, the Bible shall make its rightful impression upon all, because the simple and obedient readers thereof, they call no man master but Christ only. * * Oh, that we were fully unfettered from all which has the effect of distorting and deranging the Christianity of the Bible in the artificial systems of human orthodoxy."—Hore Subbaticae, vol. i. pp. 69, 350, 373.

Besides the composition of his "Institutes of Theology," the only other literary occupation of Dr. Chalmers's later years was an occasional contribution to the "North British Review." This publication, which, under its present accomplished Editor, ranks with the best conducted and most influential of our literary journals, was established in 1844 by Dr. Welsh, Mr. Edward F. Maitland, and a few friends in Edinburgh, to whom it appeared that there was both room and need for a Review of the highest class, the organ of no party, political or ecclesiastical, and which, instead of ignoring or affecting to disown Christianity, was imbued with its spirit. What the "Englishman's Register," of Dr. Arnold, was meant to do for the great mass of the population, the "North British Review" was intended to accomplish in the highest branches of literature and for the highest class of readers. "I never wanted," says Dr. Arnold, "articles on religious subjects half so much as articles on common subjects, written with a decidedly Christian tone,"language which the founders of the "North British Review" would have been forward to adopt. Its pure and independent, its Christian yet unsectarian aim recommended it to Dr. Chalmers, who entered warmly into the project of its establishment, and contributed several articles to it while under the editorship of Dr. Welsh and Mr. Maitland.* Upon the retirement of the latter, the writer of these Memoirs was invited to be his successor, an office which he was encouraged to undertake by the large promises of counsel and aid held out by Dr. Chalmers. For the brief period that remained, those promises

* The following were the Articles which he contributed :-

No. I. Art. 1. On the Corn Laws.

No. III. Art. 1. On the Political Economy of the Bible.

No. IV. Art. 7. On the Poor Laws of Scotland.

No. VI. Art. 3. On Savings Banks.

No. XI. Art. 4. On Sterling's Philosophy of Trade.

No. XII. Art. 1. On Morell's History of Philosophy.

No. XIII. Art. 9. On the Political Economy of a Famine.

were energetically fulfilled. Mr. Maitland had already put into Dr. Chalmers's hands Morell's History of "Modern Philosophy," and invited him to review it. This work gratified a curiosity which had hitherto been unsatisfied. He had been sensible of a tide of speculation setting in from Germany, which threatened to displace all our ancient faiths, both in theology and in science; he had marked the increasing influence which it was exerting, and had become somewhat alarmed as to the result. As it stood before him, clothed in the dark mantle of a new and obscure phraseology, he had not as yet been able to form any distinct conception of the prima philosophia of the German metaphysicians, and he was glad and grateful when an intelligent expositor enabled him to do so. He resolved forthwith to institute a comparison between it and the Philosophy of Reid, and to test its power, whether for good or evil, upon the established theology of Scotland. It was a subject altogether new to him, which threw him back upon the studies of earlier days; but so eagerly did he embark upon it, that in the introductory address which he delivered as Principal of the New College, in November 1846, he announced it to be his intention to deliver a short course of lectures to his students upon the German Philosophy. These lectures were embodied afterwards in an article which appeared in the 12th Number of the "North British Review." Dr. Chalmers's knowledge of the subject was too slender, and taken up too much at second-hand, for us to expect from him any minute or profound analysis of the Continental speculations; but as there were few better fitted to take a general survey of any wide-spread intellectual field, and to mark off the broad boundaries of truth and error, it was most interesting, at this period of his life, to watch the almost boyish zest with which the new region opened to his contemplation was traversed,-most instructive to mark the first impressions he received, and the conclusions to which he was conducted. "I

have a great respect," he used to say in his private conversations at this time, "for the preference they show in Germany to mental over material products. A book bears a much greater proportional value to a bale of silk there than among us. It shows a high people,—the value they have for pure thought. But there is a great want of solidity about their philosophy. They overstep the limits that separate the known from the unknown in a most unphilosophical way. I've no reverence for profound reasoning, the grounds of which cannot be made patent to other minds. Their fine airy speculations may shew a certain mental power in the mind that originates them, but they have not the weight of a straw to direct me on my path—great acumen — great grandeur of conception—but where is the appeal to undoubted fundamental truth and historical fact? It is ridiculous to oppose a fine wire-drawn argument to a historic fact. I just say to all their arguments and their counter-arguments, that I can't undertake to arbitrate between conflicting maggots.* Truth, no doubt, lies at the bottom of a well; but which should get most credit, the man that leaves it there, or the man that brings it to the surface?" Such was the way, sometimes playful, sometimes profoundly serious, in which he used to speak in pri-

^{* &}quot;Full of the subjects of the Germans and their philosophy, it was natural that, during breakfast that morning, he should lead the conversation in that direction. On this particular occasion, however, it happened that his hostility to what he considered a vicious tendency in all characteristic German speculation, predominated over the respect which he acknowledged for the powerful intellectual manifestation visible in this species of labour. As he spoke, he became excited, even angry. There was much false reverence, he thought, for many things, simply because they were foreign, and this was seen in the present rage for German philosophy. It was the greatest madness imaginable. 'Germany! a country where system after system was springing up, none of them lasting a day; every man, as it were, holding up his cheeks, crying, "Look at me, too!" I tell you I'll look at none of you; -your Skillers (Schillers), and your Skagels (Schlegels), and your ----. 'There he was interrupted by the merry laughter of all at his half-conscious mispronunciation of the two German names that had the misfortune to occur to him in his moment of wrath, and, well aware of the cause, he broke down into a laugh at himself."—Pictures of Dr. Chalmers in Lowe's Magazine.

vate to his family and friends. The terms were more measured, the tone more solemn, in which he addressed his students. "I should esteem it one of the highest services which our Institute could render to society, and among the proudest of its literary honours, did there issue from these walls in those days of conflict which are coming, when many of ourselves shall be reposing in the dust, profoundly asleep to all the noises of the living world above us, some master minds that could measure strength with every system of philosophy on the continent, and by the weight of a more powerful and ponderous demonstration than was wielded by any, could rebuke and overbear all the infidelity that was to be found in them. * * *

"I feel it incumbent on me to enter on a computation of the distances and bearings between this transcendentalism, on the one hand, and the theology of the Bible upon the This I apprehend to be all the more necessary, that I do recollect of some who, chiefly in the University, and before our Disruption, were a good deal carried, as if by a sort of fashionable infection, which might have been seen in the phraseology of their discourses, and I will add, however mortifying to one's own self-love, and all the more mortifying that they were really superior and aspiring young men, who gave forth the symptom which I am now to describe in their obvious inattention to the lessons of the Chair, as if they had only been plain Scottish boluses, having vastly too much in them of the home-bred and the commonplace to be at all suited for those higher appetencies which nothing else can satisfy but the more exquisite and recherché articles of a foreign preparation, just as if we had been serving up milk for babes, instead of strong meat for men of a full-grown understanding, or speaking from the outer court to those who had already been initiated in the mysteries of the inner temple. What I want to make out is, that the unintelligible does not always imply the solid, or even

the profound; and, far more momentous than this, that the simple verities of the Christian faith rest on a foundation deep enough and strong enough to uphold them against the more recent, or, I should rather say, the ever-shifting philosophy that now sets in upon us from abroad.

"Many of you know my value for the intelligible, and my conviction of the magnitude of that service which lies in transmuting what is profound, and only understood by a few, into what is plain, and so that it may be understood by many. We know well the penalty that awaits the successful executor of such an aim, that, had he abstained, he would have been still ranked among the profound thinkers of the day; but, because he has not only made the endeavour, but fulfilled it, he sinks down to the level of a very plain and ordinary personage. Nevertheless, I will rejoice in it as the best achievement of philosophy, when it has made its products patent to every eye, and accessible to the world at large."

It fell singularly in with the current of Dr. Chalmers's thoughts, that, when engaged in the study of the German philosophy, Professor Tholuck, of Halle, visited Edinburgh. He took an early opportunity of spending an evening with him, at the house of the friend with whom he resided.* "Dr. Chalmers," says this friend, "seated himself on a low chair close to the learned German, and listened with an air of genuine docility to all he said, throwing in a stray characteristic observation now and then, always, however, in the way of encouragement, never in the way of contradiction. Dr. Tholuck had published some verses of a religious character, which had given umbrage to some sect or other. He shewed the lines to Dr. Chalmers, who, admiring them, observed that he had often been taken to task himself for a similar latitudinarianism; 'for, my dear Sir,' he added, 'some people have a

^{*} Dr. Rutherfurd Russell.

very fine nose for heresy.' While Dr. Chalmers was sitting in this posture, drinking in all that was said to him, Tholuck turned to his host, and said, in German, that he had never seen so beautiful an old man. The words coming out so suddenly in an unknown tongue, instantly changed the whole expression of Dr. Chalmers's face from that of happy acquiescence, to one of puzzled amazement, which was in the highest degree comic, and this effect was not lessened by his eager putting of the question, 'What is it, Sir, that he says?'—a question impossible to answer, and yet not easy to evade. The result of this interview was an amount of mutual confidence and esteem, as deep and sincere as it was sudden. Tholuck took an early opportunity of returning the visit, and spent some hours with Dr. Chalmers, urging upon him in the most direct and homely way, the necessity of directing his mind to the study of the German Theology, for, as it was from that quarter the bane had come which was poisoning the simple faith, so it was there alone that the antidote could be found. The day before Tholuck's departure, Dr. Chalmers called upon him and found him at his mid-day repast. He sat with him only for a few minutes, and said little, but looked at him constantly with an expression of earnest interest and He rose to take leave; and, instead of taking him affection. by the hand, he threw his arms round his neck and kissed him, while 'God bless you, my dear friend,' broke with apparent difficulty from his overcharged heart. After he was gone, it was noticed that a tear had gathered in the eye of him who had received the apostolic benediction and seal of brotherhood from one he loved and venerated so much. His only observation was a half-muttered, half-spoken, eben ein Kuss-even a kiss."

The article upon Morell's History was to have been followed by a series of papers on Kant, Fichte, and Cousin, for which Dr. Chalmers had collected some materials, when another subject arrested his sympathies and occupied his pen. The almost total failure of the potato crop in 1846 left 300,000 of the population in the Highlands and Islands of Scotland, and many millions in Ireland, to face the coming year with food in hand sufficient to sustain them only for a few weeks. The extent of the failure of the crop was no sooner announced than the awfulness of the impending catastrophe filled Dr. Chalmers with alarm and anxiety. He foresaw that nothing but an act of prompt and unparalleled generosity could ward off the fearful calamity of hundreds and thousands in a Christian land miserably perishing from want of food. To wait till the cry of actual hunger was heard, and the sight of the dying kindled sympathy, was to ring the death-knell over multitudes to whom the relief would come too late. Fastening his first thoughts upon the Highlands, he not only hastened to gather up all the information conveyed through public channels, but by private circulars of his own, widely distributed, he obtained the most minute and trustworthy accounts of the state of the suffering population. As a great proportion of them were members of the Free Church, it became that Church to step prominently forward in this emergency, and to do what she could to save them from the horrors of famine. Nor did she fail in her duty at this time; being the first public body that moved, organizing an effective Committee of Relief, and ordering a public collection to be made in all her churches on Sabbath the 6th December. Animated by a generous philanthropy, Dr. Chalmers addressed the following letter to Dr. Mackay of Dunoon, who was leading this movement of the Church :-

"EDINBURGH, 28th November, 1846.

"MY DEAR SIR,—I quite agree with you in thinking that the public have a most inadequate view of the efforts necessary to keep our suffering population alive; and I earnestly hope that the collection, on Sabbath the 6th of December, will be some-

what proportional to the magnitude of the call, though I am quite aware that it will not reach, by a tenth part, the magnitude of the necessity. Still let us do our part, and it may stimulate others to like efforts, and not, I hope, supersede them. I trust that your anxieties as to the amount of the collection will prove unfounded. It should very greatly exceed the average collections for any of our schemes; and most heartily do I wish that the people were brought up to a high pitch of liberality, by a juster view than I fear is generally entertained, both of the immense number of destitute families, and the extreme urgency of their wants.

"Ever since the failure of the potato crop became quite notorious, I have felt as if the country at large were under a delusion in underrating, as I fear they do, the fearfulness of a visitation, which, if not provided against, will land us in a great national tragedy. If people would only have recourse to the plainest arithmetic, and think of the hundreds of thousands of families, both in the Highlands and in Ireland, who are positively without the materials of subsistence for a week, and further think, that for six months at least, we can look for nothing from the soil, this might well convince them how far the public imagination falls short of the reality of the case.

"But it is not by means of the feeble and unimpressive generalities which I now pen, that sympathy will be awakened, and therefore I would value more the plain and literal details of suffering from people on the spot, than I would any elaborate statement or demonstrations which could be put forth on the subject.—I ever am, my dear Sir, yours very truly,

"The Rev. Dr. Mackay, Dunoon."

THOMAS CHALMERS.

This call was nobly responded to. The collection was the largest, I believe, ever made by any Church in Scotland for any object; the Committee of Relief being put into posses-

sion of no less a sum than £15,000. This denominational effort was soon merged into those larger measures of relief which Scotland so promptly and successfully adopted, so that while thousands died in Ireland-whole households perishing together, and many lying unburied till the dogs came and devoured their bodies-it was not known that in Scotland a single individual died solely and directly frem want of food. It required, however, incessant vigilance, and no small amount of generosity, to be sustained all through the winter. addition to the public tide of charity flowing in upon the Highlands, innumerable lesser streams were kept constantly flowing. Very large sums were committed to Dr. Chalmers for private distribution. There was scarcely, indeed, a daily post which did not bring him some donation; and he never watched for letters more eagerly, and he never read any with greater delight. He had many methods of communicating directly or indirectly with the Highlands, and of dispensing the money entrusted thus to his care. To one lady alone, the late Mrs. Mackay, we are aware of his having committed more than £500. Nor was Ireland forgotten. Her greater sorrows claimed a large share of his sympathy; and, through Miss Pringle of Edinburgh, and Dr. Edgar of Belfast, he had pleasure in conveying his own and other gifts of charity. extraordinary spectacle of upwards of 300,000 men employed on the relief works-of upwards of three millions of people fed daily by the hand of public charity, and yet many perishing notwithstanding—afforded matter of most interesting speculation. When the spring months came, there was a fear that large breadths of the country would be left uncultivated. The crofters had neither seed-corn of their own, nor money to buy it; and even after it was furnished to them, they knew little or nothing of the new modes of agriculture which it would be necessary for them to adopt. The state of a country thrown

suddenly into circumstances so new, appeared to Dr. Chalmers so worthy of investigation that he resolved to devote himself to the task. Having presented his general views in a paper entitled, "The Political Economy of a Famine," * he proposed to prosecute a minute and searching inquiry into the past condition and future prospects both of the Highlands and of Ireland, with a view to determine what were the likeliest means of permanently improving the economic condition of their inhabitants. It is ever to be regretted that he did not live to execute a work for which much preparation had been made, and many materials collected. We can but indicate. that from the singular history of the Relief Works in Ireland he meant to draw a fresh illustration of the evils by which all public charity is accompanied, and of the inseparable connexion which obtains between the moral and economic wellbeing of a community. He meant to test the various expedients for promoting the future prosperity of Ireland, by applying to them the general axioms, that it was out of her own soil, and by the industry of her own inhabitants, that she must be taught to draw her support, and that the best and most effective aid which could be given her, was that which promised the soonest to set her free from all foreign help. And had he lived to see what since his departure has been attempted, I can have little doubt, that while rejoicing over the progress of female industrial schools in various parts of Ireland, his eye would have rested with particular complacency upon such operations as those prosecuted at Ballinglen,+ where the young are trained to that kind of industry for which there is a permanent demand, and have instilled into them the lessons of truth and righteousness.

^{*} See North British Review, No. XIII., Art. IX.

[†] For a recent admirable proposal by Dr. Duff relative to the extension of this establishment, see No. 14 of "Voice from Ireland."

CHAPTER XXIII.

LAST VISIT TO ANSTRUTHER—INCIDENT AT BARNSMUIR—SERMON AT ST. MARY'S LOCH—CAVERS REVISITED—DOMESTIC HABITS—TIMES AND MODES OF COMPOSITION—NUMERICAL ADJUSTMENTS—THE TOILET—THE BREAKFAST TABLE—MODE OF RECOGNISING HIS STUDENTS—CONVERSATIONAL MEMORANDA—APOSTOLIC SUCCESSION—THE MONASTERIES AND THE MIDDLE AGES—AMERICAN SLAVERY—THE SPIRITUAL RABBIT-WARREN—EXCESS AT TABLE—EVENING READINGS OF GIBBON, SHAKSPEARE, AND MILTON—CATECHISMS AND CONFESSIONS—SCRIPTURE CHARACTERS—LIFE AT BURNTISLAND—FEELING OF YOUTHFULNESS—HEAVINGS OF INCIPIENT CIVILISATION—JANET OF THE GALLOWGATE AND THE ASTRONOMICAL DISCOURSE—CONSOLATORY LETTERS.

In the spring of 1845, Dr. Chalmers visited his native village. It almost looked as if he came to take farewell, and as if that peculiarity of old age which sends it back to the days of child-hood for its last earthly reminiscences had for a time and prematurely taken hold of him. His special object seemed to be to revive the recollections of his boyhood—gathering Johnny-Groats by the sea-beach of the Billowness, and lilacs from an ancient hedge, taking both away to be laid up in his repositories at Edinburgh.* Not a place or person familiar to him in earlier years was left unvisited. On his way to the churchyard, he went up the very road along which he had gone of old to the parish school. Slipping into a poor looking dwelling by the way, he said to his companion, Dr. Williamson, "I would just like to see the place where Lizzy Geen's water-

^{*} After his death, a drawer of his desk was found filled with relics of many kinds, among which was a piece of lilac, labelled "Lilac from my father's garden."

bucket used to stand,"-the said water-bucket having been a favourite haunt of the overheated ball-players, and Lizzy a great favourite for the free access she allowed to it. He called on two contemporaries of his boyhood, one of whom he had not seen for forty-five, the other for fifty-two years, and took the most boyish delight in recognising how the "mould of antiquity had gathered upon their features," and in recounting stories of his schoolboy days. "James," said he to the oldest of the two, a tailor, now upwards of eighty, who in those days had astonished the children, and himself among the number, with displays of superior knowledge, "you were the first man that ever gave me something like a correct notion of the form of the earth. I knew that it was round, but I thought always that it was round like a shilling till you told me that it was round like a marble." "Well, John," said he to the other, whose face, like his own, had suffered severely from small-pox in his childhood, "you and I have had one advantage over folk with finer faces,—theirs have been aye getting the waur, but ours have been aye getting the better o' the wear!" The dining-room of his grandfather's house had a fireplace fitted up behind with Dutch tiles adorned with various quaint devices, upon which he had used to feast his eyes in boyish wonder and delight. These he now sought out most diligently, but was grieved to find them all so blackened and begrimed by the smoke of half a century, that not one of his old windmills or burgomasters was visible. To one apartment he felt a peculiar tie, as having been appropriated exclusively to his use in his college days when the love of solitary study was at times a passion.* But the most interesting visit of all was to

^{*} A visitor of old Mr. Chalmers once noticed him coming out of this room with a singular smile upon his face. When asked what had amused him, he said, "It's Thomas there; I went in upon him and disturbed him in his studies, and what do you think he exclaimed? 'It's too bad that I can't get even a room,—I just wish that I had a world to myself to study in!"

Barnsmuir, a place a few miles from Anstruther on the way to Crail. In his schoolboy days it had been occupied by Captain R-, whose eldest daughter rode in daily on a little pony to the school at Anstruther. Dr. Chalmers was then a boy of from twelve to fourteen years of age, but he was not too young for an attachment of a singularly tenacious hold. Miss Rwas married (I believe while he was yet at college) to Mr. F____, and his opportunities of seeing her in after life were few, but that early impression never faded from his heart. At the time of this visit to Anstruther in 1845, she had been dead for many years, but, at Dr. Chalmers's particular request, her younger sister met him at Barnsmuir. Having made the most affectionate inquiries about Mrs. F--- and her family, he inquired particularly about her death, receiving with deep emotion the intelligence that she had died in the full Christian hope, and that some of his own letters to her sister had served to soothe and comfort her latest hours. "Mrs. W---," said he eagerly, "is there a portrait of your sister anywhere in this house?" She took him to a room and pointed to a profile which hung upon the wall. He planted himself before itgazed on it with intense earnestness-took down the picture, took out his card, and, by two wafers, fixed it firmly on the back of the portrait, exactly opposite to the face. Having replaced the likeness, he stood before it and burst into a flood of tears, accompanied by the warmest expressions of attachment. After leaving the house, he sauntered in silence round the garden, buried in old recollections, heaving a sigh occasionally, and muttering to himself-"more than forty years ago!" It is not often that a boyish feeling survives so long, and still less frequent that after such a life of variety and occupation as his had been, it should break out so freshly and strongly; nor would we have ventured to record the incident, did it not appear to us to prove that Dr. Chalmers was as much distinguished for the tenderness and tenacity of his attachments as for the brilliancy of his intellectual gifts.

On Sabbath the 12th April, 1846, he preached in the small but beautifully situated Free Church, built upon the edge of St. Mary's Loch. Mr. Parker, who had been the chief agent in the erection of the church, went with him as his guide and companion, and he was accompanied besides by two of his daughters. "I like," said he, as they wended their way through the bare and treeless but purely green and beautifully moulded hills of Peebles-shire,-"I like these quiet hills, these sober uplands. Hills, all bare like these, are what I call the statuary of landscape." The valley of the classic Yarrow was entered, and its intense stillness and loneliness powerfully excited him. He stopped his carriage, and calling out to Mr. Parker, who was on the box of another carriage in which his two daughters were seated,—"Tell them," he exclaimed, "to look at the solitudes that are about them." That night at Sundhope, where he was most hospitably entertained, he called his daughters into his own room, and read to them Wordsworth's exquisite description of Yarrow, repeating with great emphasis of delight the lines—

> "Meek loveliness is round thee spread, A softness still and holy; The grace of forest charms decayed, And pastoral melancholy."

The Sabbath sustained well the fitful character of a changeful April day. It rose hopefully, bright all over with the lustre of recent rain. The lake lay glass-like under the wave-like clouds which flitted gently over it. At the hour for worship, and as Dr. Chalmers was seen approaching, for the first time since the chime of the monks was silenced, the sound of the Sabbath-bell came floating over its waters. Dr. Chalmers preached from his favourite text, Isaiah xxvii. 4, 5. There was unruffled beauty without and perfect stillness within till the service was over; but as the worshippers began to disperse, a storm-blast swept the loch, and a perfect waterspout of rain poured down upon them, forcing the plaided shepherds and their families to cower for shelter behind knoll or dyke. The next morning, Dr. Chalmers had great pleasure in breakfasting with John Cowan, a venerable shepherd—the patriarch of Yarrow-and spent the day in visiting Henderland and the "Grey Mare's Tail," listening to the ballads which his daughters repeated, and gazing with ecstasy upon the waterfall which, swollen with the heavy rain, filled the rocky chasm from side to side, and sent out its foam like clouds of snow-drift into the air. Yarrow and St. Mary's were the only new localities which Dr. Chalmers visited during his last summers, yet even here his thoughts were wandering over the hills of Ettrick to another neighbourhood. His daughters had climbed a hill near Sundhope, and he was waiting to receive them on their descent. "And did you," he said with impatient eagerness, after listening for a moment or two to their description,-"did you see Cavers?" and then turning to the shepherd who had been their guide-" Can you see the hills about Cavers from that elevation?" An affirmative reply having been given to the question, he looked up wistfully to the hill-top, lamenting that his strength did not permit of his ascending it, and uttering some ejaculations about the scene of his early ministry. Three months afterwards he went to Cavers,-seeking out all old acquaintances, surveying the little room in Hawick where so many of his first sermons were written and his mathematical preparations had been prosecuted, and entering with the utmost interest into all the domestic history of the family in whose house he had then lodged. At Jedburgh he passed a few days with Mr. Elliot, the grandson of the elergyman to whom he had been assistant, and we have to refer our readers to the Appendix for a most striking and instructive record of what occupied and interested him at the place.* The last visit of the last summer was to Glasgow, and down the Clyde. At Tilliechewan and at Strathleven he sauntered through the wooded parks, catching glimpses of Lochlomond through breaks of the foliage,† luxuriating amid the kindnesses of those

* See Appendix H.

† He had a peculiar epithet for such kind of views. I remember once walking with him in company with a refined English Baronet, when he suddenly caught sight of a fine mountain view, seen through a gap in a neighbouring hodge. Stopping suddenly, he exclaimed, __"Ha! very fine; its very fine, Sir, _an ulterior through an opening,"-(or, as he pronounced it,) "through an oppening." His English companion stood embarrassed; politely inquired what it was that was so fine; had the same words repeated-and looked as bewildered as before. Another instance of perplexity, produced by the singularity of his phraseology, occurs to me. While staying at Rosstrevor, a pic-nic party proceeded across the bay to visit the ruins of Carlingford Castle. The day was fine, the company numerous, the scenery enchanting, and Dr. Chalmers was in the highest spirits. We dined on a green knoll near the ruins, and as dinner proceeded, some old crones from a neighbouring village were seen hanging around us, in the hope of sharing the spoil. When Dr. Chalmers was told that the inhabitants of the village spoke the Irish language, he declared that it would be quite an acquisition to him to hear: new set of vocables uttered by a native. To gratify his curiosity, one of the most picturesque of the old villagers was selected, and a sixpence was given to her to come forward and speak a sentence or two of Irish. By this time we were all upon our feet, and had formed a circle, in the centre of which stood Dr. Chalmers; fronting him, the old and greedy-looking crone, and beside her the village doctor, who was to act as an interpreter. "Well, sir, tell her now to say something in her own tongue." A sentence, accordingly, was spoken, and, turning eagerly to the interpreter, Dr. Chalmers said, - "What was it that she said?" "Why, Doctor, she says that she wants another sixpence." The revolt was instantaneous, from curiosity to intense disgust and indignation. Addressing himself instantly to the woman, he said, "It is too bad; you must really learn to set limits to your unbridled appetency." An interpreter was evidently as much needed as before. When Dr. Chalmers had done with her-leaving her, however, quite untouched by his rebuke—a good lady of the company stepped forward to inquire of her, whether it really could be true that she worshipped the Virgin. She appeared to be much provoked, and the village doctor, who had no relish for such kind of conversation, cut the colloquy short, and sent the woman away. The lady, fearing that she had really hurt the woman's feelings, followed her to a retired place, and telling her that she had no intention whatever to give her pain, in proof of her good-will put a shilling into her hand. The woman looked for a moment at the shilling, then at the denor, and, with a toss of hand and head, she said, in tones of the greatest glee, "Och! and what does your ladyship think that I care about the Virgin?"

whose personal friendship to himself he could not but associate with their boundless liberality to his Church. In returning to Edinburgh, he staid a day or two with Mr. Bain at Morriston near Glasgow, and preached in the open air at Cambuslang to a vast multitude, assembled within some natural amphitheatre where Whitefield had once preached. These farewell summer visits paid, he returned into the bosom of his family.

It may gratify a natural curiosity should we follow Dr. Chalmers through the different engagements of a day at Morningside, and furnish some details of his personal habits and mode of domestic life. Whatever variety the day exhibited, it had one fixed essential feature. The motto "nulla dies sine linea" never met with a more rigorous fulfilment. The period allotted to what he called "severe composition" had never (if we except his first winter at St. Andrews) exceeded two or three hours at a time, and in ordinary circumstances there was seldom more than one sitting daily at such work. The tension of the mind during the effort was extreme, but it was never so long continued as to induce fatigue or exhaustion. During the last six or seven years of his life, his daily modicum of original composition was completed before breakfast, written in shorthand, and all done in bed. The preparatory ruminating or excogitating process was slow, but it was complete. He often gave it as the reason why he did not and could not take part in the ordinary debates of the General Assembly, that he had not the faculty which some men seemed to him to possess, of thinking extempore; nor could he be so sure of any judgment as to have comfort in bringing it before the public till he had leisurely weighed and measured it. He was vehement often in his mode of expression; but no hasty judgment was ever penned or publiely spoken by him. "I have often fancied," he once said to me, "that in one respect I resemble Rousseau, who says of himself that his processes of thought were slow but ardent,"—a curious

and rare combination. In proportion, however, to the slowness with which his conclusions were reached, was the firmness with which they were rivetted. He has been charged with inconsistencies, but (putting aside the alteration in his religious sentiments) I am not aware of any one opinion formally expressed or published by him, which he ever changed or retracted. This slow and deliberate habit of thinking gave him a great advantage when the act of composition came to be performed. He never had the double task to do, at once of thinking what he should say, and how he should say it. The one was over before the other commenced. He never began to write till, in its subjects, and the order and proportions of its parts, the map or outline of the future composition was laid down; and this was done so distinctly, and, as it were, authoritatively, that it was seldom violated. When engaged, therefore, in writing, his whole undivided strength was given to the best and most powerful expression of pre-established ideas. So far before him did he see, and so methodically did he proceed, that he could calculate, for weeks and months beforehand, the rate of his progress, and the day when each separate composition would be finished.

The same taste for numerical arrangement was exhibited in the most insignificant actions and habits of his life. It regulated every part of his toilet,—down even to the daily stropping of his razor. Beginning with his minimum, which was two strokes, he added one stroke more each day successively, till he got up to a number fixed on as his maximum, on reaching which, he reversed the process, diminishing the number of his strokes by one each day, till the lowest point was touched; and so, by what he would have called a series of oscillations between his maximum and his minimum, this matter of the stropping undeviatingly progressed. It would be tedious, perhaps trifling, to tell how a like order was punctually observed in other parts of his toilet. He did almost everything by num-

bers. His staff was put down to the ground regularly at each fourth foot-fall; and the number of its descents gave him a pretty accurate measure of the space over which he walked. Habit had rendered the counting of these descents an easy, indeed almost a mechanical operation; so that, though meeting friends, and sustaining an animated conversation, it still went This mode of measuring distances was variously applied. When he lived at No. 7, Inverleith Row, a complication of streets lay between him and the University, and he imposed upon himself the problem of discovering a new route each day, and keeping a register of their relative lengths. Next to the pleasure of being introduced to an altogether new locality, was that of thoroughly exploring one already known. "I like," he said to one of his favourite students, "to find out new spots in places I am familiar with. The other day I had some time to spare, so I tried if I could extemporize a new route between Comely Bank and Inverleith Row. I sauntered, rather dubious I must confess, up a sort of cart-lane, and, before I was aware, I got involved in the accessories of a farm-house, where I was set upon by a mastiff, and so obliged to turn back." When, in the spring of 1843, he removed to a dwelling-house which he had built for himself at Morningside, as the distance was too great for him to walk from College, he generally drove to the outskirts of the town. Whilst walking from Wright's Houses, the point at which he was set down, to his house at Churchhill, he, one winter, kept an accurate reckoning of the number of persons he met upon the road each day, -curious to know whether a fixed average would be observed, or whether it would vary as the days shortened or lengthened. Many more like instances might be quoted, but we must return to our details of his daily life.

"I find," he says, "that successful exertion is a powerful means of exhilaration, which discharges itself in good humour

upon others." His own morning compositions seldom failed in this effect, as he came forth from them beaming and buoyant, with a step springing as that of childhood, and a spirit overflowing with benignity. If his grandson, or any of the younger members of his family were alone in the breakfast-room, a broad and hearty "Hurro! hurro!" ringing through the hall, announced his coming, and carried to them his morning greeting. As his invariable mode of dealing with introductions was to invite the introduced to breakfast, very interesting groups often gathered round his breakfast table. In the general conversation of promiscuous society, Dr. Chalmers did not excel. There are minor acts of governing, such as those needed for the management of a House of Commons, or the conduct of a General Assembly, in which he was utterly defective; and there are minor graces of conversation required for its easy guidance through varied and fluctuating channels, which his absorption with his own topics, and the massive abruptness of his movements, made it difficult, perhaps impossible, for him to practise. But at his breakfast table, with half a dozen strangers or foreigners around him, his conversation was in the highest degree rich and attractive. Opportunities naturally occurred, or were willingly made, for him to "expatiate" upon some passing public topic, or upon some of his own favourite themes, and he was never seen nor heard to greater advantage. His power of pithy expression, (remarkably exhibited in his occasional employment of vernacular Scotch,) and of pictorial narrative, his concentrated and intense moral earnestness, his sense of humour, his boundless benignity, the pure, transparent, and guileless simplicity of his character,received many of their happiest illustrations at such times. He had one morning in the week reserved especially for his students. On meeting with them in his own house, he was often at a loss to recognise them by name, and the mode he

took to extricate himself from the difficulty was rather singular. He had a card with the names on it of all the students whom he had that morning invited to breakfast. When all had assembled and were seated, holding the card below the level of the table, as he thought out of sight, he glanced furtively down at it to catch the first name on the list. lifting his eyes and looking eagerly and rapidly around, he would say,-" Tea or coffee, Mr. Johnson?" hoping by this innocent artifice to identify the person so addressed, and to save him the pain of being apparently unknown or forgotten. The device was too transparent to be unnoticed; but which of his students did not love him all the more for the kindliness which dictated it! The recognition once got over, no after difficulty remained. The student was asked generally from what part of the country he came, and it must have been some very remote and inaccessible region which found Dr. Chalmers unprepared to enter immediately into conversation upon its topography. It was his first and favourite subject, and he revived in this way, during the winter, the knowledge gathered in his summer travels. When he met with one of kindred taste, or capable of informing him, he would talk for half an hour about a single locality, and with all the zest of a regular tourist. There was one desire which upon such occasions he seldom failed to express: - "I should like exceedingly," he would say, "that we had a series of maps of our Scottish horizons; it would be most interesting to recognise the appearances which the different elevations of the country presented as seen upon the horizon from different centres. It should have been one of the Government instructions to those engaged in the national survey to furnish us with such a set of maps."

With visitors from England, the conversation at the breakfast table turned frequently upon the state of the English Establishment, of which Dr. Chalmers had been an ardent

admirer, but whose cause he felt less confidence in advocating after the inroad of Puseyism, and the absence or the impotence of all attempts to check it. "In speaking of apostolic succession," says one of his English visitors,* "he told us of a summary which Campbell of Aberdeen had made of the difficulties of that question which had always appeared to him most excellent. Campbell conducts his inquiry relative to apostolic succession by putting three questions,—Where is it? how is it? and what is it?—and, after a learned disquisition, concludes in some such terms as these:-- 'We find therefore that it is a something nobody knows where, contrived and produced nobody knows how, and leading to consequences nobody knows what.' Allusion having been made to the Middle Ages, and to the piety of many of the monks, 'I had no idea,' he said, 'that so much of the history of that period was preserved till I read Hallam. As to the personal character of the monks, I could not dogmatize upon that question. It is astonishing the confidence of some of your orthodox folk in their judgments upon others, and that too under our system of progressive development. I just say, we have not the materials for settling such a question. We would need to penetrate the counsels of God, and the secrets of another bosom, before we could pronounce through how much distorting error a man may

^{*} Lady Richardson.

[†] Connected with the sacraments, Dr. Chalmers often told a favourite story about a Highland baptism. A clergyman went to administer the rite in the house of one of his hearers, near which there ran a small burn or river, which, when he reached it, was so deep and swollen with recent rains that he could not get across. In these circumstances he told the father to bring his child down to the bnrn-side. Furnished with a wooden scoop the clergyman stood on the one side, and the father, holding his child as far out in his arms as he could, stood upon the other. The service proceeded, and when the time came for sprinkling the babe, the minister dipping the scoop into the water flung its contents across, aiming at the baby's face. He failed more than once, calling out to the father after each new trial, "Weel, has't gotten ony yet?" Dr. Chalmers wondered what the great sticklers for form and ceremony in the sacraments would think of a baptism by a burnside, performed with a wooden scoop.

grope his way to a blissful immortality; and I would say, therefore, that as in the rude ages the monasteries were conservatories of learning, so, for aught I know, they were conservatories in thousands of instances of genuine piety,—debased no doubt by a superincumbent superstition."

Dr. Chalmers was much gratified by the reception given to his Works in America, and had great pleasure in making the personal acquaintance of Dr. Elton, Dr. Sprague, Dr. Smyth, Dr. Cox, Dr. Beecher, and other eminent American elergymen. In the summer and autumn of 1845, many transatlantic visitors were his guests at Morningside. The recent controversy about the propriety of the Free Church receiving pecuniary aid from Churches which admitted slaveholders to the Communion, had directed Dr. Chalmers's attention to the general question of slavery in America, and the measures of the immediate abolitionists.* His conversations with Americans at this time were frequently directed to this subject. "I observe," he said, "that the abolitionists have tried to extort from your Board of Missions a declaration in favour of emancipation. It is really too bad that they should try to implicate in that way their one undoubted good thing with all the other good things that are going on in the world. I do hope that this obtrusive spirit of theirs will have an effectual check put upon it. It impedes, besides, the very object which their own hearts are set upon, and which there are other hearts as zealous, but only somewhat wiser, which are as much set upon as theirs. I admire exceedingly the deliverance of the Board of Missions and the report of the American General Assembly-both done, I do think, with admirable tact and wisdom. They have greatly elevated my estimate both of the wisdom and force of principle which pervade the ecclesiastical mind and philanthropic public of America."

^{*} For the matured expression of his sentiments on this subject, see Appendix I.

While the West Port enterprise was going on, more than one breakfast party was composed exclusively of agents in that undertaking. At one of them he was told of an objection which had been started by a minister, that if many churches like that of the West Port were erected, each of them would abstract some hearers from one or other of the existing Edinburgh ministers. It created a storm of indignation. "And for the sake," said he, "of the paltry few that would drop from this and that man's congregation, am I to let the masses live in dirt and die in darkness? Horrible! to make a rabbit-warren of human souls! Can that man believe what he preaches who would stand by and see hundreds sink into an unprovided eternity, rather than run the risk of Mr. John this, [spoken with an ironical drawl,] or Mr. James that, being lost to his congregation? There is a vast deal of spurious faith, and I see more and more the meaning of Christ's question-'When the Son of man cometh, shall He find faith upon the earth?' But [the indignation gradually giving place to despondency] I have little hope of the impracticable understandings of the general public. People talk of the enlightened public; I just look upon the public as a big baby. Eh, man, [turning now archly to Tommy,] if a' the gowks in the world were brought together, they wad fill a great muckle house."

Before or after breakfast, Dr. Chalmers would go round the garden lying immediately behind his house, in the progress of which he took great pleasure. "Well, dearie daughtts," he would say, after finishing a round, "it's a noble instrument a garden; I've just counted all the things in flower (in May) round all the walks, and they are 320. There is one which occurs with a nauseous uniformity, but with that exception they are all most beautiful." He was always the first to bring in the first snow-drop of the season, of which flower he was passionately fond.

The interval between breakfast and dinner was devoted to the "Biblical Readings," and to extending the short hand of the "Institutes." He dined latterly at one o'clock, and as he had to be at his class at two, the meal was necessarily a hurried one. He was indifferent about food, and remarkably abstemious. But there was no habit of life about which he was so scrupulous. His private journals are filled with constant laments over his own incautiousness and excess at table; so much so, that were these journals ever to fall into the hands of one ignorant of Dr. Chalmers's habits, he might draw from them a conclusion exactly opposite to the truth. One night at supper at Merchiston Castle, a water biscuit, as thin almost as a wafer. but of large eircumference, was put upon the plate before him. As he got into an animated conversation, he continued breaking down this biscuit into small parts, and eating them. When he discovered that the biscuit was consumed, he expressed himself surprised and shocked; and although that was all that he partook of upon this occasion, there was an entry that night in his journal,-"exceeded to-night at supper." The truth was, that whenever he felt his capacity for any intellectual effort or any spiritual exercise impaired after eating, he attributed it to an excess, which it was his duty to curb. By a single extract from his journal, let us convey an impression of the light in which this part of self-government was regarded.

"Incapable of study, and in great physical discomfort. How shameful; and let me here record my humbling sense of it, that this was in great part due to excess at table, which has made me bilious, and alive to all sorts of plague and provocation. I gave way to this vile indulgence at Mr. P——'s, and have not been careful in the least for weeks past. Enable me, O God, to make a stand now, to enter on a new habit, and strive with all might for the mastery over this degrading appetite. But work in me by the might of thy Spirit, O God; not

me, but the grace of God that is in me. Let me slay this enemy. Let me keep the body under subjection. Let me embark with all strength of purpose on this holy warfare. Henceforward may I be circumspect, awake—awake both to duties and consequences, with a constant sense in me of God, and the predominating influence of His will, and that consciously, and with the distinct feeling of its obligation over my will, else how can I be said to be living otherwise than without God in the world. My God, let me enter now on a set career of self-government; and having the prospect of several convivial engagements before me, let me have the comfort of recording a victory over the lusts which war against the soul. Let me bethink myself of what I might yet do with my mind, and what I have yet to recover of a spirituality faded and well-nigh extinct, because overlaid by the sensualities of the flesh. The contest is for heaven, which I shall never reach unless the spirit so lust against the flesh as to prevail over it. Let me, therefore, carry the principle of godliness abroad over the whole platform of my life, and downward to the minutest actions of it, that whether I eat or drink, or whatsoever I do, it might be to the glory of God; and O save me from those sad effusions of temper which are so opposed to the second law, to the charity of the Gospel, and that long-suffering which is one of the Spirit's most precious fruits."

Dr. Chalmers's evenings were given to general reading, and to the society of his family and friends. He kept steadily by one book at a time, and however small a portion of it might be overtaken each evening, the perusal was regularly prosecuted to a close. And here too, as well as in his summer visits, he sought out the friends of his youth. Within the last two or three years of his life, he completed an entire perusal of Gibbon, Shakspeare, and Milton. "I don't wonder now," he said, "at Milton's own preference for 'Paradise Regained' over 'Para-

dise Lost.'" The single passage of Shakspeare which he most frequently recited, was that one in Henry IV., which commences

"I saw young Harry—with his beaver on, His cuisses on his thighs, gallantly armed," &c.;

and the single play in which he took most pleasure was Midsummer Night's Dream, among the fairy pictures of which he delighted to revel. "I look," he would say, after laying down the book, "I look on Shakspeare as an intellectual miracle; I would put him before Milton from his exhaustless variety. One of his students once told him of the enthusiasm of the Germans about Shakspeare, and related the anecdote of Goethe's comparison between Tieck, Shakspeare, and himself, in which, with a singular mixture both of pride and humility, he said, "That relation which Tieck holds to me, I hold to Shakspeare. I regard Shakspeare as a being of a superior nature." "Well, sir, do you know," said Dr. Chalmers, after hearing the aneedote, "I like that very much. I dare say Shakspeare was the greatest man that ever lived,-greater perhaps even than Sir Isaac Newton." In February 1845, two years after the Disruption, we find the following entry in Dr. Chalmers's journal: "A few days ago finished the complete perusal of Shakspeare. Began 'Paradise Lost,' and am reading with great interest, 'Edwards on the end of God in Creation.' Let me henceforth betake myself to serious reading."

In his domestic intercourse with his daughters, there was much playful familiarity. Finding one of them sitting alone in a room, he said to her,—"Well, my dear little howlet—

Hail, mildly pleasing solitude, Companion of the wise and good;

but I'm no for us growing perfectly uncognizant of one another, sitting in corners like sae mony cats." After some of his great

public appearances, when he came home exhausted, his daughters would gather round him as he lay at ease in his arm-chair. One would play Scotch music, another shampoo his feet, (a very frequent, and to him always a very agreeable operation,) a third would talk nonsense, and set him into fits of laughter. At such times, in a mock heroic way, he would repeat Scott's lines,-"O woman, in our hours of ease," &c. A spirit of chivalry ran through all his intercourse with his daughters: they not only ministered to his comfort in the hours of relaxation, he made them companions, as it were, of his public life, and sought their intellectual sympathy with his even highest exercises of thought. Busied with his pamphlet on the Evangelical Alliance, in which he was dealing with the proper plan and use of Confessions of Faith, "I look," said he to one of his daughters, "on Catechisms and Confessions as mere landmarks against heresy. If there had been no heresy, they wouldn't have been wanted. It's putting them out of their place to look on them as magazines of truth. There's some of your stour orthodox folk just over ready to stretch the Bible to square with their catechism: all very well, all very needful as a landmark, but [kindling up] what I say is, do not let that wretched, mutilated thing be thrown between me and the Bible." "Bacon," said his daughter, "compares the Bible to the well-spring, and says, he were a huge fool that would not drink but from a tank." "Ha! ha! where does Bacon say that? it's nasty in the tank too, whiles!" The conversation turned upon Mr. Carlyle, for whom he cherished great regard and admiration. "It is a most interesting phenomenon to me, Carlyle's state of mind. The lad looking with a most graphic and intelligent eye on the peculiarities of Calvinism, having a sort of regard too for them, and yet" "It is a curious faculty that I have"-we quote now from another of his conversations-" of magnifying things. Now I find no difficulty in looking at this [a little tuft of treemoss which he held in his hand] as if I were an animalcule living there within it, and these little fibres a great pine forest with fine green mounds in it. * * * What an infinite variety of systems may the planetary world embrace! For aught I know, our own system may be diversified with worlds no bigger than that table-head. There would be scope enough for exquisite panoramas—the master existence no larger than a bee or butterfly. Who knows in the inexhaustible profusion of nature what may be?"

Reposing in his easy-chair, and recalling the subjects of his "Bible Readings," he would say, "I am fond of the Old Testament; what a stately procession of Scripture characters! I have just twelve that I call my magnates; what a pinnacle that speech of our Saviour's lifts Abraham to-" he rejoiced to see my day,' piercing the futurity of 2000 years.—I like Isaac, there was such a mildness about him: it is very picturesque his going forth to meditate in the evening tide.—Jacob's early life is most distasteful to me. The truth is, he was just too much o'a sneck-drawer: he was the sneck-drawer, and Esau was the snool about the pottage. But how impressive his interview with Pharaoh and his closing scene !- There was great chivalry no doubt in David pouring out the water before the Lord-the chivalry of the Middle Ages, in the antique Jewish way of it. I cannot say I altogether sympathize in it; I wad e'en ha'e ta'en a willy-waucht o' the water.-I like everything that marks the identity of human nature. I am sure that judgment of Solomon's would make a great stir among the women; tongues wad no be idle at Jerusalem."

"About the beginning of 1834," we quote now from a manuscript of the Rev. Mr. Couper of Burntisland, "Dr. Chalmers became the proprietor of a house in this locality, and here, for seven or eight years following, nearly one-half of his time was spent. His liking for the locality was very strong. It was not

so bustling then as it is now; but on this account was all the more congenial to his tastes and habits. 'Some people,' he remarked, 'say that this is a dull place; but what they call dull, I call delicious.' His mode of life while here was tolerably uniform and exceedingly simple. The earlier portion of the day he generally devoted to study and correspondence, reserving the afternoon and evening for the society of his family and friends, and for the exercise of walking, in which he took great delight. He had many visitors, not a few of them from other countries; and he scarcely ever failed, when time and weather permitted, to conduct them to his favourite points of view, where he expatiated with wonderful enthusiasm on the varied beauty of the surrounding scenery. It was scarcely possible to take even one short walk with him without perceiving that his capacity of enjoyment was singularly large. He could find beauty everywhere; at least he could single out from the most ordinary scene, some feature or other on which his mind could dwell with interest and pleasure. All the points from which the scenery of this locality could be viewed to most advantage, he knew most thoroughly; and, however interesting the conversation in which he might be engaged, it was sure to be interrupted when any one of these points was reached. He would pause for a moment—his eye would wander over the landscape, and, with a smile mantling over his countenance, he would give a brief but expressive utterance to his feelings of joy and admiration. The unselfishness of his delight in Nature was very noticeable. He seemed to have a positive affection for the scenes and objects from which he drew so much pure enjoyment-it was as if his heart went out to them. On a calm and bright summer day, I happened to be with him in one of his favourite haunts, the small promontory called Lammerlaws, which forms the eastern portion of the peninsula on which this town is situated—the tide was full, the water rippled gently

between the low ledges of rock, and laved the roots of the grass and wild flowers that skirted every little nook. 'I have a great affection for these nooks,' was the characteristic remark that fell from Dr. Chalmers; and in the tone in which it was uttered there was a warmth, and withal a certain indescribable pathos, which conveyed at once the impression that he spoke from the fulness of his heart.

"His youthful freshness of feeling imparted a singular charm to his manners and conversation. Even when verging on old age, he was very strikingly characterized by the simplicity of vivacious and unsuspecting boyhood. Of this peculiarity he was himself quite conscious, and I have heard him more than once allude to it. Having equipped himself one evening to go to Edinburgh, he appeared to have outgrown his ordinary dimensions—the pockets of his great-coat being well stuffed, I think with books and pamphlets. This occasioned some merriment, in which he heartily joined. Placing his hands on his sides, he went on to say, 'I have now somewhat of the solidity and gravity, and somewhat also of the breadth of middle age; but I can scarcely shake off the feeling of boyhood. I remember, Mr. Couper, when I was a student at St. Andrews, with what profound veneration I regarded the Professors; when I came to be a Professor there myself, I used to wonder if these gilpies could have the same feeling towards me.' I may give another instance equally characteristic. A steep wooded bank overhanging the sea, commences about a mile and a half to the west of Burntisland, and terminates near the village of Aberdour. Here Dr. Chalmers delighted to ramble, and great was his satisfaction when he had one or two friends along with him to explore the Hews-for such is the name of the locality. day, on reaching the west end of the Hews, we found the gate locked, and, as we intended to proceed to Aberdour, we had to scale the wall. Dr. Chalmers declined the offer of assistance,

feeling assured that he was quite competent to the task himself. He soon succeeded in planting himself on the top of the wall, but felt it expedient to rest for a little before attempting to come down. Perched on this rustic eminence, he felt as if carried back into the scenes of his boyhood, and, looking blandly down upon the companions of his walk, gave vent to his feelings in a very curious and racy strain of observation: the purport of it was that he felt it very difficult to realize his progress in life, and that there was often a great contrast between his feelings and his years. 'When I meet,' he said, 'a respectable matron, who is perhaps a dozen years younger than myself, I feel quite disposed to look up to her with the same sort of veneration that I felt when I was a boy.'

"While engaged in conversation, Dr. Chalmers would occasionally fall into a reverie, which, by those not acquainted with him, was felt to be embarrassing. The reverie, when not broken in upon by others, was generally terminated by the abrupt utterance of some important sentiment which he had been revolving in his mind. Thus, he one day remarked, after we had walked for a while in silence, 'What a blessed thing it is, Sir, that it is confidence that is required of us.' another time, a pretty long pause was broken by his saying with much emphasis, 'I know no point of orthodoxy that is not susceptible of a practical treatment. Take an extreme case—the doctrine that man can do nothing of himself; I would just say, Pray all the harder.' I may record another of the sayings which fell from him in this abrupt but impressive manner; it is one which young ministers especially would do well to ponder. 'It is of great importance to keep up a high tone of pulpit preparation; the efficacy of your private ministrations will depend very much upon it.'

"He often became extremely animated—sometimes even vehement—though conversing with only a single individual.

This was especially the case when his mind was occupied with any great question in which he had been led to take a prominent part. He might begin calmly, but as he spoke, 'the fire burned,' and a torrent of glowing eloquence soon came rushing from his lips. I have heard him at a fireside, in the recess of a window, and even while sitting up in bed, break forth in a style of stormy grandeur sufficient to electrify a whole assembly. A scene which took place in my own study is worthy of being recorded. He called one day with Isaac Taylor, whom he greatly loved for his virtues, and admired for his genius. It was at a time when the Church Extension cause had materially suffered from what Dr. Chalmers regarded as the unscrupulous conduct of men in power; and on this subject he broke forth, not, as he himself would have expressed it, with the vehemence of passion, but with the vehemence of sentiment. His face kindled up, his eye flashed, the tone of his voice became impetuous, and his whole bearing afforded unmistakable indications of the strength of the emotions that were at work within. When he began, he was seated about two yards off from Mr. Taylor, but with almost every sentence that he uttered he gave his chair a hitch nearer, until the knees of the two were in very close proximity, and Mr. Taylor had to draw himself up and lean back on the wall in order to save his head from the uplifted arm of 'the old man eloquent.' In a few minutes the thunder cloud passed away, and his bland and genial nature beamed forth again with the sunny serenity that usually characterized it.

"He had a wonderful store of anecdotes of which he could avail himself with a happy promptitude, for the illustration of any subject that turned up in conversation, and on such occasions his keen sense of the ludicrous was often evinced with irresistible effect. One evening as we were walking together silently, after I had come to this parish, he was much gratified

with the respectful demeanour of the people whom we met, and in particular with the fact (afterwards described by himself in broad Scotch) that 'an auld wife hirsled aff a dyke to mak her courtesy.' Towards the end of our walk, a person having passed without making any sign of recognition, Dr. Chalmers observed, 'I perceive your people don't all recognise you yet. This brings to my mind a story connected with Buckhaven, which, you know, is a peculiar sort of place. It was long, and is yet, to some extent, behind other places in point of civilisation; but some few of the inhabitants got a little in advance of the rest. The minister of the parish went one day to solemnize a marriage; he made the bridegroom, of course, promise to be a faithful, loving, and indulgent husband -at least, he put the question to that effect, but could not get him to alter his stiff erect posture. Again and again he repeated the form, but the man remained silent and stiff as ever. A neighbour was present who knew more about the forms and footsteps of the thing, and was considered to have advanced a little more in civilisation than the rest. Enraged at the clownishness of the bridegroom, he stepped forward, gave him a vigorous knock on the back, and said to him with corresponding energy, "Ye brute, can ye no boo to the minister!"' Dr. Chalmers's commentary on this scene was brief but emphatic-'The heavings of incipient civilisation, you know.'

"Interesting snatches of his personal history were sometimes brought out in the most natural and incidental manner, as parallels to what had been mentioned in the course of conversation. I happened to tell him the following incident which I had heard of not long before. At the close of a communion season in the north, the men of the parish, being assembled with the ministers who had assisted on the occasion, expressed their satisfaction with the services that had been rendered,

and each particular minister received his modicum of applause, with the exception of one, of whose performances not one word was said. He was foolish enough to ask, 'And have you not a good word to say of me?' In order to soothe his feelings, one of the men, who had much of the milk of human kindness, and who was resolved to go as far as truth and honesty would permit, but no farther, said to him with much simplicity, 'Sir, you had fine psalms.' Dr. Chalmers, who was greatly amused with the story, observed that something parallel had occurred in his own experience. While preaching one of the Astronomical Discourses, he noticed among the audience a plain, honest, godly woman who lived in a close off the Gallowgate, and with whom he was well acquainted. She was one of a little company who attended a simple religious service which he sometimes conducted in the neighbourhood. The Doctor felt an irresistible desire to know what Janet thought of the sermon, as he was quite sure that it was above her reach, and he knew that he would not require to ask her opinion, for, being a frank, outspoken person, she would not fail to give it of her own accord. A day or two after, he threw himself in her way, when he soon got what he was in quest of. 'Weel, Sir, I was hearing ye in the Laigh Kirk the ither day; I canna say that I liket ye sae weel as in our bit placey here-I canna say that I understood ye a'thegither-but, eh Sir, there was something unco suitable and satisfyin' in the psalms.' Dr. Chalmers was evidently delighted with the thought that her spiritual instinct had fastened on what could really profit her; and that, though she had derived no advantage from his sermon, she had not been sent empty away."

With all his social cheerfulness and beaming joy, there were tokens not a few of an internal conflict—glimpses of an inward desolation which told unmistakably that, like David, he felt himself to be a stranger upon this earth. "I

would not live alway," was a sentence often uttered. "What a wilderness the world is to the heart with all it has to inspire happiness! I have a great and growing sense of desolation.* What a marvellous solitude every man bears about with him; and then that other and mysterious seclusion—the intercepting veil between us and the Deity. You would think [speaking in a hesitating tone] that He would delight to manifest Himself to His creatures. No doubt the obstacle must be in the subjective,-the clearer the reflecting medium, the brighter the manifestations. That is strikingly put in Matthew, 'the pure in heart, they shall see God.' on it as a strong proof of our alienation from God how short a time we can sustain a direct contemplation of Him; -what a mighty transformation when the veil of outer things shall be withdrawn, and we stand naked and alone before Him with whom we have to do!"

Into the peace and rest of the Sabbath Dr. Chalmers entered with a peculiar joy. Besides his usual evening interviews with his children in his study, there was one duty of a peculiar kind thrown always upon the afternoon of this day. He never received the notification of a death without writing to some member of the afflicted family, and these letters of sympathy were always written on the Sabbath evenings. "I take it very kind," he wrote to the Rev. Mr. Chalmers of Dunfermline, "that you have sent me the notice of an event so interesting, though painfully interesting to your family. I know not a more touching expression of confidence from one human being to another, than when the sorrow which oppresses his heart is communicated to his fellow, and this in the expectation that

^{* &}quot;I am conversant more with principles than with persons. I begin to suspect that the intensity of my own pursuits has isolated me from living men, and that there is a want of that amalgamation about me which cements the companionships and closer brotherhoods that obtain in society."—*Horæ Sabbaticæ*, vol. i. p. 59.

he will sympathize with and share it. This is the only explanation I can give of a feeling which I have long experienced, that whenever I receive the intimation of a death, I am by that very act domesticated with the house of mourning whence it comes, invited in short to partake along with its inmates in the griefs and emotions of our common humanity.

"Give my best regards to Mrs. Chalmers; and with earnest prayer that these visitations may lead us all to prepare for the eternal and the abiding home of the blessed—I ever am, my dear Sir, yours very truly,

THOMAS CHALMERS."

On receiving the intimation of the death of J. J. Gurney, Esq., he wrote thus to Mrs. Johnston:*

Edinburgh, January 17th, 1847.

"My dear Mrs. Johnston,—This is a very sad and truly solemnizing event. Your letter gave me the first intelligence of it, and I afterwards on the same day read his obituary in the *Universe* Newspaper. The sensation created by his death must be very deep and very general. For myself, I feel it to be a very great personal bereavement—standing as he did among the best and highest of my Christian friends both in respect of intelligence and worth. Ever since 1833, when I spent some days at Earlham, I have had the privilege of enjoying his friendship. Very pleasant was he at all times to me, and the remembrance of him is sweet.

"But what precious alleviations are attendant on the loss of him though near and dear to us,—translated from a world of sin and sorrow, and now among the glorified spirits of the just made perfect. I associate with Mr. Gurney almost all the great and good men in England whom I had the happiness of knowing,—your dear and venerable father, Mr. Wilberforce, Mr. Clarkson, and Mr. Foster, who still survives, and whose ac-

^{*} Daughter of Sir T. F. Buxton.

quaintance I made in Dorsetshire: add to these Mrs. Fry. But it is only now that I learned, and from you, of Mr. Hoare's death, who along with Mrs. Hoare (one of the finest specimens of feminine Christianity I ever met) suffered so much from the death of their eldest son. What a lesson does the disappearance of all this society convey; what a call to labour for the meat which endureth, and to disengage our affections from a world that passeth so speedily away!

"I take it exceedingly kind that you should have thought of me on this melancholy occasion. It was very good in you thus to single me out for a letter on a subject which went so near to my heart; and I felt it exceedingly genial when you told me of your dear son, and adverted to his baptism. It gives me a certain sense of the affinity of relationship to you and yours. It is my earnest prayer that he may pass through the world uninfected by its profligacy and vices, and that after a manhood of usefulness and honour, he may be admitted to the glories of an everlasting inheritance. Meanwhile, as friends drop away from us, let us draw nearer together—more resolved to befriend and support each other throughout the remainder of this short and uncertain pilgrimage.—Ever believe me, my dear Mrs. Johnston, yours very affectionately and truly,

THOMAS CHALMERS."

CHAPTER XXIV.

FAMILY CORRESPONDENCE.

On the 17th March 1845, Dr. Chalmers made the following entry in his Journal :- "My birth-day; I have got over the half of my seventh decade, being now sixty-five, and have entered on what I call the Sabbath afternoon. My God, may it have a more Sabbatical character than my Sabbath forenoon has bad. I would henceforth live wholly unto thee." The outward Sabbath quiet was not given to him, but there came an increasing gentleness and spirituality which gave few more affecting exhibitions of its depth and tenderness than in the peculiar anxiety which he now manifested for the spiritual welfare of his children. Every reader of his biblical compositions must have been struck with the frequency to which the topic is there reverted to, and the fervour with which so many petitions are presented. letters of this period present the same characteristics. eldest daughter, who, in 1836, had been united in marriage to the writer of these Memoirs, he, in 1841, addressed the following letter:-

"BURNTISLAND, June 1st, 1841.

"My dear Anne,—This is an important change that has taken place in my state and eircumstances, now that I am disengaged from all the public business of the Church. It is true that the time heretofore devoted to this department I could find ample occupation for in the work of literary preparation both for the press and for my Chair; and I shall feel it my

duty to do a great deal more, if spared, in each of these walks than I have been able to do hitherto. Yet important as these are, I have the urgent sense of its being a still prior and preferable duty to do all which in me lies, not for my own personal Christianity alone, but for that of my immediate relatives and friends. For these last thirty years, there has been always a strong under-current of earnest and anxious feeling in this direction, but sadly impeded and overborne among the fatigues and distractions and manifold calls on my attention and time to which my various official duties exposed me. have all been removed, and that which was but an undercurrent before, I desire, throughout the remainder of my days, to have full and free vent in every possible thing which I can either devise or do for the religious wellbeing whether of myself or of my family. And I do hope that the consideration of the few years (it may be much shorter) which I have to live in this world may incline one and all of them to second my earnest wishes for the good of their imperishable spirits-for the high end, in comparison with which all other objects sink into insignificance, their preferment to that state of blessedness, in which it will be our everlasting employment to glorify God, and to enjoy him for ever.

"I have not forgotten the impression made upon me by a short visit, of some years back, from Dr. Hanna,* and whence I could gather how intimately religion was blended and identified with his moral being—forming part and parcel, as it were, of the element in which he breathed. I am quite sure that when such is the pervading tone of all the inmates in any household, it forms the high road to a well-conditioned and happy family. It is a condition which I long, and with the grace of God will labour, to realize; for Heaven forbid that the purposed Sabbath of my life, which should have commenced

^{*} The Rev. Dr. Hanna of Belfast.

sooner, and which I trust will only be terminated by death—Heaven forbid that it should be limited in its effects to the selfish object of my own enjoyment, or my own preparation for the happiness of an immortal state. My longing desire is, that others also, and especially those who are nearest and dearest to me, should receive an impulse in the same direction, and be fellow-travellers along with me to a blissful eternity.—I ever am, my dear Anne, yours very affectionately and truly,

THOMAS CHALMERS."

As one step towards the accomplishment of this longing desire, he commenced a series of monthly letters, a copy of which was to be addressed to each of his daughters. The public demands upon his time, however, became so urgent, that these letters were not continued, and we have only the following specimen to offer:—

"Burntisland, July 24th, 1841.

"MY DEAR ANNE,-It is true that the Spirit is the alone effectual agent in the work of conversion, and without His agency nothing can be done to any good purpose. But this doctrine, so far from superseding diligence in the use of means, may supply the alone consideration which can justify that diligence and make it rational. Suppose it were true that in no possible way we could be made savingly to understand the Bible,—then all inducement to the reading of it would be thereby done away. But, instead of this, let us suppose that there was one way, and that was the enlightening of the Spirit given to our prayers,—this would instantly give a meaning and a motive to the exercise of dealing with our Bibles; and the perusal of the sacred volume, accompanied with supplication for light upon it from on high, would instantly become a hopeful and a reasonable employment. And, accordingly, if I were asked to specify the likeliest prescription for the wellbeing and prosperity of the soul, I should say it was a prayerful reading of the Bible.

"That we do stand in need of this supernal aid, we might well be convinced of from daily experience, for without it how often might we read again and again its most familiar and best known passages, and yet remain blind all the while to the veriest simplicities of God's word. I was much struck with this when reading the evidence of Mr. Purves of Jedburgh the other day on the subject of revivals. * * * What impressed me in it was, how seldom, after all, faith in God's sayings, which is surely a very obvious, simple, and intelligible idea—how seldom it is realized by any of us. People think they believe in them, because they so far acquiesce as not to gainsay them; and yet with this acquiescence, an acquiescence so resolute and strong, that you would be shocked to utter aught in contradiction to them, there may yet be no faith. For let there be but belief in the gospel, and where lies the hinderance to peace, joy, confidence in the good-will of a reconciled Father even at this moment? Why postpone all this? Why not rely on the good tidings of great joy, and be glad accordingly? How long shall we put off trusting in God for that redemption which is through the blood of Jesus, even the forgiveness of sin? It may startle you to be told, that this last question is tantamount to the following:—How long shall we persist in holding God to be a liar? He himself distinctly reduces it to this alternative. He tells us of the record that He has given of His Son, even that He has given us eternal life, and that this life is in His Son; and He complains of being made a liar by all who won't believe this, (John v. 10, 11.) This one might think is bringing salvation very near to us. It is telling us to take and live, to trust and be satisfied. On this footing, and it is the true one, there should be an instant translation from death to life, from darkness to the marvellous light of the Gospel. Let us not think

that the way of being washed from our sins is anything more complex or circuitous than this, else we fall into the error of Naaman the Syrian, when told to wash him from his leprosy in the waters of Jordan. We are washed from our sins through the blood of Christ, (Rev. i. 5.) But this is through faith in His blood, (Rom. iii. 25.) Let us so believe, and so shall it be done unto us. These are plain sayings,-yet how few think of a salvation so nigh, and so placed by God within our reach, even that God who offers and entreats, and beseeches and commands, nay, threatens it upon our acceptance. What need of prayer then that the scales might fall from our eyes, which hinder us from seeing this great salvation, and from beholding the wondrous things contained in the book of God's law. Read and pray then; ask till you receive, seek till you find, knock till the door be opened to you, and, to hasten the wished for consummation, chide yourself out of your unbelief by the consideration that it is dishonouring to God's truth. For the opposite of this, read Rom. iv. 19-23, and learn from this passage how thoroughly God's glory and your comfort are at one. May you obtain precious faith. May Christ be found in you.—I am, my dear Anne, yours very truly,

THOMAS CHALMERS."

Although a systematic correspondence was relinquished, there was no diminution, but the reverse, in the solicitude felt for his children's religious welfare. This was touchingly educed by the death of a beloved infant in my own family.

" Dunkeld, April 30th, 1842.

"My VERY DEAR ANNE,—This is a sad privation; and I cannot adequately express how much we all feel for you. I have often marvelled at the forbearance of God, in that though for nearly thirty years a family man, death should for all that time have made no inroad upon my own household; and little

did I expect that the first of these visitations was to be on the persons of my grandchildren—you being the nearest and the principal sufferer. May He sustain, and above all, may He sanctify you under it; and superadding the influences of His grace to the affecting demonstrations of His providence, may this heavy trial, not joyous but grievous, yield unto you abundantly the peaceable fruits of righteousness.

"Perhaps a very few lines from your own hand could inform us how you all are, and in particular Tommy, who is the object of great anxiety to us all, and for whose recovery and establishment of health I daily pray.

"The present, if I may so express it, is a great occasion, and may, in the hands of the Divine Spirit, become a great era in your spiritual history. For myself, I have no doubt that your babe is in heaven—where those smiles which gladdened you here may again open upon you. The pitying Saviour who loved children, loves and welcomes all who approach Him:—to Him would I commit you. Cast yourself on Him, and He will give you a place and a mansion in His unsuffering kingdom.

"I offer you these considerations, for there are none other but such as these which can be of any real or abiding efficacy. As Wilberforce says, the faith of immortality gives to every mind which cherishes it a certain firmness of texture. Lay hold, then, of eternal life, by laying hold of Christ as your propitiation. And may the fruit of this bitter dispensation be the work of faith in you with power.

"'Whom God loveth he chasteneth.' I remember being much struck many years ago with an Exposition of John xv. 2, by Dr. Campbell of Edinburgh. Our Saviour there says of the great spiritual husbandman, that every branch which beareth fruit he purgeth it, that it may bring forth more fruit. He pruneth it; he cuts off some of its lateral or smaller branches, that the vegetable juice may not run to luxuriance in a wrong

direction, but may so pervade the whole as will contribute most to the nourishment and vigour of the tree. And in like manner do our affections move sideways or downwardly to the objects which are on earth and around us; and God, in the exercise of a wise and skilful husbandry, is often pleased to sever or cut off these objects, that our affections may take an upward and a heavenward direction to himself. May such be your blessed experience; and the medicine, though severe, will prove salutary, and the instrument of health to your soul.

"Give my best and most affectionate regards to Mamma and Mr. Hanna; and tell dear Tommy how much I love him, and pray for his being good.

"May God, the giver of all grace, fix and perpetuate every good impression which the events of his providence are fitted to awaken.—I am, my very dear Anne, yours most affectionately,

THOMAS CHALMERS."

In 1839, Dr. Chalmers's second daughter was married to the Rev. John Mackenzie, at that time minister of the parish of Dunkeld in Perthshire. Immediately after the marriage he proceeded on his Church Extension tour in the north of Scotland, from which he writes to Mrs. Mackenzie,—

"Inverness, August 28th, 1839.

"My Dearest Eliza,—* * * But let me stop all further description of my travels, and conclude this letter with the expression of my earnest wishes for your highest wellbeing. I have often felt it as one great evil of too public a life, that it dissevered one from his family; and when I think how highly favoured I have been in that, though twenty-seven years a family-man, I have never yet been exposed in my household to the visitation of death, I deeply feel that such a lengthened opportunity should have been consecrated more to the culture and preparation of those immortal spirits over whom I am appointed

to watch. Let me hope that you will not in your new circumstances neglect this greatest of all concerns; and while I am far from wishing that you should overtask yourself with the duties either of the house or of the parish, I cannot but rejoice that you are so much in the way of all that stands most connected with the things of faith and of eternity. With the aim fixed on a better world, not only is the happiness of the future but that of the present life most effectually provided for; and the power of him who has chosen God in Christ for his portion is in itself a fulfilment of the saying, that godliness hath the promise of the life which now is as well as of that which is to come.—I am, my dearest Eliza, yours very affectionately,

THOMAS CHALMERS."

Burntisland, September 28th, 1841.

"My dearest Eliza,—There is doubtless much of the reserve that obtains in conversation on religious subjects to be ascribed to our state of spiritual inanition. It is out of the fulness or abundance of the heart that the mouth speaketh; and, therefore, it is necessary that the reformation of this matter be rightly gone about—that we begin at the beginning. It is with this as with every other department of practical Christianity. Whatever good fruit we are aiming after, we must make the tree good that the fruit may be good. It is well that our sense of want and helplessness should thus throw us back on the deep and fundamental articles of our faith, and that we should thus be made to see in every attempt at being right, another experimental proof of the doctrine of regeneration, or of the necessity that, to be as we ought, we must be born again and become new creatures.

"I feel that this is being very general; but not more so than that most pregnant of all verses, 'God will give His spirit to them who ask it.' Let us cry, therefore, as we can; and it is as you say, to prayer we must add watchfulness—watchfulness for the Spirit as well as prayer for Him. And this gives me to feel the special importance of the last clause of Eph. vi. 18—'watching thereunto with all perseverance.'

"Still it is furthermore of mighty importance to learn what are our specific wants, that we may state them specifically before God, and that we may afterwards watch as specifically for the supply thereof. Believest thou that I am able to do this? was the question put by our Saviour to the man who asked a cure, and according to his particular faith, so was it done unto him. Whatever the impediment or infirmity may be, let us ascertain it, and pray for its removal. This will give rise to that process of discipline and cultivation in which what is called experimental religion mainly lies. I have had several talks with the children here, and am not discouraged by the results of these.—I am, my dearest Eliza, yours very affectionately,

THOMAS CHALMERS."

From the letters to his eldest unmarried daughter, who possessed much of his own genius, we select the following:—

" Edinburgh, November 17th, 1838.

"My dearest Grace,—I received your valued letter; and, in return, I have to say, that the first essential to a pleasant and productive employment of your time is, the regular and systematic distribution of it. This does not supersede the relaxations of society, domestic concerns, light reading, and exercise out of doors. The truth is, that the zest of the last is greatly heightened by the previous tension and fatigue which you may have incurred throughout those parts of the day which are given to the more serious pursuits of instruction and self-improvement. Regulate your hours then; for it were quite vain to offer any advice to those who will not relinquish the habit of living at random, and living as they list.

"With this as my great preliminary advice, I would further recommend—1. That the first time of your day should be devoted to religious meditation and prayer, both of which would be mightily helped by a little serious practical reading. Of course, I suppose a regular progress through the Bible; but, over and above this, a pious practical commentary, though only of a single verse each morning, might tell impressively on the heart. I give as samples, 'Bridges on the 119th Psalm,' 'Horne on the Psalms,' Doddridge's 'Family Expositor,' Scott's and Henry's 'Bibles.' The same in the evening as well as morning.

"2. One or two hours of solid reading,—such as the Evidences of Christianity,—its doctrines expanded so as to suit a general reader; as 'Symington on the Atonement;' 'Owen on the Work of the Holy Spirit;' 'Owen on the Person of Christ,' &c. Intellectual literature,—as Reid's, Stewart's, and even Brown's 'Works;' Foster's 'Essays;' 'Chalmers on Endowments and Establishments,' &c. &c.

"3. All proper female work,—as the management of cowheels in the kitchen, and stocking-heels in the drawing-room; the making of puddings in the one place, and of pin-cushions in the other; the orderly arrangement of all your articles in drawers, and on mantelpieces, and table-heads; the proper keeping of accounts, with as much letter-writing as is incumbent upon you.

"4. After standing acquitted of these, I give you great license as to all proper and innocent recreations; and I ask you to make trial for a week of the regularity I now prescribe, and see whether there is not a charm in it which might well convince us of the immense resources both for improvement and enjoyment that have been placed within our reach by a kind Providence.

"N.B.—I would rank biography and even history as light reading, along with imaginative literature, such as poetry and

a few good and right novels. I will allow you, however, to make Cowper's 'Poems' and 'Paradise Lost' tell for solid reading. Tell me what you think of Thomson's 'Seasons.'

"And now my last advice to you is, self-denial, or the habit of giving up your own will first to the will of God; and then, in things lawful, even in things indifferent, to the will of others also. I promise you the greatest enjoyment from the success of such a discipline; and remember what I have often felt to be a most precious connexion between two things in Christianity,—the connexion between obedience and spiritual discernment, in virtue of which I should look as the fruit of the sacrifice that I now recommend for a clearer view of the Gospel and its method of salvation.—Yours most truly,

THOMAS CHALMERS."

" Edinburgh, January 20th, 1842.

"MY VERY DEAR GRACE,-I am exceedingly struck, on the comparison of your state with mine, at the variety of human states and experiences. I have no difficulty in filling up every hour with business, and business, too, which stands related to duty and good objects, and be interested all the while in the performance. But I do feel a great difficulty in upholding converse with God, directly and devotionally; or, in the immediate exercise of spiritual contemplation for any length of time. Now your ease seems to be the reverse of this. I have heard you complain that you could not feel an interest in the routine of outward duties, while, at the same time, for hours together you could engage in prayer and meditation. For myself, I feel the utmost desirousness after such a habit and capacity as this-conscious as I am how very greatly I am deficient therein; and when reading such lives as those of Brainerd and Doddridge, have often stood amazed, I could almost say envious, of their power to sustain a real and spiritual intercourse with heaven for large portions of a whole day. At the same time, it is worthy of remark that even Brainerd testified to the great importance of a right and systematic distribution of time, and filling up each section of it with its own proper work, even for a healthful religious state of the soul.

"Both are best; and of the cultivation of both we have the best and highest examples. What a man both of performance and prayers was the Apostle Paul; but, greatest of all, can aught be more instructive than the mingled life of our Saviour, of whom it is so often recorded that, after a day spent in the works and labours of love, he retired from the world, and spent whole nights in prayer to His Father, the doing of whose will was meat and drink to Him. Let us grow more and more in a conformity to His blessed image.—My dearest Grace, yours very truly,

THOMAS CHALMERS."

"Gourock House, near Greenock, July 24th, 1845.

"My very dear Grace,—I have not forgotten your birth-day; and it is my fond belief, that both you and I are alive to the solemn thoughts which the recurrence of every such occasion ought to awaken. There is, in truth, a great practical delusion in the prevalent system of human life. We look at things as if by an inverted vision—investing time with all the worth and magnitude of eternity; while eternity, as if by the optical law of distance, shrinks into the littleness and insignificance of time. This is not as it should be; and to protect ourselves from the enchantment of such a treacherous and delusive imagination, let us ever keep by the side of Him who alone has the words and the gift of life everlasting. All would be well did we but attain the habit of the Apostle, in living a life of faith on the Son of God.—Ever believe me, my very dear Grace, yours very affectionately, Thomas Chalmers."

Dr. Chalmers's correspondence with his grandson, my eldest child, began early, and was frequently sustained, as the reader of the following letters will find, in circumstances not a little peculiar:—

"Burntisland, June 22d, 1841.

"My DEAR TOMMY,—This is the longest day in all the year, and it is also the day of the year in which you were born. This day you are four years old, and we have not forgotten it.

"As you grow in years, you should grow in knowledge and understanding; but what is still better than this, you should grow in goodness, and pray every day that God would give you His Holy Spirit to make you good. * * *

"Give all our kind regards to papa and mamma, and in reply to this letter you may say a letter to me back again, which mamma will write.—I am, my very dear Tom, your affectionate grandfather,

THOMAS CHALMERS."

"Burntisland, July 22d, 1841.

"MY DEAR TOMMY,-I am very sorry to hear that you have got sore eyes again, and are obliged to sit, poor fellow, in a dark But they tell me you are happy; and I think it quite a possible thing to be happy even when not well and in the dark. There is one way of being happy, and that is the best way of it, which is to think rightly, and think much of God. He is all goodness; He made you and He loves you, and, though you do not see Him, He is not far from you, but sees everything you do, and hears everything you say. We shall see Him when we get to heaven; and there is no sorrow, no wickedness there. You can at all times pray to Him; and one thing you should often pray for is, that He would make you good, and so fit you for His company and His friendship for I am, my very dear boy, your most affectionate grandfather, THOMAS CHALMERS."

" Edinburgh, December 30th, 1841.

"My dear little Tommy,—Why do you like your hobby-horse better than grandpapa?* You tell me because it is bigger than him; but so are the haystack and the hill that you see from the window, and any house in the village,—these are all bigger than grandpapa, and will you tell me that you don't like grandpapa so well as you do a house, or a hill, or a haystack! And besides, the hobby-horse cannot write letters to you like grandpapa, neither can he buy toys for you and send them from Edinburgh to Skirling; neither can he show you pictures, or do for you any of those things which you best like. Therefore give up this foolish argument about bigness, and learn to like things for a better reason than the mere size of them.

"And what grandpapa would rejoice to hear that you liked best, was that you loved God with all your heart and soul. It is He who made all things, and gives us all things that we enjoy. He is the author of all our happiness here, and if we please Him, He will make us cternally happy with Himself in heaven. Give Samuel a kiss from me, and offer my kind love to your mamma.—I am, my dear little boy, your affectionate grandpapa,

THOMAS CHALMERS."

" January 11th, 1841.

"My dear little boy,—I have two things to say to you:— First, you must now learn to speak less like a baby,—not grandpa, but grandpapa; not gate, but great; not lickle, but little. Recollect you are no longer a babe, but a boy, and you must leave off your baby words for Samuel Robert, and speak yourself like a man. But secondly, however fond you are of toys—and I like to see you happy in an innocent way, remem-

^{* &}quot;Dear little Tommy said that he liked his hobby-horse better than me; but retracted this afterwards, and said that he only liked it as well now."—Dr. Chalmers's Journal, of date 17th December 1841.

ber that you must prepare for the business of life; and one of the first things you must learn is to read. The greatest use of reading is to know the words of the Bible; its words are of far higher worth than its pictures. The God whom it is your duty to please and obey wrote all these words by the hands of His servants; and all good people learn, by reading this book, the way to heaven: and you should learn now how to begin, and what you must do to inherit eternal life there. Jesus Christ likes young children, and you should think of Him, and pray to God for His sake to make you good and willing to do all His will.

Thomas Chalmers."

"Burntisland, July 8th, 1844.

"MY DEAR TOMMY,-I have just seen a sight which if you had been present would, I dare say, have frightened you. I went down the pier to see Dr. Cunningham off in the steamboat, which had just come from the other side. A gig-horse, with all its harness on, was taken out of the boat and landed on the pier; but it refused to go any farther, and when the men tried to pull it by its bridle forward, it went backwards till its hind feet got beyond the edge of the pier, and down it fell with a tremendous plunge into the deep water. We all ran to the edge of the pier to see what had become of it. I knew that horses could swim, but then I was afraid lest the weight of the harness should have sunk it; and to be sure it was very near sinking, for with holding up its head as high as it could, it scarcely kept its ears and nostrils for breathing above the water; and, poor stupid thing, instead of swimming to the shore, it swam out to the sea, -upon which some men ran into a little boat and rowed with all their might, and, by means of a long boat-hook, got hold of its bridle and towed it after them to the end of the pier,-upon which it got, with some difficulty, on its four legs, and was led, all dripping, up to its stable. *

"Be a good boy,—fear God and keep His commandments, for this is the whole duty of man.—I am, my dear Tom, your affectionate grandfather,

THOMAS CHALMERS."

"Churchhill Dining-Room,* March 17th, 1845.

"My very dear Tommy,—This is my birth-day, and I beg to send you my best wishes—hoping that if God please, you will have a longer life than grandpapa. Our Father in heaven is the giver and preserver of the lives of every one of us. * * *

"Be a good boy, and do not forget to thank God in your prayers for all His goodness to you.—I am, my dear Tommy, your affectionate grandpapa, Thomas Chalmers.

"To Master Thomas Chalmers Hanna, Back Drawing-Room."

"DINING-ROOM, March 18th, 1845.

"My dearest Tommy,—When I arrived in Edinburgh yesterday I first went to the library, and got out a very amusing book for you—Gulliver's Travels. * * * It is not a true history, but just a story, or stories, made for the reader's entertainment. Gulliver first travels to the country of the Lilliputians, where the men and women are not bigger than grandpapa's fore-finger, and the babies not bigger than your little toe, and where they drive about in coaches not near so large as a tea-pot. He then travels to a country inhabited by giants, to whom Gulliver is as little a creature as the Lilliputians were to him; why, they can take him up with one hand and swing him back and fore upon one of their fingers. Then he travels to a floating island called Laputa; but I am not sure that you will care so much for this part of the story. And then he travels

^{*} As scarlet fever was in the family at Morningside, it was thought advisable to separate it into two sections, one of which occupied the upper or drawing-room story of the house, and the other the dining-room story. For some days, no intercourse was permitted. It was while matters were in this condition that the two following letters were written.

to a country where instead of the men commanding the horses, the horses command the men. I don't like this part so well as I do his travels among the Lilliputians.

"Be a good boy, and remember how much you owe to Him who has made you so much better.—I ever am, my dear Tom, your affectionate grandpapa, Thomas Chalmers.

"To Master Thomas Chalmers Hanna, Back Drawing-Room."

" Fairlie, by Largs, June 22d, 1845.

"My very dear Tommy,—Though this be Sabbath, I write you a few sentences because this is your birth-day; and I wish to take the opportunity of pressing upon you the consideration of the shortness of life, and nearness of your latter end. You are now eight years old; and I want you to reflect seriously on this, that every year by which you get older, brings you a year nearer to death than you were before. It is therefore my earnest prayer, that as you grow in years and in stature, you may grow in grace and in the knowledge of your Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ; and I would earnestly warn you to remember your Creator in the days of your youth, lest the evil days come, when you shall say I have no pleasure in them. I shall now leave this letter open till to-morrow, when I mean to write some more to you.

" Monday morning.

"You are now eight years old, and I am more than eight times older than you—for eight times eight make sixty-four, and I am sixty-five; but I can assure you, that though you should live to be as old as I am, it is your highest interest, as well as solemn duty, to begin your preparation for eternity now, to make no delay in keeping the commandments, and observing the whole will of God for the salvation of man.

"Give my kind regards to papa and mamma. I should like if I could come soon to Skirling. Perhaps I will in

autumn.—I am, my very dear Tommy, your most affectionate grandfather,

THOMAS CHALMERS.

" Снивсиниль, June 22d, 1846.

"MY DEAR NINE-OLDER,—I write you because this is your birth-day, and because it is my earnest wish and prayer, that by every year you become older you may become so much better and wiser. And let me tell you, that the beginning of wisdom is to fear God and keep His commandments. There is only one book in the world which is able to make you wise unto salvation, and far the greatest use for learning is, that it enables you to read the Bible. Read it with a good and honest heart, and pray that God would open your understanding to understand it; and by His blessing you will attain to that knowledge of Himself, and of His Son, which is life everlasting.

"I am more than seven times older than you, and yet when I look back on my past life, and I remember when I was so young as three, I feel that time is very short. But eternity is long, and will never end; and be assured that to provide for it is the best and highest of all wisdom.

"I wish you had been at Craigholm with us, where I lately spent three weeks. The railroad cuts the green into two parts not far from our house. But we can go from the one part to the other under an arch, and I should like to stand in that arch with you at the time when the steam-engine and all the carriages are passing and rattling over our heads.—Believe me, my dear Tommy, your very affectionate grandpapa,

THOMAS CHALMERS.

"P.S.—I have got so many new books that I have completely filled G e. There are only two and a half shelves now to fill in G, and when these are filled I must put away any more

books I get into the empty shelves of the closet. Next time you come we must overhaul the old books and pamphlets that are in the garret.*—T. C.

"Another very curious thing I have to tell you of—a bird's nest in one of our strawberry tufts, near the corner where your old garden was. I looked several times both to the eggs and afterwards to the birds. I was much afraid lest our black cat should find them out and eat them: however it did not, and the birds had leave to grow, till their wings have been formed for flight, and now they are off. But the nest is still there; and I hope to shew it to you when you come next. I never saw a bird's nest in a bunch of strawberry leaves all my days before."

^{*} Dr. Chalmers imagined that the earliest faculty developed in his grandson was the love of order, to cultivate which he had set times appointed when they arranged together the works of the library. On the Sabbath evenings he always had Tommy alone with him in his study. Beginning the conversation with the "reddings up," or some topic equally remote, Dr. Chalmers found his way at last to speak to him about religion. They then knelt down together, when he prayed that he himself might be a true child of God, and his little grandson a lamb of the Saviour's flock; that his health might be improved; that his eyes (weak at the time) might not trouble him inafter life; that whatever happened with his bodily vision, the eyes of his understanding might be opened to the knowledge of Jesus Christ; that he might be made in God's hand an instrument for good; and that when they both died and rose again, they might stand side by side on the right hand of the everlasting throne.

CHAPTER XXV.

THE SUCCESS OF THE FREE CHURCH—FINAL VERDICT OF DR. CHALMERS ON VOLUNTARYISM—THE GOVERNMENT SCHEME OF EDUCATION—PAPER DRAWN UP BY DR. CHALMERS—EVIDENCE BEFORE THE SITES COMMITTEE—VISIT TO LONDON AND GLOUCESTERSHIRE—RETURN TO EDINBURGH—HIS LAST SABBATH—HIS DEATH.

THE erection of the Free Church was hailed by the Voluntaries as a triumphant evidence that state endowments are not needed for the support or extension of Christianity. And there were not a few of their former adversaries who, surprised and impressed by the generosity which the Disruption elicited, were disposed to modify their former conceptions as to the limited power and range of the Voluntary principle. Although Dr. Chalmers did not partake in their surprise, he threw his mind open to any new convictions which the history of the Free Church might originate or confirm. He was not prepared at the instant to east his old convictions away, but he was ready to admit whatever light this new experience might supply. At the Bicentenary Meeting held at Edinburgh in July 1843, he said, "I confess a keener scientific interest in this question than ever, now that Voluntaryism, brought to the test of experience, is fully put upon its trial; I for one will make it my strenuous endeavour to do it all justice, by drawing on its resources and capabilities to the uttermost. The most direct way surely of giving it a trial is just to try how much it will yield after that a full and fair appliance has been brought to bear upon it. It is but justice to add, that we are now in the very thick of the

experiment. We call upon Voluntaryism to open all its fountainheads, even though it should land us in the predicament of the well-digger, who succeeded so amply in his attempts to obtain water, that he made a narrow escape from drowning in the abundance of those rushing streams which he himself had evoked from their hiding-places. Now, though my own theory should incur by it the semblance, nay, even if so be, the reality of a defeat or confutation, I for one should most heartily rejoice if Voluntaryism, playing upon us in every direction, shall make such demonstrations of its exuberance and its power as well-nigh to submerge myself and utterly to overwhelm my argument."

Dr. Chalmers only lived to see this trial of Voluntaryism to the close of its fourth year. And looking at the aspect which the Free Church presented in May 1847, the Voluntaries might confidently enough have asserted that the verdict of experience was in their favour; for here was a Christian community not of the wealthiest classes, upon which 470 clergymen had suddenly been thrown, and which had not only accepted and fulfilled the obligation to sustain them, but in four years had added 250 more to the regular ministry which it upheld. At a cost of upwards of £450,000, it had erected churches for all its congregations, and in addition to this had subscribed £100,000 to build manses for all its ministers. It had instituted a College with nine Professorships, to each of which a salary of from £300 to £400 per annum was attached. It had 340 students under education for the holy office, among whom bursaries and scholarships to the amount of £700 had been distributed in a single year. By a single effort it had raised £50,000 for the building of 500 school-houses, and it had already connected with it about 600 schools, in which nearly as many children were instructed in the ordinary branches of education as were in attendance at all the endowed parochial schools of Scotland. For the teaching and training of schoolmasters it had two extensive

normal establishments in Glasgow and Edinburgh. At home 110 licentiates and 116 catechists were engaged in the spiritual instruction of the people, while abroad it had agents labouring in every quarter of the habitable globe. At Pesth, at Jassy, at Berlin, at Constantinople, seventeen missionaries and assistants were endeavouring to promote the conversion of the Jews. At Calcutta, Madras, Bombay, Puna, and Nagpur, it supported fifteen European clergymen ordained as missionaries, nine converted natives engaged in the work of the Christian ministry, and a large band of teachers and assistants, both native and European, from whom 4000 Indian children were receiving a complete Christian education. In Nova Scotia, the Canadas, the West Indies, the Cape, Australia, Madeira, Malta, Leghorn, and Gibraltar, there were ministers supported in whole or in part by the bounty of the Free Church, while £1000 per annum had been entrusted to the Evangelical Societies of France and of Geneva, to aid in circulating the Gospel over the Continent of Europe. In 1847, the Free Church raised for educational and missionary objects three times as much as the united Church of Scotland did in 1843. It had continued for four years to yield the princely revenue of £300,000, and in that short period had contributed about a million and a half to the Christian cause.* The annals of Voluntaryism present no instance of like success: yet bringing it to the test which he constantly employed, and which he believed to be the only true and legitimate one, Dr. Chalmers's final verdict was unfavourable. afford," said he, "to say no more than that my hopes of an extended Christianity from the efforts of Voluntaryism alone have

^{*} Assuming the number of families in her connexion to be 400,000, and their average income to be £50 per annum, the revenue of the Free Church, at the time of her greatest pecuniary efforts, did not exceed three per cent. upon the income of her members. Applied elsewhere, this method of calculation indicates how small a portion of its wealth the Christian world dedicates to the cause of Christianity.

not been brightened by my experience since the Disruption. This is no reason why we should seek an alliance with the State by a compromise of the Church's spiritual independence; and still less with a Government which, on the question of endowments, disclaims all cognizance of the merits of that religion on which it confers support, and makes no distinction between the true and the false, between the scriptural and the unscriptural. Still, it may be a heavy misfortune—it may prove a great moral calamity-when a Government does fall into what, speaking in the terms of my own opinion, I hold to be the dereliction of a great and incumbent duty. And ere I am satisfied that Voluntaryism will repair the mischief, I must first see the evidences of its success in making head against the fearfully increased heathenism, and increasing still, that accumulates at so fast a rate throughout the great bulk and body of the common people. We had better not say too much on the pretensions or the powers of Voluntaryism, till we have made some progress in reclaiming the wastes of ignorance and irreligion and profligacy which so overspread our land; or till we see whether the congregational selfishness which so predominates everywhere, can be prevailed on to make larger sacrifices for the Christian good of our general population. Should their degeneracy increase to the demolition, at length, of the present frame-work of society, and this in spite of all that the most zealous Voluntaryism can do to withstand it, it will form a most striking experimental demonstration of the vast importance of Christian Governments for the Christian good of the world. The lights of experience and prophecy will be found to harmonize, when, after what may be called the horrors of the middle passage, the desolating flood of anarchy and misrule that is coming on the earth—the millennium will at length emerge from it; but then, in conjunction therewith, the kingdoms of the world shall become the kingdoms of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ; or, in other words, the Governments of the world shall all be Christianized.

"It seems very clear that internal Voluntaryism will not, of itself, do all, and, with all the vaunted prosperity of the Free Church, we do not find that external Voluntaryism will either make up the deficiencies of the former, or, still less, of itself, do all either. * * * We rejoice, therefore, in the testimony of the Free Church for the principle of a National Establishment, and most sincerely do we hope that she will never fall away from it. Little do those of her enemies, who, at the same time, are the friends of loyalty and order—(for, besides these, we can rank many of the turbulent and disaffected in society as among the deadliest of her enemies)-little do they know that the Free Church is at this moment lifting a far more influential testimony on the side of ecclesiastical endowments than can possibly be given in any other quarter of society. Hers is a wholly disinterested testimony in their favour, for she reaps no advantage from them; but, sorely aggricved though she has been by our rulers, she will neither underrate the importance of their friendship, nor yet the solemn obligation which lies upon them to care for the religion of the people, and to provide within their sphere for this best and highest interest of the commonwealth."*

In the spring of 1847, the Free Church was agitated by a discussion relative to the Government scheme of education. By their Minutes of August and December 1846, the Committee of Council on Education offered grants to all schools indiscriminately which should submit to the conditions—that they should be visited regularly by a Government Inspector; that the Holy Scriptures should be daily read; and that such religious instruction as the managers approved of should be communicated. A difference of opinion arose as to whether the Free Church

^{* &}quot;Earnest Appeal," pp. 52, 53.

should permit any of the schools in connexion with her own Education Scheme to accept of grants tendered upon such terms, and considerable difficulty was experienced as to the judgment which the Church should express upon the general character of the Government measure. Viewing the question as an ecclesiastic, and for the purpose mainly of deciding what part the Free Church should take, and what attitude she should assume, Dr. Chalmers transmitted the following expression of his opinion to Dr. Cunningham:—

"Morningside, Edinburgh, 3d April, 1847.

"My dear Sir,—I promised to write you on the Education question, for I am quite willing on every occasion to state my opinions, though I may no longer fight for them, and must, therefore, be excused from being present at the Presbytery. But really I am at a loss what to say. I wait for more data than we are yet in possession of. I would infinitely rather that, in hoc statu, the Presbytery would not take up the question at all. I deprecate every precipitate and premature committal of ourselves, and would prize it as a signal honour for the Free Church, if, when it did come forward, it was with a well-weighed and unexceptional deliverance upon this great question.

"1. I feel no hesitation as to the wrongness of an indiscriminate endowment, if it carry in it the expression of an equal countenance by the Legislature to all forms of religion. I believe that there are modifications upon their scheme by which this might be done away, so as to give no other character to the movement on the part of the State, than a desire for the elevation of the people in general intelligence and scholar-ship—an object which we should no more resist than the object of public health, or economic improvement, or any other amelioration that can be devised for the temporal wellbeing of the masses in our land.

- "2. Again, I would not object to a most strenuous recommendation of every exertion being made in each of our Free Church localities for the support of our own schools. None would more sincerely rejoice than I should, if, by the liberal contributions given to our educational scheme, the popular endowment were to superscde the necessity for a State endowment altogether. To avoid the hazard, or even the semblance of any mischief, and I am hopeful that it is but a semblance, yet, to avoid even this, I should look upon it as a higher position, if we could draw all our means for a sound education to our families from the liberality of our Christian friends, rather than from the public treasury.
- "3. I am inclined to hold that the Free Church fully acquits herself of all that she owes on the score of principle by her honest and fearless testimony under the first head, and her earnest recommendations under the second. Should the recommendation, however, not take full effect, and should Government at the same time abstain from all control over our methods, and although they inspected as much as they had a mind to, I would be far from laying an authoritative interdict on the managers in any of our localities against their availing themselves of Government aid. I would therefore leave the determination of this question in each particular case to the consciences of those who have to do with it.
- "4. And let it ever be recollected that if we should come to any deliverance at all, it must be a very peculiar one, and very different from that of our dissenting Voluntaries. It is my earnest prayer that the Free Church may be rightly guided in this matter.—Ever believe me, my dear Sir, yours very truly,

"Rev. Dr. Cunningham."

THOMAS CHALMERS.

During the last few months of his life the subject of national education was much upon Dr. Chalmers's mind. Convinced

that the Free Church, or any other Church, was as unlikely by its voluntary efforts to supply the educational, as it was to supply the spritual wants of the country, that what would have been the best system for the Government to adopt was no longer practicable, and anxious that public aid in some large and effective way should be extended, he had pondered the problem as to the course which, under existing circumstances, the Government should pursue. His views, the fruit of much previous consideration, were stated by him in conversation to Mr. Fox Maule, and other members of Her Majesty's Government, whom he met in London in May. Mr. Maule having requested that he would embody them in writing, he took advantage of a day's leisure while living with his sister, Mrs. Morton, in Gloucestershire, on his way home, to comply with this request. The following paper prepared under these circumstances, and with this object, was written about a week before his death, and comes to us sealed with the impressive characteristic of being the last formal expression of his judgment on any great public question.

"It were the best state of things that we had a Parliament sufficiently theological to discriminate between the right and the wrong in religion, and to encourage or endow accordingly. But failing this, it seems to us the next best thing, that in any public measure for helping on the education of the people, Government were to abstain from introducing the element of religion at all into their part of the scheme, and this not because they held the matter to be insignificant—the contrary might be strongly expressed in the preamble of their act; but on the ground that, in the present divided state of the Christian world, they would take no cognizance of, just because they would attempt no control over, the religion of applicants for aid—leaving this matter entire to the parties who had to do with the erection and management of the schools which they

had been called upon to assist. A grant by the State upon this footing might be regarded as being appropriately and exclusively the expression of their value for a good secular education.

"The confinement for the time being of any Government measure for schools to this object we hold to be an imputation, not so much on the present state of our Legislature, as on the present state of the Christian world, now broken up into sects and parties innumerable, and seemingly incapable of any effort for so healing these wretched divisions as to present the rulers of our country with aught like such a clear and unequivocal majority in favour of what is good and true, as might at once determine them to fix upon and to espouse it.

"It is this which has encompassed the Government with difficulties, from which we can see no other method of extrication than the one which we have ventured to suggest. And as there seems no reason why, because of these unresolved differences, a public measure for the health of all—for the recreation of all—for the economic advancement of all—should be held in abeyance, there seems as little reason why, because of these differences, a public measure for raising the general intelligence of all should be held in abeyance. Let the men, therefore, of all churches and all denominations alike hail such a measure, whether as carried into effect by a good education in letters or in any of the sciences; and, meanwhile, in these very seminaries, let that education in religion which the Legislature abstains from providing for, be provided for as freely and amply as they will by those who have undertaken the charge of them.

"We should hope, as the result of such a scheme, for a most wholesome rivalship on the part of many in the great aim of rearing on the basis of their respective systems a moral and Christian population, well taught in the principles and doctrines of the gospel, along with being well taught in the lessons of ordinary scholarship. Although no attempt should be made to regulate or to enforce the lessons of religion in the inner hall of legislation, this will not prevent, but rather stimulate to a greater earnestness in the contest between truth and falsehood—between light and darkness—in the outer field of society; nor will the result of such a contest in favour of what is right and good be at all the more unlikely, that the families of the land have been raised by the helping hand of the State to a higher platform than before, whether as respects their health, or their physical comfort, or their economic condition, or, last of all, their place in the scale of intelligence and learning.

"Religion would, under such a system, be the immediate product, not of legislation, but of the Christian and philanthropic zeal which obtained throughout society at large. But it is well when what legislation does for the fulfilment of its object tends not to the impediment, but rather, we apprehend, to the furtherance of those greater and higher objects which are in the contemplation of those whose desires are chiefly set on the immortal wellbeing of man.

"On the basis of these general views I have two remarks to offer regarding the Government Scheme of Education.

- "1. I should not require a certificate of satisfaction with the religious progress of the scholars from the managers of the schools, in order to their receiving the Government aid. Such a certificate from Unitarians or Catholics implies the direct sanction or countenance by Government to their respective creeds, and the responsibility, not of allowing, but more than this, of requiring, that these shall be taught to the children who attend. A bare allowance is but a general toleration; but a requirement involves in it all the mischief, and, I would add, the guilt, of an indiscriminate endowment for truth and error.
- "2. I would suffer parents or natural guardians to select what parts of the education they wanted for their children. I would

not force arithmetic upon them, if all they wanted was writing and reading; and as little would I force the Catechism, or any part of the religious instruction that was given in the school, if all they wanted was a secular education. That the managers in the Church of England schools shall have the power to impose their Catechism upon the children of Dissenters, and still more, to compel their attendance on church, I regard as among the worst parts of the scheme.

"The above observations, it will be seen, meet any questions which might be put in regard to the applicability of the scheme to Scotland, or in regard to the use of the Douay version in Roman Catholic schools.

"I cannot conclude without expressing my despair of any great or general good being effected in the way of Christianizing our population, but through the medium of a Government themselves Christian, and endowing the true religion, which I hold to be their imperative duty, not because it is the religion of the many, but because it is true.

"The scheme on which I have now ventured to offer these few observations I should like to be adopted, not because it is absolutely the best, but only the best in existing circumstances.

"The endowment of the Catholic religion by the State I should deprecate, as being ruinous to the country in all its interests. Still, I do not look for the general Christianity of the people but through the medium of the Christianity of their rulers. This is a lesson taught historically in Scripture by what we read there of the influence which the personal character of the Jewish monarchs had on the moral and religious state of their subjects—it is taught experimentally by the impotence, now fully established, of the Voluntary principle—and last, and most decisive of all, it is taught prophetically in the Book of Revelation, when told that then will the kingdoms of the earth (βασιλείαι, or governing powers) become the king-

doms of our Lord Jesus Christ; or the governments of the earth become Christian governments.—Thomas Chalmers."

There was one other expression of Dr. Chalmers's opinion delivered so publicly and so close upon his death, that a peculiar weight attaches to it. At the Disruption a large body of the landed aristocracy of Scotland had refused upon any terms to grant sites on which churches or manses might be built. Such stable fabrics would give permanence to a movement which they intensely disliked, and might prevent that reunion with the Establishment which, when the flush of the first excitement was over, they hoped to see accomplished. When these anticipations were falsified, and it became evident that the Free Church was to rank among the permanent institutions of the country, many of these hostile proprietors gave way, but a goodly number still stood out. Having waited patiently, but in vain, for two years, in the hope that this spirit of intolerance would spontaneously subside, and having exhausted all means of private influence and remonstrance, the General Assembly of 1845 petitioned Parliament and the Legislature, stating the grievance, and praying for legislative redress. The Government having shewn no disposition to move in the matter, Mr. Maule, in June 1846, introduced a bill into the House of Commons, the object of which was to oblige the proprietors to concede. The leading members of the House concurred in condemning the conduct complained of, but as its conclusion was thought to be too stringent, and the hope was cherished that their own good sense and good feeling would induce the proprietors to yield without the necessity of legislative interference, the Bill was thrown out. No symptoms of concession appearing, Mr. Bouverie, in March 1847, moved and carried the appointment of a Committee of the House "to inquire whether, and in what

parts of Scotland, and under what circumstances, large numbers of Her Majesty's subjects have been deprived of the means of religious worship by the refusal of certain proprietors to grant them sites for the erection of churches." It soon became evident that the examination of witnesses before the Committee was to take a wide and important range, and that an attempt was to be made by representing the grounds of the Disruption as so untenable, and the opposition offered to the Establishment so violent, as to palliate if not excuse even the strong step of refusing sites for churches. In these circumstances, it was deemed desirable that Dr. Chalmers should appear as a witness before the Committee. He had lately retired very much from public life, and was in a situation to take a wider and calmer survey of the principles and position of the Free Church, than was possible at the period of the Disruption, or easy even now for those still mixed up with her affairs. His withdrawal from the public business of the Church had even created in some quarters the impression, that disappointed in his first expectations, the strength of Dr. Chalmers's attachment to the Free Church had been of late somewhat shaken, so that no small amount of curiosity was awakened as to what kind of evidence he would give. On Sabbath the 2d of May, he assisted at the Communion in the Free Church of Ratho, and preached the evening sermon, his last in Scotland. On the Thursday following, accompanied by his son-inlaw, Mr. Mackenzie, he set out for London, where he arrived on the evening of Friday the 7th, when he found that his examination was not to take place till the following Wednesday. the intervening Sabbath he officiated in Mary-le-bone Presbyterian Church. From his own journal-letters we offer the following extracts:-

"Sunday, May 9th.—Preached with greater comfort than I had ever done before in London. The church was thin when

we first entered it, but became full, with a good many in the passages, before I began. Preached less than an hour; made an early retreat from the vestry to Mr. Carmichael's house close by. Was afterwards told that Lord John Russell, Lady Carlisle, Lord Morpeth's mother, and Lord Morpeth himself, had come to the vestry to shake hands with me, but I had gone. * * * Delighted with a call after dinner from Dr. Bunting, with whom I and Mr. Mackenzie were left alone for an hour at least. Most exquisite interview with one of the best and wisest of men. Mr. M. and I both love him to the uttermost.

"Monday, 10th.—Went a second time to the Athenæum. On my way met a gentleman coming out of it, who looked hard at me, and continued looking after we passed; and when I parted from Mr. Hamilton came back to me. It was Dr. Whewell, Master of Trinity College, Cambridge. As we stood and talked at the door, there came to it two members, to whom he introduced me—the Bishop of Gloucester, and Mr. Lyell the geologist. Dr. Whewell and I sat together at our little table in the Athenæum, where we talked and took our respective soda waters. The treasurer and secretary are both most attentive to me, and I have a good mind to propose the North British Review for being taken in, which I fear it is not yet; at least I have not met it, though I have gone through a great number of their papers and periodicals. Went back to my lodgings, where I siesta'd-thence at five to the National Gallery, where we spent half-an-hour among the pietures of the great masters: Wilkie is conspicuous by a statue of himat the entrance and a large portrait up-stairs.* The pictures are

^{*} Wilkie told Dr. Chalmers that he once met in the Strand a group, consisting of a man and two children, and that his eye was arrested by an object which convinced him that they were the whole family,—it was the great door-key which one of them was carrying. Dr. Chalmers observed that Wilkie had availed himself of

few and select, but of first-rate value, and I should like to revisit them; -the Gallery is but a step from our lodgings. Thence took a cab for Mr. Maule's, where we dined ;—a small eightsome party, reminding me of Lord Lansdowne's select parties round a small circular table. Besides Mr. and Mrs. Maule, Miss Abercrombie, a nephew, and ourselves, there were but two more-Mr. Rutherfurd, Lord Advocate, and last, though not least, Lord Morpeth. We had a deal of easy familiar talk about the Government Scheme of Education, Health of Towns, (Lord Morpeth's department,) Territorial System, &c., &c. After coffee I had a sofa talk with Lord Morpeth on the subject of West Port improvements, nuisances, public health, &c., &c. I like him very much,-intelligent, philanthropic, with all the grace and culture of high aristocracy without its hauteur; he took a most friendly adieu of me when he and the other Parliamenters went off to attend the House of Commons; we sat half-an-hour after them with the ladies. Ordered a cab a little after nine; off to Warwick Street, and flung myself into bed at ten.

"Tuesday, 11th.—Crossed at Westminster Bridge, where I saw for the first time the magnificent Houses of Parliament, and was powerfully impressed by them. Landed at Mrs. Chalmers's* before three,—a feeling and affectionate reception. I proposed to pray with her, to which she readily assented; she was much affected;—altogether it was a serious interview, and my brother's faithful and vivid picture has haunted me ever since.

* * After my siesta went off to the Athenæum, where I had my reviews and newspapers. I am now in the library with other quiet philosophic looking savans at our respective tables, and am writing you on Athenæum paper with Athenæum ink,

this circumstance in his picture of the Rent Day—substituting, however, a widow for a widower.

^{*} The widow of his brother James.

and by an Athenæum metallic pen. In the large room where I had my solitary tea, there were twenty or thirty at their separate dinners. * * * The impression of my brother's picture still adheres to me; it is an affecting memento, and may well loosen our attachments to time. May we be wise for eternity!"

"Wednesday, 12th.-Here I am, in anxious expectancy. * * At length the call came, and I took leave of my gossips in the lobby, for my inquisitors in the Committee-room. Sir James was there, and when I entered rose from his seat, came down to the floor, and shook hands with me, with smiles and blandnesses of expression, that made him as unlike a worricow as possible. Mr. Bouverie was in the chair; but Mr. Maule conducted the examination, which he did ably and satisfactorily. Sir James rose in the middle of it and went out, and I thought he was really to keep his promise. But he did not, for he returned; and had a number of documents along with him, -my printed speeches, concluding Moderator's Address to the Free Church Assembly, the very Montrose paper where was my letter anent Gladstone, &c. &c. Thus armed, he fell upon me for an hour or so, to the great surprise of Mr. Maule, who told me afterwards that he had said in public he should not put one question,—he could not, in the face of old friendly recollections, &c. &c. My only regret is, that his questioning process of an hour, was the last hour, when, a good deal exhausted, I was scarcely able either mentally to frame, or orally to articulate a reply. However, I kept my ground; and I saw many a friendly smile elicited by my replies. There was an awkwardness that occurred when he asked me about the women's voting, and I said, I ever looked upon that as a most paltry question, on which he reddened, supposing that I meant the question as coming from him, instead of the question or topic in itself. However, he was mild and gentlemanly throughout, and shed many a benignant smile from the tribunal where he sat, on the panel at the bar. On one occasion when he asked me about the wisdom of legislating on some one point or other-some very ambiguous matter, and on which he thought to press me hard-I said that I did not feel it was for me to instruct legislators in their duties. There was a general smile, and he got off by the reply, that from me he should ever be happy to receive instruction upon all subjects. However, in his hands, the examination did at length degenerate into twaddle, and the best answer from me would have been that it was twaddle. But as I could not just say this, and behoved to give him some sort of answer, I was obliged 'to answer a fool according to his folly,'-so that as you have heard of trash upon trash, you may perhaps yet read of twaddle upon twaddle! We kept our ground, however, and I was at perfect ease throughout. His main topics were, female voting, the possibility of a re-union with the Establishment, my London lectures-on which he told me that he heard with great satisfaction my advocacy of the Erastian Church of England-my former intimacy with the Duke of Buccleuch, my views of patronage, spiritual independence, &c., &c.* I told him that I did not advocate the Church of England; that I felt more hopeful of it then than now, when like to be overrun by Pusevism; that even then I denounced its figment of an Apostolical succession, and, without directly attacking its Erastianism, spoke of our own independence, and in terms which provoked the jealousy of English churchmen, &c., &c. He also spoke of intercommunion with the Establishment, and tried to embarrass me on points of previous examination under Mr. Maule; and so we concluded in a state of great exhaustion, yet with an erect demeanour and visage unabashed. Lord Morpeth and Mr. Maule took me to the House of Lordsthe finest room I ever saw, and by which we now outpeer both

^{*} For some of the most important parts of the Evidence, see Appendix K.

Versailles and Fontainebleau. There is a profusion of gilding which would have too gaudy an effect were not this counteracted by the massiveness and magnificence of the whole. The general effect both out and in disarms all criticism anent the details. Mr. Carmichael was by this time with us, and he accompanied me to the Treasury, where I called on Mr. Trevelyan to thank him for his blue books, -a most interesting person, with all the thoughtfulness and exhaustion of an overworked student pictured in his countenance. He told me that he had read my article with the deepest interest, but offered only one criticism-that I had underrated the difficulties of the Government. He spoke with the highest admiration of the Highlanders, for that not a sheep had disappeared from the hills, not a baker's shop had been broken into-in total contrast with Ireland. I left him with much cordial regard: he and Lord Morpeth are the most interesting people I have met in London. Walked thence through the Park to the Athenæum, at the gate of which I parted with Mr. Carmichael, well prepared for my dinner at five. Being a teetotaller, I determined to repair my exhaustion with good meat instead of drink; and so, on inspecting the bill of fare, ordered a dinner as analogous as I could make it to kale and beef; so for the kale I had a plateful of mock-turtle soup, and calf'sfoot for the beef. After this, siesta'd-and where ?-still in the Athenæum, on one of the sofas of their quiet library, while the silent readers to the number of four or five were lounging upon their sofas or arm-chairs in other parts of the capacious and handsome room. Arose refreshed between six and seven; expatiated among the newspapers; got home before nine. * *

"Sir James tried to heckle me, but I hope unsuccessfully, on what I had alleged as the *unanimous* view of Scotchmen in regard to the distinction between civil and ecclesiastical: that and the intercommunion questions I could have managed bet-

ter had I been fresh. * * * Thus much in bed—it being now eight. I must start for Mr. Carmichael's, where we breakfast.—May the very God of peace sanctify us wholly.

"Thursday, 13th.—Started at eight, got into a cab and drove to Mr. Carmichael's,* where Lady Kinloch, his sister, was. Mr. and Mrs. Davies there—he the son of Hart Davies, and connected with the Harfords of Blaize Castle, where we lived; also Mr. and Mrs. Arthur Kinnaird; Rev. Mr. Burgess, now settled at Chelsea; Rev. Mr. Russel, a very fine man, who called me out to explain my proceedings at the West Port to an audience of by this time about twenty, for they came dropping in after breakfast. A cab to Mr. Morell's; a most interesting man—a palid, reflective countenance, and very conversable on his own subjects. He accompanied me to Portland Place, when I took leave of him at the door of Lady Radstock, who received us in the kindest manner possible. Lord Radstock was engaged out of doors, and we saw not him; but there were two grown-up daughters, very frank and intelligent, and among all the three a fine enthusiasm which we both liked exceedingly. Lunched, and took a most affectionate parting of each other. Nothing, indeed, could exceed the social pleasure of these calls.

"Friday, 14th.—A most splendid party breakfast in our lodgings—Isaac Taylor, Mr. Morell, Rev. James Hamilton, Mr. Baptist Noel, his son Wriothesly Noel, now a grown-up lad, and George Weakner. A deal of talk: the main subject was Mr. Irving. Mr. Taylor, whom I had not seen for ten years, looks a great deal more than ten years older. The most interesting appearance and manner of a man were those of Mr. Morell—modest and quiet, and very intelligent; but Taylor the person of

^{*} The late Sir Alexander Gibson Carmichael, Bart. of Skirling, who, dying too soon for his country and his friends, gave so many affecting evidences on his death-bed of the triumphs of Christian faith.

greatest vigour. Mr. Hamilton's recent tracts are truly beautiful, particularly the 'Vine' from John xv. They left after ten. Taylor and Morell going off together. * * * We took a cab to Carlyle's at Chelsea. Nothing could be warmer than Mrs. Carlyle's reception of me, (formerly Miss Welsh, who visited us at Ardincaple Inn.) She is remarkably juvenile looking still. He came to us in a minute or two. I had lost all recollection of him, though he told me of three interviews, and having breakfasted with me at Glasgow. A strong-featured man, and of strong sense. We were most cordial and coalescing, and he very complimentary and pleasant; but his talk was not at all Carlylish, much rather the plain and manly conversation of good ordinary common sense, with a deal of hearty laughing on both sides. The points on which I was most interested were his approval of my territorial system, and his eulogy on direct thinking, to the utter disparagement of those subjective philosophers who are constantly thinking upon thinking. We stopped more than an hour with him. * Mr. Carlyle professed his willingness to write for the 'North British,' I think Morell would do the same."

Attracted by his desire to spend a day with the Misses Fryer, Dr. Chalmers went down to Brighton on Saturday the 15th; preached for the Rev. Mr. Ross on Sabbath, and returned to town on the following morning. His last day in London was spent with Mrs. Cardwell, the daughter of one* whom he used often to speak of as the most perfect earthly pattern he had ever seen of every feminine and Christian grace. Eager to get on to Gloucestershire, yet anxious to catch a glimpse of Oxford by the way, he accompanied Dr. Buckland on Tuesday forenoon from London to that University, revelled for two or three hours amid its Colleges and Halls, returned in time to catch the train for Bristol, and was welcomed at night by his sister Mrs. Morton

at Whitfield, near Wooton-under-Edge. Talking first of what he had last seen, he was instant and profuse in his expressions of regret at the depressed condition of science and general literature at Oxford. He had attended a lecture on geology by Dr. Buckland, and though both subject and lecture were so attractive, there were not more than half-a-dozen students in attendance.—The week which followed was one of pure and unmingled gratification. Wednesday was devoted to a drive through the Bottoms of Gloucestershire, presenting such a succession of rich and varied landscapes, that with all their academic enthusiasm, both Dr. Chalmers and Mr. Mackenzie declared that the glories of Oxford were eclipsed. The record of Saturday must be given in Dr. Chalmers's own words:—

"Saturday, 15th.—Rode to Bristol on the more elevated of the two roads, and had a glorious view on our right of the Vale of the Severn, and the opposite Monmouthshire. Landed, en our entry into Bristol, at Mr. Norton's, my nephew-in-law. Like him and his two boys, of three years and fourteen months respectively. Mrs. Norton most cordial. Set out between eleven and twelve. Called first on Mrs. Robert Hall, who received us with great feeling and friendship. She has two daughters married, and one unmarried; but not at home. Was greatly interested by the various pictures and busts of Mr. Hall in the room where we sat. 2. By the Vale of Clifton, the Rev. Thomas Grinfield, whose reception of us was quite enthusiastic. The son whom we knew is a medical practitioner in Jersey. His wife and daughter and another son were alike cordial. The lad of 1822 is now transformed into a sexagenarian, and I should certainly not have known him. He could not make enough of me; and instead of leaving him at the end of our call, took him along with us. He first took me to a Mrs. Dalrymple, (Scotch and Free Church,) and we had a genial greeting, then to Mr. Marshall, whom I intended to call on at any rate.

He gave us a most friendly reception. In conjunction with Messrs. Marshall and Grinfield, we went to the cliff, and the whole party enjoyed therefrom the view of the Avon, and of the country at large. We then got into our fly, and took leave of Mr. Marshall; but Mr. Grinfield, notwithstanding our limited time, gave secret orders to drive back by his house, whither he himself walked quickly, and met us with a gift of Mr. Foster's 'Lectures,' just published, (second series,) inscribed to me as a memorial of my visit. Left him with great affection on both sides. Thence back to the Norton's, where there was tea, to which a good many had been invited; last, though not least, Mr. Ellis, author of the 'Polynesian Researches,' still fit for society and duty, though a good deal debilitated by two paralytic attacks which he had some years ago: most interesting talk with him on Otaheite. It was he who both baptized and married Queen Pomare. His daughter lived some time with the Nortons; and his present wife, the second, is an esteemed authorss, as of 'Prevention better than Cure,' &c. I liked the party very much as a whole; and our tea was followed up by the baptism of the younger children, which was laid upon me with the full consent and approbation of Mr. Haines, their clergyman; it was a very awkward affair, -one vivacious boy of fourteen months was kicking and sprawling and laughing during the whole of my address; and then to complete the thing, the bairn instead of being held out to me horizontally was held out perpendicularly; so that I could not apply the water to the face of it but by touching its brow with my wet handful, and letting as much as I could trickle down. The child (Alexander Robert) thought I was playing with it, and got up with a great guffaw of a laugh as the water flowed down its cheeks. I learned afterwards that the Independent ministers, like the Episcopalian, take the child in the one arm and baptize with the other-a thing which I could not have managed,

and more especially with a boy so active and athletic as he was. This explained, however, the perpendicularity of the presentation by the father. Left at half-past six. We made a détour of three miles to see Foster's daughters now at Overn with their aunt Mrs. Cox. This Mr. M. and I regard as the highest thing of the day. There was only one Miss Foster at home; but both she and Mrs. Cox were as friendly as possible; nothing could be more gratifying than their reception; and we had a deal of genial talk about Mr. Foster. His books and pictures are kept entire at Overn. On taking leave, Miss Foster presented me with the Lectures, which, as coming from her, I could not refuse, though now in possession of a duplicate. We left towards eight, and had a rapid journey home through a lower road to the west of the former, reaching Whitfield at about half-past nine."

On Sunday Dr. Chalmers preached his last sermon in the Independent Chapel of the Rev. Mr. Dove,—his text being Isaiah xxvii. 4, 5. In the course of this visit he met with many Independent ministers, and had much conversation with them relative to the Evangelical Alliance, the Education Question, and Voluntaryism. On the last mentioned topic he was frequent and emphatic in his declarations that he was quite satisfied, from the working of it in the Free Church, that Voluntaryism was not calculated to do what it professed. While ready freely and fully to state his views, he showed himself averse to anything like controversy. Looking back upon a day which had been particularly full of pleasure to him, he said, "there was just one flaw upon that day's enjoyment, I was too dogmatical on the Evangelical Alliance." "O uncle," said his niece, "I am sure they would not think so," "It's no matter what they thought," he said; "I feel so myself, and have a feeling akin to self-reproach on the subject." Great as were the many outward attractions

of this visit, its chief pleasure lay in the quiet domestic intercourse with Mrs. Morton and her family. There was such gentleness, playfulness, lovingness, running through the whole of his deportment. "It was most delightful," says his nicce, "to watch his countenance. I never saw anything like the smiles that gleamed one after another over it. He looked so happy, so innocent, so childlike, that one could scarcely fancy him the person before whom men of greatest intellect felt conscious inferiority." Each day he read and prayed with Mrs. Morton in her own room. Taking her daughter aside on the last day he was at Whitfield, he took down the Bible, opened it, and said, "Come, and look here." He then followed with his finger every word, as he read the tenth verse of the fiftieth chapter of Isaiah; "Who is among you that feareth the Lord, that obeyeth the voice of his servant, that walketh in darkness, and hath no light? let him trust in the name of the Lord, and stay upon his God." "Now I am sure that you have the fear of the Lord before you-thus obeying His voice. It would be very delightful to see Jesus as He is at the right hand of God; but all do not enjoy this-it is not given to all; but all may obey His voice-you obey that voice by honouring your father and mother-by attending to your household duties; and if at any time you be in darkness, see, here is what you have to do. You know that the name of a person is very little compared with his presence, and yet the Lord bids you take His name and lean upon it, and stay yourself upon it, and that will be enough for you." It was in such simple strains as this, that his last Christian counsels were given to the members of a family which had always been very dear to him; and when he was gone from them, the words and tones of his last prayer still echoed through that dwelling, as they remembered how earnestly he had asked that "one and all of them might be shielded under the ample canopy of the Redeemer's righteousness; that every hour that struck, every day that dawned, every night that darkened around them, might find them meeter for death, and for the eternity that follows it; and that when their earthly course was finished, they might meet and spend together a never-ending Sabbath in the bright abodes of purity and peace."

On the evening of Tuesday the 25th, Dr. Chalmers and Mr. Mackenzie were received at Darlington by Mr. and Mrs. Backhouse.* "A most delicious abode, both for enjoyment and Altogether, Mr. Mackenzie and I are exceedingly repose. delighted with this combination of Christianity and high culture." Here, too, many memories of departed days and departed friends were called up and fondly dwelt upon. listened with great emotion to Mrs. Backhouse's account of the heavenly state of mind in which her father had lived for some time before his death, and how particularly they had remarked this on the occasion of his last visit to them at Darlington. It was not many days till Mrs. Backhouse wrote of himself the very same thing she had told him of her father. "I cannot," she says, "convey to you the impression he left on me of a loving spirit ripe for those joys, for the realization of which he was longing; while his most kind and affectionate manner to myself endeared him more than I can say. His leavetaking was most affectionate, saying, 'I love you all with the affection of a Father." Penning the last sentences he ever addressed to Mrs. Chalmers, to whom the whole of his journal letters were upon this occasion exclusively directed, Dr. Chalmers wrote,—"This is my last sheet. To-morrow (Friday) evening I expect to see you, by the favour of Him whose right hand preserves continually, and for whose grace on us all I ever pray.-I ever am, my dearest Grace, yours most affectionately. THOMAS CHALMERS."

^{*} A daughter of J. J. Gurney, Esq.

On Friday night he arrived at Edinburgh, bearing no peculiar marks of fatigue or exhaustion. At breakfast the next morning his conversation was as lively and vigorous as ever. He inquired of the Rev. Mr. Gemmel of Fairlie, who was staying in his house, what business had been before the General Assembly on the preceding evening. When told that it was an overture relative to the renewal of an old testimony by the Church, he was not satisfied as to the testimony required to be given-he hoped that they would let the matter alone-he expressed himself unfavourable to anything like a renewal of the National Covenants, and that he preferred the making the Church's testimony known rather by what it did than what it declared. The forenoon of Saturday was occupied in preparing a report which he was to read before the General Assembly on the following Monday, part of which he now completed, leaving the remainder to be executed on Monday morning before he rose. On Sabbath morning he did not rise to breakfast. "He sent a message to me," says Mr. Gemmel, "after breakfast to go and see him in his bed-room. On entering the room, I found him in bed, reclining on his back, propped up with pillows, his head being very considerably elevated, which I believe was his usual way of resting in bed. His bland and benevolent countenance beamed upon me as I came up to his side, and he grasped me warmly by the hand. 'I am sorry that you are unwell, to-day, Doctor.'- 'I do not by any means feel unwell: I only require a little rest.' He spoke with the greatest clearness and vigour; and I could not think that anything was wrong, but what might arise from the lassitude produced by his late journey and exertions in the South. 'I am rejoiced,' said he, 'that the Assembly have agreed to avail themselves of the grant for national education; and I trust that a sound Scriptural education will pervade the whole length and breadth of the land. Your resolutions are, I think, to that effect?' I replied, 'Yes; but one of

our resolutions characterizes the national scheme as unsound and latitudinarian. I fear that the scheme is latitudinarian; but I am not quite so clear as to the use of the word unsound. Doddridge, for example, is latitudinarian; but I should be very unwilling to call him unsound. And Baxter is still more latitudinarian; but I should be very unwilling, in the full sense of the word, to call him unsound. There are what are called Baxterian errors, I am aware, and one of these is in relation to the extent of the sacrifice of Christ; Baxter, I think, holding that Christ died for all men.' Dr. Chalmers answered, 'Yes: Baxter holds that Christ died for all men; but I cannot say that I am quite at one with what some of our friends have written on the subject of the atonement. I do not, for example, entirely agree with what Mr. Haldane says on that subject. I think that the word world, as applied in Scripture to the sacrifice of Christ, has been unnecessarily restricted; the common way of explaining it is, that it simply includes Gentiles as well as Jews. I do not like that explanation; and I think that there is one text that puts that interpretation entirely aside. text to which I allude is, that "God commandeth all men, everywhere to repent." Here the Doctor spoke of the connexion between the election of God, the sacrifice of Christ, and the freeness of the offer of the Gospel. He spoke with great eloquence, and I felt as if he were in the pulpit, as some of his finest bursts rolled from his lips. 'In the offer of the gospel,' said he, 'we must make no limitation whatever. I compare the world to a multitude of iron filings in a vessel, and the gospel to a magnet. The minister of the gospel must bring the magnet into contact with them all: the secret agency of God is to produce the attraction.'- 'But,' said I, 'a common objection of the sinner, when awakened to a sense of his state, is, "Perhaps I am not elected; and, therefore, I need not try." 'That,' said he, 'is cutting before the point. I am a predestinarian: my theology is that of Jonathan Edwards.' 'You are a Necessitarian,' said I. 'Yes,' was the reply, 'a Necessitarian; but I would always wish to be borne in mind a saying of Bishop Butler—viz., "That we have not so much to inquire what God does, or should do to us, as what are the duties which we owe to Him." Human beings,' continued Dr. Chalmers, 'have the most strange way of keeping their accounts: they have one way of keeping their accounts with the world, and another way of keeping their accounts with Heaven. In relation to the world, you will find men often open, and generous, and unsuspicious; but then they keep their accounts with Heaven in the most suspicious and niggardly manner,—in a manner with which I can have no sympathy,—continually striving against, and fighting with, the goodness and sincerity of God, and will not take God at His word."

In the course of the forenoon, the Rev. Dr. Cunningham called, and went with Dr. Chalmers to the afternoon service in his usual place of worship—the Free Church at Morningside. In accompanying Dr. Cunningham a short distance on his way back to Newington, Dr. Chalmers expressed his great satisfaction at the opportunity he had in London of giving his evidence before the Sites Committee, dwelling with particular complacency on the representation he had given of the position in which the Free Church stood towards the Establishment. Returning by Bruntsfield Links, he made his last call, on Mrs. Coutts, one of the oldest and most beloved of his Fifeshire friends. After tea he retired to his siesta, and wrote the following letter to his sister,* Mrs. Morton:—

"EDINBURGH, May 30th, 1847.

[&]quot;MY DEAREST JANE,—We reached this in safety on Friday night, and found Mrs. Chalmers much stronger and better,

^{*} This letter was found next morning upon his table, along with the writing materials, which, as usual, lay within his reach.

while your Lucy is quite well. What abundant reasons of thankfulness to the great Preserver! May He be the sanctifier of us all

"I never expected at one time to see you again in the flesh; but now I will form no definite prospect of any futurity on this side of the grave. I am exceedingly happy that we have met, and have derived from my visit fresh accessions of kindly feeling and good will for one and all of you; for Mr. Morton, and Anne, and Mrs. Norton; and I like Mr. Norton, and do hope and pray that you may be blest more and more in all your relations and connexions.

"Give my kindest regards to Mrs. Maclellan, and with earnest prayers for the mercy and grace of a reconciled Father in heaven on one and all of us,—I ever am, my dearest Jane, yours very truly and affectionately,

THOMAS CHALMERS.

"Mrs. Morton."

He went out, after writing this note, into the garden behind his house; sauntering round which he was overheard by one of his family, in low but very earnest tones, saying, "O Father, my Heavenly Father!" On returning to the drawing-room, he threw himself into his usual reclining posture. His conversation at first was joyous and playful; a shadow passed over him as some disquieting thought arose—but a light spread over his face as he said, that disquietudes lay light upon a man who could fix his heart on heaven. "I'm fond," he said, "of the Sabbath. 'Hail sacred Sabbath morn!' Do you like Grahame's Sabbath, Mr. Gemmel? Dr. Johnson was very wrong in saying that there can be no true poetry that is religious." "At supper," says Mr. Gemmel, "I sat near him, at his right hand. 'Are you much acquainted with the Puritan Divines, Mr. Gemmel?' said he. I answered that I was, in some measure. 'Which do you chiefly admire?' 'I think very much of Howe,' was my reply. 'And so do I,' said he; 'he is my favourite author. I think that he is the first of the Puritan divines. I cannot say that I take much to his image of a living temple; but I have been lately reading his "Delighting in God," and I admire it much.'

"After supper, addressing me, 'You gave us worship,' said he, 'in the morning; I am sorry to ask you again to give worship in the evening.' 'Not at all,' said I, 'I will be happy to do so.' 'Well,' said he, 'you will give worship to-night; and I expect to give worship to-morrow morning.' Before worship commenced, and just as the servants were preparing to come up stairs, he asked me whether I had read the Sermons of Mr. Purves of Jedburgh. I answered that I had not. 'They are very excellent sermons,' said he; 'and there is one, in which he rids the marches between the election of God on the one hand, and the freeness of the Gospel on the other, which is admirable.'"

During the whole of the evening, as if he had kept his brightest smiles and fondest utterances to the last, and for his own, he was peculiarly bland and benignant. "I had seen him frequently," says Mr. Gemmel, "at Fairlie, and in his most happy moods, but I never saw him happier. Christian benevolence beamed from his countenance, sparkled in his eye, and played upon his lips." Immediately after prayers he withdrew, and bidding his family remember that they must be early tomorrow, he waved his hand, saying, "A general good-night."

Next morning, before eight o'clock, Professor MacDougall, who lived in the house adjoining, sent to inquire about a packet of papers which he had expected to receive at an earlier hour. The housekeeper, who had been long in the family, knocked at the door of Dr. Chalmers's room, but received no answer. Concluding that he was asleep, and unwilling to disturb him, she waited till another party called with a second message; she

then entered the room—it was in darkness; she spoke, but there was no response. At last she threw open the windowshutters, and drew aside the curtains of the bed. He sat there, half erect, his head reclining gently on the pillow; the expression of his countenance that of fixed and majestic repose. She took his hand-she touched his brow; he had been dead for hours: very shortly after that parting salute to his family he had entered the eternal world. It must have been wholly without pain or conflict. The expression of the face undisturbed by a single trace of suffering, the position of the body so easy that the least struggle would have disturbed it, the very posture of arms and hands and fingers known to his family as that into which they fell naturally in the moments of entire repose, -conspired to shew, that, saved all strife with the last enemy, his spirit had passed to its place of blessedness and glory in the heavens.

"Servant of God, well done!

Rest from thy loved employ;

The battle o'er, the victory won,

Enter thy Master's joy.

"The cry at midnight came,

He started up to hear;

A mortal arrow pierced his frame,—

He fell, but felt no fear.

"His spirit with a bound

Left its encumbering clay;

His tent at sunrise on the ground

A darken'd ruin lay."

See Appendix L.

APPENDIX.



APPENDIX.

APPENDIX A.—P. 49.

EXTRACT FROM SEDGEWICK'S "DISCOURSE ON THE STUDIES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE."—Appendix, Note E.

"When on this question I may allude to a conversation I once held with the illustrious philosopher La Place. It was in his sick-chamber, which I believe he never left, and not many days before his death. Among other subjects, he inquired into the nature of our endowments and our course of academic study, which I explained to him at full length. He then dwelt earnestly on the religious character of our endowments, and added, as nearly as I can translate his words, 'I think this right; and on this point I should deprecate any great organic, changes in your system: for I have lived long enough to know, what I did not at one time believe, that no society can be upheld in happiness and honour without the sentiments of religion.' The dying philosopher may, while using these words, have had nothing in his mind beyond the principles of worldly wisdom and the bearing of religious sentiments on the order and wellbeing of the State. On this point I venture not to inquire; but the words record a great practical truth; and having fallen so impressively from his lips are surely worth recording."

APPENDIX B.—P. 167.

As the misunderstanding between Lord Aberdeen and Dr. Chalmers hinged so much upon this point, I subjoin the following from Dr. Chalmers's correspondence at this period:—

EXTRACTS FROM LETTERS OF THE REV. ROBERT BUCHANAN, GLASGOW.

"London, February 26th, 1840.—I have had a long and interesting conversation with Lord Aberdeen. I think I have put an end to all misunderstanding between him and the Committee. I read to him a sentence of a letter of Mr. Dunlop received this morning, in which he expressed himself as afraid his Lordship was insisting on the Presbytery sustaining the reasonableness of the dissent, in every case in which they chose to give effect to it. He does not mean this at all. Even though the Presbytery should think the dissent unreasonable, abstractly considered, still, if they were of opinion that, in the circumstances of the case, it was not for edification the settlement should go on, they should have full liberty, for that reason of their own, to reject the presentee.

"London, March 10th, 1840.—Lord Aberdeen thinks there is now not the least chance of the Government proposing to legalize the Veto; and hopes, in consequence, that their measure, if they do propose one, may be of a character which he and his friends will be able to support. He told me that Lord Melbourne, and one or two other members of the Government, had been more than once consulting confidentially with him on the subject, though he did not seem to be sure what they might ultimately resolve to do. Seeing that he is in communication with Government, I thought it as well to hint at a specific measure, and reverted to the scheme described in my letter of the 26th. He appeared still quite favourable to it, and if the Government propose such a measure, I am quite confident he and his friends will support it.

"Glasgow, April 1st, 1840.—After I wrote you from London on Monday afternoon, I went to the House of Lords to hear Lord Aberdeen put his question to Lord Melbourne. The newspapers will have informed you of what passed on that occasion, as well as at the same time between Sir R. Peel and Lord John Russell in the House of Commons. While there I saw Mr. Colquhoun, who told me he had just been talking with Mr. Bannerman, M.P. for Aberdeen, who spoke in this way of the intentions of Government:—'That they were very far from abandoning the intention to legislate; on the contrary, that they would have their proposed measure printed,—in this way afford an opportunity to the Church, country, &c., to give their opinions on it,—and that after the Assembly they would bring it into Parliament!'

"In short, they wish to keep up the appearance of still intending to deal with the question, and to act favourably towards the Church.

"Now it is neither our duty nor our interest to quarrel with the Govern-

ment, but we must not suffer ourselves to be humbugged. The Committee can, of course, accept nothing as intended by Government, but what they stated to the deputation—and that was, that they would not venture to legislate, at least at present. Now, as the Committee esteem it to be necessary to have legislation at least begun before the Assembly, we are shut up to the course alluded to in my letter of Monday, of immediately negotiating with Lord Aberdeen. Now the Scylla and Charybdis of the case are these: -If Government had proposed the popular veto, Lord Aberdeen and his friends would oppose; if the latter propose the Presbyterial veto, Government would oppose. In such circumstances, neither measure could be carried. Now Lord Aberdeen is quite alive to this; and to avoid this danger, as well as for the sake of many other advantages the plan possesses, he is very favourably inclined towards the positive form of the call. did not of course say he would pledge himself to support such a measure until he had more maturely considered it, and ascertained the opinions of some friends whose concurrence would be indispensable. But I am strongly persuaded that if the Committee strongly and unanimously urge it, and speak with confidence of its being certain to be satisfactory to the Church and country at large, he would undertake it. He will not introduce any measure, unless he has it in his power to say it would give satisfaction to the Church. The positive call would not be opposed by Government, and would therefore, if introduced by the Conservatives, be sure to carry. Moreover, it would not be so offensive to the minority of the Church, as not being the measure they are pledged to oppose. It gets rid of many difficulties. The Act of Parliament authorizing it need be not more than a couple of sentences. In short, for many more reasons than I have time at this moment to state, I am deeply persuaded that the whole energies of the Committee should be bent towards the inducing of Lord Aberdeen to take up this measure. The chief difficulty will be getting him to agree that the concurrence of a majority should be necessary. Now the Church can and ought to agree to its being a majority of those communicants assembled in congregation at the moderation of the call; and Lord Aberdeen admitted that practically this would not be a greater portion of the parish, than he would think it 'wise and just' to require the concurrence of. But as it would theoretically look more, the patrons may boggle at it. Still, from the way he spoke, I do not think he despairs of getting their acquiescence. In short, nothing could be more satisfactory than his whole tone and language upon the subject.

" London, 1, Lancaster Place, Strand, 27th April, 1840.—I put my views thus to Lord Aberdeen:—That there were two grounds on which we (meaning

the Non-Intrusionists in the coming Assembly) might oppose a bill; one, the ground of conscience—the other, the ground of expediency. That if his Lordship's Bill should be found to involve the former ground of objection, we must resist it at all hazards; that if it involved only the latter ground of objection, we would no doubt state that objection, and point out what we might think more desirable, but that we would submit to act under it, rather than peril the existence of the Establishment. And that his Lordship might understand what was meant by the 'ground of conscience,' I explained that any measure which did not leave the Church Courts free and unfettered to say when the pastoral tie should be bound and when not—when they would go on with a settlement, and when they would refuse—that any measure which did not do this, would be held as interfering with the conscience of the Church Court, and would therefore be repudiated at whatever cost. He said, in answer, 'I mean to give you all that power.'

Rob. Buchanan."

EXTRACTS FROM LETTERS OF SIR WILLIAM RAE TO DR. CHALMERS AND MR. THOMSON.

"London, February 5th, 1840.—It gave me much satisfaction to learn from a letter from Mr. Dunlop, as Secretary to the Assembly's Committee, that the suggestion which I had made for restoring to a certain extent the provisions of the Act 1690, had been viewed by the Committee as fitted to form a basis for a satisfactory arrangement. I need hardly say, that I never contemplated hampering the Presbytery in any way whatever, in dealing with the reasons assigned by the parishioners, or disposing of the whole affair. I should hold them responsible for their actings solely at the bar of the superior Church Judicatories, and at that of public opinion.

"London, March 25th, 1840.—The Government seems greatly puzzled what to do with your Kirk question. After the Cabinet had deliberated on Saturday, instead of stating the result upon Monday, they resolved to hold a meeting of their friends in our House upon Tuesday to consider the matter. This took place, and such was the difference of opinion, that they were obliged to adjourn the meeting to another day. I should not wonder if it was to end in their declaring that they meant to do nothing. The course which the Dissenters have taken, and along with these the Radical party in our House, joined to the little real influence which the more violent Church party are proved to possess by the result of the Perth election, will doubtless bear on their decision. You hint at my trying my hand upon the subject, but that is out of the question. I am willing to go as far as Mr. Drummond has moved, thereby putting it in the power of

the Presbytery to give effect to the objections of the people, however absurd or unexplainable these may be; the Presbytery acting under the control of public opinion, and that of the superior Church Courts alone. This I believe would satisfy Dr. Chalmers, and most reasonable men. But what security could we have that an enactment of that nature, brought in by an individual member, would be submitted to by the whole Church, either now or hereafter.

WM. RAE."

EXTRACTS FROM LETTERS OF J. C. COLQUHOUN, Esq.

"Putney Heath, February 24th, 1840.—You will learn from Mr. Dunlop the particulars of his mission—the little success he has met with from this Government. I hope he will report to you that there is no indisposition on the part of Lord Aberdeen to meet the views of the Church, at least to a large extent. I indulge the hope that he may even go yet further in favour of popular right; the Presbyterial right of rejection, Lord Aberdeen admits to its fullest extent.

"Putney Heath, April 20th, 1840.—The two essential points which I am most anxious to see clearly embodied in Lord Aberdeen's Bill, are the liberum arbitrium of the Presbytery on the dissent of the people—the other, the clear severance between the civil and ecclesiastical jurisdictions; so that where the one ends, supreme in its own territory, the other shall begin, and its decisions be unreviewed by any Civil Court in the land. I take this to be indispensable.

"Putney Heath, May 19th, 1840.—I saw Lord Aberdeen yesterday, but was unable to bring him to any satisfactory conclusion. I was glad, however, to find that Mr. Home Drummond and Sir James Graham quite concur with me in the propriety of the Presbyterial discretion being free, always understanding by that, that there is to be no such rule as that laid down in the Veto Act, by which the Presbytery are to accept the dissent of the people as a conclusion, barring the further inquiry of the Church Courts.

J. C. Colquhoun."

EXTRACT FROM LETTER OF SIR GEORGE CLERK.

"London, February 7th, 1840.—I herewith send you a copy of the 'Morning Post,' which contains the most accurate report of the observations of Lord Aberdeen on the present position of the Church of Scotland, and also of Lord Melbourne's reply to the question whether the Government were prepared to introduce any measure on the subject. There is one slight inaccuracy in the report of what Lord Aberdeen said with respect to the judgment of the House of Lords in the Auchterarder case.

He said, 'that he did not acquiesce in all the declarations of opinion expressed in delivering that judgment, though he entirely concurred in the justice of the decision itself.' It is now clear, from Lord Melbourne's reply, that the Government have not made up their minds as to the course to be taken. Unless, therefore, your Committee, acting on the part of the General Assembly, prepare a legislative measure yourselves, I doubt whether any thing can be done towards a settlement of this important question, as amidst the pressure of business while Parliament is sitting, I fear there is little probability that the Government will find time for its due consideration.

"No Member of Parliament, unconnected with the Government, could bring forward any measure with the smallest hopes of success, unless he were assured either of the cordial support of the Church in Scotland, or of the Government here.

"The recent communications which have been made by direction of your Committee, by your Secretaries, to Lord Aberdeen, Sir William Rae, and myself, would lead me to hope that the points of difference between us are now so much narrowed that little difficulty would be found to exist in framing a measure that might be very generally approved of.

"Lord Aberdeen, I believe, has already explained to you that he concurs in every point with me, and adopts the plan I took the liberty of stating in my letter to you of the 21st of January, and which I rejoice to learn is considered satisfactory to your Committee. That has been restated by Sir William Rae in a more concise form, who has shewn that a combination of the provisions of the Act 1690 with the Act of Anne would be all that is required. I think that an Act to explain the meaning of the words of the Act of Anne, 'that the Presbytery is to receive and admit in the same manner as the persons presented before the making of this Act ought to have been admitted,' to be according to the mode of procedure prescribed in the Act 1690, would, without almost anything further, remove all the present difficulties of the Church.

"I hope the Procurator may be authorized by your Committee to draw up the heads of a bill on this basis as the only chance of any settlement of the question, before the next meeting of Assembly. George Clerk."

EXTRACTS FROM LETTERS OF JOHN HAMILTON, Esq., ADVOCATE.

"London, April 13th, 1840.—To-day I have had a long conversation with Sir James Graham, who stated his views very explicitly. They come up, in the fullest manner, to the Committee's minimum measure—an entire exclusion of the Civil Courts and an absolute relinquishment of the patron's

and presentee's rights to the arbitrium of the Church Courts. At present he is no way inclined to go further.

" London, April 15th, 1840.—I had another long conversation with Lord Aberdeen this morning. Had we to deal with Lord Aberdeen alone we should not have much difficulty in obtaining a popular measure, but from what he says it is apparent that the obstacles lie with others, and that they are such as his Lordship conceives to be absolutely insuperable; at the same time, I understand that his measure will be a full and honest one, according to its own nature, one which will studiously avoid all interference with the independence of the Church, and will expressly enact an entire exclusion of the Civil Court. Such a measure will form a prodigious step in advance for the Church; and our friends in the Committee who are the most anxious to go further should weigh well the hazard to which we may expose all by attempting new to drive matters to extremities. ship stated, that from his personal communications with members of the Government he was persuaded that the Government would not oppose his measure, provided it met with a fair and candid reception on the part of the Church; and the object which he will keep steadily in view in framing his measure will be to make it, in all points, as acceptable to the Church as the general nature of the measure will possibly admit.

"London, April 20th, 1840.—I have had another long conversation with Lord Aberdeen to-day, and must confess to you that his views on some points do not seem to me so satisfactory as I could wish. At the same time, it is not easy to come precisely to a point with him until his views are actually embodied in the shape of a Bill, or are at least expressed in such explicit terms as he deliberately states will be satisfactory to him. Until I came to London I always understood his Lordship was prepared to allow to the Church Courts a liberum arbitrium to decide upon the case of every presentee, according to the whole circumstances, as they might present themselves, but he rather seems disposed to limit the Church Courts to a simple power of judging upon the merits of the objections stated by the people. Is this last your understanding of his Lordship's intention? and does it occur to you that a measure upon such a principle would be acceptable to the Church, or ought to be so?

"London, April 24th, 1840.—I was led to express myself doubtfully in my last respecting the character of the measure contemplated by Lord Aberdeen, in consequence of the manifestly inconsistent way in which he expressed himself when I came fairly to converse with him over the whole subject. In treating of the matter, in one view of it, his expressions were all that we could wish, but, in coming round to another view of it, he ex-

pressed his fixed purpose and object to be something in which we should have found it impossible to acquiesce. His notions, in fact, were totally incompatible one with another. He had some sense of this himself, but said that his intention was, if possible, to realize them both in the measure he was to produce. How this was to be effected I could not, for my life, conceive; and I am happy to find that, in his conversation yesterday with Mr. Buchanan, his Lordship stated that he had found it practically impossible to reconcile two conflicting objects, and that he had in consequence given up all idea of carrying into effect that which is opposed to our views, and is to confine himself to that which is likely to afford us satisfaction. Still, however, I am a little anxious until I see the way in which his Lordship expresses himself in his Bill. From all I have heard from his Lordship, I doubt extremely if he is yet prepared to subscribe to the view so distinctly stated in the extract you give me from Sir George Clerk's letter. I suspect his Lordship contemplates placing the power of the Presbytery on a somewhat different footing from what Sir George there does. At the same time, I hope that, practically, his Lordship's way of putting it will come to the same result, so that we need not make any insuperable objections to it. My last conversation with his Lordship, and Mr. Buchanan's vesterday, ended exactly in the same point, viz, that we could say nothing further on the matter until we saw the Bill. This, Mr. Buchanan has informed you, we are to do on Monday, if nothing unforeseen occurs; and then we shall know definitively where we stand. I feel considerable confidence that the result will be favourable, as Lord Aberdeen's intentions are perfectly fair, and his desire to bring matters to a satisfactory issue is most sincere.

"London, May 6th, 1840.—I have just had a long conversation with Sir George Clerk, the result of which was that he expressed his clear opinion that the Bill ought to be altered in the way that we recommended; and he is in consequence gone to Lord Aberdeen in the hopes of getting his Lordship to agree to one alteration being now introduced before the Bill is printed for the House. I have marked the alteration in pencil on the inclosed copy; and you will see it to be in the most essential part of the Bill; and if it is once introduced, a few other slight verbal alterations here and there will make the Bill quite to our satisfaction, and these there will be no difficulty in getting afterwards attended to.

"London, May 7th, 1840.—I regret to say that Sir George Clerk found Lord Aberdeen quite firm not to admit at present any alterations of the nature to which I referred in my last.

" London, May 7th, 1840.—The Bill, as it stands, will appear to you,

as it is, in fact, decidedly defective, inasmuch as it does not directly and unequivocally confer upon the Church Courts a full power to dispose of each case as it occurs, according to its circumstances, and according to the discretion and conscientious convictions which the Church Courts may have in regard to it. This defect in the Bill is designed and intentional on the part of Lord Aberdeen. I stated to you formerly, in general terms, that his Lordship appeared to me (before the Bill was actually framed) to have two objects in view, which appeared to me to be absolutely incompatible. These objects were, on the one hand, to confer on the Church Courts a full and unfettered discretion—a liberum arbitrium—in the discharge of their duty in every individual case; and, on the other hand, to confer this power in such a way as would make it impossible for the Church Courts to give effect to the dissents of a majority, however great, of the congregation, unless the grounds of that dissent were valid and sufficient in the judgment of the Church Courts. I mentioned to you further, that at the first meeting Mr. Buchanan had with his Lordship, his Lordship stated that he had found, on full consideration, that the latter object was incompatible with the former, and that he had therefore given up all idea of attaining it by his Bill, which he intended should entrust a full and unconditional discretion with the Presbytery. Subsequent to this conversation, however, his Lordship had reverted to his original idea, and accordingly, the Bill, as originally submitted by him to us, actually contained a clause expressly discharging and prohibiting the Church Courts from giving effect to the dissents of the people where they (the Church Courts) did not find the reasons supporting the dissents to be solid and sufficient. This clause, and various other expressions throughout the Bill-all tending to limit the powers of the Church to a judgment upon the reasonableness of the people's dissents—his Lordship struck out, at our suggestion; but still he refused to make the requisite alterations on what we considered to be the most essential parts, and what his Lordship also distinctly recognised as the most essential, and stated that it was just because they were the most essential that he declined to alter them. His Lordship, for the present, seems to be very firm in that determination; and after conferring with Mr. Buchanan you will be able to judge how far it is right to try still to influence him, and what means are the most likely to have that effect.

"I am satisfied that not only Sir George Clerk, but Mr. Home Drummond, Sir James Graham, Sir William Rae, &c., are perfectly ready and willing that the Bill should be put into the most satisfactory shape which its nature admits of; and the objectionable matter which still remains in it is to be ascribed to nothing but an unfortunate bias in Lord Aber-

deen's mind. It so happens, however, that the Bill is Lord Aberdeen's Bill, and it is hardly, if at all possible, to get it altered, except by moving his Lordship himself, which Sir George Clerk at least has failed to do as entirely as Mr. Buchanan and myself have done.

"London, May 14th, 1840.—I had a conversation to-day, for nearly two hours, with Sir George Clerk, who intends (first, privately) to urge Lord Aberdeen to come frankly into our views, and failing that, the purpose is, as I mentioned, to hold a meeting of Scotch Conservative members, with a view of bringing their joint influence to bear on his Lordship. What the result may be I know not. In the meantime matters are kept in suspense by my not hearing from you as to your proceedings on Monday, or with the remonstrance or representation which I was informed you were likely to forward to Lord Aberdeen and the Conservative Members here. I found Sir George Clerk much less indisposed than I expected to admit a clause into the Bill restricting the interference of the Civil Courts to a withdrawal of the temporalities.

J. IIAMILTON."

APPENDIX C.-P. 296.

CLAIM, DECLARATION, AND PROTEST, ANENT THE ENCROACHMENTS OF THE COURT OF SESSION. GENERAL ASSEMBLY 1842.—ACT XIX.

" Edinburgh, 30th May, 1842. Sess. 17.

"THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE CHURCH OF SCOTLAND, taking into consideration the solemn circumstances in which, in the inscrutable providence of God, this Church is now placed; and that, notwithstanding the securities for the government thereof by General Assemblies, Synods, Presbyteries, and Kirk-Sessions, and for the liberties, government, jurisdiction, discipline, rights, and privileges of the same, provided by the statutes of the realm, by the constitution of this country, as unalterably settled by the Treaty of Union, and by the oath, 'inviolably to maintain and preserve' the same, required to be taken by each Sovereign at accession, as a condition precedent to the exercise of the royal authority; -- which securities might well seem, and had long been thought, to place the said liberties, government, jurisdiction, discipline, rights, and privileges, of this Church, beyond the reach of danger or invasion; -these have been of late assailed by the very Court to which the Church was authorized to look for assistance and protection, to an extent that threatens their entire subversion, with all the grievous calamities to this Church and nation which would inevitably flow

therefrom;—did and hereby do solemnly, and in reliance on the grace and power of the Most High, resolve and agree on the following Claim, Declaration, and Protest: That is to say:—

"Whereas it is an essential doctrine of this Church, and a fundamental principle in its constitution, as set forth in the Confession of Faith thereof, in accordance with the Word and law of the most holy God, that 'there is no other Head of the Church but the Lord Jesus Christ,' (ch. xxv. sec. 6); and that, while 'God, the supreme Lord and King of all the world, hath ordained civil magistrates to be under him over the people, for his own glory, and the public good, and to this end hath armed them with the power of the sword,' (ch. xxiii. sec. 1); and while 'it is the duty of the people to pray for magistrates, to honour their persons, to pay them tribute and other dues, to obey their lawful commands, and to be subject to their authority for conscience' sake,' 'from which ecclesiastical persons are not exempted,' (ch. xxiii. sec. 4); and while the magistrate hath authority, and it is his duty, in the exercise of that power which alone is committed to him, namely, 'the power of the sword,' or civil rule, as distinct from the 'power of the keys,' or spiritual authority, expressly denied to him, to take order for the preservation of purity, peace, and unity in the Church, yet 'the Lord Jesus, as King and Head of his Church, hath therein appointed a government in the hand of Church officers distinct from the civil magistrate,' (ch. xxx. sec. 1); which government is ministerial, not lordly, and to be exercised in consonance with the laws of Christ, and with the liberties of his people:

"And whereas, according to the said Confession, and to the other Standards of the Church, and agreeably to the Word of God, this government of the Church, thus appointed by the Lord Jesus, in the hand of Church officers, distinct from the civil magistrate or supreme power of the State, and flowing directly from the Head of the Church to the office-bearers thereof, to the exclusion of the civil magistrate, comprehends, as the objects of it, the preaching of the Word, administration of the Sacraments, correction of manners, the admission of the office-bearers of the Church to their offices, their suspension and deprivation therefrom, the infliction and removal of Church censures, and, generally, the whole 'power of the keys,' which, by the said Confession, is declared, in conformity with Scripture, to have been 'committed' (ch. xxx. sec. 2) to Church officers, and which, as well as the preaching of the Word and the administration of the Sacraments, it is likewise thereby declared, that 'the civil magistrate may not assume to himself,' (ch. xxiii. sec. 3):

"And whereas, this jurisdiction and government, since it regards only VOL. IV. 2 Y

spiritual condition, rights, and privileges, doth not interfere with the jurisdiction of secular tribunals, whose determinations as to all temporalities conferred by the State upon the Church, and as to all civil consequences attached by law to the decisions of Church Courts in matters spiritual, this Church hath ever admitted, and doth admit, to be exclusive and ultimate, as she hath ever given and inculcated implicit obedience thereto:

"And whereas the above mentioned essential doctrine and fundamental principle in the constitution of the Church, and the government and exclusive jurisdiction flowing therefrom, founded on God's Word, and set forth in the Confession of Faith and other standards of this Church, have been, by diverse and repeated Acts of Parliament, recognised, ratified, and confirmed; inasmuch as,—

"First, The said Confession itself, containing the doctrine and principles above set forth, was 'ratified and established, and voted and approven as the public and avowed Confession of this Church,' by the fifth Act of the second session of the first Parliament of King William and Queen Mary, entituled, 'Act ratifying the Confession of Faith, and Settling Presbyterian Church Government,' (1690, c. 5); to which Act the said Confession is annexed, and with it incorporated in the statute law of this kingdom.

"Second, By an Act passed in the first Parliament of King James VI., entituled, 'Of admission of ministers: of laic patronages,' (1567, c. 7), it is enacted and declared, 'That the examination and admission of ministers within this realm be only in the power of the Kirk, now openly and publicly professed within the same;' and, while the 'presentation of laic patronages' was thereby 'reserved to the just and ancient patrons,' it was provided, that, if the presentee of a patron should be refused to be admitted by the inferior ecclesiastical authorities, it should be lawful for the patron 'to appeal to the General Assembly of the whole realm, by whom the cause being decided, shall take end as they decern and declare.'

"Third, By an Act passed in the same first Parliament, and renewed in the sixth Parliament of the said King James VI., entituled, 'Anent the jurisdiction of the Kirk,' (1567, c. 12, fol. edit.), the said Kirk is declared to have jurisdiction 'in the preaching of the true Word of Jesus Christ, correction of manners, and administration of the holy sacraments,' (1579, c. 69); and it is further declared, 'that there be no other jurisdiction ecclesiastical acknowledged within this realm, other than that which is and shall be within the same Kirk, or that flows therefrom, concerning the premises;' which Act, and that last before mentioned, were ratified and approven by another Act passed in the year 1581, entituled, 'Ratification of the liberty of the true Kirk of God and religion, with confirmation of the laws and

Acts made to that effect of before,' (1581, c. 99); which other Act, and all the separate Acts therein recited, were again revived, ratified, and confirmed, by an Act of the twelfth Parliament of the said King James VI., entituled, 'Ratification of the liberty of the true Kirk,' &c., (1592, c. 116); which said Act (having been repealed in 1662) was revived, renewed, and confirmed by the before mentioned statute of King William and Queen Mary, (1690, c. 5.)

" Fourth, The said Act of the twelfth Parliament of King James VI. ratified and approved the General Assemblies, Provincial Synods, Presbyteries, and Kirk Sessions 'appointed by the Kirk,' (1592, c. 116), and 'the whole jurisdiction and discipline of the same Kirk;' cassed and annulled 'all and whatsoever acts, laws, and statutes, made at any time before the day and date thereof, against the liberty of the true Kirk, jurisdiction and discipline thereof, as the same is used and exercised within this realm;' appointed presentations to benefices to be directed to Presbyteries, 'with full power to give collation thereupon, and to put order to all matters and causes ecclesiastical within their bounds, according to the discipline of the Kirk, providing the foresaid Presbyteries be bound and astricted to receive and admit whatsoever qualified minister, presented by his Majesty or laic patrons,' (the effect of which proviso and of the reservation in the Act of the first Parliament of King James VI., above mentioned, (1567, c. 7), is hercinafter more fully adverted to); and farther declared that the jurisdiction of the Sovereign and his Courts, as set forth in a previous Act (1584, c. 129), to extend over all persons his subjects, and 'in all matters,' should 'noways be prejudicial nor derogate any thing to the privilege that God has given to the spiritual office-bearers of the Kirk, concerning heads of religion, matters of heresy, excommunication, collution, or deprivation of ministers, or any such like essential censures, grounded and having warrant of the Word of God;' by which enactment, declaration, and acknowledgment, the State recognised and established as a fundamental principle of the constitution of the kingdom, that the jurisdiction of the Church in these matters was 'given by God' to the office-bearers thereof, and was exclusive, and free from coercion by any tribunals holding power or authority from the State or supreme civil magistrate.

"Fifth, The Parliament holden by King Charles II. (1662, c. 1), immediately on his restoration to the throne, while it repealed the above recited Act of the twelfth Parliament of King James, and other relative Acts, (1592, c. 116), at the same time acknowledged the supreme and exclusive nature of the jurisdiction thereby recognised to be in the Church, describing the said Acts, as Acts 'by which the sole and only power and jurisdiction within this Church doth stand in the Church, and in the general, pro-

vincial, and presbyterial assemblies and kirk-sessions,' and as Acts 'which may be interpreted to have given any Church power, jurisdiction, or government to the office-bearers of the Church, their respective meetings, other than that which acknowledgeth a dependence upon, and subordination to, the sovereign power of the King, as supreme.'

"Sixth, The aforesaid Act of King William and Queen Mary, (1690, c. 5), on the narrative that their Majesties and the estates of Parliament conceived 'it to be their bounden duty, after the great deliverance that God hath lately wrought for this Church and kingdom, in the first place, to settle and secure therein the true Protestant religion, according to the truth of God's Word, as it hath of a long time been professed within this land; as also, the government of Christ's Church within this nation, agreeable to the Word of God, and most conducive to true piety and godliness, and the establishing of peace and tranquillity within this realm,'-besides ratifying and establishing as aforesaid the Confession of Faith, did also 'establish, ratify, and confirm the Presbyterian Church government and discipline; that is to say, the government of the Church by Kirk-Sessions, Presbyteries, Provincial Synods, and General Assemblies, ratified and established by the 116 Act of James VI., Parliament 12, anno 1592, entituled, "Ratification of the liberty of the true Kirk," &c. (1592, c. 116), and thereafter received by the general consent of this nation, to be the only government of Christ's Church within this kingdom;' and revived and confirmed the said Act of King James VI.

"And whereas, not only was the exclusive and ultimate jurisdiction of the Church Courts, in the government of the Church, and especially in the particular matters, spiritual and ecclesiastical, above mentioned, recognised. ratified, and confirmed—thus necessarily implying the denial of power on the part of any secular tribunal, holding its authority from the Sovereign, to review the sentence of the Church Courts in regard to such matters, or coerce them in the exercise of such jurisdiction ;-but all such power, and all claim on the part of the Sovereign, to be considered supreme governor over the subjects of this kingdom of Scotland in causes ecclesiastical and spiritual, as he is in causes civil and temporal, was, after a long-continued struggle, finally and expressly repudiated and cast out of the constitution of Scotland, as inconsistent with the Presbyterian Church government established at the Revolution, and thereafter unalterably secured by the Treaty of Union with England; by the constitution of which latter kingdom, differing in this respect from that of Scotland, the Sovereign is recognised to be supreme governor, 'as well in all spiritual and ecclesiastical things and causes as temporal:' Thus :-

"First, The General Assembly having, in the year 1582, proceeded to inflict the censures of the Church upon Robert Montgomery, minister of Stirling, for seeking to force himself, under a presentation from the King, into the archbishopric of Glasgow, contrary to an act of the General Assembly discharging the office of Prelatic bishop in the Church, and for appealing to the secular tribunals against the infliction of Church censures by the Church Courts, and seeking to have these suspended and interdicted-and having deposed and excommunicated him, notwithstanding of an interdict pronounced by the Privy Council of Scotland, the then supreme secular court of the kingdom-and having at the same time declared it to be part of the subsisting discipline of the Church, that any ministers thereof who 'should seek any way by the civil power to exempt and withdraw themselves from the jurisdiction of the Kirk, or procure, obtain, or use any letters or charges, &c., to impair, hurt, or stay the said jurisdiction, discipline, &c., or to make any appellation from the General Assembly to stop the discipline or order of the ecclesiastical policy or jurisdiction granted by God's Word to the office-bearers within the said Kirk,' were liable to the highest censures of the Church; although their sentence of excommunication was declared by one of the Acts of Parliament of the year 1584, commonly called the 'Black Acts,' to be void, yet ultimately the King and Privy Council abandoned their interference. Montgomery submitted to the Church Courts, and the statute of the twelfth Parliament of Kiug James VI., already mentioned, (1592, c. 116), cassed and annulled 'all and whatsoever acts, laws, and statutes made at any time before the day and date thereof, against the liberty of the true Kirk, jurisdiction and discipline thereof, as the same is used and exercised within this realm;' since which enactment, no similar interference with the discipline and censures of the Church was ever attempted till the year 1841.

"Second, It having been declared by another of the 'Black Acts' aforesaid, (1584, c. 129), entituled, 'An Act confirming the King's Majesty's royal power over all the estates and subjects within this realm,' that 'his highness, his heirs and successors, by themselves and their councils, are, and in time to come shall be, judges competent to all persons his Highness' subjects, of whatsoever estate, degree, function, or condition that ever they be of, spiritual or temporal, in all matters wherein they or any of them shall be apprehended, summoned, or charged to answer to such things as shall be inquired of them by our sovereign Lord and his council,' it was, by the said before mentioned Act of the twelfth Parliament of King James VI. (1592, c. 116), declared that the said Act last above mentioned 'shall noways be prejudicial, nor derogate anything to the privilege that God has

given to the spiritual office-bearers of the Kirk, concerning heads of religion, matters of heresy, excommunication, collation or deprivation of ministers, or any such like essential censures, specially grounded and having warrant of the Word of God.'

"Third, It having been enacted, on the establishment of Prelacy in 1612, (1612, c. 1), that every minister, at his admission, should swear obedience to the sovereign as 'the only lawful supreme governor of this realm, as well in matters spiritual and ecclesiastical as in things temporal,' the enactment to this effect was repealed on the restoration of Presbyterian Church government, (1640, c. 7).

"Fourth, A like acknowledgment, that the Sovereign was 'the only supreme governor of this kingdom over all persons and in all causes,' (1661, c. 11), having been, on the second establishment of Prelacy consequent on the restoration of King Charles II., required as part of the ordinary oath of allegiance, and having been also inserted into the 'Test Oath,' (1681, c. 6), so tyrannically attempted to be forced on the subjects of this realm during the reigns of Charles II. and James II., and the same doctrine of the King's supremacy in all causes, spiritual and ecclesiastical, as well as temporal and civil, having farther been separately and specially declared by the first Act of the second Parliament of the said King Charles II., (1669, c. 1), entituled, 'Act asserting his Majesty's supremacy over all persons and in all causes ecclesiastical, whereby it was 'enacted, asserted, and declared, that his Majesty hath the supreme authority and supremacy over all persons, and in all causes ecclesiastical, within this kingdom,' (Estates, 1689, c. 18),-the Estates of this kingdom, at the era of the Revolution, did set forth, as the second article of the 'Grievances,' of which they demanded redress under their 'Claim of Right,' 'That the first Act of Parliament 1669 is inconsistent with the establishment of Church government now desired, and ought to be abrogated.'

"Fifth, in compliance with this claim, an Act was immediately thereafter passed, (1690, c. 1), of which the tenor follows:—'Our Sovereign Lord and Lady the King and Queen's Majesties, taking into their consideration that, by the second article of the Grievances presented to their Majesties by the Estates of this kingdom, it is declared, that the first Act of the second Parliament of King Charles the Second, entituled, "Act asserting his Majesty's supremacy over all persons and in all causes ecclesiastical," is inconsistent with the establishment of the Church government now desired, and ought to be abrogated: Therefore their majesties, with advice and consent of the estates of Parliament, do hereby abrogate, rescind, and annul the foresaid Act, and declare the same, in the whole heads, articles, and clauses thereof,

to be of no force or effect in all time coming.' In accordance also therewith. the oath of allegiance above mentioned, requiring an acknowledgment of the King's sovereignty 'in all causes,' (1689, c. 2), was done away, and that substituted which is now in use, simply requiring a promise to be 'faithful, and bear true allegiance' to the Sovereign; and all preceding laws and Acts of Parliament were rescinded, 'in so far as they impose any other oaths of allegiance and supremacy, declarations and tests, excepting the oath de fideli.' By the which enactments, any claim on the part of the Sovereigns of Scotland to be supreme rulers in spiritual and ecclesiastical, as well as in temporal and civil causes, or to possess any power, by themselves or their judges holding commission from them, to exercise jurisdiction in matters or causes spiritual and ecclesiastical, was repudiated and excluded from the constitution, as inconsistent with the Presbyterian Church government then established, and secured under the statutes then and subsequently passed. 'to continue, without any alteration, to the people of this land, in all succeeding generations, (1706, c. 6.)

"And whereas, diverse civil rights and privileges were, by various statutes of the Parliament of Scotland, prior to the Union with England, secured to this Church, and certain civil consequences attached to the sentences of the Courts thereof, which were farther directed to be aided and made effectual by all magistrates, judges, and officers of the law; and in particular:—

"It was, by an Act of the twelfth Parliament of King James VI. (1592. c. 117), enacted, 'That all and whatsoever sentences of deprivation, either pronounced already, or that happens to be pronounced hereafter by the Presbytery, Synodal or General Assemblies, against any parson or vicar within their jurisdiction, provided since his Highness' coronation, is, and shall be repute in all judgments, a just cause to seclude the person before provided, and then deprived, from all profits, commodities, rents, and duties of the said parsonage and vicarage, or benefice of cure; and that either by way of action, exception, or reply; and that the said sentence of deprivation shall be a sufficient cause to make the said benefice to vaike thereby:

"As also, by the fifth Act of the first Parliament of King William and Queen Mary, (1690, c. 5), it was enacted, 'that whatsoever minister, being convened before the said general meeting, and representatives of the Presbyterian ministers or elders, or the visitors to be appointed by them, shall either prove contumacious for not appearing, or be found guilty, and shall be therefore censured, whether by suspension or deposition, they shall, ipso facto, be suspended from or deprived of their stipends and benefices:'

"As also, by an Act passed in the fourth session of the first Parliament

of King William and Queen Mary, (1693, c. 22), entituled an 'Act for settling the peace and quiet of the Church,' it was provided, that no minister should be admitted, unless he owned the Presbyterian Church government, as settled by the last recited Act, 'to be the only government of this Church;' and that he will submit thereto, and concur therewith, and never endeavour, directly or indirectly, the prejudice or subversion thereof;' and it was statute or ordained, 'that the lords of their Majesties' Privy Council, and all other magistrates, judges, and officers of justice, give all due assistance for making the sentences and censures of the Church, and judicatories thereof, to be obeyed, or otherwise effectual as accords:'

"As also, by an Act passed in the fifth session of the foresaid Parliament, (1695, c. 22), entituled an 'Act against intruding into churches without a legal call and admission thereto,' on the narrative, 'that ministers and preachers, their intruding themselves into vacant churches, possessing of manses and benefices, and exercising any part of the ministerial function in parishes, without a legal call and admission to the said churches, is an high contempt of the law, and of a dangerous consequence, tending to perpetual schism;' such intrusion, without an orderly call from the heritors and elders -the right of presentation by patrons being at this time abolished-and 'legal admission from the Presbytery,' was prohibited under certain penalties; and the lords of the Privy Council were recommended to remove all who had so intruded, and 'to take some effectual course for stopping and hindering those ministers who are, or shall be hereafter deposed by the judicatories of the present Established Church, from preaching or exercising any act of their ministerial function, which' (the said statute declares) 'they cannot do after they are deposed, without a high contempt of the authority of the Church, and of the laws of the kingdom establishing the same.

"And whereas, at the Union between the two kingdoms, the Parliament of Scotland, being determined that the 'true Protestant religion,' as then professed, 'with the worship, discipline, and government of this Church, should be effectually and unalterably secured,' did, in their Act appointing commissioners to treat with commissioners from the Parliament of England, (1705, c. 4), as to an union of the kingdoms, provide 'That the said commissioners shall not treat of or concerning any alteration of the worship, discipline, and government of the Church of this kingdom, as now by law established;' and did, by another Act, commonly called the Act of Security, (1706, c. 6), and entituled, 'Act for securing the Protestant religion and Presbyterian Church government,' establish and confirm the said true Protestant religion, and the worship, discipline, and government of this Church,

to continue without any alteration to the people of this land in all succeeding generations;' and did 'for ever confirm the fifth Act of the first Parliament of King William and Queen Mary,' (1690, c. 5), entituled, 'Act ratifying the Confession of Faith, and settling Presbyterian Church government, and the whole other Acts of Parliament relating thereto; and did 'expressly provide and declare, That the foresaid true Protestant religion, contained in the above-mentioned Confession of Faith, with the form and purity of worship presently in use within this Church, and its Presbyterian Church government and discipline,—that is to say, the government of the Church by Kirk-Sessions, Presbyteries, Provincial Synods, and General Assemblies, all established by the foresaid Acts of Parliament, pursuant to the Claim of Right, shall remain and continue unalterable; and that the said Presbyterian government shall be the only government of the Church within the kingdom of Scotland:' And farther, 'for the greater security of the same,' did, inter alia, enact, 'That, after the decease of her present Majesty, the sovereign succeeding to her in the royal government of the kingdom of Great Britain, shall, in all time coming, at his or her accession to the crown, swear and subscribe, That they shall inviolably maintain and preserve the foresaid settlement of the true Protestant religion, with the government, worship, discipline, rights, and privileges of this Church, as above established by the laws of this kingdom, in prosecution of the Claim of Right;' which said Act of Security, 'with the establishment therein contained,' it was specially thereby enacted, 'should be held and observed in all time coming as a fundamental and essential condition of any treaty or union to be concluded betwixt the two kingdoms, without any alteration thereof, or derogation thereto, in any sort, for ever:' It being farther thereby provided, that 'the said Act and settlement therein contained shall be insert and repeated in any Act of Parliament that shall pass, for agreeing and concluding the foresaid treaty of union betwixt the two kingdoms; and that the same shall be therein expressly declared to be a fundamental and essential condition of the said treaty of union in all time coming.' In terms of which enactment, this Act of Security was inserted in the Treaty of Union between the two kingdoms, as a fundamental condition thereof, and was also inserted in the Act (1706, c. 7) of the Parliament of Scotland ratifying and approving of the said Treaty, and likewise in the corresponding Act of the Parliament of England, entituled, 'An Act (5 Anne, c. 8) for a Union of the two Kingdoms of England and Scotland:'

"And whereas, at the date of the said Treaty of Union, the right of patrons to present to churches stood abolished by statute, after the following manner,—viz., By the Act of King William and Queen Mary, (1690, c.

5), herein before mentioned, the Act of James VI. (1592, c. 116), also herein before mentioned, then standing totally repealed, was only revived, subject to the express exception of 'that part of it relating to patronages,' which consequently remained repealed and unrestored, and 'which,' the Act 1690, c. 5, farther bore, 'is hereafter to be taken into consideration.' The part of the said Act thus left repealed and unrevived, was the provision, that Presbyteries 'be bound and astricted to receive whatsoever qualified minister presented by his Majesty or laic patrons,"-a provision which, while it subsisted, was held to leave the Church free to proceed in the collation of ministers, 'according to the discipline of the Kirk;' and nou-compliance with which implied only a forfeiture of the fruits of the particular benefice, which it did by virtue of the immediately succeeding statute 1592, c. 117, whereby it was enacted, that, 'in case the Presbytery refuses to admit any qualified minister presented to them by the patron, it shall be lawful to the patron to retain the whole fruits of the benefice in his own hands.' This subject having accordingly been thereafter taken into consideration in the same session of Parliament, was definitively settled by an Act, (1690, c. 23), entituled, 'Act concerning Patronages,' whereby the right of presentation by patrons was 'annulled and made void,' and a right was vested in the heritors and elders of the respective parishes 'to name and propose the person to the whole congregation, to be approven or disapproven by them,' the disapprovers giving in their reasons 'to the effect the affair may be cognosced upon by the Presbytcry of the bounds, at whose judgment, and by whose determination,' (as is declared by the said Act), 'the calling and entry of a particular minister is to be ordered and concluded:'

"And whereas the said Act last mentioned formed part of the settlement of the Presbyterian Church government effected at the Revolution, and was one of the 'Acts relating thereto,' and to the statute 1690, c. 5, specially confirmed and secured by the Act of Security and Treaty of Union; yet, notwithstanding thereof, and of the said Treaty, the Parliament of Great Britain, by an Act passed in the 10th of Queen Anne, (10 Anne, c. 12), repealed the said Act, 'in so far as relates to the presentation of ministers by heritors and others therein mentioned,' and restored to patrons the right of presentation, and enacted that Presbyteries should be 'obliged to receive and admit in the same manner, such qualified person or persons, minister or ministers, as shall be presented by the respective patrons, as the persons or ministers presented before the making of this Act ought to have been admitted:'

"And whereas, while this Church protested against the passing of the above mentioned Act of Queen Anne, as 'contrary to the constitution of the

Church, so well secured by the late Treaty of Union, and solemnly ratified by Acts of Parliament in both kingdoms,' and for more than seventy years thereafter uninterruptedly sought for its repeal, she at the same time maintained, and practically exercised, without question or challenge from any quarter, the jurisdiction of her Courts to determine ultimately and exclusively, under what circumstances they would admit candidates into the office of the holy ministry, or constitute the pastoral relationship between minister and people, and, generally, 'to order and conclude the entry of particular ministers:'

"And whereas, in particular, this Church required, as necessary to the admission of a minister to the charge of souls, that he should have received a call from the people over whom he was to be appointed, and did not authorize or permit any one so to be admitted till such call had been sustained by the Church Courts, and did, before and subsequent to the passing of the said Act of Queen Anne, declare it to be a fundamental principle of the Church, as set forth in her authorized standards, and particularly in the Second Book of Discipline, (ch. iii. sec. 5), repeated by Act of Assembly in 1638, that no pastor be intruded upon any congregation contrary to the will of the people:

"And whereas, in especial, this fundamental principle was, by the 14th Act of the General Assembly 1736, (c. 14), re-declared, and directed to be attended to in the settlement of vacant parishes, but having been, after some time, disregarded in the administration of the Church, it was once more redeclared by the General Assembly 1834, (c. 9), who established certain specific provisions and regulations for carrying it into effect in time to come:

"And whereas, by a judgment pronounced by the House of Lords, in 1839,* it was, for the first time, declared to be illegal to refuse to take on trial, and to reject the presentee of a patron, (although a layman, and merely a candidate for admission to the office of the ministry), in consideration of this fundamental principle of the Church, and in respect of the dissent of the congregation; to the authority of which judgment, so far as disposing of civil interests, this Church implicitly bowed, by at once abandoning all claim to the jus devolutum,—to the benefice, for any pastor to be settled by her,—and to all other civil right or privilege which might otherwise have been competent to the Church or her Courts; and anxiously desirous, at the same time, of avoiding collision with the Civil Courts, she so far suspended the operation of the above mentioned Act of Assembly, as to direct all cases, in which dissents should be lodged by a majority of the congregation, to be

^{*} Auchterarder Case, 1839.

reported to the General Assembly, in the hope that a way might be opened up to her for reconciling with the civil rights declared by the House of Lords, adherence to the above mentioned fundamental principle, which she could not violate or abandon, by admitting to the holy office of the ministry a party not having, in her conscientious judgment, a legitimate call thereto, or by intruding a pastor on a reclaiming congregation contrary to their will; and farther, addressed herself to the Government and the Legislature for such an alteration of the law, (as for the first time now interpreted), touching the temporalities belonging to the Church, (which alone she held the decision of the House of Lords to be capable of affecting or regulating), as might prevent a separation between the cure of souls and the benefice thereto attached:

"And whereas, although during the century which elapsed after the passing of the said Act of Queen Anne, Presbyteries repeatedly rejected the presentees of patrons on grounds undoubtedly ultra vires of the Presbyteries, as having reference to the title of the patron or the validity of competing presentations, and which were held by the Court of Session to be contrary to law, and admitted others to the pastoral office in the parishes presented to, who had no presentation or legal title to the benefice, the said Court, even in such cases, never attempted or pretended to direct or coerce the Church Courts, in the exercise of their functions in regard to the collation of ministers, or other matters acknowledged by the State to have been conferred on the Church, not by the State, but by God himself. On the contrary, they limited their decrees to the regulation and disposal of the temporalities which were derived from the State, and which, as the proper subjects of 'actions civil,' were within the province assigned to the Court of Session, by the Constitution refusing to interfere with the peculiar functions and exclusive jurisdiction of the Courts of the Church. Thus,-

"In the case of Auchtermuchty,* where the Presbytery had wrongfully admitted another than the patron's presentee, the Court found, 'That the right to a stipend is a civil right; and therefore that the Court have power to cognosce and determine upon the legality of the admission of ministers in hunc effectum, whether the person admitted shall have right to the stipend or not; and simply decided, that the patron was entitled to retain the stipend in his own hands.

"So also, the same course was followed in the cases of Culross, Lanark, and Forbes; in reference to one of which, (that of Lanark), the Govern-

^{*} Moncrieff v. Maxton, Feb. 15, 1735.

[†] Cochrane v. Stoddart, June 26, 1751. Dick v. Carmichael, March 2, 1753. Forbes v. M'William, February 1762.

ment of the country, on behalf of the Crown, in which the patronage was vested, recognised the retention of stipend by the patron, as the only competent remedy for a wrongful refusal to admit his presentee; the Secretary of State having, in a letter to the Lord Advocate of Scotland, (January 17, 1752), signified the pleasure of his Majesty, 'directing and ordering his lordship to do everything necessary and competent by law, for asserting and taking benefit in the present case of the said right and privilege of patrons by the law of Scotland to retain the fruits of the benefice in their own hands till their presentee be admitted.'

"So farther, in the before mentioned case of Culross,* the Court refused, 'as incompetent,' a bill of advocation presented to them by the patron, for the purpose of staying the admission by the Presbytery of another than his presentee.

"So likewise, in the case of Dunse,† the Court would not interfere in regard to a conclusion to prohibit the Presbytery 'to moderate in a call at large, or settle any other man,' because 'that was interfering with the power of ordination, or internal policy of the Church, with which the Lords thought they had nothing to do.'

"And so, in the same manner, in the case of Unst," where the party concluded to have the Presbytery ordained to proceed to the presentee's settlement, as well as to have the validity of the presentation and the right to the stipend declared, the Court limited their decree to the civil matters of the presentation and stipend:

"And whereas, pending the efforts of the Church to accomplish the desired alteration of the law, the Court of Session,—a tribunal instituted by special Act of Parliament for the specific and limited purpose of 'doing and administration of justice in all civil actions,' (1537, c. 36,) with judges appointed simply 'to sit and decide upon all actions civil,' (1532, c. 1,)—not confining themselves to the determination of 'civil actions,'—to the withholding of civil consequences from sentences of the Church Courts, which, in their judgment, were not warranted by the statutes recognising the jurisdiction of these Courts,—to the enforcing of the provision of the Act 1592, c. 117, for retention of the fruits of the benefice in case of wrongful refusal to admit a presentee, or the giving of other civil redress for any civil injury held by them to have been wrongfully sustained in consequence thereof,—have, in numerous and repeated instances, stepped beyond the province allotted to them by the Constitution, and within which alone their decisions can be held to declare the law, or to have

^{*} Cochrane, November 19, 1748. † Hay v. Presbytery of Dunse, February 26, 1749.

Lord Dundas v. Presbytery of Shetland, May 15, 1795.

the force of law, deciding not only 'actions civil,' but 'causes spiritual and ecclesiastical,'—and that, too, even where these had no connexion with the exercise of the right of patronage,—and have invaded the jurisdiction, and encroached upon the spiritual privileges of the Courts of this Church, in violation of the constitution of the country—in defiance of the statutes above mentioned, and in contempt of the laws of this kingdom: as for instance—

"By interdicting Presbyteries of the Church from admitting to a pastoral charge,* when about to be done irrespective of the civil benefice attached thereto, or even where there was no benefice—no right of patronage—no stipend—no manse or glebe, and no place of worship, or any patrimonial right, connected therewith.†

"By issuing a decree, requiring and ordaining a Church Court to take on trial and admit to the office of the holy ministry, in a particular charge, a probationer or unordained candidate for the ministry, and to intrude him also on the congregation, contrary to the will of the people;—both in this, and in the cases first mentioned, invading the Church's exclusive jurisdiction in the admission of ministers, the preaching of the Word, and administration of Sacraments—recognised by statute to have been 'given by God' directly to the Church, and to be beyond the limits of the secular jurisdiction.

"By prohibiting the communicants \ of the Church from intimating their dissent from a call proposed to be given to a candidate for the ministry to become their pastor.

"By granting interdict against the establishment of additional ministers to meet the wants of an increasing population, || as uninterruptedly practised from the Reformation to this day: against constituting a new kirksession in a parish, to exercise discipline; and against innovating on its existing state, 'as regards pastoral superintendence, its kirk-session, and jurisdiction and discipline thereto belonging.'

"By interdicting the preaching of the gospel, and administration of ordinances, I throughout a whole district, by any minister of the Church under authority of the Church Courts; thus assuming to themselves the regulation of the 'preaching of the Word' and 'administration of the Sacraments,' and at the same time invading the privilege, common to all the subjects of the realm, of having freedom to worship God according to their consciences, and under the guidance of the ministers of the communion to which they belong.

^{* 1}st Lethendy Case. § Daviot Case.

[†] Stewarton Case.

[†] Marnoch Case.

¶ Strathbogie Cases.

"By holding the members of inferior Church judicatories liable in damages" for refusing to break their ordination vows and oaths, (sworn by them, in compliance with the requirements of the statutes of the realm, and, in particular, of the Act of Security embodied in the Treaty of Union), by disobeying and setting at defiance the sentences, in matters spiritual and ecclesiastical, of their superior Church judicatories, to which, by the constitution of the Church and country, they are, in such matters, subordinate and subject, and which, by their said vows and oaths, they stand pledged to obey.

"By interdicting the execution of the sentence of a Church judicatory, prohibiting a minister from preaching or administering ordinances within a particular parish,† pending the discussion of a cause in the Church Courts as to the validity of his settlement therein.

"By interdicting the General Assembly and inferior Church judicatories from inflicting Church censures; as in one case, where interdict was granted against the pronouncing of sentence of deposition upon a minister found guilty of theft, by a judgment acquiesced in by himself; in another, where a Presbytery was interdicted from proceeding in the trial of a minister accused of fraud and swindling; and in a third, where a Presbytery was interdicted from proceeding with a libel against a licentiate for drunkenness, obscenity, and profane swearing.

"By suspending Church censures, I inflicted by the Church judicatories in the exercise of discipline, (which, by special statute, all 'judges and officers of justice' are ordered 'to give due assistance' for making 'to be obeyed, or otherwise effectual,') and so reponing ministers suspended from their office, to the power of preaching and administering ordinances; thus assuming to themselves the 'power of the keys.'

"By interdicting the execution of a sentence of deposition from the office of the holy ministry, pronounced by the General Assembly of the Church;** thereby also usurping the 'power of the keys,' and supporting deposed ministers in the exercise of ministerial functions; which is declared by special statute to be a 'high contempt of the authority of the Church, and of the laws of the kingdom establishing the same.'

"By assuming to judge of the right of individuals elected members of the General Assembly to sit therein, ++ and interdicting them from taking their seats; thus interfering with the constitution of the Supreme Court of the Church, and violating her freedom in the holding of General Assemblies, secured to her by statute.

^{* 2}d Auchterarder Case.

[§] Stranraer Case.

^{** 3}d Strathbogie Case.

[†] Culsalmond Case. || 4th Lethendy Case.

^{++ 5}th Strathbogie Case.

[‡] Cambusnethan Case.

^{¶ 1}st and 2d Strathbogie Cases.

"By, in the greater number of instances above referred to, requiring the inferior judicatories of the Church to disobey the sentences, in matters spiritual and ecclesiastical, of the superior judicatories, to which, by the constitution in Church and State, they are subordinate and subject, and which, in compliance with the provisions of the statutes of the realm, their members have solemnly sworn to obey;—thus subverting 'the government of the Church by Kirk-sessions, Presbyteries, Provincial Synods, and General Assemblies,' settled by statute and the Treaty of Union, as 'the only government of the Church within the kingdom of Scotland.'

"By all which acts, the said Court of Session, apparently not adverting to the oath taken by the Sovereign, from whom they hold their commissions. have exercised powers not conferred upon them by the Constitution, but by it excluded from the province of any secular tribunal,-have invaded the jurisdiction of the Courts of the Church,-have subverted its government, -have illegally attempted to coerce Church Courts in the exercise of their purely spiritual functions,-have usurped the 'power of the keys,'-have wrongfully acclaimed, as the subjects of their civil jurisdiction, to be regulated by their decrees, ordination of laymen to the office of the holy ministry, admission to the cure of souls, Church censures, the preaching of the Word, and the administration of the Sacraments,-and have employed the means intrusted to them for enforcing submission to their lawful authority, in compelling submission to that which they have usurped,-in opposition to the doctrines of God's Word set forth in the Confession of Faith, as ratified by statute,-in violation of the Constitution,-in breach of the Treaty of Union, and in disregard of divers express enactments of the Legislature:

"And whereas farther encroachments are threatened on the government and discipline of the Church as by law established,* in actions now depending before the said Court, in which it is sought to have sentences of deposition from the office of the holy ministry reduced and set aside,† and minorities of inferior judicatories authorized to take on trial and admit to the office of the holy ministry, in disregard of, and in opposition to, the authority of the judicatories of which they are members, and of the superior judicatories to which they are subordinate and subject:

"And whereas the government and discipline of Christ's Church cannot be carried on according to his laws and the constitution of his Church, subject to the exercise, by any secular tribunal, of such powers as have been assumed by the said Court of Session:

"And whereas this Church, highly valuing, as she has ever done, her connexion, on the terms contained in the statutes herein before recited,

^{* 4}th Strathbogie Case.

with the State, and her possession of the temporal benefits thereby secured to her for the advantage of the people, must, nevertheless, even at the risk and hazard of the loss of that connexion and of these public benefits—deeply as she would deplore and deprecate such a result for herself and the nation—persevere in maintaining her liberties as a Church of Christ, and in carrying on the government thereof on her own constitutional principles, and must refuse to intrude ministers on her congregations, to obey the unlawful coercion attempted to be enforced against her in the exercise of her spiritual functions and jurisdiction, or to consent that her people be deprived of their rightful liberties:

"Therefore, the General Assembly, while, as above set forth, they fully recognise the absolute jurisdiction of the Civil Courts in relation to all matters whatsoever of a civil nature, and especially in relation to all the temporalities conferred by the State upon the Church, and the civil consequences attached by law to the decisions, in matters spiritual, of the Church Courts,—Do, in name and on behalf of this Church, and of the nation and people of Scotland, and under the sanction of the several statutes, and the Treaty of Union herein before recited, CLAIM, as of RIGHT, That she shall freely possess and enjoy her liberties, government, discipline, rights, and privileges, according to law, especially for the defence of the spiritual liberties of her people, and that she shall be protected therein from the foresaid unconstitutional and illegal encroachments of the said Court of Session. and her people secured in their Christian and constitutional rights and liberties.

"And they declare, that they cannot, in accordance with the Word of God, the authorized and ratified standards of this Church, and the dictates of their consciences, intrude ministers on reclaiming congregations, or carry on the government of Christ's Church, subject to the coercion attempted by the Court of Session as above set forth; and that, at the risk and hazard of suffering the loss of the secular benefits conferred by the State, and the public advantages of an Establishment, they must, as by God's grace they will, refuse so to do: for, highly as they estimate these, they cannot put them in competition with the inalienable liberties of a Church of Christ, which, alike by their duty and allegiance to their Head and King, and by their ordination vows, they are bound to maintain, 'notwithstanding of whatsoever trouble or persecution may arise.'

"And they protest, that all and whatsoever Acts of the Parliament of Great Britain, passed without the consent of this Church and nation, in alteration of or derogation to the aforesaid government, discipline, right, and privileges of this Church, (which were not allowed to be treated of by the Commissioners for settling the terms of the union between the two kingdoms, but were secured by antecedent stipulation, provided to be inserted, and inserted in the Treaty of Union, as an unalterable and fundamental condition thereof, and so reserved from the cognizance and power of the federal Legislature created by the said Treaty), as also, all and whatsoever sentences of Courts in contravention of the same government, discipline, right, and privileges, are, and shall be, in themselves void and null, and of no legal force or effect; and that, while they will accord full submission to all such acts and sentences, in so far-though in so far only-as these may regard civil rights and privileges, whatever may be their opinion of the justice or legality of the same, their said submission shall not be deemed an acquiescence therein, but that it shall be free to the members of this Church, or their successors, at any time hereafter, when there shall be a prospect of obtaining justice, to claim the restitution of all such civil rights and privileges, and temporal benefits and endowments, as for the present they may be compelled to yield up, in order to preserve to their office-bearers the free exercise of their spiritual government and discipline, and to their people the liberties, of which respectively it has been attempted, so contrary to law and justice, to deprive them.

"And, finally, the General Assembly call the Christian people of this kingdom, and all the Churches of the Reformation throughout the world, who hold the great doctrine of the sole Headship of the Lord Jesus over his Church, to witness, that it is for their adherence to that doctrine, as set forth in their Confession of Faith, and ratified by the laws of this kingdom, and for the maintenance by them of the jurisdiction of the office-bearers, and the freedom and privileges of the members of the Church from that doctrine flowing, that this Church is subjected to hardship, and that the rights so sacredly pledged and secured to her are put in peril; and they especially invite all the office-bearers and members of this Church, who are willing to suffer for their allegiance to their adorable King and Head, to stand by the Church, and by each other, in defence of the doctrine aforesaid, and of the liberties and privileges, whether of office-bearers or people, which rest upon it; and to unite in supplication to Almighty God, that He would be pleased to turn the hearts of the rulers of this kingdom, to keep unbroken the faith pledged to this Church, in former days, by statutes and solemn treaty, and the obligations, come under to God Himself, to preserve and maintain the government and discipline of this Church in accordance with His Word; or otherwise, that He would give strength to this Church -office-bearers and people-to endure resignedly the loss of the temporal benefits of an Establishment, and the personal sufferings and sacrifices

to which they may be called, and would also inspire them with zeal and energy to promote the advancement of His Son's kingdom, in whatever condition it may be His will to place them; and that, in His own good time, He would restore to them these benefits, the fruits of the struggles and sufferings of their fathers in times past in the same cause; and, thereafter, give them grace to employ them more effectually than hitherto they have done for the manifestation of His glory."

PROTEST BY THOSE COMMISSIONERS TO THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY APPOINTED TO MEET ON 18th May 1843, BY WHOM THIS ASSEMBLY WAS CONSTITUTED.

"At Edinburgh, and within a large Hall at Canonmills, the 18th day of May 1843 years. Sess. 1.

"The Commissioners to the General Assembly of the Church of Scotland, appointed to have been holden this day, having met in St. Andrew's Church. the Ministers and Elders, Commissioners thereto, whose names are appended to the Protest then and there made, and hereinafter inserted, having withdrawn from that place, and having convened in a large Hall at Canonmills, in presence of a great concourse of Ministers, Elders, and People, and having duly constituted themselves in the name of the Head of the Church, and appointed the Rev. Dr. Chalmers to be their Moderator, the Protest above-mentioned was produced and read, and thereafter ordered to be recorded as follows:—

"We, the undersigned Ministers and Elders, chosen as Commissioners to the General Assembly of the Church of Scotland, indicted to meet this day, but precluded from holding the said Assembly by reason of the circumstances hereinafter set forth, in consequence of which a Free Assembly of the Church of Scotland, in accordance with the laws and constitution of the said Church, cannot at this time be holden—

"Considering that the Legislature, by their rejection of the Claim of Right adopted by the last General Assembly of the said Church, and their refusal to give redress and protection against the jurisdiction assumed, and the coercion of late repeatedly attempted to be exercised over the Courts of the Church in matters spiritual by the Civil Courts, have recognised and fixed the conditions of the Church Establishment, as henceforward to subsist in Scotland, to be such as these have been pronounced and declared by the said Civil Courts in their several recent decisions, in regard to matters spiritual and ecclesiastical, whereby it has been held, inter alia,—

"1st, That the Courts of the Church by law established, and members thereof, are liable to be coerced by the Civil Courts in the exercise of their spiritual functions; and in particular in the admission to the office of the

holy ministry, and the constitution of the pastoral relation, and that they are subject to be compelled to intrude ministers on reclaiming congregations in opposition to the fundamental principles of the Church, and their views of the Word of God, and to the liberties of Christ's people.

" 2d, That the said Civil Courts have power to interfere with and interdict the preaching of the gospel and administration of ordinances as authorized and enjoined by the Church Courts of the Establishment.

"3d, That the said Civil Courts have power to suspend spiritual censures pronounced by the Church Courts of the Establishment against ministers and probationers of the Church, and to interdict their execution as to spiritual effects, functions, and privileges.

"4th, That the said Civil Courts have power to reduce and set aside the sentences of the Church Courts of the Establishment, deposing ministers from the office of the holy ministry, and depriving probationers of their license to preach the Gospel, with reference to the spiritual status, functions, and privileges of such ministers and probationers—restoring them to the spiritual office and status of which the Church Courts had deprived them.

"5th, That the said Civil Courts have power to determine on the right to sit as members of the supreme and other judicatories of the Church by law established, and to issue interdicts against sitting and voting therein, irrespective of the judgment and determination of the said judicatories.

"6th, That the said Civil Courts have power to supersede the majority of a Church Court of the Establishment, in regard to the exercise of its spiritual functions as a Church Court, and to authorize the minority to exercise the said functions, in opposition to the Court itself, and to the superior judicatories of the Establishment.

"7th, That the said Civil Courts have power to stay processes of discipline pending before Courts of the Church by law established, and to interdict such Courts from proceeding therein.

"8th, That no pastor of a congregation can be admitted into the Church Courts of the Establishment, and allowed to rule, as well as to teach, agreeably to the institution of the office by the Head of the Church, nor to sit in any of the judicatories of the Church, inferior or supreme—and that no additional provision can be made for the exercise of spiritual discipline among the members of the Church, though not affecting any patrimonial interests, and no alteration introduced in the state of pastoral superintendence and spiritual discipline in any parish, without the sanction of a Civil Court.

"All which jurisdiction and power on the part of the said Civil Courts severally above specified, whatever proceeding may have given occasion to its exercise, is, in our opinion, in itself, inconsistent with Christian liberty, and with the authority which the Head of the Church hath conferred on the Church alone.

"And farther considering, that a General Assembly, composed, in accordance with the laws and fundamental principles of the Church, in part of commissioners themselves admitted without the sanction of the Civil Court, or chosen by Presbyteries composed in part of members not having that sanction, cannot be constituted as an Assembly of the Establishment without disregarding the law and the legal conditions of the same as now fixed and declared;

"And farther considering, that such commissioners as aforesaid would, as members of an Assembly of the Establishment, be liable to be interdicted from exercising their functions, and to be subjected to civil coercion at the instance of any individual having interest who might apply to the Civil Courts for that purpose;

"And considering farther, that civil coercion has already been in divers instances applied for and used, whereby certain commissioners returned to the Assembly this day appointed to have been holden, have been interdicted from claiming their seats, and from sitting and voting therein; and certain Presbyteries have been, by interdicts directed against their members, prevented from freely choosing commissioners to the said Assembly, whereby the freedom of such Assembly, and the liberty of election thereto, has been forcibly obstructed and taken away;

"And farther considering, that, in these circumstances, a free Assembly of the Church of Scotland, by law established, cannot at this time be holden, and that an Assembly, in accordance with the fundamental principles of the Church, cannot be constituted in connexion with the State without violating the conditions which must now, since the rejection by the Legislature of the Church's Claim of Right, be held to be the conditions of the Establishmeut;

"And considering that, while heretofore, as members of Church judicatories ratified by law and recognised by the constitution of the kingdom, we held ourselves entitled and bound to exercise and maintain the jurisdiction vested in these judicatories with the sanction of the constitution, notwith-standing the decrees as to matters spiritual and ecclesiastical of the Civil Courts, because we could not see that the State had required submission thereto as a condition of the Establishment, but, on the contrary, were satisfied that the State, by the acts of the Parliament of Scotland, for ever and unalterably secured to this nation by the Treaty of Union, had repudiated any power in the Civil Courts to pronounce such decrees, we are now constrained to acknowledge it to be the mind and will of the State, as recently

declared, that such submission should and does form a condition of the Establishment, and of the possession of the benefits thereof; and that as we cannot, without committing what we believe to be sin—in opposition to God's law—in disregard of the honour and authority of Christ's crown, and in violation of our own solemn vows, comply with this condition, we cannot in conscience continue connected with it, and retain the benefits of an Establishment to which such condition is attached.

"We, therefore, the Ministers and Elders foresaid, on this, the first occasion since the rejection by the Legislature of the Church's Claim of Right, when the commissioners chosen from throughout the bounds of the Church to the General Assembly appointed to have been this day holden, are convened together, do protest, that the conditions foresaid, while we deem them contrary to and subversive of the settlement of church government effected at the Revolution, and solemnly guaranteed by the Act of Security and Treaty of Union, are also at variance with God's Word, in opposition to the doctrines and fundamental principles of the Church of Scotland, inconsistent with the freedom essential to the right constitution of a Church of Christ, and incompatible with the government which He, as the Head of his Church, hath therein appointed distinct from the civil magistrate.

"And we further protest, that any Assembly constituted in submission to the conditions now declared to be law, and under the civil coercion which has been brought to bear on the election of commissioners to the Assembly this day appointed to have been holden, and on the commissioners chosen thereto, is not and shall not be deemed a lawful and free Assembly of the Church of Scotland, according to the original and fundamental principles thereof; and that the Claim, Declaration, and Protest, of the General Assembly which convened at Edinburgh in May 1842, as the act of a free and lawful Assembly of the said Church, shall be holden as setting forth the true constitution of the said Church, and that the said Claim, along with the laws of the Church now subsisting, shall in nowise be affected by whatsoever acts and proceedings of any Assembly constituted under the conditions now declared to be the law, and in submission to the coercion now imposed on the Establishment.

"And, finally, while firmly asserting the right and duty of the civil magistrate to maintain and support an establishment of religion in accordance with God's Word, and reserving to ourselves and our successors to strive by all lawful means, as opportunity shall in God's good providence be offered, to secure the performance of this duty agreeably to the Scriptures, and in implement of the statutes of the kingdom of Scotland, and the obligations

of the Treaty of Union as understood by us and our ancestors, but acknowledging that we do not hold ourselves at liberty to retain the benefits of the Establishment, while we cannot comply with the conditions now to be deemed thereto attached—we protest, that in the circumstances in which we are placed, it is and shall be lawful for us, and such other commissioners chosen to the Assembly appointed to have been this day holden, as may concur with us, to withdraw to a separate place of meeting, for the purpose of taking steps for ourselves and all who adhere to us-maintaining with us the Confession of Faith and Standards of the Church of Scotland, as heretofore understood—for separating in an orderly way from the Establishment; and thereupon adopting such measures as may be competent to us, in humble dependence on God's grace and the aid of the Holy Spirit, for the advancement of His glory, the extension of the gospel of our Lord and Saviour, and the administration of the affairs of Christ's house, according to His Holy Word; and we do now, for the purpose foresaid, withdraw accordingly, humbly and solemuly acknowledging the hand of the Lord in the things which have come upon us, because of our manifold sins, and the sins of this Church and nation; but, at the same time, with an assured conviction, that we are not responsible for any consequences that may follow from this our enforced separation from an Establishment which we loved and prized—through interference with conscience, the dishonour done to Christ's crown, and the rejection of his sole and supreme authority as King in His Church."

APPENDIX D.—P. 315.

Address to the Convocation of November 1842.

"The question whether we should not persevere in such measures as might eventually break up the connexion between the Church and State hinges on distinct merits and considerations of its own, and I am not very clear that the view which I shall now submit will furnish any positive element for the decision of it. It might be wrong to precipitate the entire destruction of an endowed Church in these lands, even though we could demonstrate the securities which would be still within our reach for the amply sufficient maintenance of a Voluntary Church in its place; and it might be right to hazard, nay, even to help on, with our eyes open to the consequences, the demolition of our present Establishment, although with the certainty of extreme destitution to all our families. I will not enter

on the question of which would be the right and which the wrong decision in this matter. I have no doubt that events on the one hand, and the resolved unalterable principles of the great body among our clergy on the other, will, by God's grace, insure a determination worthy of men who are in readiness to do all, or to suffer all, which conscience might require of But still it is practically of the greatest importance to relieve that determination, whatever it might be, of all those adverse or disturbing influences which are fitted to shake the constancy of men and frighten them from their propriety. It is even our duty to lessen, as far as may be consistent with truth, the force of those temptations, or remove them altogether, which might cause men to falter in their onward course, and to swerve from the path of Christian integrity and honour. On this ground I am thankful for the permission to state in the hearing of my brethren my own anticipations on that subject, or how it is that I think the matter really stands. Most assuredly I do not want to hasten your decision beyond what, on its own proper grounds, you might deem to be right and necessary. But, on the other hand, I should like to see removed out of the way aught which might hinder or even cause a hurtful delay in our adoption of what ought to be the final decision of the Church on the matters which are now pending; or, in one brief sentence, I should like to demonstrate the grounds on which, should the worst come to the worst, I look for the stability of our present Church of Scotland in these lands, even should the fostering care of the State be withdrawn from her, and should she be severed from all her present endowments and civil immunities by the hand of violence.

"The arithmetic on which, under God, I found the confidence I feel is soon told. It is not because I count on a multitude of great things. These may be either more frequent or more numerous than I shall attempt to specify, but I do count on a multitude of small things. It is not on the strength of large sums that I proceed; it is on the strength and accumulation of littles. I am not looking for much that is remarkable in the way either of noble efforts or noble sacrifices; nor yet is it on the impulse of strong but momentary feeling that I at all reckon. It is on the assiduities of habit and principle, such as a very common and everyday exertion in each district of our land might secure if begun, and such as the general influence of custom alone might suffice to perpetuate. Such is the character, the plain unimaginative character of the premises with which I am now dealing, and the conclusion I draw from them. What I call my minimum result, because the very least to which I aspire, is a hundred thousand pounds in the year. And the way in which I calculate so as to come to this result is, that more than

this will be yielded by a penny a week, not from each individual, but a penny a week from each family of our Scottish population.

" Could I only get your attention directed to the figures and statistics of this process I should not despair of winning the firm faith and confidence of your award. And when I speak of figures, they are not the figures of rhetoric, let me assure you, which I at all mean. They are the figures of arithmetic, and of an arithmetic where the postulates and the data might, by a little exertion, be made alike sure. My only postulates are a demand for a penny a week on the average from each household throughout the country at large, and a demand for half an hour's time every Monday from each agent or visitor who will undertake for the collection of it, and my only data are that, while abundantly sensible that there are thousands of families in Scotland who will not only withhold, but passionately refuse, any contribution to our cause, and influence others to do the like, still there are as many thousands who will replace their lack of service, and count it no great heroism though they should convert their penny into twopence a week, nay, should feel it no great stretch of generosity though, instead of a penny a week from the whole family there should be that amount of offering from each member of it. I will now stop short at that part of my demonstration, although I might go upward a great deal higher, and tell of the thousands I know who will give their sixpences a week, of the hundreds who will give their guineas or half guineas a quarter, of the tens, yea, of the fifties, who will tithe themselves to the extent of from five to twenty pounds a year. But I will desist, and that long before I have parted company with the realities of the question, lest there be any who now hear me who might think that I am taking an aerial flight towards the fairy land of romance. Let me only, without giving names, tell of four specimens which have east up within these few days; first, a thriving manufacturer, who is to stake £150 a year on the moment that we are severed from endowments; then a gentleman of monied fortune, who undertakes, on that event, to furnish the maintenance of three clergymen and their families; then a widow, who, from the proceeds of her dowry, and her own little fortune, dedicates £200 to the cause; and lastly, of a master tradesman who will let down his establishment to that of a journeyman or common mechanic rather than that the Church, if abandoned by the State, should not be upheld at at least the present extent of her efficiency and her means. But I will expatiate no further on this walk-a walk, though it be not of speculation, but of real security, because I do not want to divert either the attention or the efforts of our friends from what I hold to be the solid groundwork on which the expectations I have now ventured to announce will be most surely, and most

permanently realized, which is to enlist on our side the humble offerings of the great bulk and body of our common people, small as mites individually, but of vast amount in the aggregate, because the mites of a million. It is mainly for the sake of pointing out the way in which deficiencies will be covered, and so as to uphold the average, that I have adverted at all to that higher liberality which will flow in upon the treasury of our Church from the more affluent in society. Still our chief security lies not with any special class, but with the public at large; primarily, no doubt, and above all, at the first with the religious public, who, down to the poorest among them, will unite their offerings with their prayers in behalf of those who suffer for conscience' sake. It is by their help that we shall be enabled to penetrate and pervade the great mass and interior of our commonwealth, and to perfect the regulation which brings us in contact with the wealth of all down to its humblest degrees, and with the good-will of all, never more precious than when it glows in the breast of an artisan or a peasant for the Church of his fathers, to add inconceivably both to the means and the moral strength of that Church, even should it cease to be an Establishment -made an outcast by our rulers and disregarded by the official aristocracy of our land.

"Thus much for the arithmetic of the question as grounded on a computation of the means or requisite monies for the maintenance of our Church, on the event, should that ever happen, of its being cast by the State into a condition of orphanhood or abandonment. That the means do exist, not I mean in the coffers of the wealthy, whence it could only be elicited in large sums from the few, but in that state of general dissemination whence it could be called forth by small weekly payments from the many; in other words, that such means do exist, not somewhere alone, but everywhere, could be made patent to the consciences of men anywhere, and by no mode more effectually than by a simple assertion of the sufficiency to that amount in the hearing of the population themselves, collected for the purpose of listening to an exposition of the Church's sufferings, and of the great expedient by which it was proposed still to uphold its ministrations and services throughout the parishes of our land. I feel thoroughly persuaded that, let the experiment be tried in the midst of an assemblage, made up of any contiguous thousand or two thousand people, called together from the households of any locality in Scotland-I feel most thoroughly persuaded that, in every nineteen cases out of twenty, the people at large would have a perfect feeling of their own competency for the part which they would be expected to take in it. What we stand most in doubt of is the very thing which they would feel the least doubt or difficulty about. The very part in the whole

demonstration about which we, in all likelihood, should feel the greatest mistrust, being the very part respecting which the greatest confidence and security would be felt by the people themselves. The truth is, the very question whether, on an average, a penny a week might be looked for from each household of any neighbourhood in which a general meeting of the inhabitants might be held would provoke a smile from the multitude that any one should stand in doubt or make a question of it at all, and this after making every allowance for particular instances of distress and destitution. We are aware that men in their hurried and wholesale contemplation of things are apt to be carried away by generalities, and under an overwhelming sense of an extreme and universal helplessness among the common people, think that nothing is to be had from them. The only way of dislodging and dissipating that impression is by going piecemeal to work. and making the actual trial in one parish or vicinity after another. For ourselves we are at no loss to understand how it is that the people of Ireland, whose distresses are rung perpetually in our ears, should support, not in comfort alone, but often in affluence, the great body of the Catholic priesthood; nor were we in the least surprised when, in conversation with one of my clerical friends in the Isle of Skye, and told by him of one of its parishes that the people there could do nothing, could give no assistance whatever, and must be altogether helped from without for keeping up the Gospel ministry amongst them, when I asked whether absolutely nothing could be looked for, though even at the rate of a penny a week from each household. he at once admitted, that if I came down to such a nothing, such a bagatelle as this, it could be easily afforded. Now, it is just by a putting together of such bagatelles that I arrive at my conclusions, and I therefore repeat, that as far as the means are concerned, we could obtain,—and it is the very least and lowest computation we should think of making, -we could obtain after the loss of all our endowments, the sum of £100,000 in the year for the support of a Christian ministry in Scotland, without sensible encroachment on the comfort of any, without so much as the feeling of a sacrifice.

"On these considerations we feel quite satisfied that there is no defect of means, and no barrier to the fulfilment of our hopes as we have now stated them in the poverty of the people. But there is another ground on which I do admit my anxieties as well as my hopes, and will frankly confess, along with the confidence I feel that there is no defect of means, my apprehension at the same time that there may be a defect of agency. Not that I look upon this defect as at all insuperable, for though I do feel anxious about it, it is not that anxiety which leads in the least to despair, it is only

the anxiety which would lead me to vigilance and exertion. I have no fear about the means in that all is clear and satisfactory as respects what may be called the arithmetic of the question. I have my fears as to the agency, and therefore I regard as an object of the utmost consideration what may be called the human nature of the question. The premises on which I calculate are to be found, but then the premises must be sought after; and while I repeat that I have no distrust as to the sufficiency, or even the willingness of those whose province it is to give the offerings, I do have misgivings if certain provisions are not made and certain precautions are not taken as to the doings of those whose province it is to gather the offerings. The whole gist and difficulty of the problem lies in this, to secure for every little district on which we enter an aggressive movement of half an hour a-week on the part of those who take the office of collectors; for if we gain this the problem will be resolved, and the scheme, instead of a fancy will become a living fulfilment, passing from the ideal into the real, and the produce which might appear well-nigh marvellous to those who have neither the genius to devise nor the patience to do, having just as little, in fact, with all their contempt for the visionary, and all their pretensions to the practical, just as little of true arithmetic as they have of sanguine imagination.

"We have no fear as to the forming of the agency at first; our only fears, or rather the only thing which will require being guarded against, is the falling away of that agency afterwards. What is done at first is done of impulse, nor can we imagine how a more powerful or a more general impulse will be given, and so as to extend far beyond the religious public of Scotland, than by a movement, if it shall be made, of hundreds of our most honoured clergymen, who, in obedience to the high behests of a great and a sacred principle, resolve to east themselves on the care of Providence, and on such an instrumentality as Providence might be pleased to raise for the maintenance of a Free Church and an incorrupt Gospel in these lands. We have no fear that there will be a very fresh and vigorous impulse at the first, but a thing of mere impulse is destined to subside, and care must be taken that what is a thing of impulse at the first shall be so sustained and so operated upon as to become a thing of habit afterwards. It may have been impulse at the first, which, in the days of John Knox, brought forward thousands of deacons at a call, whose province it was to attend on the collections at the church-doors; but it was habit afterwards which secured their continued attendance, and, let me add, which secured a regular and continued offering from the bulk of our common people. And by impulse still do we look for an instant resuscitation of this important order in

the Church, on the instant that the urgent necessities of the Church shall require it; and when impulse has once set into operation a weekly round among the families of each allotted district, habit will keep it agoing. The regular periodic meeting of the court of deacons, in which each will be required to give an account of his proceedings, and deposit his proceeds in the common stock, will at length make of it an operation as sure as mechanism. The omnipotence of habit will tell alike on both parties in this transaction, both on the collectors and contributors, both on the deacon himself and on the inhabitants of the district for which he is responsible. The process would be immensely facilitated, if, going back to older times than the days of John Knox, we availed ourselves of the subsidiary order of deaconesses, whereof we read in the primitive Church, and whether we look for a greater enthusiasm at the outset, or for patient untiring duteous attention and assiduity afterwards, for devotedness of purpose and principle, followed up by diligent and ever-doing performance, it will be found in greatest readiness and perfection among the members of a female agency, who, still as in the purely apostolic times of Paul, are ready to give themselves up like Phoebe of old as servants of the Church, or like Priscilla, to be our helpers in Christ Jesus, or like Mary, to bestow much labour on us, or like Tryphena and Tryphosa, who laboured in the Lord, or Persis, who laboured much in the Lord. The Wesleyans, who raise their £200,000 a year on a mere congregational system, should be greatly outdone by the members of a Church, who, with or without endowments from the State, will never, I trust, let down the parochial system, who will still continue to hold by parishes as well as congregations, who will not relinquish this their present vantage ground, and so identify our doings over the whole length and breadth of the country with the localities and families of Scotland.

"Let it not be imagined, however, that I undervalue the good of a strong though momentary impulse, nor do I think the season of its brief operation should be suffered to pass away without having to the utmost improved by it. I have no doubt that the great and high-minded sacrifices at the shrine of duty on the part of the Church's ministers will be followed up by a like great and high-minded enthusiasm on the part of the Church's friends, in that should a movement once be resolved on of cutting our connexion with the State because we can no longer maintain it but at the expense of our most sacred liberties, it is a movement which should be followed up without a moment's delay by simultaneous meetings in all the important towns and parishes of Scotland, and that then not periodical subscriptions, but the instant donations, should be called for, ready to be poured forth from the many thousand open hearts and open hands which

will then be enlisted on the side of our Church's independence. Whatever the produce of this great and general contribution might be on that day, even to half a million, I would not touch one farthing of it either for the maintenance of clergymen or for any current and periodical expenses whatever, which should be wholly defrayed by current and periodical offerings. There is a grand expediency involved in this, a transgression of which might prove of infinite damage to the infant economy of our affairs. There will be ample room for the beneficial allotment of this large and wholesale munificence both in the erection of fabrics and the compensation of those sufferers whom the so-called law of the land shall have amerced in fines or damages. The danger of alienating any part of this sum received on the first and great excitement to the maintenance of the ejected ministers is, that it perils the less showy, but in the end far more productive operation of those weekly gatherings which come in little by little to our treasury, and on which alone the salaries ought to be devolved, and what is of capital importance, to be devolved wholly. It were premature to explain this more fully or particularly at present. Let me only say, that to seize on the money raised by the impulse of a great and rare occasion for those expenses which are ever recurring at given periods, instead of laying them, and laying them altogether, on those ever-recurring subscriptions which, though individually small, will be to us yet by their summation a large collective amount of weekly incomings for the quarterly or yearly outgoings of the society. Such a proceeding, I say, were as grievously impolitic as to place our calculations for the agriculture of the country on the brawling winter torrents which perform their brief and noisy course in channels that soon run out, and are only known to have existed by the dry and deserted beds they have left behind them, instead of placing their calculations and their hopes on those tiny but innumerable drops which fall in universal and fertilizing showers on the thirsty ground that is beneath them.

"I have now said all I can afford on the organization of the country necessary to the raising of money for the requisite supplies, and I will state in as few words as possible what I have to suggest regarding the distribution and disposal of it.

"First, then, the money raised in any given locality ought not to be reserved for the expenses of that locality. All the means raised throughout all the localities should be remitted to a large central fund, whence a distribution of it should be made of the requisite sums or salaries for the ministers of all our parishes. The benefits of such an arrangement are manifold and inestimable. It becomes an operation of infinitely greater delicacy and

good taste when the offerings called for in any given neighbourhood are not for the direct and personal behoof of their own clergyman. He could do nothing to extend or stimulate such a process; but his deacons and even himself might, without the violation of decorum, bestow upon it their full countenance and activity when seen in its true character as part of a general scheme for the high patriotic object of supporting a ministry of the Gospel throughout the whole of Scotland.

" Secondly, and what gives a still more disinterested character to the scheme, is the noble resolution announced at the memorable meeting held in the West Kirk in the month of August 1841, wherein the town ministers—the ministers of the most opulent parishes, and whence the largest contributions will be made to the general fund-agree to share alike with the ministers of the poorest parishes in Scotland. This law of equal division among the clergy will give rise to the operation of pure and high principle both in the rich and the poorer parishes. The liberality of the former will be stimulated not by the near and narrow consideration of a support for their own minister, but by the great and soul-expanding consideration that they are helping out a provision for the Gospel in the most destitute localities of the land; and the efforts and sacrifices of the latter will be stimulated by the honourable ambition of raising their contributions as near to the dividend as possible-nay, in this noble work of provoking each other to love and to good works, of raising it to a point which might enable them to say, 'We give as much as we receive;' or, adopting the language of Paul, 'Our own hands have ministered in full to our necessities.' But whether this be attainable or not, it is well that the ministers of our most remote and destitute localities should know that they have not the capability of their own parishes alone, but the capability of the whole religious public in Scotland, to count upon-yea, more, and it were one of the most precious fruits of this arrangement, that the very oldest of our ministers—those venerable fathers who have borne the burden and heat of the day, perhaps unable to labour, yet unwilling and ashamed to remain in fellowship with a spurious and degraded Church that shall have then bowed the knee to an Erastian domination, it were indeed a heartfelt satisfaction to assure all such that they will not be forsaken by their brethren at large, but that, admitted to a just place of honour in the then free and unfettered Church of Scotland, they to the day of their death will be made to participate equally and alike with them in the joint offerings of her children.

[&]quot;But, thirdly, you will observe that on this system of the equal division,

right and beautiful as it is, yet did our financial operations stop here and proceed no farther, then the clergy in different parts of our Establishment, with nominally the same means, would yet fare very unequally. On the supposition that the yearly produce of a hundred thousand pounds were realized-and we repeat that most certainly this is the lowest minimum we should ever think of-and on the further supposition that five hundred of our body, on her submission to an Erastian rule over the Church, were severed from its endowments, this, yielding as it would £200 a year to each, might almost make out a full compensation for the loss in many of our least and worst provided parishes; while in our large towns, and even in the best and richest districts of the country, it would work a sudden and severe reduction in the income of the minister. It is for this reason that our financial system ought not to stop at the lowest point to which I have yet carried my explanation of it. There is one step more, and as far as the support of our existent clergy is concerned, the whole would be completed. After that the organization has been set up in each parish for behoof of the general fund-or, in other words, after that au association has been formed and put into regular working order for the raising and the remitting of its quarterly or yearly proceeds to what may be called the great national treasury of the Church, there can be no objection-nay, it were most desirable that a distinct supplementary effort should be made in each parish for the express purpose of eking out and extending the allowance of its own minister. A weekly collection at the church door opens up a ready channel for carrying this into effect; but in whatever way the thing is done, and let each congregation take its own way of it, here is a method by which the inequality of the equal dividend might most effectually be repaired. The same dividend would come to each all over the land; and from that part of his stipend which he received at the hands of external Voluntaryism-a principle this, which, so far from conflicting, coincides and is in perfect harmony with the principle of a National Establishment—the supplementary contributions again would be very unequal which each would receive at the hands of internal Voluntaryism—a principle not identical with the former, yet not superseded either by it or even by the principle of a National Establishment-not in England, where both fees and gratuities are superadded to the regularly ordained provisionand not in the Old Testament Church, where, in addition to the tithes and levies, scope was allowed for the free-will offerings of the people. These offerings will vary exceedingly in the various parts of our land; but it is satisfactory to think that they will be largest in those towns where they

will be most needed, and smallest in those places where the dividend comes the nearest to the stipend that has been relinquished—a beautiful adaptation, which might perhaps cause the income of certain ministers to be better; and it is to be hoped, that of all the others not worse than before.

"But, fourthly, and lastly, and most importantly of all, Though we can never consent to regard the whole sum of £100,000, which we have made the basis or base line of our calculation—though we can never consent to regard it in any other light than as a minimum capable of large and indefinite augmentation, yet nevertheless there ought for each dividend or share which each minister receives of the fund to be an understood maximum, a fixed quantity, whether it be £200 a year or something else, not to be increased with the increase of the contributions—that is, not to be made larger out of the central treasury, however much larger it might be made by the supplementary and separate efforts of each congregation. In other words, although the income of the national treasury should become larger-and we think it capable of being made very much larger than the minimum which we have supposed and set out with—we would not on this account have the general allowance for each minister from the central fund, after it has reached the given amount, we would not go beyond that amount by having it made larger. It is true that there would then be a greater revenue, and so there would, and ought to be, a greater expenditure; but this additional expenditure should not go to increase the stipends of ministers—it should go to the increase of the number of them. This will open a boundless field for the liberality of our Christian brethren -a bright and beautiful ulterior to which every eye should be directed, that each may have in full view the great and glorious achievement of a Church commensurate with the land in which we dwell, and every heart be elevated by the magnificent aim to cover with the requisite number of churches, and with God's blessing on the means, Christianity to educate, and in return for our performances and prayers to Christianize the whole of Scotland.

"There is a very great and high expediency in this arrangement; and it is made up of the three following parts:—First, the provision of our existing ministers would not be neglected, because it would form the prior and preferable object to be met and provided for in the first instance, and which would be made all the more secure that it was bound up with so noble a fulfilment as that in which it was made to issue, and have its final outgoing.

" Secondly, it would place our whole enterprise before the eye of the

public in its true character. What proves the character of any undertaking is its terminus ad quem. Now the terminus ad quem of ours is not a provision for the ejected ministers; it is, in the first instance, the benefit of their Christian services, and then the multiplication of these services over the whole face of our territory. I could plead and hold up my face unabashed for such a design in any company, and before any assemblage. It would and will be stigmatized by our enemies as a beggarly expedition for a beggarly purpose. It will be no such thing. It will be a high career of religious philanthropy; an enlarged and liberated scheme of Church extension, carried forward by pure, and great, and heart-stirring appeals, in behalf of a great object of Christian patriotism.

"Thirdly, such an aim and a direction will not only have the effect of keeping our object altogether pure. It will have the undoubted effect of making the contributions high. We shall soon overshoot our proposed minimum, and look back upon it as the paltry beginning of our great national undertaking. The liberalities of the Christian public will be kept at a loftier pitch, when you thus supply them with a loftier aim and a larger arena. Thousands will give tenfold more than the weekly pence which we set out with at the commencement of our demonstration; and yet, after all they have given, and experienced how little they have foregone of any substantial comfort, or of so much as one enjoyment that is worth the caring for, will they see reason for the exclamation of Hannah More, 'O how cheap is charity; O how expensive is vanity.'

"Behold, then, our capabilities for a great and high work of Christian philanthropy; and Scotland, our own beloved Scotland, will be the first to realize it-I mean first in the order of importance, and not in the order of time, for I have no idea that, let the transition come when it may, any of our present enterprises will need for a moment to be suspended. When we carry the Church, we carry all the Church's schemes along with us. If faithfully doing God's work, God will cause our cup to overflow, and pour down such a blessing as that there shall be no room to receive it. But confining ourselves to the operations of a great home mission into which we should then be resolved, not only might we keep possession of all the ground at present occupied by our right-minded ministers, but proceed to take possession, first, of all that heathen territory which we have not yet been able to overtake; and, second, of all the Erastian territory which for the present we might be forced to leave behind us. It were indeed a great and a glorious Church extension, with the indispensable satellite of a commensurate School extension keeping onwardly by its side, or following

closely in its train. Be assured there would be no drawing in of our Christian philanthropy. There would be an immeasurable expansion or going out of it; and that on hundreds of places not yet entered or visited by any of its operations—on the lanes and plebeian streets of our large towns, on the desolate untrodden outfield of our more unwieldy parishes. There a numerous band of youthful, zealous, and devoted agents in the form both of students and preachers, ready even now to go forth as pioneers of this moral wilderness, and in whose hands there might be an instant and visible display of the Christian good which the Church, shaken loose from its present entanglements and fetters, is able to accomplish. It would give rise to a strong reciprocal emulation between the givers and the doers of this noble enterprise, who would act and react most beneficially and powerfully into each other's hands. It were like the law of action and reaction in the mental world, when thus a prosperous interchange takes place between the services and the liberalities of the Church's friends; the services awakening and calling forth the liberalities—the liberalities sustaining and extending the services.

"It is not to speed, and far less to overbear your determinations that we have endeavoured to set this argument before you, but to remove, if possible, disturbing forces in the way either of clearly perceiving, or of righteously judging where it is that the path of duty and of rectitude lies. It is to demonstrate, in as far as it can be made intelligible to the eye of sense, what ought never to be lost sight of by the eye of faith-that the Church, even though driven from her present holdings, might yet be sustained on as firm and high a vantage ground of Christian usefulness as before, when, broken loose from her present entanglements, she goes forth at the call and the openings of Providence, cheered by the smiles of an approving Heaven, and rejoicing in the inspiration of liberty. You will now, perhaps, be better able to compare the two sides of your prospect, and say whether it were better to prolong this weary and harassing struggle with the enemies without our Establishment, and the rebels within, or, by shaking yourself free, make clean escape from the tracasseries which compass and impede you in every direction. But let me not forget that this is not immediately, though it may afterwards come to be eventually the question. The thing for present decision, is not whether now the Church shall cut connexion with the State, but what now shall be our language to them who have the power and authority of the State in their hands; and I confidently ask, on the premises which I have tried, however imperfectly, to lay down, whether this ought to be a language of irresolution and timidity—of men in perplexity because their hearts are failing them for fear, or ready in the least to recede or to compromise, because of the approaching ruin that is now, in the scared imaginations perhaps of a few, opening to engulf and swallow up the majority of ministers in Scotland? My prayer is for an unfaltering progression in a steady, rectilineal course, and that you may never be tempted to subordinate the kingdom which is not of this world to the government of this world's powers."

APPENDIX E.-P. 366.

"In the summer of 1841, I drew up the following scheme for the Economics of our Church, should it be severed from its endowments, and submitted it to the view and judgment of several of my friends:—

"Scheme for the Economics of a Free Church.

- "1st, That Associations should be formed in all parts of the country for receiving both donations and termly payments in support of the Church.
- "2d, That the produce of these Associations should be remitted to Edinburgh, and there formed into a General Fund, under the management of a Central or Metropolitan Board.
- "3d, That this Fund should furthermore be upheld by direct offerings, either single or periodical, on the part of those who may not be in the way of remitting their contributions through the medium of a local Association.
- "4th, That the General Fund shall be separated into two parts—a Building and a Sustentation Fund—the former made up of the donations, and the latter of the termly payments, unless otherwise specified by the contributors, who of course are at perfect liberty to assign either of these objects.
- "6th, That whatever surplus remained after the allocation of their yearly dividend to the ministers of the Church, should be devoted to the objects of Church and School Extension.
- "7th, That it shall be competent for each congregation to increase the allowance received by the ministers from the General Fund, by separate and supplementary efforts of their own.
 - "8th, That the ancient order of Deacons shall be revived in each con-

gregation, whose special office, as formerly, shall be to take such charge as might be committed to them of those funds which are raised, whether for the relief of the poor or the sustentation of the ministry.

"This scheme, of course, but presents the features of a very general outline, subject to such modifications as might be approved of—various of which modifications will fall to be discussed in the following pages. There is in it, however, what we hold to be the essence of every such scheme as is fitted, not only to support the Church up to its present magnitude, but so to extend it as that it shall become commensurate to the full supply of religious instruction for the whole of Scotland.

"At the great public meeting held at St. Cuthbert's Church in August 1841, there was a general exposition given by Dr. Candlish of a scheme bearing a close resemblance in all its essential elements to the one which I have now presented to the reader. Whether it was that he had seen this scheme, and had approved of it, or that it was suggested originally and independently to his own mind, it is most satisfactory to find that it met the support of one whose opinion is so fitted to influence the counsels of the Church.

"I did anticipate, therefore, that it would have obtained a greater amount of credit and coalescence, when I unfolded it at some length at the meeting of the Convocation in the November of 1842. I was disappointed in this expectation; and could well perceive that what to my own view was manifest as day, having all the lights both of arithmetic and experience to rest upon it, was held by many, if not by most of those whom I addressed, as but the dream of a fond and ardent imagination. I felt that the success of our Church Extension (where the result was at least tenfold of what the Church at large had ever deemed to be possible) might have protected me from the mortification of so great an incredulity. But such was not my good fortune; and certain it is, that my attempted demonstrations fell at the time still-born on the ears, if not of unwilling, at least of unimpressed and unconvinced auditors."

The reader interested in the condition and prospects of the Sustentation Fund, is requested after perusing the chapter in the Memoir, to read the following extracts from Dr. Chalmers's "Earnest Appeal:"—

ON SCALES OF DISTRIBUTION.

"These can be variously constructed. The rate of one and a half more, would give rise to a scale, No. 1, of the three here subjoined. The other two have been actually proposed.

(1.)		(2.	.)	(3.)				
Contribution.	Stipend.	Contribution.	ution. Stipend. Contribution		Stipend.			
£50	£75	£60	£120	£50	£120			
60	90	70	130	60	120			
70	105	80	140	70	136			
80	120	90	150	80	144			
90	135	110	165	90	152			
100	150	130	180	100	158			
,		155	190	110	164			
The incre	ease of the	180	200	120	170			
d column	stops here.	200	205	130	176			
	ses upward	220	210	140	180			
	aid-receiv-			150	184			
ng to the co	ntributions	Both column	is continue	160	188			
f the aid-giv	ing congre-	to inci	ease.	180	193			
gations.				200	198			
				220	208			
				Both columns incres				

"It will be observed that by the second and third scales, no congregation becomes an aid-giver till its contribution has risen above £200. All at or below £200 are aid-receivers. Let it be observed that at present we have only sixty-seven congregations who give up to or above £200; and that if there be no increase in the number of them, over and above providing the stipends of their own ministers, they would have to make up the deficiency of towards six hundred aid-receiving congregations.

"We hold that the main purpose of scales would be served by the simple regulation, that no congregation should be connected with our General Fund till it remitted £50 a year-after which, let us imagine a scale terminating where No. 1. does; but that, instead of its rate of one and a half, each should receive £50 more than its contribution till the stipend came to £150, and there should be no farther increase upon it. This would give rise to the following scale: -For £50, get back £100; for £60, £110; for £70, £120; for £80, £130; for £90, £145; for £100, £150. After the selfish principle had carried up so many congregations to the contribution of £100, it is to be hoped that so many more would not stop there; but that the generous principle would then begin to operate, and we should have contributions of £110, £120, and £150—lessening their burden upon us from £50 to £40, £30, &c., till they became self-supporting at £150. Then, and at this point would there commence our aid-giving congregations; and it will give some idea of the capabilities of our Church, if to avoid complexity we shall keep out of view the intermediate congregations; and, suppose that all the aid-receiving congregations cost £50 each to the General Fund. Let us then conceive our Church to consist of 750 congregations, and that one-third of them only, or 250, are aid-giving, while twothirds, or 500, are aid-receiving. This would imply a deficiency on the part of the latter to the extent of £25,000, to be made up by the former, whose contributions would therefore require to average £250 each—that is, £150 reserved each for its own minister, and £100 over aud above for the deficient congregations. The sum total from the 250 aid-giving congregations would amount to £62,500. The sum total from the aid-receiving congregations, on the supposition that they averaged £100 each, would be £50,000. Or, in other words, from a net revenue of £112,500, we could afford to give £150 a year to each of 750 ministers. This might be realized next year, could we only get rid of the enormous deficiencies of those who now give us less than £50 a year. Why, to get up these alone to this £150, we should require to expend more than the whole £25,000 of surplus put into our hands by the aid-giving congregations, - whereas, with this surplus, and on the simple adoption of the regulation that none should be admitted into connexion with us till they give £50,—we could, with the same £25,000 of surplus, insure a dividend of £150 a year throughout nearly all, or with a very few straggling exceptions, a whole Church of 750 ministers.

"And let it not be said that by ridding ourselves of this incubus, we doom to extinction so many of our poorer congregations. The truth is, that almost, if not altogether, they, every one of them, under the stimulus of getting as they gave, would remit the £50. Or if some few did not, there is not one of them, if found by the Home Mission Committee to be meritorious cases, which would not receive what would make up £100 from their funds—a sum as great as they ever can receive under the system of an equal dividend—a system, therefore, which, though continued for their sakes, would yield no practical good to them, and at the heavy expense of keeping down the general dividend to its present level; or, if the extension of our Church is to be prosecuted, of sinking it still lower.

"When I think of the perfect ease wherewith both dividends might be increased, and the Church rapidly extended, I am all the more conscious that these various schemes of distribution should be discussed with the most perfect temper and forbearance; and, also, that enough of time should be taken for the maturing of them. It were grievous, indeed, if, when within demonstrable reach of so blessed a consummation, we should fall short of it by falling out among ourselves. Let us not give our enemies this triumph.

"There is nothing which has more shaken our confidence in the disposi-

tion of the Free Church to become an extending Church, or at least in its perception of the right measures for carrying this into effect, than a late resolution on the part of a numerous meeting of its ministers and elders. that the equal dividend should be kept up in those charges whose ministers came out at the Disruption, even after the outgone minister had been removed by death or by translation, and been succeeded by one who had made no sacrifice. If there be any soundness in the principle that congregations should be encouraged to make an effort for themselves by getting in proportion as they give—then there is so little sense or reason in exempting from the operation of this rule, and that for ever, all those geographical portions of the Free Church territory whose ministers happened to come out at the Disruption, that the resolution they should be so exempted looks very like a blow at the rule or principle itself. And then, should the blow take effect, it will involve the restoration of a universal equal dividend, both present and prospective. Our reason for deprecating such a measure as ruinous, is not that it will hang with depressing effect in all time coming upon the dividend, though this must be one of its sure results, but that it will prove a death-blow to our Church's extension, and thus incapacitate her for taking a share in the honourable work of making aught like a large or successful inroad on that outfield population who occupy the wide and fearfully increasing domain of the country's practical heathenism. It will land us in a most anomalous system, at variance with all the attempts which have been made of late years for adding to the number of ministers, or churches, or schools in the land. The regium donum proceeds on the rule of getting as they give-the sum awarded to the minister from the Treasury bearing a proportion to the sum raised for him by the congregation. The present allowance from the State for the erection of schools is regulated by the amount of private subscriptions for the same object. The Church Extension of the old General Assembly, under which 200 churches sprang into being in four or five years, advanced with such rapid strides, not by granting to all equally from the Central Fund, at which rate we should not have had a tenth part of these additional churches—but by a certain regulated centage, which left the remainder, or rather main bulk of the expense, to be provided for by local efforts. Let not our Church be abandoned to the recklessness of men who, under the power of one engrossing idea, or misled by some specious plausibility that carries in it the semblance, and but the semblance, of the reasonable and the right, would shut out the light of all these experiences, and rush headlong into a policy that would soon bring us to a dead stand. The equal dividend, carried out and persisted in, will not only operate, which it has already done, to a fearful extent, as a scdative on the efforts of the aid-receiving, but as a sedative too, and that right soon, on the liberalities of the aid-giving congregations. The spectacle of nearly one-half of our Churches receiving each £120 from the Central Treasury, and contributing less than £50, and this palpably due, not to the necessities of the case, but to the downright apathy or indolence of Deacons and Collectors—such a spectacle cannot long be perpetuated, but will soon fall to pieces under the weight and the exhaustion of its own natural decay.

"We have heard it objected to the method of getting as they give, that instances may occur of the remittance from the Association being made, not of a sum raised, but of a sum borrowed, and this to cause the larger proportional return from the Central Fund in Edinburgh. And it is argued, from the possible or even the actual occurrence of such a flagrant iniquity, in one or two instances, that the system, though otherwise and in general of most wholesome operation, should be therefore done away. It were well if these reasoners would only bethink themselves of wherein it is that the necessity or the wisdom of legislation lies-not most certainly in the sacrifice of a universal good, and this for the prevention of such a rare and disgraceful enormity, that the very exposure of it would prove its own severest punishment, and therefore its own most effectual check and corrective; but for the prevention of a sore and universal evil, and this through the operation of a natural lethargy, which stirs up no vivid indignation whatever, because there are so many who share in it, and keep it in countenance. When a congregation, who might easily do three times more, give less than £50 to the General Fund, and yet without remorse would take out £120 for the maintenance of their minister, there is readiness enough, we admit, to call out shameful; but the shame of it is not really felt, or at least not so felt as to be of practical operation, as the very existence of 318 such congregations in the Free Church most abundantly testifies. The law of getting as they give supplies the very stimulus that is obviously awanting; and which, if not supplied, will perpetuate such a drag upon the Church as shall both restrain, or rather wholly arrest its progress, and keep down the general circumstances of the whole body.

"We would not have dwelt so long on the subject of this note, but for our apprehension of its being the very subject on which the Free Church is likeliest to go wrong. And should the apprehension be verified, then must we abandon our fondly cherished hope of its ever attaining to the magnitude of a national institute, or of its ever reaching farther than by a very little way among our yet unprovided families. The loss of importance to it is comparatively a bagatelle; but it is no bagatelle that we should adopt

VOL, IV. 3 B

a system which limits and disables us as a Home Mission, and so confines that gospel within a narrower territory, the blessed calls and overtures of which might, under another economy, be brought to every poor man's hovel, to every cottage door.

* * *

"Before quitting the subject of this article, let me state as minutely as I can the respective influences for good and for evil,—first, of the system under which each locality receives as it gives; and secondly, of the system under which there is placed full before the view of each locality from the outset, the share that will fall to it, when once admitted among the ordained charges, of a prospective universal equal dividend.

"1st, Let the locality in question be some plebeian district of a large town, or some recent manufacturing village, chiefly made up of utter aliens from the gospel-there, with the exception of school-fees, little or nothing can be expected, at the outset, from the co-operation of their own payments. The necessary advances will have to be made, in the first instance, by a voluntaryism ab extra, though it need not be long ere that the voluntaryism ab intra is brought into action. This, indeed, may begin so soon as a Sabbath service is instituted, with the customary offerings at the door; but the great and decisive epoch of its operations is, when, after a sufficient nucleus has been obtained for the future regular congregation, a District Association is set up for weekly contributions, and these placed in the custody and for the purposes specified in the body of our pamphlet. The Association has only to be well worked, and it will do wonders. The wholesome habit of lending a helping hand themselves to the good work will take root among the families. Themselves will be astonished to find how much they can do for the payment first, it may be, of their own catechist, then of their own probationer, nay, towards the erection of their own church, -last of all, for the support of their own minister; and they ought to be provided with one so soon as they can raise two-thirds of £100 a year. But they need not stop there. So great is our faith in the capabilities and willingness of our people under such a process as we are now describing, that it were no marvel to us though they should raise the whole £100 themselves, so as to obtain for their minister, at our present rate of one and a half more, £150 a year, and yield him a good supplement to the bargain. With what delight would our aid-giving Associations push forward their liberalities, if sure that, for every £50 additional to their present annual contribution, they gained a distinct parochial economy among our former out-field population. Church extension would proceed with rapid strides from one territory to another, under such a procedure as this, till all the spiritual destitution of our land were at length overtaken.

"2d, But try the other way of it, and as yet we have been doing little better with our out-field operations. Let the people among whom we work be confirmed in their sordidness and lethargy by the imagination that all is to be done for them, and that little or nothing is expected from themselves. Let the rudimental education, under which they are made to pass, be one of selfishness, instead of considerate regard for the necessities of that has to provide for other places and other people beside their own. Above all, let the prospect of an equal dividend be held out to vitiate both the parties concerned-lulling the people into apathy, and, perhaps, tainting, by a most unworthy motive, the heart of him whose predominant impulse to his work, as an ecclesiastical labourer, should be an affection for human souls. Last of all, let it be found, when the measure of its full admission as a regular charge comes to be agitated, that it cannot be done but at the expense of nearly its whole up-keeping to the General Fund of the Church; and then we may well imagine, with the indisposition of aidgivers to do all if aid-receivers are to do nothing, with what slowness Church extension will proceed, or rather, with what certainty it will soon be brought to a dead stand. A process so impure in its earlier stage, and landing in such a burdensome result, neither will nor should go prosperously forward. And yet, such is the mistiness and confusion of ideas upon this subject, that people will ask, How can extension go on without the encouragement of an equal dividend? With the encouragement of the one and a half more we can make way; but with the equal dividend we shall never make way, at least throughout the dense and, as yet, unexplored masses of our increasing towns and newly-sprung-up villages. A wedge is employed for penetration; but it makes all the difference in the world, though not greater than the difference between the two methods which we are now comparing, whether we shall present the fine or the blunt edge of it."

APPENDIX F .- P. 396.

"The person who hands in this slip of paper wants to explain by it the purpose of his future calls on this family, and on a few of their next-door neighbours.

"His main reason, and what he chiefly seeks after, is the good of their never-dying souls. He deeply feels the obligation which lies upon those who have been most favoured by the light and opportunities of the Gospel to do all that in them lies, that these precious blessings may be extended to the less fortunate and less favoured of their brethren. It is his clear and

strong conviction that the various classes of people in this city—the rich and the poor—the educated and the uneducated—they who live at ease, and they who live in the midst of difficulties, or on the earnings of hard and honest labour—have hitherto kept at far too great a distance from each other, and that there ought to be a vast deal more of intercourse and of mutual kindness betwixt them. It is on this account that he wants to become the acquaintance, and, if they will allow him, to be a frequent visitor of the households in that little district, on which he means to bestow such attentions and such services as he is able to afford; and should there be any opening to real usefulness in the midst of them, so that he may be the instrument of good, and more especially of moral and spiritual good to any of the inmates, he will bless God and rejoice.

"What he and those who go along with him in this undertaking are most intent upon, is the sound, and thorough, and withal Christian education of your families. This of all other objects is that which their hearts are most set upon. Next to the salvation of their own souls, they would like parents to have the comfort, the great and unspeakable comfort, of thriving, and well brought up, and well conditioned children; and so convinced are they of the vast importance that you should be regularly served with good lessons on the Sabbath, and they, your sons and daughters, should be regularly served with good daily lessons through the week, that they have resolved to keep by this neighbourhood, and never to let go their hold of it, till they see a church raised in the midst of it for the special behoof of its families—and schools, where a right and requisite learning is to be had for the whole, if they choose it, of the young and rising generation.

"We are aware that some of you are already served both with Sabbath and week-day instructions. We have no wish to intrude on these, or to offer them any disturbance. We do not want to draw such away, either from the ministers who declare to them the words of eternal life, or from the teachers who supply them with a sound and good scholarship. Our main object is the Christian and educational good, not of those who do not require the accommodation that we offer, but of the general population, and, we should say, of the working classes at large in this part of Edinburgh. Our object is in no shape an exclusive or a sectarian one. But we have great confidence in the power of Christian truth when brought closely and effectually home to the consciences of men; and our main design is therewith to elevate the moral, and the intellectual, and above all, the spiritual condition of the very humblest in the scale of society. In the prosecution of this work, we confidently look for encouragement and good-will even from those in this locality who do not stand in need of any services at our hand, yet

£112 12 11

Carry forward,

who, for the sake of their own neighbourhood and their own neighbours, will bid us God speed, nay, perhaps, will help us forward on this errand of charity. We shall, therefore, cherish the hope of co-operation and aid from the residenters of this place in the walk on which we now enter—an aid the nature and design of which we shall afterwards have occasion to explain to them. Meanwhile, it is our prayer that God may shower down His grace on your households and families. May He prosper the ministrations of the Gospel to your eternal wellbeing. May the promises of the life that now is, as well as of the life that is to come, be abundantly realized upon you. 'The Lord bless you and keep you: the Lord make His face to shine upon you and be gracious unto you: the Lord lift His countenance upon you and give you peace.'"

APPENDIX G.-P. 414.

Income of Dr. Chalmers's Territorial Church, West Port, for the Year ending March 1852.

		0,0		10						
1.	On hand, .							£23	2	81
2.	Minister's Sustentatio	n,						107	4	7
3.	Schoolmaster's do							6	7	1
4.	Ordinary Church-door	Collec	tions,					85	15	$7\frac{1}{5}$
5.	Seat Offerings,							41	4	6
6.	Extraordinary Collecti	ions—C	hurch S	chemes	,			24	11	10
7.	Do. do.	1	Library,	Local M	lanse F	und, Ro	val			
	Infirmary, Irish Miss									
	sionary Association,			. ′				6	16	81
	,									2
			ary Inc			ar,		£295	3	$0\frac{1}{2}$
8.	Subscriptions for Erec	eting a	Front G	allery,				101	17	0
				Total In	come.			£397	0	01
				_ 0 000	,		_			0.0
	Expendit	ure for	the Yea	ır endi	ng Ma	rch 185	2.			
1.	By Balance paid over	to Gen	eral Tre	asurer,				£23	2	81
	Minister's Stipend,			. '				25	0	0
3.	Psalmody, .							10	0	0
4.	Officer, and Cleaning,							10	11	4
5.	Gas, Coals, &c.,							12	16	4
6.	Feu, Water-duty, and	Fire In	surance	,				8	11	8
	Printing and Advertis							7	7	9
	Church and School Re							6	10	11
	Library and Incidenta							5	19	81
	Presbytery, Synod, an	-	,	penses.					12	6
	0 0, 0									

 11. Monthly Statements, 12. Royal Infirmary, 13. Teachers, Treat to Scholars, Exam Loss on Books during last four Foulis, 		tio	,	ense	os, «		2	1112 9	1	14 5	0
14 Sustantation Ford and an annual									$\frac{39}{107}$	_	$\frac{8_{0}^{1}}{7}$
14. Sustentation Fund, as per vouch 15. Schoolmaster's Fund.	ers,	•		•		•		•	107	_	1
10 0 1		•		•		•		•	24	•	10
16. Schemes,		•		•		•		•		16	8 <u>1</u>
18. On hand for Gallery,		•		•		•		•	101		0.5
- or our mana to, examining,		•		•		•		•			
									£402	15	10
]	Dedu	ct In	con	ıe,			397	0	0^{5}
Balance against Treasurer,								_	£5	15	91
Income for I	rwo	pr	ecedi	ng :	Yea	rs.					
2	Marc	h 18	51.	Marc	eh 18	352.	In	ereac	e. :	Decre	ease.
1. Minister's Sustentation Fund, £1	.07	16	0 å	e107	4	7 8	£0	0	0 £	0 1	1 5
2. Schoolmaster's do.	5	13	9	6	7	1	0	13	4	0 (0 - 0
3. Ordin. Church-door Collections,	80	13	$3\frac{1}{2}$	85	15	$7\frac{1}{2}$	5	2	_	0 (0 0
4. Seat Offerings,	40	4	3	41	4	6	1	0	3	0 (0 0
5. Extra Collections, Schemes, .	19	10	10	24	11	10	5	1	0	0 (0 ()
						£		16 11	11 5		
Increase on fi	ve a	rodi	e ite	ms,	_	£	11	5	6		
Total Income for 1851, . , , 1852, .									£279 295	7 3	$1\frac{1}{2} \\ 0\frac{1}{2}$
	tal increase on last year,										

APPENDIX H.-P. 444.

To which fall to be added Subscriptions for Gallery.

" Allars, Jedburgh, January 1850.

"My DEAR SIR,—I have not forgotten my promise made to you so long ago, to recall my impressions of the visit of Dr. Chalmers to this place in the summer of 1846. But several attempts to fulfil it have convinced me how difficult, or rather impossible it is, at this distance of time to do any thing more than put you in possession of some prominent particulars characteristic of his state of mind at that period.

"It would be quite needless, nay presumptuous, in me to say any thing of his intellectual being, as it displayed itself among us, or of the general features of his character. Even were I capable of entering on such a department, it would be unnecessary, as before you reach that portion of his history, the grand characteristics of both his mind and heart must have been fully developed. I may only say in general, before passing to a point, which the circumstances in which he was placed while with us were peculiarly adapted to exhibit, that being the first time on which I had the opportunity of close and intimate intercourse with him. What struck me most in his deportment, was the patriarchal simplicity and parental benignity of character which every thing he did and said so beautifully displayed. You are aware he was the guest of the daughter of one of his oldest and most venerated friends, before whose portrait, I may mention in passing, he detained me one day when the rest of the party had gone into the drawing-room, and in language and with symptoms of emotions I can never forget, spoke of the 'inexpressible veneration' he entertained for the memory of that woman. Surrounded by the family of such a personsurrounded also by several of his old students, now ministers in the neighbourhood whom I asked to meet him, the features of his character which I have just mentioned came out in high relief. The genial and kindly interest he took in every one-the delicate attentions he paid to all, even to the youngest child around him—the happy-heartedness he so obviously felt in the prosperity of the young ministers, as he drew them out to state the circumstances in which they were placed, and the modesty and kindliness with which he dropped a word of advice or of encouragement to them, formed altogether one of the loveliest moral pictures I ever beheld.

"But to pass from the general features of character, what to me was especially worthy of note was the *spiritual light* in which he presented himself. At one of our private preliminary meetings, before the famous Assembly 1846, at which he presided, I had heard him lament, that somehow or other, the circumstances in which he had been placed had always kept him in 'the outer Court of the Temple,' and that the inner and more spiritual sphere, he had rather looked forward to, as a land of Beulah in the distance, than actually attained to and enjoyed. Whether this was the confession of mere humility alone, I have no means of knowing. But I will say, that of all the individuals, whether ministers or private Christians, who have been here since there was a little quickening by the Spirit of God among this people, Dr. Chalmers shewed by far the deepest interest in the spiritual history and state of the people. It formed the staple of our discourse during the two happy days we spent together. He asked me to recount to

him all the prominent cases of conversion which had come under my notice, and never seemed weary in listening to such details as I could give him. Often and often would he break in on the little narratives with expressions of the highest delight, saying it had been his life-long wish to breathe in a spiritual atmosphere, and that it was a kind of heaven upon earth to do so. Even before we left the pulpit on the Sabbath when he preached for me, he remarked what a delight he had felt in preaching to and worshipping with a people who had so much of the simple solemn spirit of Christianity in their aspect; it was so different from the pressure and bustle and stare he had been so much accustomed to, and which was often so alien from true worship. I don't know how many persons he pointed out with whose countenances he had been arrested, and with whose history he begged to be made acquainted. With one of these persons he seemed to be especially taken, whose eye had never been removed from him for a single moment, and who remained rivetted to her seat till every other member of the congregation had left the place. When informed that she was a kind of poor Joseph, whose mind was weak upon all points but that of religion, his interest in her was still more deepened. And after I told him how, when the news of the Disruption reached the place, she had gone into a neighbour's house, and with a face beaming with delight, had said, ' Have you heard the good news? man is to have no more rule in Christ's house! we are to have no other master now but Himself!' he dwelt on it at the time, and afterwards in our subsequent intercourse often reverted to it as one of the happiest sayings elicited by our controversy, adding, it was one of the many proofs that, while the prominent points of our controversy could by no act be made to penetrate the higher intellects in the land, they seemed to be instinctively and intuitively seized by those, who, though simple, were taught by the Spirit of God.

"It was by his own request that, after we had seen the few antiquarian curiosities in the place, we spent the rest of the day following in visiting as many of the people as possible who had lately, to all appearance, undergone a saving change of character. It was unfortunately at the season when their employments took them from home to the surrounding country; and, as I was not to intimate our purpose, but to take them in their usual guise and occupations, it was somewhat difficult to accomplish our object. You can easily imagine also, that knowing by report, and from hearing him on the preceding day, who the illustrious visitor was, the people in general were more disposed to listen than to speak. And what still farther increased the difficulty of letting him see their every-day and spiritual being, was the circumstance, that on its being known that he was in any house.

neighbours of a religious character at least dropped in, whose presence somewhat damped the freedom of communication on Christian experimental subjects. Notwithstanding all these obstacles, however, we saw a considerable number of recent converts to more or less advantage; and I may especially note one house with the group that assembled, in which he seemed especially taken, as I knew the people themselves were struck by the singular outpouring in prayer with which of his own accord he closed the interview. The plan was, I was quietly and incidentally to draw the people into conversation, which might shew the inner being without their being aware of the purpose, while he was simply to listen. It happened fortunately in the case referred to, that I got next a woman whose impressions of divine things were but recent; whose impressions also, both of sorrow and joy had been so deep, that she had found it nearly impossible to confine either to her own breast, and who was by this time labouring under that disease which a short while afterwards transmitted her spirit to glory, and which even then may have given her such a presentiment of her approaching end as to make her more free and communicative than others would have been. She accordingly, with great modesty and interest, in her own homely way, recounted, in answer to the questions my knowledge of her previous history enabled me to put to her, the leading points of her change-her awakening to a sense of her lost condition, and the method in which God had brought her to a state of peace and joy in believing. Never shall I forget the scene which presented itself, when, near the close of this narrative, I turned round my eye to see its effect on our venerable father. The whole scene was such as a painter would have liked to perpetuate. There were two beds running along one side of the apartment, on the edge of which so many as ten or a dozen of persons had, since the interview began, ranged themselves, including one of our humble elders, and several individuals, who, during the two or three previous years had been turned from darkness to light. The countenances of several of these, as they were lighted up with Christian sympathy-one more especially, whose foreign and gipsy-like features, and fine black eyes, swimming all the time in tears. greatly arrested Dr. Chalmers, as he afterwards told me. But he himself was the most interesting object of all. The figure he presented was not a little grotesque, but profoundly affecting. He had seated himself in a corner of the apartment, facing the above and along side, but rather behind the woman and me. The person in whose house we were had been baking bread before we entered, and the table, at the end of which he had placed himself, was covered with meal. Not observing this, he had placed one elbow in the midst of it, and drawing out a drawer in an old wardrobe on

the other side, on which to rest his other elbow, there he was sitting in this posture, with a hand behind either ear, to catch what was passing, and with a countenance so inexpressibly bland and benignant, on which the interest, sympathy, and delight of the good man's heart had cast quite a heavenly radiance, as I shall never forget while I live. He put several questions himself to the elder above referred to, as to the former and present state of things, which, having been long in the place, he was able to answer, and did answer in a way which evidently deepened the interest already depicted on Dr. Chalmers's countenance. He then, as I have mentioned, of his own accord, engaged in prayer with and for the little band around him, like a father, or some of the ancient patriarchs, commending them to the care and keeping of God Almighty. It was a wonderful outpouring, full of an unction, compared with which even his eloquence was but tame. circumstances had kept him in the outer Court most of his life, all the man's likings, his deepest affections, his whole heart were within the veil. said to me as we stepped out into the street, and he pressed my arm in his, that is one of the most interesting groups I ever beheld. And I must say, that though I have seen him in many positions of deepest interestin the pulpit—the professor's chair—the chair of the first Free Assembly -and better than all, among his ragged children in the West Port,-I don't know but, now that the ripened spirit is removed to a more congenial world than this, my memory dwells with fonder delight on the picture of the venerable man in this humble cottage, than anywhere else it has ever been my lot to see him. There was more of character, and of the highest style of character, Christian benevolence, spirituality, heavenliness, displayed in that humble dwelling, than anywhere else I had ever seen him.

"We spent no inconsiderable part of the day in thus visiting the people, and I could not but wonder at his physical strength, for long after I was not a little jaded with speaking and the sultriness of the atmosphere combined, he seemed fresh and interested in our work even as when we began it. At dinner the conversation turned chiefly on Foster, whose 'Memoirs' had then been recently published. He expressed, in the strongest manner, his regret at the letter in these 'Memoirs' against the eternity of future punishments—dwelling chiefly on the loss of practical power to all the arguments in favour of godliness which would result from any doubt being cast on the tremendous motive to serious thought and holy action—an eternity of wo. He told us, and with the greatest glee, his controversy with Foster, on one of his visits to England, as to the value of Parliamentary Reform as

an element of national improvement. Foster was extravagant in his expectations, and Chalmers, as usual, had dwelt on the moral element,—the educational and religious culture of the people as the only lever to raise the Shortly afterwards an account of the Bristol riots appeared in the papers, on reading which, Chalmers, as if still in conversation with his friend. had said to himself-'There! tak ye that, my friend, as a swatch of your political millennium!' When, after dinner, we went out to stroll about a very pretty quiet orchard which surrounds my house, and with which he was greatly charmed, an incident occurred which has always seemed to me one of the strongest proofs of the strength of the spiritual element in his character. He drew me aside from the rest of the party, and after expressing, for I dare say the twentieth time, the happiness of heart which the state of affairs with us had given him, he begged me to give him an account of my plan of operations in the parish since the beginning of my incumbency. The request was rather a perplexing one to me, and I remember well the nervous trepidation which I felt when attempting to comply with it. You are well aware of the stress which he laid on household visitations as a means of ministerial usefulness. He usually counted it a sine qua non in the ministry of reconciliation. I had on three successive occasions, acting chiefly on the instructions I had received from his lips, attempted a visitation of this whole parish, and was as often providentially hindered. This circumstance had arrested my attention, and led me to reconsider the propriety and wisdom of this line of proceeding in the circumstances in which I was placed, with but a limited measure of physical strength at my disposal, in a parish at once populous and extending over a very wide district of country, and, above all, succeeding to a long course of ministry, which left me, in the highest sense of the word, no flock. It occurred to me in these circumstances, that my first and most important work was not pastoral, but evangelistic; and as I found that, from the perfect novelty of the thing, the people, both in town and country, were disposed to come out to sermons on the evening of week-days, I from that time forward devoted the bulk of my strength and time to do what our Lord is said to have done,-'He went throughout every city and village preaching and shewing the glad tidings of the kingdom of God.' Generally two, often three, sometimes four evenings of every week were spent in this way, and with the greatest success as to drawing out the people,-many coming three or four miles of a summer's evening to the school-houses or barns in which the meetings were held. Of course, with such an amount of service, and in such a parish, I could undertake no other but the sick visiting, which, indeed, was labour enough of itself in a district where the eldership had been long obsolete,

and the minister was the single man on whose shoulders all kinds of labour were laid. On principle, then, and with no small fear and trembling, I adopted this course and prosecuted it, which was a wide divergence from the usual canonical method, but which seemed to be, in all the circumstances, the wisest and most scriptural, till, as the result of it, a real spiritual flock should be formed, among whom pastoral visits would be apposite and profitable. All this I made a frank confession of to Dr. Chalmers, as frank as my timidity would allow me to do. And never shall I forget the way in which he received the half-apologetical statement. 'You know,' he said, in substance, 'the stress, the great stress I lay on household visitings. In usual circumstances, the shortest way to a man's heart is to go into his house. Still, however, this is but a means to an end. The end is lodging the Gospel in the hearts of sinners, and thus bringing them to Christ. If this be gained, it matters not how. It has been gained to so great an extent with you, that you seem to have a divine sanction to the wisdom of the means. In the circumstances, you did entirely right-with the result foreseen, I would have broken through all old customs and done the same. The result is everything-the salvation of souls!' I felt at the time, and have often thought since, that considering the amazing tenacity with which he held his favourite points, that the warmth and cordiality with which he uttered these sentiments formed one of the strongest testimonies to the power of the spiritual principle which, often hidden from superficial observers by the very apparatus it was ever setting and keeping in motion, reigned dominant in his soul. No man in our day, or perhaps in any day since Luther, has more fully and purely imbibed the spirit and essence of primitive Christianity. Separating it from all mere accessories, and means of spreading, and modes of expressing it, the soul of Christianity, which is love, seemed again embodied in his large and congenial nature.

"A similar incident occurred on the evening of this day. He had begged me to convene a meeting in our vestry or vestibule of as many members of the surrounding Deacons' Courts and Collectors as could attend, that he might address them on his favourite scheme—the Sustentation Fund. He was most rigid in excluding all others but the above classes, and no persuasions could induce him to make it anything but a quiet private meeting. Yet when it was opened, and he had spoken but a few prefatory sentences on financial matters, he turned round to me, and with that delicacy which so characterized him, asked if he might address them on another and more spiritual topic. He then told them that he had no heart to pursue his favourite theme at present; expressed the hearty gladness which he had felt in the spiritual atmosphere he had been breathing during the day, and

in all he had seen and heard; after which he broke out into a most animated exhortation on spiritual matters, pressing all who were really Christians to throw in their separate mites to such a blessed and holy cause as seemed to be in progress among them. I need not tell you, who know with what ardour he had thrown his whole soul into the great Financial Scheme of our Church, what an act of almost involuntary homage his nature here again paid to the superiority of the spiritual element, and how plainly the incident brings out the fact, that behind the immense machinery which all his life long he was either constructing or working, there sat enthroned in his inner being a high and holy principle of life, which, often itself unseen, gave form and action to every thing.

"Before bringing my rambling reminiscences to a close, let me mention a little circumstance which proves with what energy, even at this late day, the good man was prosecuting the divine life. He was going out one morning for a drive, and vastly happy his beniguant nature was at the thought of being driven by a young student whom he expected ere long to be under his own care—the son of his host. A large portly octavo volume peered out from his wide-mouthed great-coat pocket. He noticed me smiling at this somewhat odd-like vade-mecum for a summer morning drive through a beautiful country, and good-naturedly extracting the old musty volume from his pocket, asked me if I knew the book. It happened to be a volume of Bishop Patrick's Commentary. Expede Herculem.

"I am sorry these are all the reminiscences I can now give you of a visit which left a most happy and hallowed impression not only on myself and those privileged to be in nearest contact with him, but, I may say, on the whole place—the benignity and goodness of the man eclipsing even his greatness, and leaving such an odour behind as made one feel 'twas even as if an angel shook his wings.'

"With every wish and prayer for the successful accomplishment of your truly great work, a fitting memorial of such a man, believe me ever, my dear sir, very truly yours,

John Purves."

APPENDIX I.-P. 451.

At the request of the Rev. Dr. Smyth of Charleston, Dr. Chalmers addressed to him the following letter:—

" Edinburgh, 25th September 1844.

"MY DEAR SIR,—I do not need to assure you how little I sympathize with those who—because slavery happens to prevail in the Southern States of America—would unchristianize that whole region; and who even carry

their extravagance so far as to affirm that, so long as it subsists, no fellowship or interchange of good offices should take place with its churches, or its ministers.

"As a friend to the universal virtue and liberty of mankind, I rejoice in the prospect of those days when slavery shall be banished from the face of the earth; but most assuredly the wholesale style of excommunication, contended for by some, is not the way to hasten forward this blissful consummation.

"Few things would afford me greater satisfaction than to hear of a commencement in your country of that process by which the labour of freemen might be substituted for that of slaves. As I mentioned to you, I was exceedingly struck, so far back as twenty-five years ago, by the description of such a process in Humboldt's Travels through Spanish South America. This was long anterior to the abolition of slavery in the British Colonies; and such was the confidence I then felt in its efficacy, that I ventured to draw out a sketch of the Spanish plan, which, if adopted at the time, might have ensured a far safer and even earlier emancipation than took place afterwards. You will find my account of it in the twelfth volume of my works, from page 395 and onwards.

"I have not been able to engage in any sort of public business since I had the pleasure of meeting with you, but I observe that in our Assembly's Commission, a few weeks back, the subject of American slavery was entertained. I do hope that the Resolutions which they have adopted will prove satisfactory.

"I feel it a great acquisition that I have made your acquaintance. We owe you much, and I trust the ministers of the Free Church of Scotland will ever entertain a grateful sense of your able and disinterested services.—

Do believe me, my dear sir, yours most respectfully and truly,

THOMAS CHALMERS."

The publication of this letter led to a demand upon Dr. Chalmers by the Anti-Slavery Society of Edinburgh of a disclaimer or explanation of the sentiments which it expressed;—in consequence of which he published the following letter in the "Witness" Newspaper:—

"MORNINGSIDE, 12th May, 1845.

"MY DEAR SIR,—You will recollect that, some months ago, there were communications held with you on the subject of American slavery, and that in these there was the challenge or crimination, of myself, as having given forth a most inadequate deliverance on the evils of the system. At that time I looked forward to the opportunity of sharing in the discussion which

this question will probably give rise to at the next meeting of our General Assembly. But as that expectation will not now be realized, I have to crave your permission for coming forward with a very brief and general exposition of my views, in the columns of your newspaper.

- "1. Slavery, like war, is a great evil; and there is no philanthropist, who bears an enlightened regard either to the virtue or happiness of our species, but must desire the final abolition of them from the face of the earth, and must also feel it his duty to support the best and likeliest measures for speeding onward so blissful a consummation. Yet, destructive and demoralizing as both are, and inimical as Christianity is to all violence, and to all vice, it follows not that there may not be a Christian soldier, and neither does it follow that there may not be a Christian slave-holder. Let the moral atmosphere of both be as unfriendly as they may to the growth either of religion or of humanity, still it holds experimentally true, that within the limits of the deleterious influence, and not of course by the influence, but in spite of it, the most exalted specimens of piety and worth are to be found. The Colonel Gardiner of Scotland, and the Mr. Macdonagh of America, are not the singular, and we should hope, not the rare instances of either kind; and they demonstrate, that vitiating as each of these systems is, and prolific of the most frightful and revolting atrocities that have ever disfigured the sad history of our race, yet that neither is incompatible with the personal Christianity of those who have actually and personally to do with them. This is perfectly consistent with the fact, that all the tendencies of the Christian religion are opposed to war, and opposed to slavery, insomuch that the days of universal Christianity will be days of universal peace, and days of universal and equal liberty for all men.
- "2. Distinction ought to be made between the character of a system, and the character of the persons whom circumstances have implicated therewith; nor would it always be just, if all the recoil, and all the horror, wherewith the former is contemplated, were visited in the form of condemnation, or of moral indignancy, upon the latter. Slavery we hold to be a system chargeable with atrocities and evils, often the most hideous and the most appalling, which have either afflicted or deformed our species. Yet we must not therefore say of every man who has been born within the confines of a territory accursed by its presence,—who has grown up and become familiar with its sickening spectacles,—who not only by habit has been inured to such transactions, and such sights, as would sicken the heart of a stranger, that for the first time had entered upon a region of slavery, but who by inheritance is himself the owner of slaves,—we are not to say of him, that unless, by an act of violence on all those possessory and

proprietary feelings which exist in such strength within every bosom, he make the resolute sacrifice of these, and, renouncing his property in slaves, renounce the all which belongs to him, - we are not to say that, unless this surrender is made, he therefore is not a Christian, and should be treated as an outcast from all the distinctions or the privileges of a Christian society. The truth is, that, according to all the laws and the likelihoods of human nature, the very men who are now looking at the object ab extra, and in the character, it may be, of zealous Abolitionists, would, if placed from infancy in the condition, and exposed through life to all the besetting influences which operate on the mind of the slave-holder, have been those very slave-holders themselves,—the whole system of whose social economy they do well in denouncing, and in labouring to extirpate from the face of the earth ;-yet, would they often sin, notwithstanding, both against truth and charity, if, by a sweeping and universal charge, they were to denounce all who partake of this system, nay, live upon the profits of it, as individually void of humanity and honour, or void of Christian principle. are various methods,-various lines of procedure and policy, on which philanthropists and patriots might enter, and join their forces for the abolition of slavery. The most unjustifiable—and, let me add, the most unwise and least effectual of these, were to pronounce a wholesale anathema, by which to unchristianize, or to pass a general sentence of excommunication on slave-holders.

"3. We deny not the vitiating tendency of slavery on the hearts and the characters of all who are engaged in it; and let us concede, that in virtue of these, a greater number,-if an inventory could be taken of them,-of inhuman and liceutious, of barbarous and brutalized men, are to be found in the middle and upper classes of society, throughout the slave-holding States of America, than throughout those other States which have so nobly rid themselves of this enormous evil. Now, what effect ought this admission to have upon the question? Not that slave-holding shall simply, and per se, infer exclusion from the ordinances of the gospel, but that, as many of the vices which slave-holding tends to generate and to multiply infer this exclusion, there will, and because of these vices, be a more frequent call for ceelesiastical discipline in the slave-holding congregations. The Apostle tells us not to keep company, -not to hold Christian fellowship, if 'any man that is called a brother be a fornicator, or covetous, or an idolator, or a railer, or a drunkard, or an extortioner.' Should any such, then, present himself for admission to the table of the Lord, there is a clear scriptural warrant for his debarment from this, and all the other privileges of Church membership; not, however, on the ground of his being a slave-holder,

but on the ground of one or other of those vices which exist, it is to be feared, in greatest force and frequency, wherever there exist the habits and the temptations of slave-holding. The magnitude of the temptation can never be held an alleviation of the crime, so as to exempt the slaveholder, who has fallen into it, from the proper and the prescribed discipline, else there is an end of all Christian morality. Far less can the magnitude of the temptation to laxity of discipline, or to pass over the delinquencies of a wealthy and influential slave-holder, exempt any Christian Church from the duty of an impartial, and pure, and righteous government over all its members,-else not only may that Church present a hideous mass of corruption, but every other Church in Christendom, which connives at it, contracts thereby a blemish and a deformity, which must and which ought to injure its otherwise fair reputation. Let every man, be he a slave-holder or not, be cast out from the brotherhood of the Christian ordinances, who falls into any of the vices which are here enumerated; and let the brotherhood of every Church be disowned which is found to tolerate these vices in its members, be they high or low. Nay, should it be found in any instauce that the wealthy oppressor meets with an indulgence which is not shown to the humbler delinquents of the congregation,-let the church be all the more indignantly denounced as unworthy of fellowship or recognition, and its brotherhood be disowned by the other Churches of Christ. When we have got thus far into the argument, we come within sight of a clear principle, -- a comfort which we did not enjoy so long as the demand was made upon us for the excommunication of all slave-holders, or for the wholesale excommunication of all Churches that were found to have the names of slave-holders on the roll of their communicants. Our understanding of Christianity is, that it deals not with civil or political institutions, but that it deals with persons and with ecclesiastical institutions, and that the object of these last is to operate directly and proximately with the most wholesome effect on the consciences and the character of persons. In conformity with this view, a purely and rightly administered Church will exclude from the ordinances, not any man as a slave-holder, but every man, whether slave-holder or not, as licentious, as intemperate, as dishonest. Should any single American Church be found to have acted otherwise, let it, while the imputation rests upon it, and it remains unreformed, be treated as an outcast from all other Churches. Nay, should the corrupt proceeding be carried up by appeal to an American Presbytery, and be sanctioned or tolerated there, let all countenance and communion with such a Presbytery be suspended. Last of all, let the Supreme Court,—the General Assembly of the American Presbyterian Church,—be convicted of having passed a

corrupt sentence, which carries in it the principle, and brings along with it the practice, of a toleration for undoubted moral delinquencies—and then the only correspondence, we trust, which the Free Church of Scotland would consent to hold with the Presbyterian body of America, would be that of grave and solemn remonstrance because of the dishonour done by them to our common Lord.

- "4. We hope that our Free Church will never deviate to the right or the left from the path of undoubted principle. But we hope, on the other hand, that she will not be frightened from her propriety, or forced by clamour of any sort, to outrun her own convictions, so as to adopt, at the bidding of other parties, a new and factitious principle of administration, for which she can see no authority in Scripture, and of which she can gather no traces in the history or practice of the Churches in Apostolic times.
- "5. Would the Abolitionists, then, have their eye on such cases, and hold them forth in authentic exhibition to the world, so that the Churches of distant lands may be made to know what the laxity of discipline is which obtains throughout the Churches and Church Courts of America. Let them verify the instances, and tell us of them in detail,-what the particular Churches are where men are recognised as members, and live in the undisturbed possession of church privileges, notwithstanding their concubinage, or their cruelty, or the gross violence and villany of any sort, which might not only be charged upon, but have been actually made good against them; and then we shall know, and in the light of clearest scriptural priuciple, how to guide our proceedings, both with the Churches which sanction such enormities by their forbearance, and with Church Courts which, by their corrupt judicial sentences, may be well said to frame these iniquities by a law. This were a far more likely process whereby to augment the moral force of that opposition to slavery, which, as one of its most determined and implacable enemies, we hope is growing and gathering every day,-not by forcing upon us a new principle, and requiring at our hands an altogether new practice, unknown to the Churches of other days,-even that slave-holding is in itself that sort of ecclesiastical felony which must be visited by a sentence of exile for shorter or longer periods from the ecclesiastical community. There are other felonies of which we have never doubted,-vices which ought, by the precedents of all ages, to be thus dealt and proceeded with; and if these vices do follow in the train of slaveholding with greater frequency than in the train of any other occupation, let this, by the exercise of a virtuous Church discipline, be made palpable to all, and another powerful blow will thus be inflicted on the system,another telling argument be added to the successive strokes of that cata-

pulta which is at length to bring down this monster evil, with all its horrors and teeming abominations. It is thus that the title of a pamphlet, which I lately saw, might be reversed; and the American Churches, instead of the props, as they are represented, of American slavery, might be the pioneers to undermine its foundations, and bring it to its final overthrow, -not by aggression from without, but by the force of sentiment and principles from within,-a force which, to the immortal honour of the Free States of America, has already told spontaneously, yet with full effect, on more than half the provinces of the Union. But I must repeat my conviction, that slavery will not be at all shaken, -it will be strengthened and stand its ground,-if assailed through the medium of that most questionable and ambiguous principle which the Abolitionists are now labouring to force upon our acceptance, even that slave-holding is, in itself, a ground of exclusion from the Christian sacraments,-instead of being assailed through the medium of such other and obvious principles as come home to the hearts and the consciences of all men.

"6. There is one reformation about which, for ourselves, we feel no difficulty, and that is, how to proceed against slave-holding ministers, or ministers who hold slaves, not as the masters of a household, who must have them for domestic servants, but as masters who combine this worldly with their sacred or professional occupation. In our own Churches we should lay an interdict on our ministers here against their holding any secular trade or employment, lest it should secularize them; and a fortiori, we would lay an interdict on ministers there from holding slaves for profit, lest it should brutalize them. We must be forgiven the harshness of this expression. We do not say that this is the invariable effect of slave-holding in America, and therefore it is that we resist the proposed excommunication of all slave-holders. But we say, that if not the universal effect, it is at least the tendency of the system; and we hold it the bounden duty of every Church to restrain its ministers from all which might put to hazard either their characters or reputations, and so to keep all vitiating tendencies and temptations away from them.

"7. But, again, not only is there a wrong principle involved in the demand which these Abolitionists now make on the Free Church of Scotland: it is in itself a wrong procedure for hastening forward that object, for the accomplishment of which we are alike desirons with themselves; or, in other words, it is not only wrong in principle, but hurtful in effect. Should we concede to their demands, then, speaking in the terms of our opinion, we incur the discredit (and in proportion to that discredit we damage our usefulness as a Church) of having given in—and at the bidding of another

party—to a factitious and new principle, which not only wants, but which contravenes, the authority of Scripture and of Apostolic example, and, indeed, has only been heard of in Christendom within these few years, as if gotten up for an occasion, instead of being drawn from the repositories of that truth which is immutable and eternal,—even the principle that no slave-holder should be admitted to a participation in the Christian sacraments. We think, therefore, that it is a demand which ought not, and of which it is our expectation and our wish that it will not be complied with; -a refusal this, however, which, though right and necessary on other grounds, may be conceived of on the ground of our indifference to the evils of slavery; and thus most unwarrantably and unwisely will these Abolitionists have conjured up what the enemies of their righteous cause might construe into a testimony on the side of slavery,-when, in fact, we are all most intent on the extinction of it, as one of the greatest moral nuisances that ever infested the face of our earth. To illustrate our meaning still further, let me suppose that my distinguished friend, than whom none within the circle of my acquaintance is more devoted to the cause of Christ, or more strenuous in his opposition to slavery, and all its abominations, John Joseph Gurney-let me put the case of his being required to denounce slavery right and left, along the whole path of that apostolic journey which he made some years ago in America, when he visited and travelled through it for the main object of declaring the blessed gospel of salvation to all, whether bond or free,-let me imagine that, in the prosecution of this high errand, some zealous and obtrusive Abolitionist had crossed him in his way,* and required of him to mix up on all occasions with the message of reconciliation to God, the avowals of his detestation for slavery, and of his opinion that none who were engaged in it could either possess the character, or be entitled to the privileges, of Christians. We appeal to the common sense of all men, whether this be the way by which either the missionary cause on the one hand, or the philanthropic cause on the other hand, would have best been expedited. The truth is, that had the two been implicated and bound together, in the way that some did require of him, it would have proved most injurious to both. And, therefore, did Mr. Gurney act with far greater wisdom than at least certain of his advisers would have had him to do, when he kept clear of the one topic, and so awakened no prejudices or heart-burnings against

^{*} Though within these few days I have had reason to know that the Abolitionists acted to Mr. Gurney on this occasion with greater wisdom and forbearance than they are now doing by the Free Church of Scotland, I have certainly seen an American pamphlet, full of the most outrageous abuse, against this noble-hearted Christian and philanthropist, because he did not come up to the full extent of the requirements and speculations of its author, in his dealings with slavery.

the gospel of Christ, at the time that he was employed in the enforcement of the other topic. And yet he acquitted himself rightly of, and did full justice to both. For after he had accomplished his purely evangelical tour, and before leaving America, he held a conference which, I believe, lasted for days together, with members of Congress, and many of the most influential men in America, and then gave his public testimony on the evils of the system of slavery,—in a style worthy, we have no doubt, of the cause, and worthy of his own connexion with those illustrious names,—the Frys, and the Gurneys, and the Buxtons, and the Clarksons, and the Wilberforces,—who for two generations have held unsparing and uncompromising warfare with this system of foul iniquity and horror.

"8. But whatever the merit or demerit of their proceedings with Mr. Gurney, there is at least one undoubted specimen of that injudicious meddling with parties engaged in another good work beside their own, which was fitted only to embarrass the operations, and impede the success, both of their own righteous cause, and of that with which they were pleased to interfere. We have before us the Thirty-fifth Report of the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions, where, among other articles, there is one designated, 'Memorials on Slavery.' I would recommend the insertion in full, both of the memorials and of the deliverances thereupon as far as they are given, in your Witness newspaper, as holding out what we deem a most instructive example for all other Christian bodies, and in particular for the Assembly of our Free Church. We can only state here, that in the preamble to one of these memorials, American slavery is denounced as a system of oppression most unjust and grievous, and the requirement founded on this sentence of condemnation-(a sentence in which I believe that both the American Board of Missions and the Free Church of Scotland most cordially acquiesce,)-is, that the Board would instruct 'all their missionaries and agents under its patronage to bear a decided testimony, wherever and in whatever form it exists, and, most especially, to declare in the name of the Board, that American slavery is a sin against God, and that its existence in a Christian land is nowise chargeable to the Christian religion which they are commissioned to preach, but is grossly at variance with all its holy doctrines and precepts.' Besides the memorials, the Board take notice of three petitions which have been addressed to them. In the first and second of these, they 'are requested and urged to take measures to prevent receiving into their treasury, any monies contributed in one way or another by slave-holders, or any of the avails of slave labour.' In the third petition, they are desired to pass resolutions, declaring that 'American slavery is a sin against God and man, and ought to be immediately abolished,' &c. We admire the practical wisdom of the Board in the deliverance which they have come to, and in which they state 'that the Board was established and incorporated for the express purpose of propagating the gospel in heathen lands, by supporting missionaries, and diffusing the knowledge of the Scriptures-that the Board had confined themselves to this one great object; -- and that a regard to our sacred trust requires us to pursue the object with undivided zeal, and not to turn aside from it, or mix any other concerns with it. And we still think, that the Lord of Missions, and the Saviour of the world, will approve of this deliberate purpose of ours, and this course of action, and would frown upon us if we should depart from it. And we have the comfort to believe also that this is the only purpose and course of action which will give permanent satisfaction to the Christian community who are enlisted in the cause of missions,-being fully persuaded that any essential departure from this plan of operations would tend to defeat the great end we are pursuing,-the conversion of the heathen.' 'As to the monies contributed by slave-holders, it is still our opinion, that considering the character of the Board and the nature of its objects, it may fairly be presumed, that the funds contributed to our treasury are obtained in a proper manner, and contributed from right motives; and it is very manifest that we cannot properly examine into the motives of those who sustain our operations, and that the attempt to do this would be marked with absurdity, and would plunge us in difficulties from which we could not possibly be extricated.' 'It will not, we trust, be overlooked, that in reply to previous petitions, the Board has repeatedly and very frankly declared, that they can sustain no relation to slavery which implies approbation of the system, and, as a Board, can have no connexion or sympathy with it,-plainly intimating that we consider it one of the obvious evils which exist in the community, but the removal of which, though we regard it as an object of fervent desire and prayer, does not fall within our province as a Missionary Board. We know not how any man who maturely considers the subject can desire more than this.'

"9. We regard the example here set before us as eminently fitted to have a wholesome influence on the spirit and counsels of our pending General Assembly. We are convinced that the Supreme Ecclesiastical Court of our Free Church will, with one mind and spirit, join in the fervent desire and prayer of the American Board for the removal of American slavery; and we are hopeful that they will come to the same conclusion, that this is an object which does not practically or executively come within their province as a Church in a distant land. It is not by thus assail-

ing either Church Courts or Missionary Boards, that these Abolitionists can ever expect to advance their own cause. They have addressed themselves to the wrong parties; and we can now understand how it is, that by a wayward and misguided tactics, they have greatly injured and kept back the object which thousands and thousands more, both in America and elsewhere, have as much and as honestly at heart as they have. It does not seem to us the right way of going to work, that they should labour as they have done to affix a universal stigma on American slave-holders. But through the medium of the public mind, both in their own and other countries, they should bring a direct influence to bear on the American legislators. For this purpose let them make full exposure,-but within the limits of truth,—of the evils and atrocities of a system which requires only to be known that it may be execrated by all the wise and the good of our civilized world. For the accomplishment of this result there is no need of exaggeration. The application of a whip to human beings as a stimulus to labour, the cruel separation of relatives,-nay, apart from these special enormities, the mere traffic in men, who are flesh of our own flesh, and bone of our own bone, -above all, the denial of Christian, or of any education, to the whole of a degraded race, who share alike with ourselves in their mental capabilities, and the immortality of their duration-these are topics which cannot fail to enlist a daily increasing number of Christians and philanthropists, in opposition to a system so full of abominations and horrors. Nor can we despair of the effect that such a reaction, vigorously kept up and never deviated from, must have, and that speedily, on the American Government; for let us not forget, that this country, throughout many of her provinces, took the precedency of all others, even of Britain herself, in putting down slavery by law.—I am, my dear Sir, yours THOMAS CHALMERS." very truly,

APPENDIX K .- P. 502.

"Mr. F. Maule.—It has been stated, with reference to yourself, that you used an expression towards the Establishment, that you denominated it as 'a moral nuisance?—My impression of there having been a great deal of exaggeration, is very much founded upon the manner in which that expression of mine has been exaggerated. So far back as the year 1839, when there was a discussion in the General Assembly, I remember very well, that in putting the alternative, between our submitting to the decisions of the

civil court, or resisting them, I said that if we submitted to those decisions, our Church would become a moral nuisance, and deserve to be swept off from the face of the country; I said that at a time when there was no idea of a disruption in our heads—it was when I was endeavouring with all my might to prevent a disruption that that expression was made use of by me in 1839.

" It has been also stated in this Committee, that the points upon which the Free Church differ from the Established Church are mere points of technicality, and that they have no real substance in them; will you state what you consider to be the distinctive principle between the Free Church and the Established Church ?-The distinctive principle some call 'spiritual independence.' I think that there is a difference of nomenclature between the English and Scotch, and that our cause is a good deal misunderstood in virtue of that. I would say that the final jurisdiction of ecclesiastical courts in things sacred is the great principle upon which we have gone out, that that final jurisdiction has been violated, and that it is not a capricious or unheard of novelty; it has been held in Scotland for more than two centuries. It was the great question between the Jameses and the Charleses on the one hand, and the Scottish people on the other, who called it the Headship of Christ-the term given to the principle when looked to in a religious light. But when looked to constitutionally, it is termed the final jurisdiction of the ecclesiastical courts, or Church courts, in things sacred, as distinct from things civil. Now, it is sometimes expressed in this way by a Scotchman. He speaks of it as the supremacy of the Church over all things ecclesiastical, which is very apt to mislead the understanding of Englishmen, because I presume that any question connected with the ministerial office, and which related to the 'civilia' of that office, would in England be called an ecclesiastical cause, and is decided by the civil courts. Now, there is a distinction in the very constitution of our courts, to every understanding, which makes this matter clear with us. There are ecclesiastical courts that are constituted of the Kirk-session, the Presbytery, the Synod, and General Assembly; and there are civil courts. We call matters decided by those courts ecclesiastical matters, because our habit has been all along to refer things sacred to the ecclesiastical courts, and which never passed from the ecclesiastical courts to the civil courts. Those are things sacred; but our calling them things ecclesiastical is very apt to mislead English people, under the idea that we claim the supremacy over the 'civilia' as well as the 'sacra' of the ministerial office. Now, there is nothing more distinct in a Scotch mind than the proper function of the ecclesiastical court, which is to take up things sacred,

and the proper function of the civil court, which is to take up things secular connected with the ministerial office, such as glebe, stipend, church or place of worship, and the manse or parsonage house, and other matters of the same kind, which might be very easily enumerated.

"Is the Committee to understand that the jurisdiction of the Church in thing sacred, and the jurisdiction of the State in things secular, has been the principle maintained by the Church of Scotland since the time of the Reformation ?-Yes; since the time of what has been sometimes called 'The First Reformation.' I think in the course of five minutes I could state what the leading points are, and which will give, I think, a satisfactory answer to the question, and will account for the unanimity, till lately, of the Scottish understanding upon the subject of things sacred belonging exclusively to the ecclesiastical courts, and things civil belonging exclusively to the civil courts. If you refer to the Act of 1567, chapter 7, it is there stated, 'That the examination and admission of ministers within this realm be only in the power of the Kirk.' And if the Presbytery refuses to admit the person presented by the patron, it shall be lawful for the patron to appeal to the superintendant of the ministers in that province where the benefice lies. That now corresponds to the Synod, the next highest court in gradation of our ecclesiastical courts. Then he applies to the Synod, and desires 'that the person presented be admitted; and if they refuse, he appeals to the General Assembly of this haill realm;' (and here are the decisive words)-'By whom the cause being decided, shall take end as they decern and declare.' There is no such thing spoken of as an appeal from the General Assembly to the Court of Session upon anything connected with the examination and induction of ministers. Then the Act of 1592 is confirmatory of this. It is entitled, 'Ratification of the Liberty of the True Kirk;' it abrogates other Acts, because there was a perpetual contest between our James VI, and the General Assembly, and a number of adverse Acts were passed during the interval from 1567 to 1592. However, this Act of 1592, c. 117, abrogates all those Acts, and confirms the Act of 1567, and it says, 'In case the Presbytery refuses to admit any qualified minister presented to them by the patron, it shall be lawful for the patron to retain the whole fruits of the benefice in his own hands.' That we are perfectly reconciled to, for it is a civil matter. Then going rapidly downwards about a century, the Act of 1690, at the time of the Revolution Settlement, annuls all adverse Acts which were passed under Charles II., and restores the Act of 1592. And again, coming to Queen Anne's Act upon the restoration of patronage, which transfers the initiative in the appointment of ministers from the heritors and Kirk-sessions to the respective patrons, there is a

clause in that Act upon which we conceive that we still have the final jurisdiction in things sacred. It says, 'That the Presbytery shall be bound to receive and admit in the same manner persons thus presented, as before the making of this Act they ought to have admitted.' So that even under the Act of Queen Anne-though undoubtedly the change was a very complete one which was introduced by the decision of the courts in the case of Auchterarder, as confirmed by the upper courts, against which we could get no redress in Parliament-even under the Act of Queen Anne the doctrine was, that for the admission of a minister there must be the concurrence of two ingredients-one of these was a valid presentation, and another was the concurrence of the ecclesiastical courts to the appointment of the presentee. He appears before us, we put him on trial, and sit in judgment upon the question, 'Is it for the Christian good of the parish that this man should be appointed its minister?' The concurrence of the ecclesiastical court was in all cases required before effect could be given to the presentation. Therefore we felt that a very great and most pernicious novelty was grafted upon our former ecclesiastical system, by this decision in the case of Auchterarder; and it may be observed, that during the last century we sometimes made a mistake as to the validity of presentations; some ministers were inducted and remained upon a wrong presentation. And I think it is quite interesting to observe the direction which the matter then took, for it shows so completely the distinct provinces of the two sets of courts. When we did make a mistake, the patron retained the stipend: the person whom we had inducted lost all the benefit of the temporalities, but he still continued the minister of the parish; we had ordained him as such, and he officiated as minister there, minus the temporalities. That has happened in about half a dozen instances; and it shows practically how the thing operated. There was one very remarkable case in Dunse, where the patron not only sued for his own right, but in virtue of that right he wanted the Court of Session to restrain the Presbytery from settling any other man in the parish. The case is reported by Lord Monboddo; and, as taken from his report, his words are, 'The Lords would not meddle with that request, because that was interfering with the power of ordination, with which the Lords thought they had nothing to do.' So that there was all respect paid to the appropriate function of the ecclesiastical court, as to the settlement and ordination of ministers. I think, in the mass of controversial authorship which has been issued upon this subject, we are apt to lose sight of the few essential sentences which might decide this whole question. There is nothing that appears to me to be more conclusive than a brief passage, which I can read in two minutes, from

Lord Kames's Law Tracts. The truth is, that all legal authorities, either past or present, are in favour of the view on which the Free Church has proceeded. Lord Kames, in article 9, in his Law Tracts, says, 'Ecclesiastical courts, besides their censorial powers with relation to manners and religious tenets, have an important jurisdiction in providing parishes with proper ministers and pastors; and they exercise this jurisdiction, by naming for the minister of a vacant church that person duly qualified who is presented by the patron. Their sentence, however, is ultimate, even where their proceedings are illegal.' (That is, illegal according to civil law.) 'The person authorized by their sentence, even in opposition to the presentee, is de facto minister of the parish, and as such is entitled to perform every ministerial function;' but then he has no claim to the stipend. 'It would be a great defect,' his Lordship proceeds to say, 'in the constitution of a government, that ecclesiastical courts should have an arbitrary power in providing parishes with ministers. To prevent such arbitrary power, the check provided by law is, that a minister settled illegally shall not be entitled to the stipend. This happily reconciles two things generally opposite. The check is extremely mild, and yet is fully effectual to prevent the abuse.' 'Nor is it inconsistent that two courts should give contrary judgments to different effects. This produces not a conflict of jurisdictions, for both judgments may stand and be effectual.' 'But I see not that there can be in Britain a direct conflict betwixt two courts, both trying the same cause to the same effect.' 'But in this island, matters of jurisdiction are better ordered than to afford place for such an absurdity. An indirect conflict may indeed happen, where two courts handling occasionally the same point, in different causes, are of different opinions upon that point. Such contrariety of opinion ought, as far as possible, to be avoided for the sake of expediency, as tending to lessen the authority of one of the courts, and perhaps of both. But as such contrary opinions are the foundation of judgments calculated for different ends and purposes, these judgments when put to execution, can never interfere.'

"Do you think that the Church of Scotland, as at present established by law, have recognised on the part of the State a jurisdiction in matters spiritual and sacred, and against which the people of Scotland have strongly contended in times past?—Yes, I think they have completely obliterated that line of demarcation which we always thought divided the civil from the ecclesiastical courts.

"In so crossing that line of demarcation, they have adopted the principles which are generally known in Scotland by the name of Erastian principles?—Yes.

"You use the term 'Erastian' as applied to the principles of the Established Church; do you use that term in the sense of personal reproach and insult, or do you use it in a general sense, speaking upon a question of religious controversy?—I use it as characterizing the policy or principle upon which they act, but certainly not with anything like a personal application to individuals.

"And I presume that you consider that the principle of the spiritual independence of the Church is a principle which it is essential to maintain, in order to make the Church as useful as possible among the community where she exists ?-I have not the slightest doubt of that; and I think that, both on the ground of principle and on the ground of expediency, we should keep up the power of devising and doing as we think best for the Christian good of the people: first, because we have a directory in the Bible which we are bound to follow; and second, because unless we do so, the Church would become quite helpless in its endeavour to extend itself and to reclaim the masses of our degenerate population. I can give an instance of this. An English bishop, who has a Welsh diocese, once told me that a presentee was offered him by a patron who could not speak a word of Welsh, and the bishop said there was no help for it, and that he was obliged to admit the presentee. Now, that is a species of helplessness in which we never could acquiesce. An instance similar to this happened in Scotland in 1825, when I was myself a member of the Assembly. The Crown issued a presentation to a man who could not speak Gaelic, for the parish of Little Dunkeld, where the people can speak English generally, but they cannot speak it well enough to profit so much from an English as from a Gaelic The case came up for our decision, and we, acting upon our undoubted prerogative to decide whether this was the man who should be appointed to that parish, took evidence upon the matter, and by a very small majority in so large a body as the General Assembly, rejected the The Crown never once thought of appealing to the Court of Session, or of doing anything else but simply acquiescing in the decision of the supreme ecclesiastical court—that court in which the thing has always, until of late years, 'taken end;' and, accordingly, they withdrew their presentee, and gave us one who could preach in Gaelic. If we, the ecclesiastical courts, are to be bereft of the power of judging upon a subject so exclusively professional, so much ours, and so little within the province of a civil court, namely, upon the question, What is best for the Christian interests of the population? I cannot imagine any system that is more calculated to bring the population under an influence under which they must very rapidly degenerate.

"Are the opinions which you have just expressed those of the great body of the Free Church in Scotland?—I should think so; and indeed I have no doubt they are the universal opinions.

"If that be so, is there any prospect of a reunion taking place between the Free Church and the Established Church?—I am afraid not, in the way of a reunion. I think that a restoration is possible, but not in the way of a reunion between the two bodies; that is, between the Free Church and the Established Church.

"You say that a restoration is possible ?—Yes, but if I were to state the process, it would appear, I fear, so extravagant, that I have not the slightest hope of its being at present brought about. We must, of course, provide for the perfect integrity of our own ecclesiastical principles, and I do not see how that can be done, except by the Legislature adopting the Free Church as the Establishment, and then leaving us to deal with the ministers of the Established Church as so many ecclesiastical delinquents, who have forsaken their original principles. I dare say that we should be very mild and indulgent in dealing with them, so far as was consistent with our principles. I state this in answer to your question; but, at the same time, I have not the slightest hope that it is a measure which will soon be carried out; though we would certainly treat those ministers in a way that would be attended with less severity upon them personally than our sufferings have amounted to. If there was such a resolution of the Legislature come to, I would venture to say that there should be no such thing as an instant deprivation of the emoluments of office of any individual, but they should be left to die out; and when a parish was vacant, it should be filled up by a licentiate of the Free Church; and in that way there would be a substitution of a Church with its original principles for a Church with its altered principles. That of itself, however, would not, I think, satisfy the Free Church now. When a negotiation formerly went on between the parties, as between Lord Aberdeen and myself, we attempted then to negotiate for the minimum on which it was possible to remain in the Established Church, that minimum being what we called a liberum arbitrium, leaving everything to remain as regards the initiative and patronage as before; and the Church having the full power of sitting in judgment upon the question and deciding it, 'Is it a right thing that this presentee shall be appointed to this parish; is this presentee a fit person for this parish?' so that we could either lay an arrest upon the presentation or sustain it, and proceed upon it. But now that we have left the Established Church, I do not think that the same terms that would have kept us in the Church would bring us back again; for my own part, I would say that if ever there should

be a negotiation opened again, it should be a negotiation, as regards the Free Church, not for the minimum, but for the optimism. We could have made a sacrifice then upon some points, in order to prevent a disruption; but now that a disruption has occurred, we would make a strong attempt to get the best possible system that we could before we would adopt it; and I am very glad that I can quote upon the subject of the optimism, if not in the very words, at least the substance, of a sentence which I wrote in one of my letters to Lord Aberdeen, that I conceived the best ecclesiastical system that could be established for Scotland, was a Church where the ministers were paid by the State, and chosen by the people; so that I do not think that even if patronage were modified to the degree which would have kept us in, if patronage were to remain in any degree, they would bring us back again.

"MR. W. PATTEN.—Did I understand you to say, that now the Free Church would not consent to any right on the part of the patron to presentation?—I do not think that the Free Church would consent to become the Establishment, except on the condition of the abolition of patronage.

"MR. F. MAULE.—I believe we may generally say, that during all your life you have been a strong friend of the maintenance of the institutions of this country. In your opinion do you look upon the Free Church as safe and salutary with respect to the political and established institutions of this country, or do you consider that any danger may be apprehended in reference to those institutions from the Free Church being allowed, by granting sites and other legitimate indulgences, to follow the course which the consciences of the people feel to be the right one ?—I think that all the doctrines and all the doings of the Free Church are on the side of social order and the wellbeing of society; and I cannot imagine that the existence of the Free Church can have anything like a hostile influence upon the established institutions of the country. And I may observe, that is not exclusively ours, for I believe it belongs to the English Methodists as well as to us, and also to the older Dissenting denominations in Scotland. We of the Free Church are not Voluntaries, and I confess to you that I should look with a sigh to the demolition of the framework either of the Scotch or of the English Establishment. Grant an establishment upon right principles, and if well worked, it is the most efficient of all machinery for pervading the people with religion; and it marks the exceeding strength of our principle, that we have dissented from the Establishment in Scotland, not quasi an Establishment, but from such an Establishment-a vitiating flaw having been inserted into it, which we think fatal to its character, and fatal also to the efficacy of its ministrations; so that I believe there is not a body in Christendom who gives such a strong testimony in favour of the principle of an Establishment as the Free Church of Scotland. Here we are incurring the utmost dislike from the Voluntaries on the one hand, and from the actual friends of the Establishment on the other, and yet we will not let go the principle that it is the duty of the Government to provide for the religious education of the people; and it is thus, too, that best effect is given to the territorial principle by which to reclaim the masses. I do not know any system better than the territorial system, if well worked, provided it be based upon the proper principle, and the ministers of the Establishment have the same freedom which we enjoyed in the Church of Scotland in its original state.

"SIR J. GRAHAM.—On principle, you are a great friend to the Establishment in connexion with the State?—I am.

"I think I had the pleasure of attending a course of lectures that was given by you at the Hanover Square Rooms, in defence of the English Church Establishment, at a time when you thought it was assailed?—It was not in defence of the English Church Establishment, it was in defence of Establishments generally.

"But I believe you stood forward, in those lectures, in defence of the English Church Establishment?—There is a great difference between two Churches, one of which is moving upwards, and upon the advance to a better position than it now occupies, and the other of which is falling down or declining, from a higher point than the English Establishment ever occupied; I should therefore feel more hope for the English Establishment than I do for our present Scotch Establishment. There is all the difference that we have in morals and religion, between a backslider and an aspiring penitent. who is just shaking off his old profligate habits. I will not speak so confidently now of the English Establishment as I did then; but I spoke of Establishments in the abstract; I did not come to any reckoning with the English Establishment in regard to its deficiencies, and I should say that I could not, with the same confidence, advocate the cause of the English Establishment now as I did then, because of what I hear with regard to the inroads of Puseyism in the Church of England, which I consider to be a very great corruption.

"In what year was it that I had the pleasure of attending your lectures?

—It was in the year 1838.

"The Queen is the head of the Church of England, and lay patronage exists in the Church of England to a very great extent; and still, notwith-standing those imperfections in your Presbyterian eye, you strongly advocated, at that time, the maintenance of the Church of England such as it

was ?-I advocated the Church of England as a good machine, but which required to be mended; and indeed I ventured to say so, and suggested that they should rid themselves of the figment of apostolical succession-which was the expression that I used. There is another passage in those lectures (I am glad that they are printed, because they can be appealed to) regarding the distinction between the Church of England and the Church of Scotland, with reference to spiritual independence. As against the Voluntaries, I advocated an Establishment in the abstract, as a piece of effectual mechanism which the Voluntaries could never replace if the Establishment were abolished. Had my subject led me to take cognizance of the defects of the Church of England, which it did not lead me to do, it would have been different: but indeed I should have felt it a little obtrusive, a little presumptuous, for me to come to England for the purpose of lecturing the people here on the defects of their own Establishment; I came to lecture on the Establishment principle as opposed to Voluntaryism; but I do not know any stronger passages in these lectures than those in which I denounced the notion of apostolical succession, and also the dependence of the Church upon the State in things sacred.

"I cannot forget what falls from you; I think I recollect that in those lectures you advocated even the maintenance of what some have called ecclesiastical sinecures, upon the ground that dignitaries or learned persons were thereby enabled to apply themselves to learning, and to come out as powerful champions in defence of the Establishment of which they were members?—To such a degree am I in favour of ecclesiastical sinecures, that I should be glad to have them in our Church. At that time it struck me that there was a vulgarizing process going on, by the alienation of cathedral property, and I endeavoured to point out what I thought a better direction for this property, and that was, turning each cathedral institute into a theological seminary or college. I am very friendly to what may be called ecclesiastical sinecures, not that I mean that they should be sinecures, but that there should be a certain number of persons of learning maintained at leisure, and endowed for the purpose of contributing to theological literature.

"Notwithstanding the headship of the sovereign and lay patronage existing to a great extent in the Church of England, and ecclesiastical sinecures existing in the Church of England, you stood forward to defend it against the Voluntary system?—I am in favour of the Church of England as against the Voluntary system. I should have felt the weakness of my ground if I had attempted to defend the Church of England in itself; and I should have been leaving unnecessarily the safe ground of argument in favour of the Establishment principle, and the undoubted duty of Government to con-

cern themselves with the religious education of the people, and also the great efficiency of the mechanism of an Establishment, for the Voluntary principle does not overtake the masses. Upon those grounds I did advocate the Church of England as an Establishment, but I certainly did not stand there as an advocate for the corruptions of the Church of England.

"Your objection to the Church of Scotland is, that it is so Erastian an Establishment, that, with all your objections to Voluntaryism, you think on the whole it has been a duty to secede from it?—Yes, I think so.

"Apart from the question of payment there remains the more important point of the minister being chosen by the people; what is that choice to which you refer?—I know that in England a system of popular election may appear to be a very monstrous one, under the idea that we conceive the people to be the seat-holders, so that any man that can afford to pay for a seat is one of the people to vote, and to exercise what may be called 'the spiritual franchise.' What I mean by the people is the body of communicants, which communicants are admitted to the table of the sacrament upon a strict examination of their religious knowledge and of their religious character; and I should think that the election by people of that class is in very safe hands.

"But still, though you would allow the initiative to the people, you would never part with a power of veto on the choice, to be exercised by the ecclesiastical court, and without appeal; except to other ecclesiastical authorities?—I would say that it were a great defect in a Church if the popular power were the only power concerned in the appointment of the minister; and that it adds very much to the perfection of the Church to have a body of men competent to sit in judgment upon the literature and the other qualifications of the minister, to concur with the people in their appointment.

"When you say 'the choice of the people,' are you of opinion that all communicants have an equal right to a voice?—The practice is for all male communicants to vote.

"Why should female communicants be excluded?—I have always looked upon this as a very paltry and distasteful question; and I think that it is revolting to the collective mind of the Free Church. Of course you cannot, amongst 600 ministers, say that some strange proposition may not be advanced by this or that individual; but I do look upon it as a question which generally they would not entertain.

"Is there anything in the process which you think would be inconsistent with female modesty and propriety, and would prevent females taking a part in it?—I do not think that there is anything in the process which would be inconsistent with female modesty and propriety.

3 E

"Is there any coming forward in the presence of the congregation to sign the call, or anything else which would be disagreeable to females?—When I was a minister in Glasgow I remember being present at taking the concurrence or call of the people, but I really cannot say at present how the matter proceeds.

"Will you be kind enough to explain a point which is difficult to my apprehension; you contend that the call of the people is a Christian principle, and that the nomination of the patron is anti-Christian and Erastian; will you explain what you consider to be the Christian character of the one, and the anti-Christian character of the other ?-I do not think that the terms 'Christian' and 'anti-Christian,' should be applied to this ques-I think that the term 'Erastianism' has no relation to this point. I would say that it is anti-Christian if you infringe upon the spiritual independence of the Church, for it is a Christian principle that the spiritual independence of the Church should be maintained. But with regard to the nomination by an individual patron on the one hand, as compared with popular election on the other, I would scarcely view it in the same religious light. I think that there is great scriptural authority for popular election, and I would say great authority in the history of the Church during the three first centuries; but the thing that operates most strongly with me is the respect I have for the collective mind and views of a well-trained congregation. I think that the people collectively are better qualified to choose a right minister than an individual patron is.

"It would touch the relative qualification of the congregation on the one hand, and of the lay patron on the other; but in principle, why is the one Christian, or pre-eminently Christian and right, and the other, I will not term it anti-Christian, but in your opinion, pre-eminently wrong?—I think that theological authorities are in favour of popular election, looking to the examples that we have in the New Testament of popular election on the part of the Christian Church: and for three, if not four centuries, and indeed continued down to the fifth or sixth century, there are vestiges of popular election, which would seem to shew that that was the original system of the Church.

"Did I rightly understand you to say that the only mode of merging the unhappy differences now existing would be an inversion of past policy; that patronage must be abolished, and that if that matter were conceded, the Free Church would be as mild as they could be towards the members of the Establishment consistently with their principles?—Yes; that is the substance of the answer I gave to the question.

" And that your mode of reconciling the Free Church to the State would

be, not to fill up the vacancies as they occurred in the livings of various parishes in Scotland with members of the Establishment, but that licentiates of the Free Church should be appointed to fill up the vacancies?—Surely that would be the way of it. But this answer was drawn out from me by the question that was put, and I gave my explanation of the right restorative process under the complete impression that it would be looked upon by the generality of the public as being at present completely chimerical and hopeless. I do not expect it soon. In order to give an adequate impression of the difficulty that stands in the way of re-union, I stated the only process by which I think, consistently with our principles, the Church of Scotland could be restored to its original state; and I am afraid that, in the actual state of opinion amongst various classes, such an adjustment is not to be looked for.

"The refusal to give sites is the exception, not the rule; and a very rare exception, is it not ?-Yes; but I would say, that in the worst times of persecution, the cruelties that were inflicted were the exception, and not the rule; that is to say, there was no such thing, at least very seldom, as the extermination of a whole people. There were a great many martyrdoms-still those martyrdoms generally constituted the exception, not the rule; and the refusal of sites, though it constitutes the exception, may become the rule. It implies the power, on the part of the landed proprietors, to refuse sites, and it may become general; I think it is very likely to be I have every indulgence to make for the state of feeling which has existed during the last few years; I believe that the upper classes very honestly thought very ill of us. They looked upon us as so many radicals and revolutionaries; and I have heard some of the higher classes, for whom I have the greatest respect, associate with the Disruption the idea of a coming revolution. I have myself heard them speak so; but I believe that the experience of our being a far more harmless generation than they had any conception of previously, has gone a considerable way to mitigate that feeling; and I trust that the mitigation will go on.

"Is not the opinion which you have just expressed confirmed by the progressive diminution of refusals, year by year, up to the present time?—I am very glad of that diminution, certainly; but I think it is a great blot upon the system of the country, if even a single exception should be tolerated.

"But with respect to legislation, legislation does not proceed upon cases of exception, but upon flagrant cases of wide-spread abuse. Is not that so?

—Yes; but legislation may be very properly resorted to, for the purpose of extinguishing a power that might be abused, and that might spread; not confined to the Free Church, but extending also to other denominations.

"Does not legislation generally proceed with a view to the correction of growing abuses, not dealing with diminishing abuses small in amount?—I do not feel myself very competent to instruct legislators in their duty. Were I a legislator myself, I should certainly feel as if it were a great deficiency in the statute-book not to provide against such a flagrant abuse of the rights of property.

"You have very much deprecated, in your letter, which you have quoted to-day, the use of violent language. You have said, that it is not for the members of the Free Church 'to lift the tongue of abuse against the characters, whether of individuals or of bodies of men; and you have said, that you are of opinion that they are not called upon 'to sit in judgment upon others.' In the heat of this unhappy controversy, do you think that that rule has been observed?—I should say that never, I dare say, was a great change effected in a country with less violence on the part of those who suffered by the change; and, speaking generally, I think that there has been, upon the whole, a very noble exemplification on the part of the Free Church, and of its friends, of the charity which endureth all things. I think that there has been great exaggeration upon the subject of our violent language.

" In that very letter of which you read an extract, you give an explanation of the sentiments to which you gave utterance in the General Assembly, in 1839, four years before the Disruption, and which you admit you have repeated since; and though you explain it, was not the expression one that was certainly susceptible of misapprehension: 'That an Erastian Establishment should be swept off from the face of the country ?--When I first gave utterance to that expression there was no such idea in our own heads as a Disruption. We certainly had no other object in contemplation than that we should remain in the Establishment. If the 470 who have signalized themselves so much by their opposition to the encroachments of the civil courts, when the thing began to look upon them in good earnest, had turned round upon their own principles, when they saw that their emoluments were threatened-I say that a Church with 470 recusants in it, who had so glaringly trampled upon their professions for years back-such a Church would have scandalized the whole community; it would have been a nuisance. I do not think 'a nuisance' would be too strong an expression. In like manner, had we done what it was alleged we would do; had we returned to the Church, the expression of 'nuisance' would have been alike applicable. I do not say that it is equally applicable now. I am not very fond of substituting one name for another; I do not like to give names unless there is a call for it. I do not consider that it is an appropriate designation now, that of 'nuisance.' A nuisance implies a certain power and virulence of positive mischief, which I do not think the Established Church of Scotland possesses. I think that the Established Church of Scotland has become, comparatively speaking, effete and impotent, either as to good or evil; and I would rather denominate it a nullity than a nuisance. I do so without any desire to stigmatize the Church; but I do it under the impulse of a deep conviction, that if this vitiating flaw be suffered to adhere to the Established Church of Scotland, it never will be an efficient Church in our country.

"Do you agree to this definition of schism, that is 'an uncharitable distance, division, or alienation of affection among those who are called Christians, and agree in the fundamentals of religion, occasioned by their different apprehensions about little things?—I think that the schism is chargeable upon those who are in the wrong; in the case of our Scottish Church, a stable thing, a thing that has been in existence in Scotland since the days of the first Reformation—this Church being independent of the State in spiritual matters, I think the guilt of the schism was incurred by those who deviated from that standard. I do not think that a deviation from a State Church quasi a State Church incurs the guilt of schism; a deviation from a Church of certain principles infers the guilt of schism, not a deviation from a Church quasi a State Church.

"The definition I have just read to you is Matthew Henry's definition of schism. Upon reflection, is it sound, in your opinion, or unsound?—I think it is a very fair definition, when it says, 'An uncharitable distance, occasioned by their different apprehensions about little things.' Ours is not a little thing; and neither, I hope, are we uncharitable.

"You would call the question of the supremacy of the ecclesiastical courts in ecclesiastical matters a fundamental of religion, and not a little thing as compared with the great truths of Christianity?—I would call it not a little thing.

"Although the authorized version of the Scriptures is received in common by the Free Church and the Established Church, although the Catechism is common, although the Confession of Faith is common, yet this disputed question about the supremacy of ecclesiastical courts in ecclesiastical matters would be held by you to be fundamental, and not a little thing?—I hold it to be a very material thing. I think that the character of the Church and its ministers is very materially affected by the difference between those two systems.

"Mr. F. Maule.—Is not it a principle for which, during the reign of Charles the Second, 18,000 of our countrymen, great and small, gentle and

simple, laid down their lives?—Yes: that is understood to be the computation of the number who laid down their lives for the maintenance of the very principle which, in fact, has occasioned the Disruption.

"SIR J. GRAHAM.—For what did they lay down their lives?—They laid down their lives for what they called the headship of Christ, in denial of the doctrine that the king was the head of the Church.

"The following question was put to Mr. Graham Speirs:- 'Do you or do you not know that a great number of the Free Church hold the opinion, that no communion or connexion is to be maintained between the Free Church and the Established Church?' To which his answer was as follows :-- 'No, certainly, I am not aware of that; on the contrary, I know that a great number of the leading members of the Free Church of Scotland, and Dr. Candlish among others, are members of what is called the Evangelical Alliance, which comprehends members of the Establishment as well as others, who are united for a common object.' In your opinion, does the being joint members of the Evangelical Alliance at all admit even the Christianity of the Established Church of Scotland ?-I think that the Christianity of the Established Church is one thing, looking at its system and looking at its policy, and that the Christianity of the ministers or members of the Church is altogether a different thing. I would say that the Church as a Church is very corrupt and very deficient, and if I had not thought so I might have been a member of the Establishment still; but that does not imply that all its ministers or members are unchristian, any more than it implies that all Roman Catholics are unchristian. I will not question the Christianity of Pascal or the Christianity of Archbishop Fenelon; although, as a system, I certainly dissent altogether from the Roman Catholic Church. And in like manner I would make a distinction between the character of the Scottish Established Church as a Church, and the character of its individual ministers and members.

"I need hardly ask you whether the heat and anger of this controversy have not given you great pain?—I have not seen much of that heat and anger. I must say that the prevalent impression which my converse with my brethren has left upon me is, that there has been a marvellous degree of charity and forbearance. I will not justify hard sayings; those hard sayings were all very natural, as far as I understand, but not justifiable. Charity has not had its perfect work; there have been violent sayings, but I am quite sure that there is a most exaggerated impression about this.

"If this matter in dispute were yielded, and sites were generally granted, if this violence of language were abated, and the cause of anger removed with regard to sites, would you still have the hope of seeing religious peace

re-established in Scotland before you die?—I think that if things were put upon the footing I have stated, I might cherish the hope of religious peace. I do not think it is impossible by any means; but I must think, that looking at the present state of sentiment among various classes, Legislators on the one hand, and Churchmen on the other, it is very unlikely that the process which I have referred to will be immediately entered upon."

APPENDIX L.-P. 516.

WHEN the sudden and solemn event was announced to it, the General Assembly of the Free Church unanimously resolved to adjourn all business; but to remain convened till, as an Assembly, it had the melancholy satisfaction of rendering the last office of friendship to the departed. The funeral took place on Friday, the 4th June, and we extract from the Witness the following account of it:—

"The General Assembly of the Free Church met in Free St. Andrew's Church at twelve o'clock, together with the members of deputations to the Assembly from the Presbyterian Churches of England and Ireland, and also the ministers from foreign parts attending the Assembly; the Moderator, Dr. Keith, and Dr. Clason, conducted the devotional exercises. The ministers and elders not members of Assembly, and deacons, assembled in Free St. George's Church at the same hour; the devotional exercises here were conducted by Dr. Henry Grey and Dr. Buchanan of Glasgow. The probationers and students met in the hall of the New College, also at twelve, where Dr. Cunningham conducted the devotions. A little before one, a large body of citizens, desirous of testifying respect to the memory of the deceased, by joining in the procession, assembled on the south side of Charlotte Square; as did also the Magistrates and Town-Council of the city, in St. George's Church, in the same square. At one o'clock, the General Assembly left Free St. Andrew's Church, the Moderator and Officebearers in front, in gowns and bands, preceded by the two officers of Assembly, dressed in deep mourning, with hanging crapes, and white rods in their hands, and walking four abreast, proceeded to the Lothian Road, where they halted at about a hundred yards in advance of Free St. George's Church. The members of Assembly were followed by the Professors in the New College, in their gowns and bands. The ministers and elders, not members of Assembly, now left Free St. George's Church, walking four abreast, preceded by four beadles, two and two, dressed in deep mourning, and with black rods in their hands, and took their place in the procession

immediately behind the Professors. Next came the ministers of other denominations. These were followed by the probationers and students, walking also four abreast, and preceded by two officers, dressed in the manner last described. Next in the procession came the Rector and Masters of the High School in their gowns, and preceded by the Janitor in his official costume; and following in their rear were the Rector, Teachers, and Students of the Edinburgh Normal School, with other Free Church teachers in Edinburgh and neighbourhood. Forming the rear of the procession came the large body of citizens, who had assembled in Charlotte Square, walking four abreast. Thus formed, the procession moved along the Lothian Road, headed by the Magistrates and Town-Council in their robes,—the pavement being occupied with solemnized spectators, and every window being crowded with faces. At the Main Point, the Committee and congregation of the Territorial Church, West Port, were drawn up, and, as the procession passed, they fell into the rear. The procession moved on by the Links to Churchhill; and having arrived within fifty yards of the gate leading to the house of the deceased, it halted. Here the members of the Presbytery of Edinburgh, and the Professors, fell out of their places, and repaired to the house, where the private friends of the deceased were already assembled, and where devotional exercises were conducted by the Rev. Mr. Addis, minister of Morningside Free Church. At Morningside the procession was joined by the office-bearers and congregation of Morningside Free Church, and by the pupils of Merchiston Academy. After an interval of about half an hour, the hearse containing the body of the lamented dead, drawn by four horses, attended by grooms, was led up to the procession, which now began to move slowly off towards the place of interment in the New Cemetery at Grange.

"Dust to dust; the grave now holds all that was mortal of Thomas Chalmers. Never before did we witness such a funeral; nay, never before, in at least the memory of man, did Scotland witness such a funeral. Greatness of the mere extrinsic type can always command a showy pageant; but mere extrinsic greatness never yet succeeded in purchasing the tears of a people; and the spectacle of yesterday—in which the trappings of grief, worn not as idle signs, but as the representatives of a real sorrow, were borne by well-nigh half the population of the metropolis, and blackened the public ways for furlong after furlong, and mile after mile—was such as Scotland has rarely witnessed, and which mere rank or wealth, when at the highest or the fullest, were never yet able to buy. It was a

solemn tribute, spontaneously paid to departed goodness and greatness by the public mind.

"The day was one of those gloomy days, not unfrequent in early summer, which steeps the landscape in a sombre neutral tint of grey-a sort of diluted gloom-and volumes of mist, unvariegated, blank, and diffuse of outline, flew low athwart the hills or lay folded on the distant horizon. A chill breeze from the east murmured drearily through the trees that line the cemetery on the south and west, and rustled amid the low ornamental shrubs that vary and adorn its surface. We felt as if the garish sunshine would have associated ill with the occasion. A continuous range of burial vaults, elevated some twenty feet over the level, with a screen of Gothic architecture in front, fenced by a parapet, and laid out into a broad roadway atop, runs along the cemetery from side to side, and was covered at an early hour by many thousand spectators, mostly well-dressed females. All the neighbouring roads, with the various streets through which the procession passed, from Morningside on to Lauriston, and from Lauriston to the burying ground,—a distance, by this circuitous route, of considerably more than two miles, -- were lined thick with people. We are confident we rather under-estimate than exaggerate their numbers, when we state that the spectators of the funeral must have rather exceeded than fallen short of a hundred thousand persons. As the procession approached, the shops on both sides, with scarce any exceptions, were shut up, and There was no part of the street or road through business suspended. which it passed sufficiently open, or nearly so, to give a view of the whole. The spectator merely saw file after file pass by in what seemed endless succession. In the cemetery, which is of great extent, the whole was at once seen for the first time, and the appearance was that of an army. The figures dwindled in the distance, in receding towards the open grave along the long winding walk, as in those magnificent pictures of Martin, in which even the littleness of men is made to enhance the greatness of their works and the array of their aggregated numbers. And still the open gateway continued to give ingress to the dingy, living tide, that seemed to flow unceasingly inwards, like some perennial stream that disembogues its waters into a lake. The party-coloured thousands on the eminence above, all in silence, and many of them in tears—the far-stretching lines of the mourners below,—the effect, amid the general black, of the scarlet cloaks of the magistracy,-for the Magistrates of Edinburgh, with much good taste and feeling, had come in their robes of office, and attended by its officials and insignia, to manifest their spontaneous respect for the memory of the greatest of their countrymen,—the slow, measured tramp, that,

with the rustle of the breeze, formed the only sounds audible in so vast an assemblage,—all conspired to compose a scene solemn and impressive in the highest degree, and of which the recollection will long survive in the memory of the spectators. There was a moral sublimity in the spectacle. It spoke more emphatically than by words, of the dignity of intrinsic excellence, and of the height to which a true man may attain. It was the dust of a Presbyterian minister which the coffin contained; and yet they were burying him amid the tears of a nation, and with more than kingly honours."

END OF VOLUME FOURTH.

WORKS AND MEMOIRS

OF THE

REV. THOMAS CHALMERS, D.D. LL.D.

MEMOIRS.

BY THE REV. WILLIAM HANNA, LL.D.

IN FOUR VOLUMES, PRICE £2, 2/.

POSTHUMOUS WORKS.

9 Vols. Svo, price 10/6 per Volume.

DAILY SCRIPTURE READINGS,	3	Vols.
SABBATH SCRIPTURE READINGS,	2	Vols.
SERMONS, 1798 to 1847,	1	Vol.
INSTITUTES OF THEOLOGY,	2	Vols.
LECTURES ON BUTLER, PALEY, HILL, &c.,	1	Vol.

ORIGINAL WORKS.

25 Vols. 12mo, price 4/ per Volume.

Vols.
INTRODUCTORY ESSAYS TO
SELECT AUTHORS, 1
POLITY OF A NATION, 3
CHURCH ESTABLISHMENTS, 1
CHURCH EXTENSION, 1
POLITICAL ECONOMY, 2
PAROCHIAL SYSTEM, 1
LECTURES ON ROMANS, 4

EDINBURGH: THOMAS CONSTABLE AND CO. LONDON: HAMILTON, ADAMS, AND CO.

Tover

ART AND NATURE UNDER AN ITALIAN SKY:

OR, A RECORD OF FIRST IMPRESSIONS OF CONTINENTAL SCENES AND OB-JECTS IN A TOUR UP THE RHINE; AND THROUGH SWITZERLAND INTO ITALY; INCLUDING A WINTER'S RESIDENCE IN ROME AND NAPLES.

BY M. J. M. D.

8vo, cloth, with Frontispiece. Price 10/6.

H.

HISTORY OF THE COUNCIL OF TRENT.

FROM THE FRENCH OF F. L. BUNGENER.

Crown 8vo, cloth. Price 7/6.

III.

THE STRUCTURE OF PROPHECY.

By JAMES DOUGLAS, Esq., of Cavers. Cloth. Price 3/6.

EDINBURGH: THOMAS CONSTABLE AND CO. LONDON: HAMILTON, ADAMS, AND CO.

		•	•	
				*
			•	
				-
8		j		
			,	
		•		
			•	
,		C		
		•		
*	,			
				٠.
-				
1			•	1 1
7				





